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## PHYSIOLOGIA Epicuro-Gaffendo-Chartoniana: OR

## A FABRICK <br> OF.

## SCIENCE NATURAL,

## Upon the Hypothefis of

A T
O M So.

Founded Repaired $\}$ by $\left\{\begin{array}{l}E P I C V R \cup S, \\ P E S G S\end{array}\right.$ SSENDVS, Augmented (WALTER CHARLETON,
Dr. in Medicine, and Phyfician to the late CHARLES, Monarch of Great-Eritain.

## The EIRST PART.

Fernelius, in prefat. ad lib. 2. de Abditis'rerum Cauffis.
Asomos veteres jam ridemus, miramúrq; ut fibi quifquam perfuäferit, Corpora quaidam folida, atque individua, fortuita illa concurfione, res magnitndine immenfas, variectate mulcitudineq; infinitas, omnemq; abfolutij simum bunc Mundi ornatum effecijfe. At certè, $\sqrt{2}$ Democritus mortem cum vita commulare poffet, muliò acrius. bac, que pur amus Elementa, fuo more rideres.
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TO THE

$H O \mathcal{N} O \cup \mathbb{R} A \mathcal{B} L E$

## $M^{\text {ris. }}$ ELI ZABETH VILLIER $S$

W I F E

TO THE HONORABLE

## ROBERT VILLIERS

ESQUIRE:

## MADAM,



He excellent Monfeur Des Cartes, I remember, in bis Dedicatory Epifle of bis Principies of Pbilofo: phy, to that illuftrious La$d y$, the Princefs Elizabeth; Sbenoed Himfelf Jo mucb a Courtier, as to profefs untd Her Higbnefs, tbat of all Perfonsliving, wobo bad perufed bis former Writings, He knewn none, tbat perfectly underfood them, except Herfeif only. Tbis, Madams, is fomerobat more tbin $A 2$ robatे

## The Epifle Dedicatory.

what I fall adventure to fay to you, in this my bumble Addrefs. Nottbat I might not, witbtbe Autbority of Trutb, and the roilling Tefimonies of all judicions Perfons, moom you bave at any time dignified with your incomparable Coinverfation, affirme; I bat $A$ cutemefs of Wit, and Soundnefs of $\mathrm{f}^{2}$ ugement are as Iminicint in you, as in any that I know, of either Sex. But, that I conceive it to be more conlyfent mitbmy Duty of Conformaty to the frict Lanos of your Humility (which is fupreme among your mamy Virtues, if therecan be Supremacy mbere All are Supcriative) only to ask youlcave, fo far to juflifie My Self, in this way of Devotion, as publikely to omn my A Surance; that of all my Readers, none will meet with femer Difficulties, or difcover more Lapfes and Errors, tban your Self: nor could that Book be cleariy underfood by the Autbor, when He rarote it, wobich you cannot ealily underftand, when you are pleafed to read it; be the Argument tbereof of what kind foever, and the Language eitber Italian, French, or Englifh, which are all equally your omn.

But, I bave little reafon to Jpeak of juftifying this my Devotion, to the World; mben tbat, by tbe Generel Tribute of Admiration and Reverence, wbich your Excellencies duely receive from it, is fully convinced, that I am not capable of declaring a greater Prudence, in any action of my mbole life, than in this of laying donn botb my felf and this mean Oblation of my Obfervance and Gratitude, at the feet of a Perfonage, zopbre fingle Name is acknomledged to define All tbe poffible Perfections of Humanity: and, upon consequence, cannot fail to give to botb Me and my Writings not on-

The Epiftle Dedicatorv.
ly an Eftimation among Good IVien; but alfo a full Protection from the Malerolence of Evil. And, I bave been very lately told by fome (cund Tbofe fuch Eminent Witts too; as that very Noble Perfons, to whom they bave Dedicated their Labours, bave thereby received no fmall Additions of Honour) that tbey ferioully Envied the good fortune of my refolution of invocating your Patronage of this Epicurean Pbilofopby; forafmuch as they were confirmed, that I bad taken the moft certain courre, to procure Immortality tbereunto, by offering it up to the Favour of fogreat an Example, of all Heroick Accomplifbments, as that Her Memory muft ever continue verdant and facred to all Pofterity: fince it could not be, wobile Generous Minds 乃ould conferve the Memorials of Her as the Mirrourinwbich Vertue ufed to dreffe Herfelf, wben fibe would appear Amiable and Graceful; but that tbeymut often caft fome glances of vulem upon the Remains of Him, who bad fo deep a fentiment of Her goodnefs, as to bave known no otber Ambition, but that commendable one of making HimSelf eternally known for Her moft bumble and obfequiows Yotary.

That, wbich would more become me, were to make my Excufes for the exceeding Boldnefs of this my Application; and to pravent fucb Objections as may lye againft the Rafbnefs of my Z eal:in felecting fuch a may to exprefs my Reverence, as cannot fecure me from a fuSpect of Propbanation; and prafenting to you fucb a Sa= crifice of my Tbank fulnefs, as, if efimated according to its onon V nowortbinefs, muft make it a quaftion, mbetber I bad any defigne of being Tbank ful at all. Andbere, to the Firft, I migbt jufly plead; that agreat Part of this

## The Epiftle Dedicatory.

this Volume was compofed in your Houfe (the cbief Manforn of well-order'd Hospitality) and Allof it in the frengtb of your In叩iration. T bat the Book comes not into your bands, to Informe, but only Remimber you of many of tho ee Difcourfes of Nature, mbich your Noble Husbimdand your felf bave often fuffered me to entertain (mould to God, I might bave faid, fatisfy) your eager Curiofity moutbal, at thofe bours your induftrious Minds required kelaxation from the bent of more grave and advantageous T boughts. Tbat, baring the Honour of fogreat a Truft, as that of your mof precious Lives committed unto me; it bigbly concern'd me, to ftudy andpurfue all nays of Demonftrating my Self not aliogetber uncapable thereof, and more especially this of Natural Pbilufopby, which being the Grounds, is alfo the Meafure of a Good Pbyfician. And, that woben your Husband beingacquainted noith my Purpofe of Enquiring into the Nature of Souls, botb Brutal and Human, in a diffint W'ork, though but the Remaining Moity of this Pbyyologie; badinjoyned me to deliver the fame innto bis bands, as foon as I foould bave finifbed it : I inftantly apprebended, I bad an opportunity of a Double Happiuefs, the one of being equally Grateful to Tmo fingular Friends; the otber, of Allying thise Two Treatijes by Confecration, which would be of foneer Affnity in their Subjects.

Ais for the Other; I might eafily alleage, that Great Spirits ufe not to eftimate Prxelents that are brougbt them, by the value they carry in tbemelves: but the Affections of thre we bo offer them. That Thank fulnefs is the Poor mans wealth, and makesbim, in the eyes of Generofity, ftand in competition, for refpect, with the Rich. I bat though this my Oblation bold no proportion to the

## The Epifle Dedicatory.

immenJe beight of your Merit, yet it is equal to that of my Pooser, and; indeed, the beft that my Gratztude was able to advance upon the flender fock of my Capacity. And, tbat I never intended it as a Retribution f.ryour incompenfable Fariours; but only as an Homage, to tefti. fie that I confess my felf infinitely your Debtor.

But, Madam, for me to attempt to Excufe, unto your Self, the Unfitneffe of this ACI of my devotion; is no leffe unneceffary, tban for me to jufifie to tbe World, tbat I bave placed it upon a moft wortby Object: forafmuch as I bave no more reafon to doubt, that fo tranfcendent a Cbarity, as is diffufed tbrougb and Surrounds your perfect Soul, can be large enougb to dijpenfe with the Rudenefs of the Ceremonies, and Poverty of the Offering, where you are fatisfied of the fincere Refpects, and unalterable Fidelity of bis Heart, wobo tenders it; tban I bave to fear, that the W orld Jbould not moft readily confirm my judgement, that your Deferts bave rigbtfully entitled you to all the Demonftrations of Honour and Reverence, tbat can pofsibly be given to you.

The Cbief part, therefore, yeatbe mboleof my pres fent Duty, is only bumbly to Beg your benigne Acceptance of tbis Dedicaiton, as ibe Bef Expreffon I woas able to make of thofe profound Sentiments mbich as well your Goodnefs in General to others, as your Paricular Favours to my felf, bave impreffed upon my Soul. And this Inowo do, upon the Knees if my Heart; and folemnly vorn, that as I efteem aperfect Friend, the greateft. Treafure of my life, foI do and ever foall account you the moft perfect of Friends: That I Jall confefs my felf to bave lof not only all Piecy, but all

The Epifle Dedicatory.
Humanity aifo, when ever I ball wiliingly lofe any the leaft opportunity of Serving you : and tbat your omn Good Angell (I Speak familuarly, but at tbe fame time believe you to be under the Tuition of a Legion of Goodones) cannot more fervently defire your complete Happinefs, than, Incomparable Madam,

Your Eternal Servant,

London the 20 of $\mathcal{F}_{1 \mathrm{ll}} \mathrm{l}$, An. Dom. 1654.
W. CHARLETON.

## THE

# CONTENTS, SERIES, AND ORDER OF THE WHOLE BOOK. 

## BOOK THE FIRST.

## Chap. 1.

All Modern Pbilofophers reduced to four general Orders; and the principal caufes of their Diffen. tion. pag. I.

## Sect. I.

Artic:
1 He principal Sects of the ancient Grecian Philofophers, only enumerated. pag. I
2 The fame revived among the Moderns, with encreafe.
3 Who are reduced either to the Pedantique or Female Sect.
4 Or, to the Aftertors of Philofophical Liberty.
5 Or, to the Renovators.
6 Or to the Electors.

## Sect. II.

Artic.
1 He principal caufes of the Diverfity of philofophical Sects; and the chiefeft among them, the Obfcurity of Nature.
2 The Imperfection of our Underttanding. 5
3 The Irregularity of our Curiofity. A paradox.

## Chap. II.

That this World is the Univerfe. pag. 9.
Sict. I.

Artic.

- He exmbition of Alexander in affecting the Congueft, lefs vaias then that of manyancient Philofophers in affelting the Know-
ledge of a Multitude of Worlds: 9 2. A roduction of those Philofophers to four difinit Selts; refpective to their diftintt per$\int$ roakions: and the Heads of each Sect mamated.
3 The two main pillars on which the opinion of 6 Plurality of Worlds was anciently erected. 10

SEct. II.

## The Redargution.

Artic.
ITHe Quefionftated to be concerning the real Exiftence, not the poflibility of an Infinity of Worlds.

11
2 Becaufe the fuppofed Infinity of the Extramundan Spaces, is so impofsibility. ibid.
3 Because an Infinity of Bodies is alfo pof sible as to the Omnipotence of God. ibid.
4 The Error of concluding the Effe, from the Poffe of an Infinity of worlds. 12
5 The tirlt main Pillar of a Plurality of worlds subverted ibid.
6 The fecond Pillar found Sophifticate, and demolifed.

3
7 A Plurality of worlds manifeffly repugnant to Authority Divine it
8 And Human.
ibid.
9 The refult of all; the Demonftration of the Authors Thefis, That this World is the Univere, ibid.
10 Extramundase Curiofity, a bigh degree of Madnefs.

Chap. III.
Corporicty and inanity,
Sect. I.
Artic.
1 O Ody and Inanity, the troo genirit $P$ arts of the Univerfe.
(a)

2 Three

## THE CONTENTS, SERIES,

2 Three the mof memorable Definitions of Corporiety extant among Phyfologifts, recounted and examined.
ibid.
Four Defcriptions of the nature of Inanity, by Epicurus, Cleomedes, Empericus, Ariftotle.

Their importance extratted: and what is the formal or proper notion of a Vacuum. 18
5 The Exiffence of Bodies inthe world, manifeft by Senfe: whofe Evidence is perfect 1)cmonftration.

## Chap. IV.

## A Vacuum in Nature. P 21.

Sect. I.
Art.
I The Difinction of a Vacunm into (1) Natural, and (2) Prxternatural: and the one called Diffeminate, the other Coacervate.
2 The nature of a Differninate Vacuity, e.xplained by the eAnalogy of a beap of Corn.
3 The firft Argument of a Diffeminate Vacuity, difumed from the evidence of Motion, in General: and Ariftotles error concerning the Effence or Place, concifely detected, and corrected.
4 Moticn demongtrated by Senfe : and Zeno's anigmatical Argnment, for an Univerfal Quiet, difolved.
5 The Confequution of the Argument (if no Vacuum, so Motion) illuftrated.
6 An Objection, that the Lococeffion of fome Bodies, u'pends on their Rarity or Porofity; not on a Iiffeminate Vacuity : prevented.ibid.
7 Nobiginining of Motion, without Inanity interperfen.

## Sect. II.

Artic.

- Second Argument of a Vacuity Difeminate, collected from the reafon of Rarefaction and Condenfation. ibid.
3 Ihe eminent Phenomenon of an Aerofclopet, or Wind. Gun, folved by a Vacuity Diffeminate among the incontiguous (quoad totas fuperficies) parts of acr.

26
3 Experiment of an Eolipile, or Hermetical Rellows, attefting a Vacuity Diffeminate.sbid.
4 Experiment of a Sulphurate Tapor, ixcluded in a Clafs Vial, partly filled with Water: of the fame importance.
5 No Combultible in Acr: and fo the opinion of the Ariftoteleans, that the Extinction of Flame imprifoned, is to be charged on the Defect of Aer for its fuftentation; grofly erorneous.

28
6 A fourth fingular and memorable Experiment
of the Authors, of $Y$ ce at the nofe of a large Reverberatory Furnace, charged with Ignis rotx; evidencing a Vassity interperfed in the Aer.

29
7 An inference from that Experiment; that Aer, as to its General Deffination, is theCommon Receptary of Exhalations. ibid.
$3 A$ Second Tllation, that the Aer doth receive Exhalations at a certain rate, or definite proportion; which cannot be tranfcended without prodigious violence. 30
9 The Exiftence of Inane Incontiguities in the Aer, confirmed by troo confiderable Arguments. ibid

## Sect. III.

Artic.

THat Water alfo contains Vacuola cmpty Spaces; demonftrated. 3 2 From the Experiment of the Diffolution of Alum, Halinitre, Sal Ammoniac, and Sugar, in water formerly fated with the Tincture of Common Salt.
ibid.
3 The verity of the Lord Bacons Afertion, that a repeated infufion of Rhubarb acquires as Atrong a virtue Cathartical, as a fimple infufion of Scamony, in equal quantity : and why

$$
32
$$

4 Why two Drachms of Antimony impragnate a pint of Wine, with foftrong a vomitory Faculty as trooounces.
ibid.
5 Why one and the fame Menfruum may be enriched with various Tincfures.
ibid.
Sect. IV.
Artic.

'TWo other Arguments of a Vacuity Diffeminate inferrible from (1) the difference of Bodies in the degrees of Gravity: (2) the Calefaction of Bodies by the penetration of igreous Atoms into them.
2 TheExperiments vulgarly adduced to prove no vacuity in nature, 10 far from denying, that they confefs a Diffeminate one.
ibid.
3 The grand Difficulty of the Caufe of the Aers reftitution of it felf to its natural contexture, after rarefaction and condenfation, fatisfyed in brief.
ibid.
Chap. V.

## A Vacuит preternatural. P. $35^{\circ}$

## Sect. I.

Artic.
I THat is conceived by a Coacervate Vacuity : and who was the Inventer of the famous Experiment of Quick-filver in a Glafs Tube, upon which-many modern. Phyfi-
ologifs

## AND ORDER OF THE WHOLE BOOK.

ologits bave crected their perfmafion of the poffibility of introducing it. 35
${ }_{2}$ A faithful defcription of the Experiment, axd all its rare Phænomena.

36
The Authors reafon, for bis Selection of onely
fix of the nooft conjiderable Phænomena to explore the Caules of them.

## Sect. I!.

Artic.

THe Firft Cardinal Dificulty.

21The Defert fpace in the T ube argued to be an absolute Vacuum coacervate, from the impoffibility of its repletion woith Aer. ibid.
3 The Experiment prefented in Iconifm
38
4 The Vacuity in the Defert Space, zot preventedby the infinuation of Ether. 40
5 A Paradox, that Nature doth not abhor all vacuity, per fe; but onely ex Accidenti, or in refpect to Fluxility.
ibid.
6 A ifecond efirgument againft the repletion of the Defert Bace by Either.

41
7 The Vacuity of the Defert fpace, not pravented by an Halitus, or Spiritual Eflux from the Mercury: for three convincing reafons. 42
8 The Authorse Apoftacy from the opinion of an absolute Coacervate Vacuity in the defert Sace: in regard of
ibid.
9 The poffibility of the fabingreffion of light.
10 Of the Atoms or infenjble bodies of Heat and Cold : which are much more exile and penetrative then common Aer.

43
31 Of the Magnetical Eflux of the Earth : to which opinion the Aathor refigns his Affent. 44
12 No ab folute plenitude, nor abfolute Vacuity, in the Defert Space: but onely a Diffeminate Vacuity.
ibid.

## $S_{\text {ect. III. }}$

Artic.

'THe fecond Difficulty ftated. Troo things necee $\int$ ary to the creation of an excefsive, or proternatural Vacuity. ibid.
3 The occafion of Galilxos invention of a Brafs Cylindre charged with a wooden Embol, or Sucker: and of Torricellius invention of the prafent Experiment.
ibid.
4 The marrows of the Difficulty, viz. How the Aer can be impelled upward, by the Reftagnant Quick filver, when there externally wants a fit pace for it to circulate into.
5 The folution of the fame, by the Laxity of the Contexture of the Aer. ibid.
6 The fame illnftrated, by the adequate fimile of Corn infufed into a Bußel.
ibid.
7 A fubordinate fcruple, why moft bodies are moved through the Aer, With) o little refiftence, as is imperceptible by fenfe?
8 The fame Expeded.

9 A fecond dependent fcruple concerning the Cause of the fenfible refiftence of the Aer, in this cale of the Experiment: together with the Satisfaction thereof, by the Gravity of Aer.

## Sect. IV.

Artic.
1 He State of the Third Difficulty. 48
2 The Solution thereof in a Word. ibid.
3 Three pracedent pofitions briefly recognized, in order to the worthy profounding of the myfter ry, of the Aers refifting Comprefsion beyond a certain rate, or determinate proportion ibid.
4 The Equiponderancy of the External Aer, pendent upon the furface of the Reftagnant Mercury, in the veffel to the Cylindre of Mercury refiduous in the Tube, at the altitude of 27 digits: the caufe of the Mercuries conflant subfiftence at that point.

49
5 A convenient fimile, illuftrating and enforcing the fame.
so
6 The Kemainder of the Difficulty; viz. Wby the Equilibrium of thele two oppofite weights, the Mercury and the Aer, is conitant to the præcife altreude of 27 digits: removed.
ibid.
7 Humane Perficacity terminated in the exterior parts of Nature, or $\sqrt{2} \mathrm{mple}$ Apparitions: whbich eluding our Cognition, frequently fall under no ot ber comprehenfion, but that of rational Conjecture.
ibid.
8 The conftant $\int u b f_{i j f t e n c e ~ o f ~ t h e ~ M e r c u r y ~ a t ~}^{27}$ digits, adfcriptive rather to the Refiftence of the Aer, thento any occult Quality in the Mercury.
$5 t$
9 The Aalogy betwixt the Abfolute and RefpeEtive Equality of meights, of Quick-Jilver and Water, in the different altitudes of 27 digits and 32 feet.

52
10 The definite weights of the Mercury at 27 digits, and water at 32 feet, in a $T$ abe of the thirdpart of a digit in diametre; found to be neer upon two pound, Paris weight. ibid.
11 Quare, why the Æquilibrium is conftant to the fame point of altitude in a Tube of a large concave, as well as inone of afmall; whens theforce of the Depriment muft be greater in the one, then the other.

53
12 The Solution thereof by the appropriation of the fame Cause, which makes the defcent of two bodies, of different weights, æquivelox. ibid.

Séct. V.
Artic.
$1{ }^{1}$ He Fourth Capital Difficulty propoSed. 54 The full Solution thereof, by demongtratin
on. ibid.
3 The fame confirmed by the theory of the Cause
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of the Mercuries frequent Reciprocations, before it acquicfce at the point of Equipondium. ibid.

## Sect. VI.

Artic.

IHe Fifib Principal Difficulty. 55 Solved, by the 1 lotion of Reitauration naiural to cach infonfible particle of Aer. ibid.
; The incumbent Aer, in this cafe, rgually diftreffed, by two contrary Forces.
4 The motion of. Rettauration ins the Aer,extended to the faterfaction of another confimilar Doubt, cowcerning the fisbintrysion of Water into the T mbe; if $\int$ seperaffufed npon the reftagnant A1ercury.
ibid.
5 ATbird moft important Doube, concerning the nonapparence of any Tendry, or Rigidity in the region of Aer memberit upon the Reftagnant Liguors.
ibid.
6 The folution theref, by the neceffary relicticn of apace in the vicine region of Lax aer, cqual to that, which the Hand commoucd poffefleth in: the regian of the Compreft.

57
7 A corjirmation of the $\int$ ame Reafon, by the adsguate Exirmple of the Flame of a I apour. ibid.
82 By the Exptriment of Urination.
ibid.
3 3 By the Beams of the Sun, entring a room, throagh fome Лender crany, in the appcarance of a White fhining Wand, and conftunty maintaining that Figure, notmithfanding the agitations of the aer by wind, çc.
104 Py the confint of the Rainbow, 58 gure, notmitiofloanding the change of pofition and place of the clond and contignous aer. ibid. 11 Helmonts Delirisem, that the Rainbow is a fupernatural Metcor: obferved.
ibid.

## Sect. VII.

Aricic

THe flowth and laft confoderable Difficul$t y$.
ibid.
= The cleer Julution thereof, by the great diproportion of weight betwrit Uuick-filver and Water.

59 A Corollary ; the Alcitude of the Atmofphere conjestured.
ibid.
4 A fecond Corollary; the defperate Diffeculty of conciliating Phy fo!ogy to the Mathematicks: inftanced in the mach difcreporet opinious of Galilxo and Merfennus, concerning the proportion of Grazity that Aer and Water bold each to other.
ibid. The Condution of this Digreffion: and the reafons, mby the Author ndfcribes a Cylindrical Fisure to the portion of Aer impendent on the Reflegnant Ligzors, is the Experiment.

Сhap. VI. Of PLACE. p: 62.

Sect. I.
Artic.
I He Identity Effential of a Vacuum and Place, the caufe of the prefent Erguiry into the Nature of Place.
ibid.
2 Among all the Quxeries about the Hoti of Place; the mof important is, Whethor Epicurus or Ariftotles Definition of it, be moft adequate.
ibid.
3 The Hypothe bos of Ariftotles Definition 6;
4 A convenient fuppofition inferring the neceffity of Dimentinns Incorporeal. ibid.
5 The Legality of that $\int$ reppofrition. ibid.
6 The Dimenfions of Longitsde, Latitude, and Profundity, imaginable in a Vacuum. 64
7 The Grand Peripatetack objection, that Nothing is in a Vacsum ; ergo no Dimentuons. ibid.
8 Des Chartes, and Mr. White feduced by the plaufibility of the fame.
9 The Peripateticks reduction of Time and Place so the General Categories of Subtances and Accidents, the casfo of this Epidemick miftake.
ibid.
Io Place neither Accident nor Substance. 66
11 The pracedent Giant-Objection, that Ncthing is in a Vacurm ; frab'd, at ablow. ibid
I2 Dimenfions Corporeal and Incorporeal, or Spatial.
I3 The former fuppofition reaffumed and enlarged. ibid.
I4 The fcope and advantage thereof; viz. the comprehenfion of three eminent Abfrusfities concerning the Nature of Place.
ibid.
15 The Incorporiety of Dimentions Spatial, Difcriminated from that of the Divine EfSence, andother Subitances Incorporeal. 68 16 This perfsafion, of the Improduction and Independency of Place; preferved from the $\int$ ujpition of Impiety.
ibid.

## SEct. II.

Artic.
1 DLace, not the immediate fuperfice of the Body invironing the Locatum; contra ry to Ariftocle.
2 Salvo's for all the Difficult Scruples, touching the nature of Place; genwinely ertratted from Epicurus his ala'snua.
ibid
3 Ariftotles ultimate Refuge. 70
4 The Invalidity thereof: and the Coexittibility, or Compatibility of Dimenfions Corporeal and Spatial.

71

Crap.

## AND ORDER OF THE WHOLE BOOK.

## Chap. VII. Of Time and Eterntiy. p. 72.

## Sect. I.

AriIC.
Time more eafily conceivable ${ }^{1}$ He Hoti of Time more eafily conceivable then by the complex Definitions of Philofophers.
2 The General prefumption that Time is Corporeal, or an Accident dependent on. Corporcal Subjects; the chief Gaufe of that Difficulty.

73
3 The variety of opinions, concerning it; another Cause of the Difficulty : and Epicurus Defcription of its $E \iint e n c e$, recited and explained.
4 Time defined to be Coleftial Motion, by Zeno, Chrylippus, ơc. and thereupon affirmed, by Philo, to be onely Corvous to the World. 74
5 Ariftotles ${ }^{5}$ o much magnifyed Definition of Time to be the Meafure of Motion Coeleitial, \&x. perpended and found too light. ibid.

## SEct. II.

Artic:
1円Ime, nor fubfance, nor Accident: but an Ens more General, and the Twin-brother of Space.
ibid. A Paralellifm betwixt Space and Time. ibid. 3 Time, Senior unto, andindependent up,in Motion: and onely accidentally indicatec by Motion, as the Menfuratum by the Menfura. 76 $4 A$ demonitration of the independence of $T$ ime
upon Motion, from the miraculows Detention of the Sun, above the Horifon, in the days of Jofhua.
5 An Objection, that, during the arreft of the Sun, there was no Time, becaufe no Hours; fatisfyed.
ibid.
6. The Immutability of I ime alfo afferted againf: Ariftotle.
ibid.

## Sect. III.

Artic.

'THe Grand Queftion, concerning the Difparity of Time and Eternity: fated. 78
2 Trop preparatory Conjiderations, louchant the aquivocal ufe of the word Frernity: requifite to the cleer folntion thercof. $\quad$ bid
3 Two decifive Pofitions, thereupon inferred and eftablibed 79
4 The Platonicks Definition of Eternity, to be one Everlafting Now; not intelligible, and therefore cellufive. 80
5 Their Affertors fubterfuge, that Eternity is Coexiftent to Time; alfo unintelligible. ibid_
6 Our Ecclefiaftick Doctors, taking Sanctuary in the 3. Exod. for the authorizing of their Doctrine, that the Prefent Tenfe is onely competent to God, and fo that Eternity is one permanent Inftant, without Fufion or Suc ceffion : not fecure from the rigeur of our De monftration. 8 I
7 The Objective Præence of all things at once, to the Divine Intellect; no rrays impugned by our contradiction of the Doctors theory.ibid
8 Nor the Immatability of the Divine Nature. againft Arittotle.
9 Coronis. 83.

# The Second Book. 

Chap. I. The Exiftence of Atoms, EriEted. p. 84 .

## Sect. I.

Artic.

'THe right of the Authors Tranjaition from $^{2}$ the Incorporeal to the Corporeal part of Nature : and a fories of his fubsequent乃peculations:
ibid.
2 Bodies generally diffingbed into Principles and Productions, with their Scholaffick Denomiminations and propricties.

3 The right of Atoms to the Attributes of the Firll Matter. ibid.
4 Their Sundry Appellations allufive to their three eminent proprieties. ibid.
5 Thro vulgarly paffant Derivations of the rpord, Atom, exploded.
6 Who their Inventor: and wobo their Nomenclator.
7 Their Exiftence demonftrated. 87
8 That Nature, in ber difolution of Concretions, doth defcend to the infenfible particles. 98 9 That he can run on to Infinity. ibid: 1o But muft confift in Atoms, the Term of Exb

Colubility
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Solubility.
in $A$ fecond Argument of their Exiftence, drawn from that of their Antutheton Inanity.
12 A third, binted from the impoffibility of the Producticn of Hard Bodies, from any other Principle.
ibid.
13 A Fourth, from the Conitancy of Nature in the $\int$ pecification and Determinate Periods of ber Gescrations.
ibid.

## Chap. If.

## No Pbyfical Continurum, infinitely

 Divifible. P.90.
## Sect. I.

Aftic.
${ }_{1} T$ He Cognation of this Theorch, to the Argument of the immediately precedent Chapter.
ibid
2 Magnitade divifible by a continued progrefs through parts either Proportional, or Aliquotal.
ibid.
3 The ufc of that Diftinction in the prafent. 91
4 The virity of the Thefis, demonftrated. ibid.
5 Irwo deteftuble Abfurdities, infeparable from the pofition of Infinite parts in a Continuum.
ibid.
6 Ariftotlcs /ubterfuge of Infinitude Potential ;
7 Found openly Collufive. 93
8 A Second fubterfuge of the Stoick; ibid.
9 Manifeflly diffentaneous to Reafen.
ibid

## Sect. II.

Artic

'THc Abfurdities, by Empericus, charged upon the fuppofition of oxly Finite parts in a Continut:m.
2 The fundry Incongruitics and Inconfiftences, by the Modern Anti-Democritans, imputed to the fuppofition of Infectility.
ibid.
3 The full Derogation of them all together, by one fingle Re/ponce; that the minimum of $A$ tomifts is not Muthematical,but Phyfical, contrary to their prafumption.

95
4 A Seeming Dilemma of the Adverfary, expeditcly evaded.

96
5 A Digrellion, fating and determining that notable Queftion, whether Geometrical Demonftrations may be conveniently transferred to the Phyfical or fenfible Quantity? ibid.

## Chap. III. <br> Atoms, tha Furfa and Univerfal Matter. P. 99.

## Sect. I.

Art.
17. He introduction, hinting the two general aflumptions of the Chapter. ibid.
2 Dmocritus and Epicurus vindicated from the absurd admiffon of Inanity to-be one Principlo of Generables.
ibid.
3 Atoms not inconfiftent waith, because the Principles of the four vulgar Elements. $\quad: 00$
4 The diffent of the Ancients, about the number of Elements. 101
5 Noone of the forr Elements sufficient to the production of cither any of the other three, or of axy Compound nuture ibid.
6 The four Eltments, not the Presoprinciple of Concretions.

102
7 Atoms discriminated from the Homoiomerical Principles of Anaxageras. ibid.
8 The principal Dfficulties urged agninft the Hypothefis of Aroms, fingularly folved. 103 9 A recapitulation of the pramifos, introdesEfory to the verification of the prafent thefis: 106

$$
S_{\text {ECT. }} \text { II. }
$$

Antic.

${ }^{1}$ THe 4 notable opinions, concerning the Conspofition of a Continuum 107
2 A Phyfical Continuum cannot confift of Points Mathematical.
ibid:
3 Nor of Parts and Points Mathematecal, united. 108
4 Nor of a simple Entity, before division indiflinet: but of Indivijibles. ibid.
5 A fecond Apodictical reafon, def umed from the nature of Union, evincing that Atoms are the Firft and Catholick Principle of Concretions.

## 109

6 An objection pravented. ibid.
7 The reafon of the Authors fuperceffion of all other Arguments of the like importance. ibid.

## Сhap. IV.

## The Effential Proprieties of Atoms: p. 111.

## Sect. I.

Artic.

- He trolinks connefting this to the precedent Chapter.
ibid.
3 The General Propricties of Atoms: and the Infeparabity of each, demonffrated. ibid.

3 The

## AND ORDER OF THE WHOLE BOOK.

3 The Refiftence of Atoms, no diftinct propriety; but pertinent to their Solidity or Gravity. 4 The fpecifical Propricties of Atoms. ibid.

$$
S_{\text {Ect }} \text {. II. }
$$

Concerning the Magnitude of $A$ toms. P. 113 .
Artic.

'B$r$ the Magnitude, is meant the Parvity of Atoms. ibid.
2 A confideration of the Grofsnefs of iour fenfes, and the extreme fubtilty of Nature in her operations; preparatoryy to onir Conjectural apprebenfion of the Exiguity of Her Materials, Atoms.
3. The incomprebenfible fubtility of: Nature, argued. from the Artifice of an cxiquifite Watch, contrived in, avery narrow room. 114
4 The vaft multitude of. Tenfible. particles, and the vaffer of Elemental Atoms, containiped in one grain of Frankinfenfe; exactly calculatated.
The Dioptrical Beculation of a Handworm, difcovering the great variety. of Organica! Partstherein, and the innumerability of their Component Particles.

115
6. A hast: Digrefive Defcant upon the Teext of Plinyi, touching the multiplicity of parts in a Flea; binting the poffible perficacity of, Reafon.
ibid.
7 The Exility of Atoms, conjectural from the great diffufion of one.Grain of Vermillion diffolued in Wiater.

116
8 The Same, inferrible from the fmall quantity of. oil depredatedby the Elame of a Lamp, in a quarter of an bour.
ibid.
9 The Microfcope of great ufe, in the difcernment of the misute particles of Bodies : and fo advantageous to our Conjecture, of the exility of Atoms.
ibid
Sect. III.

## Concerning the Figures of Atoms.

## p. 117.

Artic.
A $N$ Epitome of all that directly concerns the Figures of Atonas in three General

## Canons.

ibid.
2 The Firft Canon explained and certifyed.ibid. The Exility of Atoms, doth not necefsitate their General Roundnefs; contrary to the common conceit. - ibid.
4 The Diverfity of Figures in Atoms, evilted from the Senfible Didlimilitude of Individuals; as well Animate as Inanimate.
5 A ingular Experiment, antoptically demonfrating the various Configurations of the minute Particles of Concretions. IID
6 A variety of Figures in Atoms, necef $\int$ ary to the variety of all Senfibles.
7 The fecond Cangn, explained and Certified.
8 The Third Camon, explained, and refuted. 121

## Sect. IV.

## Concerning the Motions of Atoms.

## P. 12 I .

Artic:
ITWo incroductory Observables: ibid.
2 Ihe Motion of Atoms, according to the General Diffingtion of the Ancients, T mo-fold; viz Natural, and Accidental : and each of thefe redivided into troo different Species. ibid.
3 The Jummary of Epicurus Figment, of the Perpendicular Motion of Atoms, without a common Centre.

122
4. His Declinatory natural Motion of Atoms, excufed; not juftified.
ibid
5 The genuine Jenfe of Epicurus, in bis diftinction of the Reflex Motion of Atoms into ex Plaga, and ex Concuffione. 123
6 The feveral Conceptions of Epicurus, about the perpetual Motions of Atoms.
7 The perpetzal Inquietude of Atoms: in combait Concretions, adumbrated in melted Lead.
ibid.
8 The fame more Senfibly exemplified, in the Spirit extracted from Mercury, Tin, andSublimate.

12si
9 The Mutability of all Concretions, a good Argument of the perpetual inteffine Commotions of Atorns, in the meft adamantine Compofitions.
ibid.
10 What we are to explode, and what retain, in the opinion of Epicurus, toushing the Motion of Atoms.
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## The Third Book.

## Chap. I. <br> The Origine of Qualities.p.127: <br> Sect. I.

Artic.

'A$N$ introductory Advertifement'; of the obfcurity of many thing to Reafon which are manifeft to fenfe : and of the Poffibility, not necef stey of the Elementation of Concrecions, and sheir Senfible Qualities, from the Principles prefumed.
$\approx$ The Authors $\mathcal{D}$ efinition of a Quality, in geral: and genuine expofition of Democritus myfferious Text, concerning the Creation of Qualitics.

128
3 The neceefary dedurtion of Qualities from Na ked or Unqualified Principles.

130
4 The troo primary Events of Atoms, viz. Order and Pofition, afociated to their threc effential Proprieties, viz. Magnitude, Figure, and Motion ; fufficient to the Origination of all 2 nalities.
ibid.
5 The neces sity of afuming the Magnitude and Motion of Atoms, together with their Order and Situation, as so their production of 2 walities, evitted by a double inffance.

131
6 The Figure, Order and Pofition of Parts in Concrections, alone Sufficient to the Cauffation of an indefinite variecty of 2 nalities, from the analogy of Letters.
ibid.
7 The lame Exemplifyed in the arife of White Froth, on she Waves of the Sea. 122
8 The Nativity of Colours in General, explaincd by feveral obvious Examples. ibid.
2 The Accontion of Heat, from Concretions actually Culd, upona meer ttranfppiftion of their Cimponent Particles ; exerpplifyed in fundry Cbjmical Experiments.

133
10. The Generation of all kinds of Senfible qualities in one and the fame Coneretion, from the variegated pofritions of its particles: evidenced in the Example of a putrid Apple. 13.4 ${ }^{11}$ The affenting luffrage of Epicurus. ibid.

## That Species Vifible are Subftantial Emanations. P. 136.

## Sect. I.

ARTIC:

'I- He Vifible Images of objects, fubftantial: and either corporeal Emanations from the fuperficial parts of Concrecions; or Light it felf, dippofed into contextures, confimilar to the figure of the object.
ibid,
2 The pofition of their being Effluviaes, derived from Epicurus; and praferred to she common doctrine of the Schools of the Immateriality of Species Vifible.
ibid.
3 Epicurus Text cowcerning the fame. 137.
4 The faithful Expofition thereof. ibid.
5 The contents thereof reduced to foss beads. 134
6 The Exiftence of Images vifible, certifyed by autoptical Demonftration. ibid:
7 Epicurus opinion, of the fubftantiality of Images Vifible, confonant to the judgement of Plato and Empedocles. 139
8 The Ariftoteleans Thefis, that Images optical are meer Accidents, recited: and ibid:
9 Conz itted of ( Yundry Impoffibilities, Inconfiftences, and Ablurdities. ibid.
10 The grand Objection of Alexander, that a con tinual Efflux of Subffance muft mincrate the Q 2 Hant tity of the moft folid Vifible. 140
II Solved by two Reafons; the poffible Accretion of other particles; and the extreme Tenuity of the Emanent.

141
12 Tbe Tenuity of Images vifible, reducedto Some degrec of Comprehenfibility, by conceiving them to be moft thin Decortications. ibid.
13 By Inflance, in the $V$ ifible Pecies of the Foot of a Handroorm.
ibid.
14 By exemplifying in the numerous round Films - of Wax, fucceffively derepted from a wax tapor by the flame thereof, in the fpace of an bour. and
15 In the innumerable Films of Oyl, likexife Succeffively delibrated, by the flame of an Ellychrium, or Match, perpendicularly floating in a veffel of equal capacity with Solomons Brazen Sea, in the 乃pace of 48 bours. ibid. 16 By the Analogy betmixt an Odorable and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}-$ fible Speries.
ibid.
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17 The Manner and Reafon of the Production of vifible Images; according to the byporbefis of Epicurus.
18 The Celerity of the Motion of vifible Images, reafoned; and compared to that of the Light of the Sun.
19 The Tranflation ef a moveable from place to 144 place, in an indivifible point of time, impo $/ \sqrt{7}$ ble: and why? ibid. 20 The Facility of the Abduction, or Avolation of Images Vifible, from Jolid Concretions; folved by the Spontaneous Exfilition of their fuperfficial Atoms : and the Sollicitation of Light incident upon them.
ibid.
21 That Objeits do not emit their Vijible Images, but when Illuftrated: a Conceit though paradoxical, yet not improbable.

145

## Sect. II.

Artic

'VIfrble Images Syftatical, defcribed; and difinguibt from Apoftatical ones. 146 Their Exiftence affured, by the teftimony of Diodorus Siculus : and ibid.
3 Damafcius, together with the Autopfy of Kircher.
4 Kirchers Defcription of that famous e Apparition at Rhegium, called Morgana Rheginorum: and
5 Mofr ingenious Inveftigation of the Caufes thercof. ibid.
6 His admirable Artifice, for the exbibition of the like aereal Reprefeutation, in Imitation of Nature.

143

## Chap, III,

Concerning the Manner and Reafon of VISION. p. 149.

## Sect. I.

Artic.
ITHe Reaforiof $V$ ifon, according to the opinion of the Stoicks.

149
2 Of Ariftotle. 150
3 of the Pythagoreans.
4 of Empedocles.
5 of Plato.
6 of Epicurus. ibid.

7 of Monf. Des Chartes. ibid.

151 8 The ingenuity of Des ChartesConceit, acknowledged: but the folidity indubitated. 152
9 The Opinion of Epicurus more fatisfactory, then any other: becaufe more Rational, and lefs obnoxious to inexplicable Difficulties. ibid.
10 The Two moft confiderable Difficulties oppoSed to Epicurus pofition, of the Incurfion of Sabftantial Images into the Eye.

Artic.
1 Hat the fuperfice of no body is perfectly. fmooth : evicted by folid Reafon, and Autopfie.
ibid.
2 That the vifible Image doth confift of fo many Rays as there are points defignable io the whole Superfice of the object: and that each Ray bath its line of Tendency direEZ, refpective to the face of that particle in the fuperfice, frons which it is emitted. 154
That the Denfity and Union of the Rays, compofing the vijible Image, is greater or lefs; according to their lefs, or greater Elongation from the Objeit. ibid.
4 That the Vifible Image is neither total in the total mediums; nor total in every part thereof: but So manifold as are the parts of the medium from which the object is difcernable. Contrary to the Ariftoteleans.

155 a $A$. That no man can See the fame particle of an object, with both Eys at once; nay, not with the Same Eye, if the level of its $V$ ijive Axe be changed.
ibid.
6 CONSECTART. That the Mediwem is not poffeffed with one fimple Image; but by an Aggregate of innumerrible Images, deradiate from the fame object : all whicich notwitho ftanding conftitute but one entire Image. 156
$7_{7}$ CONSECTARY. 2. That Myriads of different Immages, emanant from different objetts, may be Coexiftent in the Aer; without reciprocal penetration of Dimenfions, or Confufion of particles : contrary to the peripateticks.
ibid
8 That the place of the vifible Images ultimate Reception, and cosinplete Perception; is the Concave of the Retina Tunica. 157
9 That the Faculty forms a judgement of the Conditions of the Object, according to the reprefentation thereof by the Inagge, at its impreffion on the principal part of Vifion, the Amphibleftroides. ibid.
Io CONSECTARY. That the Image is the Caule of Objects apparence of this or that determinate Magnitude

158
II CONSECTARY. 2 That no Image can replenifs the Concave of the Retina Tunica, unlefs it be deradiated from an objeit of and almoft Hemifpherical ambite.

159
12 Why, when the Eye is open there is alwayes pourtrayed in the bottom thereof, fome one $\Gamma$ tal Image; whofe various Parts, are the Special Images of the feveral things included in the vifual Hemiphere.
ibid.
$13 P A R A \mathcal{D} O X$. That the propert of a fhilling or object of a fmall diametre is as great, as the Properit of the Firmament.

## 160

why
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14 iwh an object appears both greater in Dimenfions and mors Diftinct inparts, neer at hand, than far off. ibid.
15 Why an objeit, peculated through a Convex Lens, appears both greater and more diftinct; but through a Concave, lefs and more Confufed: thax when Beculated only with sbe Eye. 16
16 DIGRESSIO N. What Figur'd Perficils are convenient for Old : und what for Purblind perfons.

162
17 That to the Dijudication of oxe of two objelts, apparently Equal, to be really the Greater ; is not required a greater Image : but only an Opinion of its greater Diffance. $10_{3}$
18 Des Cartes Opinion concernirg the Realon of the Sights apprehewding the Dittance of an objert :

164
19 Unfatisfaltory; and that for two confiderat ions.
ibid.
20 And that more folidone of Gaffendus (viz. that the Caule of our apprehending the Difance of an object, confifteth in the Comparation of the feveral thingsinterjace t betwixt the object and the Eie, by the Rational Faculty) embraced and corroborated. ibid.
is $P A R A D O X$. That the fame Object, Jpeculuted by the fame man, at the fame diffance, and in the fame degree of light; dith alwayes appear greater to one Eye, than the other 165 $z 2 A$ fecond $P A R A D O X$. That all mes Sec (difinctly) but with one Eye at once: contrary to that cminent Optical Axiom, that theVifive Axes of both eys concur, and unite in the object.

166
${ }_{23}$ The three degrees of Tifion, viz. molt perfect, perfect, andimperfect: and the verity of the Paradox reftrained oncly to the two former Degrees.

167

## Sect. III.

Artic.
1 Refearch into the Reafon of the different Ces; asfocts of Convex and Concave Glafof Copll joptrical, as Catoptrical. ibid. CancorollarIE. Hinting the Caufes, why an Elliptical Concave reflects the incident rays, in a more Acute angle, than a Parabolical: and a Parabolicalithan a Spherical.

170
3 \& CONSECTARY. Why a Plane Perfficil ex bibits an object in genuine Dimenfions; but "Convex, in Amplified, and a Concave in minorated.

171
Sect. IV.
Artic.
1 Recapitulation of the principal Arguments precedent: and Summary of the jublequent.

2 The Eye Anatomized: and the proper ufe of each Part thereof, either abfulately Neceffary, or onely Advantagious to Vifion concifely demonftrated. viz. 1 The Diaphanity of the Horny Membrane, and the three Hsmors, Aqueous, Chryftalline, and Vitreous. 2 The Convexity of all its parts except the Amphibleftroides. 3 The Uvea Tunica, and Iris. 4s The Pupilla. 5 The Blacknefs of the infide of the Ulvea Tunica. 6 The Tunica Arachnoides. 7 The Ciliary Filaments thereof. 8 The Chryftalline, y The Retina Tunica. Io The $\int$ fix Mufles, viz: I The Direct, as the Atollent, Depriment, Adducent, Abducent. 2 And Oblique, as the 2 Circumactors, or Lovers Mufcles. 173, to 177
3 Why the Situation of anobject is perceived by the fight.

177

+ The Reafon of the everfion of the Image, in the Amphibleftroides.

178
5 The Jarre illuftrate by an Experiment ibid.
6 why she Mocion and Quiet of objects are difcorned by the fight.
ibid.
7 Why Catoperical Images imitate the motions of their Antitipes or Originals. ibid.
8 ivby the right fide of a Catoptrical Image refpects the Left of its Exemplar. And why troo Catoptrick Glaffes, confrontingly pofited, canfe a Reftitution of the parts of the Image to the natural Form.

Chap. IV.

## The Nature of Colours. p. 182.

## Sect. I.

ARTIC.
1 THe Argument duly acknowledged to be superlatively Difficult, if not abfolutely Acataleprical.
ibid.
2 The fentence of Ariftotle concerning the $N_{N^{-}}$ ture of Colours : and the Commentary of Scaliger thereupon. 183
3 The opinion of Plato. ibid.
4 Of the Pythagorean and Stoick. 184
5 Of the Spagyrical Pbilufophers. ibid:
6 The reafon of the Authors defertion of all the e; and election of Democritus and Epicurus judgement, touching the Generation of Colours. ibid.
7 The Text of Epicurus, fully and faithfully expounded.

185

## Sect. II.

Artic.
${ }^{1}$ A PARADOX. Thirt there are no Co2 A familiar Experiment, atteffing the Verity thereof.
ibid.
3 The Conffancy of all Arsificial Tixtheres, de-

## AND ORDER OF THE WHOLE BOOK.

pendent on the conftancy of Difpolition in the Superficial Particles of the Bodies that mear them.
4 That fo generally magnified Difinction of 187 lours inso Inhærent, and meerly Apparent; redargued of manifeft Contradiction. ibid.
5 The Emphatical, or Evanid Colours, created by Prifms; nole/s Real and Inhærent, than the mof Durable Tinctures.

183
6 COROLLARX. The Reafons of Emphacical Colours, appinged on Bodies objected, by a Prifm.

189
The tre Difference of Emphatical and Durable Colours, briefly ftated.
8 No Colour Formally inberent in objects ; but only Materially, or Effectively : contrary to the conftant Tenent of the Schools. 'ibid
9 The fame farther vindicated from Difficulty, by the tempeftive Recognition of fome precedent Alfumptions of the Atomifts.

## SECT, III.

ARTIC,

'TNativity of White; or the reajon of its perception ly the fight.

191
Black, a meer Privation of Light. ibid. The Genealoe g of all Intermediate Colors .ibid. The Caufes of the Sympathy and Antipachy of Some Colours

102
The intermiftion of fmall hadows, among the lines of Light; abfolutely neceefary to the Generation of any Intermediate Colour. ibid.

- Iroeminent PROBLEMS concerning the Generation and Tranfpofition of the Vermillion and Cerule, appinged on Bodies by Prifmes.

193
7 The Solution of the Former : with a rational Conjecture of the Cause of the Blew, apparent in the Concave of the Heavens.

194
8 The Solution of the Latter.
9 The Reafons; why the Author proceeds not to inveftigate the Caules of Compound Colours in Particular.

196
10 He confeffeth the Erection of this whole Difcouree, on fimple Conjecture: and enumerates the Difficulcies to be fubdued by him, who hopes to attain in ApodicicalKnowledge of the Effence and Caufes of Colours.
ibid.
is Des Cartes attempt to diffolve the chief of shofe Difficulties; unfuccefstul: becaufe grounded on an unftable Hypothefis.

197
Сháp. V.
The Nature of Light. p. 198.

## Sect. I.

ARTIC.
He Clafp, or Ligament of this, to the pra-

2 The Authors Notion of the Rays of Light. ibid:
3 A Parallelifm betwixt a fream of Water exfilient from the Cock of a Ciftern, and a Ray of Light emanent from its Lucid Fountain: ibid
PRECONSIDERABLES. I99
4 Light diffinguifbt into Primary, Secondary, \&c.
5 All Light Debilitated by Reflection : and why. ibid,
6 An Example, Senjbly demomonfrating the fame.

200
7 That light is in perpetual Motion; according to Ariftocle. ibid.
8 Light, why Corroborated, in fome cafes, and Debilitated in others, by Refraction. 201
COROLL ARY. Why the Figure of the Sung both rifing and fetting, appears rather Elliptical, than Spharical.
ibid,
$9 P A R A D O X$. That the proportion of $S \alpha^{2}$ lary Rays reflected by the fuperior Aer, or Ether, toward the Earth, is fo fmall, as not to be Senfible.

202
1o That every Lucid Body, as Lucid, doth emit its Rays Sphærically : but, as Vifible; Pyramidally.
ibid.
II That Light is invifible in the pure medium.
203

## Sect. II.

Artic.

THe neceffity of the Authors confirmations of the Firf Praconfiderable. 209
2 The Corporiety of Light, demonftrated by its juft Attributes: viz. I Locomotion. 2 Refáliti-. on. 3 Refraction. 4 Coition. 5 Difgregation. 6 Igniety. 224,225
3 Ariftotles Definition of Light, a meer Ambage, and incomprehenfible.

205
4 TheCorporiety of Light imports not the Coexiftence of two Bodies in one Place; contrary to the Peripatetick.

206
5 Nor the motion of a Body to be Inftantancous: ibid.
6 The Invifibility of Light in the limpid medi-' um, no Argument of its Immateriality : as the Deripatetick prefumes
ibid:
7 The Corporiety of Light fully confiftent with the Duration of the Sux: contrary to the Peripatetick.

207
8 The infenfibility of Heat in many Lucent $B c^{\circ}$ dies, no valid Argument againgt the prefeas Thefis, that Light is Flame Attenurted. ibid.
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## Chap. VI.

## The Nature of a Sound. p 208.

Sect. I.

Artic.
1 A Elogy of the fense of Hearing: and the Relation of this and the pracedent Chapter.
${ }_{2}$ The great Affinity betwixt Vifible and Audible pecies; intheir reprefentation of the $\int$ sperficial Conditions of Objects. 209
3 In the Caufes and manner of their Deftrurtion. ibid. 4 In their Actinobolifm, or Diffufion, both Spharical and Pyramidal.

210
5 In their certifying the fenfe of the Magnitude, Figure, andother Qualities of their Originals.
ibid.
6 In the obfcuration of Lefs by Greater. 211
7 In their offence of the organs, when exceffive.
8 In their production of Heat by Multiplication.
ibid
9 In their Variability, according to she various dipogition of the Medium. ibid. 10 In their chief Attributes, of Locomotion, Exfiltion, Impaction, Refilition, Dıfgregation, Congregation.
ibid.

## Sect. II.

Artic

'THe Product of the Framifes, corcerning the pcints of Confent, and Difent of Audible and Vijible Species: viz I'bat Sounds are Corporeal.
2 An obftruction of prajudice, from the generally fuppofed repugnant Authorities of fome of the Ancients; expeded. ibid.
3 An Aigument of the Corporiety of S
4 A Second Argument.
COROLLARY.
5 Tle Caules of Concurrent Echoes, where the Audient is equally (almof:) diftant from the Soxant and Repercutient.
ibid.
COROLLARY. 2 。 215
6 why Concaves yield the ftrongeft and longeft Sunnds.
ibid.
COROLLARX. 3. ibid.
7 The recfui: of Cuncurrent Echoes, where the Awlic:t is neer the Reflecitent, and remote from the forant.
COROLLARY. 4.
ibid.
RY. 4. ibid.
צ why Echoes Monophon relearfe fo much the fewer fyllables, by how much neerer the audient is to ibe Reflectent.
COROLLAKY. s.
9. The reafon of Polyphon Echiss.

10 A Third Argument of the Materiality of Sounds: 216
II The nece $\int \sqrt{1} t y$ of a certain Configuration in a Sound; inferred from the Diftingtion of one fornd from another, by the Senfe. ibid.
12 The fame confirmed by the Authority of Pythagoras, Plato, and Ariftotle. ibid.
13 And by the Capacity of the moff fubtle parts of the Aer 217
14 The Reafon and manner of the Diffurion of Sounds, explicated by a congruous Simile.ibid.
15 The moft fubtle Particles of the Aer onely, the material of Sounds. 218
PARADOX. ibid:
16 One and the fame numerical voice, not heard by twoo men, nor both ears of one man. ibid.
17 A PROBLEM not get folved by any PbiloSopher: viz. How Juch infiniteVariety of Words is formed only by the various motions of the Tongue and Lips.

$$
219
$$

I 8 A Second (alloyet unconquered) Difficulty, viz. the determinate Pernicity of the Aers motion, when exploded from the Lungs, in Speech.
ibid.
19 All Sounds Created by Motion, and that either when that intermediate Aer is confrakled by two Solids mutually refiftent; or when the aer is percuft by one Solid; or when a Solid is percuft by the Aer.
ibid.
20 Rapidity of motion neceflary to the Creations of a Sound, not in the Firlt Cafe. 220
21 BMt, in the Second and Laft. ibid.
22 That all Sounds are of equal Velocity in the Delation.
ibid.
23 The Reafon thercof.
ibid.
24 To mealure the Velocity of great Sounds. 22 I
25 Sounds, not fubject to Retardation, from adverfe; nor Acceleration, from Secund winds:
ibid

## Sect. III.

Artic.
1 Hat all Sounds, where the Aer is percaffed by one folid, are created immediately by the Frequency, not the Velocity of motion; demonflrated.

222
2 And likewife, where the Aer is the Percutient. ibid.
3 That all Acute founds arife from the more, and Grave from the lefs Frequent percuffions of the aer, demonftrated.

223
4 The fuavity of mufical Confonances, deduced from the more freguent; and Infuavity of Diffonances from the lefs frequent Union of the vibrations of ftrings, in their Terms. 224
5 The fame Analytically prefented in Scheme.
6 A juft andunnnswerable Exception againft the former Harmonical Hypothefis. ibid.
7 PROBLEM 1: In whas inftant, an Har-

## AND ORDER OF THE WHOLE BOOK.

monical Sound, refuling from a Chord percuffed, is begun.
That a Sound may be created in a Vacuum; contrary to Athanal. Kircher in Art.Magn. Confoni \& Diffoni lib.i:cap.6, Digref. 229
Why all Sounds appear more Acute, at large, than at fmall diftance.

231
10 Why Cold water falling, makes a fuller noife, than warm.
ibid.
1 Why the voice of a Calf is more Bafe than than that of an OX , © c c.

232
12 Why a Diffonance in a Bafe is more deprebenfible by the ear, than in a Treble voice. ibid.

## Chap. VII.

## Of Odours. P ${ }^{233 .}$

## Sect. I.

Artic.
1 Hat the Cognition of the Nature of Odours perfection of the fenfe of Smelling, in 1 ma . and
ibid.
2 The contrary opinions of Philofophers, con234
3 Some determining an Odoss to be a fubstance.
4 Others a meer Accident or Quality. 235
5 The Bafis of the Latter opinion, infirm and ruinous.

235
6 That all odorous Bodies emit corporeal Exibalations.
7 That Odours caufe fundry Affections in our Bodies, $\int u c h$ as are consjgnable onely to fubAtances.
ibid.
8 That the Reafon of an Odour's affecting the fenfory, conjfifs only in a certainSymbolifm bethe Figures and Contexture of its Particles, and the Figures and Contexture of the Particles of the Odoratory Nerves. 236
9 That the Diverfity of Odours depends on the Diverfity of Imprefions made on the fenfory, refpondent to the various Figures and Contexture of their Particles. 237 10 Why fome perfons abbor the ee fmells, which are grateful to moft others. ibid. 11 Why, among Beafts, ome fpecies are offended at thefefcents in which others bighly delight. $23^{8}$ 12 The Generation and Diffulion of Odours, due onely to Heat.
13 The Differences of Odours? 239
14 The Medium of Odours.

## Chap. VIII.

## Of Sapours. p. 241 .

## Sect. I.

Artic:
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{\text {Rom theisuperlative Acutenefs of the Sense }}$ of Tafting, Ariftote concludes the cognition of the Nature of Sapours to be more eaFily acquirable, than the nature of any other Senjble object. but refutes himfelf by the many Errors of bis own Theory, concersxing the Same.
2 An Abridgment of his doctrine, concerning the Effence and Caulfes of a Sapour, inGeneral. 242
3 And the Differences of Sapour, with the particular Carfes of each. ${ }^{\circ}$ ibid.
$4 A n$ Examination and brief redargution of the fame Doctrine. 244
5 The pofpofition thereof to the more verifimilous Determination of the fons of Hermes, who adScribe all Sapours to Salt. ibid.
6 But far more to that moft profound and fatisfactory Tenent of Democritus and Plato; zohich deduceth the Nativity of Sapours from the various Fignves and contextures of the mi-, nute particles of Concretions. ibid.
7 The advantages of this fentence, above all others touching the fame fubject. 245
8 The Objections of Ariftotle concifely, though Solidly folved.

246
9 That the falivous Humidity of the Tongue ferveth to the Diffolution and Imbibition of Sale in all Guftables.
247.

Chap. IX.

## Of Rarity, Denfity, Perfpicuity, Opacity. p. $24^{8 .}$

## Sect. I.

Art.
I His Chapters right of fuccelfion to the former.
2 The Divers acceptation of the term, Touching. ibid.
3 A pertinent (though fhortt) Panegyrick on the fenfe of Touching. 249
4 Some Tactile Qualities, in common to the perception of otber Senfes alfo.
ibid.
5 A Scheme of all Qualities, or Commonly, or Properiy appertaining to the Senfe of Touching; as they ftand in their Several Relation to, or Dependencies on, the Univerfal Matter, Atoms: and $S_{0}$, of all the fubsequent Capital Arguments so be treated of, in this Book, 250
6 The right of Rarity and Denfity to the Priority of confideration.
ibid.
SECT,
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## Sect. II.

Artic:

'THe Opinion of thofe Philofophers, rabo place the Reafon of Rarity, in the actual Divifion of a Body into Imall parts; and the brief Refutation thereof.
$25 I$
2 A fecond Opinion, de, iving Rurity and Denfity from the feveral proportions, which Quantity hath to its fubftance: convifted of incompre benfibility, and fo of infatisfaction.
ibid.
3 A third, deluming the more and lefs of Rarity in Bodies, from be more and lefs of VACU. ITY interceptedumong their particles: and the adruntuges thereof above all others, concerning the Jame.
ibid.
4 The Defimetons of a Rare, and of a Denfe Body; according to the affumption of a Vacuity D.ffeminate

252
5 The (ongruity of thofe Definitions, demonmonforated.
$i b \cdot d$
6 That Labyrinth of Difficulties, whertin the thougbets of Phyfologits bave folong mandered; reducedto a pont,t, the germine faite of the Quxttion.
ibid.
7 That Rarity and Derficy can bave no other Caufes immediete, but the more and Icfs of Inanity interjperfed among the p.articles of Concretions; 'DEMONSTRATED. 253
8 Arillutles Exctptions ag.uinft Diffeminate Inanily; netther imp'rtant nur competent. ibid.
9 The Hypabefis of a certandethereal /ubftance t, replenibothe poris of Bodies, in Rarsfafion; demungtrated infuficient, to lclve the Difficul$t y$, or demalish the Epicurean $T$ hefors of Small fackities

254
10 The tacility of underffonding the Reafons and Manner of Rarifation and Cowdenfation, from the Conceffion of Jmall Vacnities; illuftrated by a congrsent Similitude.
: 55
11 PARADOX. That the Matter of a Body, when Rarified, deth paffefs no miore of trwe Place, than when Condenfed, and the Conaliation thereof to the prapofed Drfinitions of a Rare and of a Dense Fody.

216
$12 P R O B L E M$. Whether A:r be capachle of Condenfation to fo bigh a rate as it is of Kari. fattion: and the Apoditical lolucion therof.bid

## Sect. III.

Art.c.

THe opportunity of the prefent Berulation, concerning the Campes of Perpicuity and Opaciry
2 The trae Notions of a Perfpicuum and Opacull. ibid.
3 That everyConcretion is fo much the more Diaphanous by b, we much the more, and more ample Inane Spuces are intercepted among its particles, cateris paribus.

4 Why Glafs though much more Denfe, is yet much more Diaphanous, than Paper. 259
5 why the Diaphanity of Glass is gradually diminifled, according to the various degrees of its Craffitude.
ibid.
6 An ApodicticalConfutation of that popular Error, that Glafs is totally, or in every particle, Diaphanous.

## Сhap. X.

## Of Magnitude, Figure ; And tbcir

 Confequents, Subrility, Hebetude, Smoothnefs, Afperity. 26 I
## Sect. I.

Artic.
I He Contexture of this Chapter; with the
2 That the $M$ gnitude of Concretions, arifeth from the Magnitsede of their Material Principles.
ibid.
3 The prafent intention of the term, Magnitude:
4 That the Quantity of a shing, is meerly the Mitter of it.
The Quantity of a thing, neither Augmented by its Raretaction, nor diminibed by its Condenfation : contrary to the Arifotelians, who diffinguify the $Q$ antity of a Body from its Subftarice.
ibid.

- The reafon of Quantity, explicable alfo meerly from the netion of Plice.

263
7 The Exiftence of a Budy, mithout real Extenfron; and of Exterji: $n$ without a Brdy : though imporficle to Nature yer eafie to God. ibid.
8 COliollart That the primiry Caufe, why Nature admits no Penetrution of Dimenfions, is rather the Sol:dity, than the Extenfion of a Budy.

264
9 Thereafons of 9 uantity Continued and Difcrete, or Mugnitude and Multitude. ibid.
Io That no Budy is perfectly Continued, befide an Atom. ibid.
II Ariltotles Definition of a Continuum , in what refpett true and what falfe. 265
12 Figure (Phyfically confidered) nothing but the fuperticies, or terminant Extremes of a Body.
ibid.

## Sect. II.

Artic.
${ }_{1}\lceil\mathrm{He}$ Continuity of this, to the firft Section:
2 Subtilicy axd Hebetude how the Confequents
of Magnitude.
ibid.
$3 A$ conjiderable Exception of the Chymifts (viz.
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that fome Bodies are diffolved in liguors of grofjer particles, whichyet conferve their Continuity in liguors of mooft fubtile and corrofive particles) prevented.
ibid.
4 Why Oyle diflociates the parts of fome Bodies, which remain inviolate in Spirit of Wine: and why Lightning is more penetrative, than Fire.

267
5 Smoothnefs and Afperity in Concretions, the Confeguents of Figure in their Material Prin ciples.

## Chap. XI.

## Of the Motive Vertue, Habit, Gravity, ard Levity of Concretions.

## Sect. 1.

Artic.
THe Motive Virtue of all Concretions, derived from the effential Mobility of Atoms 2 Why the Motive Virtue of Concretions doth refide principally in their fpiritual Parts. 270
3 That the Deviation of Concretions from motion Direet; and their Tardity in motion: arife from the Deflections and Repercuflions of Atoms comproing them.
ibid.
4 Why the motion of all Concretions neceflarily prefuppceteth fomething, that remains unmoved; or that, in refpect of its llower motion, is equivalent 10 a thing Unmoved
5 What the Actuve Faculty of a thing, is. 2,1
6 That in Nature every Faculty is Active: none Pafive.
ibid.
7 A Peripatetick Contradiation, afsuming the Matter of all Bodies to be devoid of all AEZivity; and yet defuming fome Faculties à tota fubitantia.

272
8 That the 「aculties of Animals (the Ratiocination of man onely excepted) are Identical with their Spirits.
ibid.
9 The Reafons of the Coexiffence of Various Faculties $i x$ one and the fame Concretion. ibid.
10 Habir defined.
273
${ }_{11}$ Thit the Reafon of all Habits in Animals, confifteth principally in the conformity and flexibility of the Organs, which the refpective Faculty makes ufe of, for the performance of its proper Attions,
ibid. 12 Habits, acguirable by Bruits: and common not onely to Vegecables, bat alfo to fome Minerals

## Sect. II.

## Artic

1Ravity, as to its Effence or Formal Reafon, very obfcure.

2 The opinion of Epicurus good as to the Caufe of Comparative: infufficient as to the Caufe of Abrolure Gravity. ibid. 3 Arittolles opinion of Gravity, recited. ibid.
4 Copernicus theory of Gravity, infatisfactory; and woberein. 276
5 The Determinations of Keplew, Gaffendus, orc. that Gravity is Caufed meorly by the Attraction of the Earth: efpoufed by the Author. 277
6 The External Principle of the perpendicular Defcent of a ftone, projected up in the Aer ; muft be cither Depellenr, or Actrahent. ibid.
7 That the Refiftence of the Superior Aer is the onely Cause which gradually refracteth, and in fine wholly overcometh the Imfreit Foice, mbereby a fone projected, is clevated upward.

## ibid.

8 That the Aer, diftracked by a foise violently afcending, bath as well a Depulfive, as a Kefiftent Fuculty: arifing immediately from its Elaterical, or Reftorative motion. 279
9 That nevertbeless, when aftone, projected on bigh in the Aer, is at the bighet point of its mowntee; no Caufe can Begin its Domnward Motion, but the Actractive Virtue of the Earth.

230
10 Argument, that the Terragueows Globe is endowed with a certain Actractive Faculty, in order to the Detention and Retraition of all its Parts.
IIWhat are theParts of the TerreftrialGlobe $28 z$
12 A Second Argument that the Earth is Masnetical
ibid.
13 A Darallelifm betwixt the Attraction of Iron by a Loadfone, and the Attration of Terrene bodies by the Eartl.

283
14 That as the phere of the Loadfones Allective Virtue is limited: So is that of the Eartis magnetifm. ibid.
15 An Objection of the Diproportion between the great Bulk of alargeftone and the Exility of the /uppofed magnetigue Ruys of the Earth. Solved by three meishty Realons.
16 The Reafon of the Aquivelocity of Bodies, ${ }^{\circ}$. different weights, in their perpendicular Defcent: with Sundry wnencfiosable Authorities to confirm the Hoti thereof.
17 That the whole $\mathcal{T}$ erreftrial Globe is devoido Gravity : and that in the wiverse is no High . eft, nor Loweft place.
18 Ihat the Centre of the Universe is not th. Loweft part thereof: nor the Centre of the Earth, the Centre of the world 287 19 A Fourth Argument, that Gravity is onely Atraction.

289
20 Why a greater Gravity, or fronger AttraEtive force is impreft upon a piece of Iron by a Loadfone, than by the Earth. ibid.
21 A Fifth Argument, almoft Apodititical;
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that Gravity :s the Effect of the Earths Alttratition.

## Sect. III.

Artic.

1 TEvity nothing but lefs Gravity. 290 Arittorles Sphere of Fire, extinguifbt. 291 That Fire dort not Afcned Jpontarieoufly, but Violently; i.e. is impell'd upward by the Aer. ibid.

## Chap. XII.

## Of Heat and Cold. p. 293.

## Sect. I.

Artic.

'He Connection of this to the immediately procedent Chapter. ibid.
3 Why the Author deducith the 4 Firt Qualities, nut from the 4 valgar Elements; but from the 3 Proprieties of Atoms.
ibid.
3 The Natare of Heat as to be concerved from its General Effert; viz. the Penerration, Difcullion, and Diffolution of tbe Bodics concrete.
ibid.
4 Hat defined as no Immaterial, but a Subftancial Qutality.

294
5 Whyy fuch Atons, as are comparated to produce Heat, are to be Named she Atoms of Heat: and fuch Concretions, as barbor them, are to be called Hot, ezther Agtually, or Potentially.ibid.
6 The 3 neceefary Proprecties of the Atoms of Heat. ibid.
7 That the Atoms of Heat are capable of Expedition or deliverance from Concretions, $T_{\text {wo }}$ ways; viz. by Evocation and Motion. 296
8 An Unctuous matter, the chief Seminary of the estoms of Heat: and wrhy.

297

1) Aming Unituous Concretions, why fome are more cuffily inflammable than otbers. 298
so A CONSECTART. That Rarefution is Bhe proper Effect of Heat ibid
Is $P R O B L E M$ I. Why the bottom of a Caldron, wheresn IW ater as boyling, may be tuached by the band of a man, without burning at: Sol. 299
I2 PROBLEM 2. Why lime becomes ardent upon the affurion of water. Sol. 300 3 PRO l; LEA1 3. Why the Heat of Lime burning is more vebement, than the Heat of any Flame whatever. Sol.
ibid. 14 PROBLEM4. Why boyling Oyl fealds more vebemently, then boyling Water. Sol. 301 15 PRO BL $\mathcal{E} M$ 5. Why Metals, melted or made redbod, burn more violent than the Fire, that melteth or beateth them. Sol. ibid. IG CONSECT ARY 2. That, as the degrees of Heat, So thofe of fire are innumerably various.

I7 That to the Calefaction, Combuftion, or Infammation of a body by fire, is required a cer. tain fpace of time; and that the fpace is great. er or lefs, according to the paucity, or abundance of the igneous Atoms invading the body objoited; and more or lefs of aptitude in the contcxture thereof to admit them. 303
18 Flame more or lefs Durable, for various reSperts.

303
19 CONSECT ARY. 3. That the immediate and genwine Effect of Heat, is the Difgregation of all bodies, as well Homogeneous, as Heterogeneons: and that she Congregation of Homogeneous Natures, is onely an Accidental Effect of Heat; contrary to Ariftotle. 305

## Sect. II.

Artic.
${ }^{1}$ THe Link-connecting this Section to the former.
j06
2 That Cold is no Privation of Heat ; but a
$\because$ Real and Pofitive Quality : demonftrated.ibid.
3 That the adeguate Notion of Cold, ought to be defsmed from its General Effect, ziz. the Congregation and Compaction of bodies. 307
4 Cold,no Immaterial; but a Subftantial 2 nality.
ibid.
5 Gaffendus conjeftural Afignation, of a Tetrahedical Figure to the Atoms of cold; afferted by fundry weighty confoderations. ibid.
6 Cold, not Effential to Earth, Water, nor Aer.
$30 y$
7 But to fome Special Concretions, for the moft part, conffring of Frigorifick Atoms. 312
8 Water, the chief Antagonift to Fire; not in refpect of its Accidental Frigidity, but Effential Humidity: and that the Aer hath a jufter title to the Principality of Cold, than either Water, or Earth.
9 PROBLEM: Why thebreath of a man dosh Warm, when expired with the mouth wide open; and Cool, when efflated with the mouth consracted.
ibid:
10 Three CONSECT ARIES from the premifes 314

## Сhap. XIII.'

## Fluidity, Stability, Humidity, Siccity. p. $3^{16}$

Sect. I.

Artic.

'WHy Fluidity and Firmnefs are here corfacered before Humidity and Siccity. ibid.
2 The Latin Terms, Humidum and Siccum, too narrow to comprebend the full fenge of Ariftotle, iresir y gneir ibid.
3 Ariftotles
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2 The Latin Terms, Humidum and Siccum, too narrow to comprebend the full Sense of Ariftotle. üresp ri zneiv.
3 Ariftotes 'Definition of a Humid subftance, not pracife enough; but, in common alfo to a Fluid; and bis Definition of a Dry, accommodable to a Firme.
Fluidity dif fined.
Wherein the Formal Reafon thereof doth confift.
ibid. The fame farther inuftrated, by the twofold Fluidity of Metals; end the peculiar reafon of each.
Firmnefs defined: $\quad 320$
And derived from either of 3 Caufes. ibid.

## Sect. If.

Artic.

HUnidity defined.

5 PROBLEM 1. Why pure water cannot wafb out cyl from a Cloth; which yet water, wherein Abes have been decolted, or roap diffolved, eafily doth? Solut.

323
6 PROBLEM2. Why fains of Ink are not to be taken out of cloaths, but with fome Acid Liguor? Solut. ibid.

## Chap. XIV.

## Sofinefs, Hardnefs, Flexility. TraEtilisy, DuEtzlity, \&c. p. 3:5.

## Sect. 1.

Artic.
1 He Illation of the Chapter. ibid Hard and Soft, defined. nefs and I nanity intercepted among them, the fundament of Sofnefs, in all Concretions.ibid.
5 Hardnefs and Softnefs, no Abfolutc,but meerly Comparatuve Qualities; as adfcriptive to Concretions, contrary to Ariftotle.

327
6 Sottnefs in Firme things, deduced from the Same caufe, as Fluidity in Fluid ones. ibid.
7 The General Reafon of the Mollification of Hard, and Indu:ation of Soft bodies. ibid.
8 The fpecial manners of the Mcllification of Hard: and Induration of Softbodies. 328
9 PROB LE M. Why Iron is Hardned, by being immerfed red-hot into Cold water; and its SOLUTION.
10 The Formal Reafons of Softnefs and Hardne/s.

329
II The ground of Ariltolles Diftinction betwixt Formatilu and Prelfilia.
ibid.
12. Two Axioms, concerning, andiluytrating the nature of Softne/s.

## Sect. II.

Artic.
${ }^{1} 1$ Lexility, Tractility, Dutility, \&c. derived from Sofnefs, and Rigidity from Hardnefs $3: 1$
2 PROBLEM. What is the Cawfe of the motion of Reftoration in Flexiles? and the Solut. ibid.
3 Two Obftructions expeded. 332
4 Why Flexile bodies grow weak, by overmuch, andover frequent Bending. 333
5 The Reafon of the frequent Vibrations, or Diadroms of Luteftrings, and other Tractile Bodies; declared to be the Same with that of the Reftorative Motion of Flexiles: and demonftrated.
ibid.
6 PROBLEM. Why the Vibrations, or Diodromis of a Chord diftended and percufed, are Equitemperaneous, though not Equifpatial : and the SOLUT. 335
7 PROBLEM. VVy doth a Chord of a duple length, perform its diadroms in a proportion of time.duple, to a Chord of a fingle length; both being diffended by equal force; and yet if the Chord of the duple length be diftended by a desple force or weight, it doth not perform its Diadroms, in a proportion of time duple to that of the other; but onely if the Force or weight diftending it, be guadruple to the Firft suppofed: andits SOLUT.

336
8 The Reafons of the vaft Ductility, or Exten-
fibility of Gold.
337
9 Sectility and Fiffility, the Conseguents of Softnefs. 1 bid.
10 Tracility" and Friability, the Confequerts of
Hardnefs. 338
II Ruptility the Confequent partly of Softnefs, partly of Hardness. 339
I2 PROB LEM. VVby Chords diftended, are more apt tobreak neer the Ends, than in the middle ? andits SOLUT. ibid.

## Chap. XV.

Occult Qualities made Manifefo.
Sect. I.
Artic:
$1 \longrightarrow$ Hat the Infenfibility of Qualities doth not import their Unintelligibility; contrary to the prefumption of the Arnfotclean.ibid.
2 Upon what grounds; and by whom, the Sais Ituary of Occult Qualities mas ereited. 342
3 Occult Qualities and profeft ignorance, all one: ibid.
4 The Refuge of Sympathies and Antipathies, es (e) qually
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equally obftrultive to the advaxce of Nataral Science, with that of Ignote Proprieties. 343
5 That all Attradion, referred to Secret Sympathy' ; and all Repulfion. adfcribed to fecres Antipathy, betrixit the Agent and Patient, is effeited by Corporeal Inftruments, and fuch as refemble thofe whereby one body Atractesth, or repelleth another, in fenfible and mechanique operations.
ibid.
6 Ibe Means of Attractions $\int$ ympathetical, explicated by a convenient Simile. $3+5$
7 The Means of Abaction and Repulfions Antipathetical, explicated likewife by fundry fimilitudes.

## $34^{6}$

8 The Firft and General Caujes of all Love and Hatred berwixt Animals.

347
9 Why things Alike in their natures, love and delight inthe Socicty each of other: and nolyg Uullike natures abhor and avcidencb other.ibid

Sact. II.
Artic.
1 $\square^{\text {He Schente of Qualitics (reputed) occerlt. }} \begin{array}{r}3+8 \\ \hline\end{array}$
2 Notures Avoidance of Vacnity, imputed ro the tyzugia or Conpiration of all parts of the Vriverfe; no Occult Quality. ibid.
3 The power and influence of Cxleftial Bodies, upon men, $\int_{\text {sippofed by Judicial Altrologers, in- }}$ confistent with Providence Divine, and the Liberry of mans will.
4 The Aflux and Re月ux of the Sea. inderiviative from any immaterial Infux of the Moon. ibid.
5 The Carses of the dimrmalExpanfion oo converfion of she Heliotrope anduther + lowers.ibid.
6 why Garden Claver hideth its falk, in the beat of the day.

350
7. Why the Houfe Cock sfrally Crows foon afrer midnight; and at break of day. ibid.
8 why Shell-tifh grow fat is the Full of she moon, ard lean again at the New. $35=$
3 Why the Selenites refembles the Moon in all her fiveral Adppetts.
ibid.
so Why the Confideration of the Autraction of Iron by a Loadftone, is bere cmitred. 353
II The fecret Amities of Gold and Quickfilver of Brafs and Silver, anridled. ibid.
I2 A COROLLARY. Why the Granules of Gold and Silver, though much more ponderous thess thofe of the Aqua Kegis and Aqna Fortis, wherein they are diffolved, are get held up, and kept float ing by them. 354
${ }_{13}$ The Canse of the Actration of a Lefs Flame by a Greater. ibid. 14 The Caufe of the Involation of flame to Naphtha at diffance.
ibid.
15 Of the Afcention of Water into the pores of a Spuncse.

355
If The fame illuftrated by the example of a Syphon.

17 The reafon of the Percolation of Liquors, by a clorh whole one end lieth in the ligzor, and other hangs over the brim of the veffel, that contains it.

356
18 The reaton of the Confent of two Lureftrings, that are Æquifon.
ibid.
19 The reafon of the Diffent betwixs Luteftrings of Theeps Guts, aud shofe of Woolfr. 157
20 The tradition of the Confuming of all Feathers of Foul, by thofe of the Eagle; exploo ded.

358
21 Why fome certain Planes befriend, and advance the growsh and fruitfuliness of others, that are their neighbosirs.
ibid.
22 Why fome Flasss thrive not in the fociety of Some others.

359
23 The Reafon of the great friendfasis betwixt the Male and Female Palm-trees. 360
24 Why all wines grow fick and izerbid, during she feafon wherein the Vines Flower and Bud. 361
25 That she diffilled waters of Orange flowers, and Rofes, do not take any thing of tbeir fragrancy, during the fenfon of the Blooming and pride of thofe Flowers; as is unlgarly believed.
ibid.

## Sect. III.

## Artic.

${ }^{1}$ Why this Section confiders onely fome few felect Occult Proprieties, among. thofe many imputed to Animals.

- The Suppofed Antipathy of a Sheep to a Woolf folved.
ibid.
3 why Bees ufually invode Froward and Cholerick Perfons: andwhy bold and confident men basse fometimes daunsed and put to figgh, Lyons and other ravenoss wild-Beafts. 363
4 why divers Animals Hate fuch men, as are sSed to deftroy thofo of their omon pecies: and roby Vermin avoid fuch Gins and Traps, wherein orbers of theirkinde have been caught and deftroyed.
ibid.
5 The Cause of the freß Cruentation of the Carcajs of a murtherdmax, at the prefence and tasch of the Homicide. 364
6 How the Bafilisk doth empoy on and deftroy, at diffance.

365
7 That the fight of a Woolf doth not caufe Hoarfnefs and obmutefcence in the fpectator; as is unlgarly reported and believed. 366
8 The Antipathies of a Lyon and Cock : of an Elephant and Swine meerly Fabulous. 267
9 Why a man intoxicated by the venome of a Tarantula, falleth into violent fits of Dancing: and cannot be cured by any otber means, but Mufick.
ibid:
10 Why Divers Tarantiacal Perfons are affecterd and cured roith Divers Tunes, and the mufick of divers Inttruments.

369
II Thas
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II That the venome of the Tarantula doth produce the fame effect in the body of a wran; as it doth is that of the Tarantula it Felf: and why.
12 That the Venom of the Tarantula is lodged in a vifcous Humor, and Such as is capable of Sounds.

371
13 That it careleth an sucef $\int$ ant Itching and Titillation in the Nervous and Mufculous parts of mans body, wben infufedinto it, and fermenting in it.
14 The couse of the Annual Recidivation of the Tarantism, till it be perfectly cured. 372 is $A$ Conjecture, what kind of Tunes, Strains, and Notes feem muft accommodate to the cure of Tarantiacal Perfors in the General. ibid
16 The Reafon of the Incantation of Serpents, by a rod of the Cornus.

373
17 DIGRESSION. That the Words. Spells, Claracters, \&c. ufed by Magicians, are of no vertue or Efficacy at all, as to the Effect intended; unlefs ina remote interift, or as they e.xalt the Imagination of Him, upon whom they pratend to mork the miracle.
18 The Reafon of the Fafcination of Infants, by old women.
19 The Reafon of the flupefaction of a mans hand by a Torpedo.
20 That 乃ips are not Arrefted in their cour $\int$ e, by the Fifb called a Remora: but by the Contrary impulfe of fome Special Current in the Sea. ibid.
2I That the Echineis, or Remora is not Ominous.
22 Why this place admits roo of more than a Ge neral Ingueft into the Faculties of Poyfons and ( ounterpoifons.
$23^{\text {D }}$ oy fons defined.
24 Wherein the Deleterious Faculty of poyson deth confift.
bid.
25 Counterpoifons défined. 378
26 Wherein their Salutiferous Virtue doth confift.
ibid.
27 How Triacle cureth the venome of Vipers ibid
28 How the body of a Scorpion, bruifed and laid searm wipno the part, which it hath lately wounded and envenomed; doth cure the fame. 379 29 That fome Poijons are Antidotes againft others by may of direct Contrariety.
30 Why fundry particular men, and fome robole Nations hare fed upon Poifonous Animals and Plants, without barm.

380 ${ }_{3} 1$ The Armary Unguent, and Sympathetick Powder, impugned. ibid.
32 The Autbors Retraction of his quondamDefence of the Magnetick Curs of Wounds, made in his Prolegomena to Helmonts Book of that $\int u b j e$ and and titlc.381

## Chap. XVI. <br> The Plocnomena of the Loudfione Explicated. $1.3^{8} 3$. <br> Sect. I.

Artic.

'T$\mathrm{H}_{e}$ Nature and Obfcurity of the Subject, binted by certain Metaphorical Cognomina, agreeable thereunte, though in divers relations.
2 Why the Author infifteth not upon the (i) $\int$ everal Appellations, (2) Inventor of the Loadfore, (3) invention of :he Pixis Nautica. 384
3 The Virtues of the Loadfone, in General, Two, the Attractive, and Directive. ibid.
4 Epicurus bis firft Thecry of the. Caule and Manner of the Attrattion of Iron by a Londfone; according to the Expofition of Lucretius.
bid: "
5 His other folstion of the fame, according to the Ccmmentary of Galen. 386
6 Galens three Grand Objections againft the Jame, briefly Anfwered. 387
7 The infatisfaction of the Ancients Theory neceffitates the Author to recur to the Speculaz tions and Obfervations of the Moderns, coxcerning the Attraticn of Iron by a Magnet; and the Reduction of them all to a few Capital obfervables. oiz. 398
8 A Parallelifm betwixt the Magnetique Faculty of the Loadfrose end Iron; and that of Senfe in Animals. 389
9 That the Londfone and Iron interchangeably operate each zpon other, by the mediaticn of certain Corporeal Species, tranfmitted in Rays: and the Asialogy of the Magnetick, and Luminous Rayes.

390
10 That every Loadjfone, in refpect of the Circumradiation of its Magnetical Aporrbe's ought to be allored the fuppofition of a Centre Axis, and Diametre of an Æquator : and the Adzantages thence accreming. 391
11 The Reafon of that admirable Bi-form, or Janus-like Faculte of Marketicks: and why the Poles of a Loadfone are incapable, but thoje of a Needle eafily capable of traniplantation fromone Extreme to the contrary. 39 :
12 An Objection, of the Averfion or Repulfion of the North Pole of ose Loadfone, or Needle, by the North Pole of Another: proxvented.

393
13 Three principal Magnetick Axiomis, dedaced from the fame Fountain. ibid.
14 A DIGRESSION to the Iron Tomb of Mahomer. 394
15 That the Magnetique Vigour, or Perfection both of Loadfones and Iron, doth confift in e:-
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ther their Native Purity and Uniformity of Subftance, or their Artificial Politenefs. 390 Io That the Arming of a Magnet with polifoed Steel, duth bighly Corroborare ; but as musch diminish the P bere of its Acractive IVrtue. ibid. 17 Why affaller or weaker Loadfone, doth $\int$ nutch awny a Ncedle from a Greater, or more Porent one; while the fmall or weak one is beld within' the pphere of the great or ftronger ones Activity : and not otherwifc.

397 IS COROLLARY. Of the Abduition of Iron from the Earth by a Lo.idfone. 393

## Sect. II.

Artic.

${ }_{2}{ }^{\text {r }}$${ }^{T} H_{c}$ Method, and Contents of the Sect sbid. Affinity of the Loadfone and Iron. ibid.

37 to Loadinne conforms it ety, in all refpects, to the Ter"eltrial Glube ; as a Needle confurms it felf to the Lradftone.

- 4 Iron obtains a Verticity, not onely from the Lonatfone, by affrittion, or Alpiration; but alfo from the Earth it self : and that accordang to the laws of Poficion.

400
5 One and the fame Nature, in common to the Earth, Loadftote and Iron. 401 - The Earth, impregnating Ironmith a Polary Affetion, doth canie therein a Local Immuration of its insemjible particles.

402

7 The Loadfone doth the fame.
$S$ The Magnetiguct irtue, aCorporeal Eflux. 1 b .
9 Contrary Objedions, and their Sulutions. 404
10 A Paralle lijm of the Magnerique Virrue, and the Vegietative Faculty of $P$ 'lants 405
II Why Poles of the fame refpect and name, wre Enemies: and shofe of a Concrary' refpect and name, Friends.

406
12 when a Mugnet is diffected into two pieces, why the Boreal part of the one balf, declines Conjunction with the Bureal part of the other; and the Auftral of one reith the Auttral of the other.
ibid.
I3 The Fibres of the Earthextend from Pole to Pole; and that may be the Caufe of the firms Cohrfion of all its Parts, conpiring to conServe its Spherical Figure.

- 4 Reafon of Magnetical Variation, in divers climates and places. ibid.
15 The Decrement of Magnetical Variation, in one and the fame place, in divers years. 410
10 The Caufe thereof not yet known. ibid.
${ }_{17}$ No Magnet hath more than I wo Legitimate Poles: and the realfons of Illegitimate ones 411 18 The Conclufion, Apologetical; and an Advertifement, that the Attractive and Directive Altions of Magnetigures, arife from one and the fame Faculry; and that they were diftinguifled oxely dideroygrabs \%uew, for convenience of Doctrine.

412

# The Fourth Book. 

# Chap. I. <br> Of Generation and Corruption. 

p.415

Sect. 1.
Artic.

THe Introduction.
ibid The proper Notions of Generation and Corruption.
3 Variuns opinions of the Ancient Philo-ophers, torching the reafon of Generation: and the prin cipal Aurhors of paiti

417
4 The troo great opinions of the fame Thilofophers concerning the manner of the Commiftion of the Common Prin-iplesin Generation; faithfuliy and briefly frutcd.

418
5 That of Arittotle and the Stoicks, refuted: and Chryfippus fubserfuge, conviited of $3 A b$ (nrdities.

6 Ariftotles twofold Evafion of the Incongruities at tending the pofition of the Remanence of things commed, norwithttanding their fuppofed reciprocal Tranfubftantiation: found likemise meerly Snohiftical.

420
7 That the Furms of things, arijing in Generation, are no New lubitai.ces, nor diftinct from their matter: contrary to the Arittoteleans.

422
8 That the Form of athing, is onely a certain Quality, or determinate Modification of its Matter.
9 An abftralt of the theory of the Atomilts, touching the fome

4:5
1o An illuffration thereof, by a pragnant and opportunt I Itance. viz. the Generation of Fire, Flame, Fume, Soor, Ahhes, and salr, from Wood diffolved by Fire.

426
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## Sect. II.

Artic.

THat in Corruption, no fubftance perifbeth; but only that determinate Modification of
Subfance, or Matter, which pecified the thing.
2 Enforcement of the fame T of the fame T befis by an illuffri3 An Experiment demonftrating that the Salt of Athes mas pruexiffent in Wood; and not produced, but oncly educed by Fire. ibid.
4 The true fenfe of three Gexeral Axioms, deduced from the precedent dottrine of the Atomifts.

4:0
5 The General Inteftine Caufes of Corruption, chiefly $T_{200}$ : (1) the interception of Inanity among the folid particles of Bodies. (2) The effential Gravity and infeperable Mobility of Atoms.
6 The General Manners, or mays of Generation and Corruption. 432
7 Inadvertency of Ariftotle in making Five General Modes of Generation. 433
8 The fpecial Manners of Generation, innumerable; and why. ibid.
9 All forts of Atoms, not indifferently competent to the Conftitution of all Sorts of things. 434

Chap. II.<br>Of Motion. P. 435.

## Sect. I.

Artic.

WHy the Nature of Motion, which deferved to bave been the fubject of the firft Beculation, was referved to be the Argument of the Lalt, in this Phyfology. ibid.
2 An Epicurean Principle, of fundamental con436
3. Ariftotles Pofition, that the firf Principle of motion, is the very Forme of the thing moved; absolutely incomprebenfible: unlefs the Form of a thing be conceived to be a certain tenuious Contexture of moft Subtile and moft ative Atoms.
ibid.
4 A Second Epicurean Fundamental, concerning motion: and the ftate of the Difference betwixt Epicurus, Ariftorke, and Plato, touching the fame. 437
5 Epicurus's Definition of motion, to be the Remove of a body from place to place; much more intelligible and proper, than Ariftotles, that it is the Act of an Entity in power, as it is fuch.

435
6 Empericus his Objections againgt that Definition of Epicurus : and the fyill Solution of each.

439
7 That there is motion: contrary to the Sophifms
of Parmenides, Meliffus, Zeno, Diodorus, and the Scepticks.

## Sect. II.

Artic.

'ARiftotles Definitions of Natural and Vio olent motion ; incompetent : and more adegrate ones fubfituted in the room of them.

444
2 The fame deduced from the Firft Epicurean Principle of motion, promifed: and three confiderable Conclufions extracted from thence.

445
3 A hort furvey of Ariflotles whole theory concerning the Natural motion of Inanimates: and the Errors thereof. 446
4 Uniformity, or Equability, the proper CbaraFer of a Natural motion : and the mant of. 11niformity, of a Violent.

447
5 The Downward motion of Inanimates, derived fromanExternal Principle; contrary to Ariftotle.

449
6 That that External Principle, is the Magnetique Attraction of the Earth 450
7 That the Vpward motion of Light things, is not Accelerated in every degree of their eAfcent as Ariftotle pracarioully affirmed : but, the Downward motion of Heavy things is Accelerated in every degree of their Defcent.ibid
8 The Caufe of that Encreafe of Velocity in Bodies defcending; not the Augmentation of their Specifical Perfection as they approach neerer and neerer to their proper place: as Simplicius makes Ariftocle to bave thought. 452
9 Nor the Diminution of the quantity of Aer underneath them: as fome Others conjectured. ibid.
Io Nor, the Gradual Diminution of the Force impreft upon them, in tbeir projection upzard: as Hipparchus alleadged. 453
II But, the Magnetique Attraction of the Earth.
ibid.
12 That the Froportion, or Ration of Celerity to Celerity, encreafing in the defcent of Heavy things; is not the fame as the Proportion, or Ration of Space to Space, which they pervade: costrary 10 Michael Varro the Mathematician.

455
13 But, that the momentsor Equal degrees of Celerity, carry the fame proportion, as the moments or equal degrees of Time, duritg the mot ion : according to the Illuftrious Galilxo. 456
14 Galilro's Grornds, Experience, and Reafon. 457
15 The fame Demonftrated. 458
16 The Phyfical Reafon of thit Proportion. 460
17 The Reafon of the Equa.' Velociry of Bodies of very differeni weigits, falling from the
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Same altitude; inferred from the fame' Theory. ibid.
18 Gravity Diffinguifs't into Simple, and Adjectitious.

461
19 The Rate of that Superlative velocity with robich a Bullet would be carried, in cafe it Should fall from the Moon, Sun or region of the Fixed Itars, to the Earth : and from each of thofe vaft heights, to the Centre of the Earth.

462

## Sect. III.

Arife.
I $W$ Hat, and whence is that Force, or Virtue Motive, whereby Eodies Projected are carried on after their Difmifton from the Projicient. 46
2 The Manner of the Impreffion of that Force. 465
3 That all Motion, in a free or Empry /pace, muft be Vniform, and Perpecual: and that the chief Caufe of the Inequality and Brevity of the motion of things projefled through the Acmofphere, is the magnetique Attration of the Earth.

466
. 4 That, in the Atmulphere, no body can be projeited in a Direct line; balefs perpendicularly Upward, or Downeard: and iphy. 468
5 That the Motion of afton: projected upwards obliguely, is Compofed of an Hurizontal and Perpendicular together. ibid.
6 Demonftration of that Compoficion. 469
7 Ihat of the two different Eurces, impraffed iup-
on a ball, thrown upward from the hand of a man flanding in a Bip, that is under fayl: the one doth not deftroy the other, but each attains its proper $\int$ cope.
ibid.
8 That the Space of time, in which the Ball is Afcending from tbe Foot to the Top of the Maft: is equal to that, in which it is again Defcending from the top to the foot. $\quad 470$
? That, though ihe Perpendicular motion of a flone thrown obliquely upward, be unequal, both in its afcent and defcent: yet is the Hori= zontal of Equal Velocity in all parts of Space.
ibid.
10 The Reafon and Manner of the Reflexion or Rebounding motion of Bodies, diverted from the line of their direction by others encountring them.

471
II That the Emerfion of a weight appenfed io a fring, from the perpendicular, to which it had redrcedit Self, in Vibration; is a Reflexion Median betwixt No Reflexion at all, and the Lealt Reflexion affignable; and the Rule of allo oher Reflexion mbthatever.

472
12 The Re.3for of the Equality of the Angles of Incidence and Reflexion.
ibid.
13 Two Inferences from the promiles: viz (I) That the obliguse Projettion of a Globe againft a plane, is compofed of a donble Parallel: axd (2) That Nature fiffers mo dimisution of ber right to the forteft way, by Refexion.

474
If wiserein the Apcicude or Inepritude of bodies to Reflesion doth confift.
ibid.

## R EADER.

THe Authors frequent Abfence from the Towne, during this Impreftion; and the Divifinn of the Copy among feveral Compofiters, who could not all be Equally acquainted with His Hand; together with the multiplicity of Affaires, that diverted the Mafter Printer from the full difcharge of bis undertaking in the Correction of each beet, before it was wrowght off : bave unhappily occafoned many, Errata's in this Book. Of which fuch as confiftonly in the Mifplacing, Duplication, Inverfion, Omiftion, of Letters; or in the wrong pofition or Omiffion.of Points, and other Paufes; thefe may be more eafjly Excufed, than collected into a Catalogue. But, as for thofe lefs Venial ones, that feemeither to trouble or invert the Senfe; or render the Authors care in Orthography Sufpected: you may pleafe to CorreCt them ( Somany of ibers, at leaft, as the Author obferved in once reading over the Eook) thus.

In the EPISTLE.
Page, 3: line 18. read value, for valew.

## In the $\boldsymbol{T} A B L E$.
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## BOOK the EIRST.

## Chap. I

All Modern Philofophers reduced to four general Oriters; and the prinuipal caufes of their Difention.


## SECTII.

F we look back into the Monuments or Remains of Antiquitie, we fhall obferve as many feveral SEC TS of Philofophers, as were the Olympiads in which Greece wore the Imperial Diadem of Letters; nay, perthaps,as many as the contained Academies, and publike Profeffors of Arts. and Sciences: Each Mafter affecting to be reputed the principal Secretary of Nature; and his Difciples (their minds being deeply imbued with his principles) admiring him as the Grand Oracle of Divinitie, and the infallible Dictator of Scientifical Maxims. The chiefert, moft diffufed, and moft memorable of thefe Seets, were the Pythagorean, the Stoick, the Platonift, the Academick, the Peripatetick, the Epicurean, and what, derided all the reft, the Pyrrhonian, or Sceptick; which feircely contended for the Laurel, by fubcle difputations on she fide of abfolute Ignorance; and alpired to the Monarchy of Wifdom, by dececting the vanitie and incertitude of all Natural Science. As for the Megarick, Eretrick, Cyreniack, Amniserian. Theodorian, Cynick, Eliack, Dialectick, and others lefs famous; Diogenes Laertius, (de vita Philofophor.) hath preferved not only a faichful Catalogue of them, but hath alfo recorded their originals, declinations, periods, opinions.

Art. 2.
The fame re vived antons the Mo.Jerns, with encreate.

If we enquire into the Modern fate of Learning, down even to our prefent age, we cannot but find not only the fame Seets revived, but al. To many more New ones fprung up: as if Opinion were whar myfterious Poets intended by cheir imagimary Hydra; no fooner hath the fiword of as if the viciffitudes of Corruption and Gencration were in common as well to Philofoplyy, as the fubject of it, Nature. Infomuch as that Adage, which was principally accommodared and reftrained to exprefs the infinite diffention of Vulgar and Uncxamining Heads, Tot fententix quot homines; may now juftly be extended alfo to the Scboliarchs and profeffed enquirers into the Unitic of Truth. To cnumerate all there Modern diffenting Doctors (the moft modeft of all which hath not blumed to hear his pedantique Difciples falute him with the magnificent Autributes of a Defpot in Phyfrologic, and the only Cynofure by which the benigheed reafon of man may hope to be conducted over the vertiginous Ocean of Error, to the Cape of Veritie) is neither uleful to our Reader, nor advantageous or pertinent to our prefent Defign. But, to reduce them to four General orders, or range them into four principal Claffes; as it may in fome latitude of intereft, concern the fatisfaction of thofe who are lefs converfant among Books: fo can it in no wife affront the patience of thole, whole ftudies have already acquainted them with the leveral kinds of Philofophy now in efteem.

Art 3. I Some there are (and chofe not a few ) who in the minority of their Who are re- Underftandings, and while their judgments are yet flexible by che weak to the Pedsn- fingers of meer Plaufibilitie, and their memories like Virgin wax, apt to tique or Fe - retain the impreffion of any opinion that is prefented under the fecious male Ser. difguife of Verifimilitie only; become conftant admirers of the finf Author, that pleafeth them, and will never after fuffer themfelves to be divorced from his principles, or to be made Profelytes to Ïruth; bue make it the mofelerious bufinefs of their lives to propugne their Tutors authoritic, defend even his very errors, and excogitate fecious fubterfuges againtt thofe, who have with folid Arguments and Apodictical reafons, clearly refuted him. Thefe ftife their own native habilities for difquifition, believe all, cxamine nothing; and, as if the Lamp of their own Reafon were lent them by their Creator for no ufe at all, refign up their judgments to the implicite manuduction of fome other; and all the perfection they aim at, is to be able to compofe unneceffary, and perhaps erroneous Commentaries upon their Mafters text. This eafie Sed may, without much either of incongruitie or fcandal, be named Secta minupásns, the FEM A L Sect; becaufe as women conftantly retain their beft affections for thofe who untied their Virgin Zone; fo thele will ne. ver be alienated from immoderacely affecting thofe Authors who had the Maiden.head of their minds. The chiefeft Chair in this Claffis oughe to be configned to our $\mathcal{F}$ unior Ariftoteleans, who villifie and defpife all doetrine, but that of the Sragirite, and confidently mealure all mens deviations from truth, by their receffions from his distates. This we fay not to derogate from the honour due to fo great a Clerk; for we hold it our duty to pay him as large a cribute of Veneration, as any man that ever read his excellent Writings, without prejudice, and efteem him as one of the greateft and brigheft ftars in the fphere of Learning nay we dare affert, that He was the Centre in which all the choicett fpe-
culations
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culations and obfervations of his Prædeceffors were united, to make up as complete abody of Natural Science, as the brain of any one fingle perfon, wanting the illumination of Sacred Writ, feems capable of, in this life of oblcuritie: and that He hath won the Garland from all, who have laboured to invent and prefcribe a general Method for the regulation and conduct of mens Cogitations and Conceptions. But, that I am not yet convicted, that his judgment was fuperior to miftake; that his Writings, in many places more then obfcure, can well be interpreted by thofe who have never perufed the Moniments of other Ancients; nor, that it can confift with Ingenuity to inftitute a Sacrament in Philofophy, (i.e.) to vow implicite vaffalage to the Authoritie of any man, whofe maxims were defumed from no other Oracle, but that of Natural Reafon only; and to arreft all Curioftic, Difquifition, or Dubitation, with a meer duris ${ }^{\prime} \varphi x$.

Hither may we refer alfo the patient Interpreters of Scotus; the vain Idolaters of Raimund Lully: but, above all, the ftupid admirers of that Fanatick Drunkard, Paracelfos. In whofe whole life, the only Rarities any fober man can difcover were his Fortune, and his Impudence. His Fortune, in that he being an abfolute bankrupt in merit, could be trufted with fo large afock of Fame : his Impudence, in that, being wholly illiterate (for in ftead of refining, He much corrupted his mother-tongue) He fhould prexend to fubvert the Fundamentals of Arijfotle and Galen, to reform the Common-weal of Learning, confummate the Arts and Sciences, write Commentaries on the Evangelifts, and enrich the world with $\dot{P}$ anfophy in Aphorifms.
(2) Others there are (and thofe too few) whole brefts being filled with true Promethean fire, and their minds of a more generous temper, fcorn to fubmit to the difhonourable tyranny of that ufurper, Autority, and will admit of no Monarchy in Philofophy, befides that of Truth. Thefe ponder the Reafons of all, but the Reputation of none; and then conform their affent, when the Arguments are nervous and convincing; not when they are urged by one, whofe Name is infcribed in Golden Characters in the Legend of Fame. This Order well deferves the Epithite, B $\varepsilon$ Boywrixo's, and therefore we fhall Chriften it, The Order of the ASSERTORS OF PHILOSOPHICAL LIBERTY; in regard, they vindicate the native privilege of our Intellectuals, from the bafe villenage of Præfcription. Of this Order, Gratitude it felf doth oblige us to account the Heroical Tycho Brabe, the fubtle Kepler, the moft acute Galilaus, the profound Scheinerus, the miraculous becaufe univerfally learned Kircherus, the moft perficacious Harvey, and the Epitome of all, Des Cartes. In honour of each of thefe Hero's, we could wifh (if the conftitution of our Times would bear it ) a Coloffus of Gold were erected at the publick charge of Students; and under each this infeription:

Amicus Plato, amicus Ariftoteles, magis amica veritas.
(3) The third Claffis is poffeffed by fuch, who, without either totally neglecting or undervaluing the Inventions and Augmentations of the Modern; addict themfelves principally to refearch the Moniments of the Ancients, and dig for truth in the rubbih of the Grecian Patriarchs. Thefe are the nobleft fort of Chymifts, who labour to reform thofe once-excellent Flowers out of their Ahhes: worthy Geometricians, that give us the true
dimenfions of thofe Giant Wits, by the meafure of their Feet : and genuine fons of $\mathbb{E}$ cerlapius, who can revive thofe, whom the fleet chariot of Time hath dragg'd to pieces, and recompofe their feattered fragments into large and complete bodies of Phyfiologie. The Courfe of thele Worthics in their ftudies doth denominate them "Asaxapraai, RENOVATORS.

For, being of opinion, that Philofophy as well as Nature doth continually decline, that this is the Dotage of the World, and that the minds of men do fuffer a lenfible decay of clarity and fimplicity; they refleet their thoughts apon the $\pi \tilde{n} \xi_{l}$, or Epoche of Phyfical Writings, ranfack the urns of Athens to find out the medal of fome grave Philofopher, and then with invincible induftry polinh off the ruft, which the vitriolate dampnefs of Time had fuperinduced; that fo they may render him to the greedy eyes of Pofterity in his primitive fplendor and integrity. The uppermof feats in this infinitely-deferving Claffis jufly belong to Marsilius Ficinus, who from many mouldy and worm- eaten Tranferipts hath collected, and interpreted the femidivine Labors of Plato: to Copernicus, who hath refcued from the jawes of oblivion, the almoft extinct Aftrology of Samiurs Ariftarchus: to Lucretius, who hath retrived the loft Phyfiologic of Empedocles: to Magnenus, who hath lately raifed up the reverend Ghoft of Democritus: to Merfennws, who hath not only explained many Problems of Archimed; but renovated the obfolete Magick of Numbers, and charmed the moft judicious ears of Mufitians, with chiming Pythagoras Hammers, in an Arithmetick Harmony: and to the greateft Antiquary among them, the immortal Gaffendus; who, our of a few obfcure and immethodical pieces of him, fcattered upon the rhapfodies of Plutarch, and Diogenes Laertits, hath built up the defpifed Epicurus again, into one of the moft profound, temperate, and voluminous among Philofophers.

Our Fourth Claffis is to be made up of thofe, who indeed adore no Au-

Art. 6. Or tothe Elceslors. thority, pay a reverend eftem, but no implicite Adherence to Antiquity, nor ereet any Fabrick of Natural Science upon Foundations of their own laying: but, reading all with the fame conftant Indifference, and æquanimity, felect out of each of the other Seets, whatever of Method, Principles, Pofitions, Maxims, Examples, \&cc. Feems in their impartial judgments, moft confentancous to Verity; and on the contrary, refufe, and, as occafion requires, elenchically refute what will not endure the Teft of either right Reafon, or faithful Experiment. This Sect ace may call (as Potamon alexandrinus, quoted by Diogenes Laertius, long before us) 'Eresx..xin the ELECTING, becaufe they cull and felect out of all others, what they moft approve.

Herein are Chairs provided for thole Worthies, Fernelius, Sennertus, and moft of the junior Patriots and Advancers of our Art. And the loweft toom, we ask leave to referve for our felves. For. we profefs our felves to be of his perfivafion, who faith; Ego quidem arbitror, re diw perpensa, nullius unquam foientiam fore abfolutam, quin Enppedoclem, Platonem, Ariflotelem, Anaxagoram, Democritum adjungat Recentioribus, of ab unoquoqne quod veruxa eff, rejectis fallfs, elignt. Hisenim Principitus peauliari ratione Calefte Lumen affulfit: © quamvis Corporis imbecilitate multa corruperint, plurima tamers, que Fidei lumine difcernimus, fcripfère verifsima He can never make a good Chymift, who is not already an excellent Galenist, is proverbial among us Phyficians: and as worthy the repuration of a Proverb is it among Profeffors in Llniverfities: He can never clearly underftand
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the Moderns, who remains ignorant of the doatrines of the Antieints. Here to declarc our felves of this Order, though it be no difhonour, may yet be cenfured as fuperfluous: fince not only thofe Exercifes of our Pen, which have formerly difperfed themfelves into the hands of the Learned ${ }_{3}$ have already proclaimed as much; but even this prefent Tractare murt foon difcover it-

## Sect. II.

Texplore the Cheif Grounds, or Reafons of this great Varietic of Sects in Philofophy; we need fearch no further, then the exceeding obfcurity of Nature, the Dimnefs and imperfection of our Underffanding; the Irregularity of our Curiofity.

Of the Fiff, they only can doubt, who are too ftupid to enquire. For, Nature is an immenfe Ocean, wherein are no Shallows, but all Depths: and thofe ingenious Perfons, who have bur once attempted her wwith the founding line of Reafon, will foon confefs their defpair of profounding her, and with the judicious SanicheZ fadly exclaim; Una Scientia fuffcicit toti orbi: nec tamen totus bic ei fufficit. Nibi vel minimana minadi res totius vite contemplationi fat eff fuperque: nec tamen tandern eam Spero me neffe poffe: nor can they difilike the opinion of the Acalemicks and Pyyrbonicks, that all things are Incomprchenfible.

And (as for the fecond) if Nature were not invelloped in fo denfe a Cloud of Abetruficy, but thould unveil her felf, and expofe all her beuteous parts naked to our \{peculation: yet are not the Opticks of our Mind either clear or frong enough to difcern them. Men indeed fancy themfelves to be Eagles; but really are grovelling Moles, unceffantly labouring for light: which at firt glimple perftringeth their eyes, and all they difcover chereby, is their own native Blindnefs. Nature myfteria etiamfo mille facibus reejelextur, arbitrantium oculis numquam sota excipientar: reffabit femper quiod queras; of quo plas. Jcies, co plara à te ignorari miraberic. This meditation, we confefs, hath frequencly ftooped our ambitious thoughts, dejected us even to a contempt of our own nature, and put us to a fand in the midft of our moft eager purfuit of Science: infomuch that had not the inhærent Curiofity of our Genius marply fpurred us on again, we had torally defifted, and fate down in this refolution; for the future to admire, and perhaps envy the happy ferenity of their Condition, who never difquiet and perplex their minds with fruitefs fcrutiny, but think themfelves wife enough, while they acquiefce in the fingle fatisfaction of their Senfes. Nor do we look ever to have our Studies wholly free from this Damp: but expect to be furprifed with many a cold fit, eventhen when our Cogitations fhall be moft ardent and pleafing. And to acknowledge our penfive fenfe of this Difcouragement, is it that we bave chofen this for our Motto: 2 2 magis querimus, magis dubitamus.

But left this our defpair prove contagious, and infect our Readet, and He either mut up our Book, or fmilingly demand of us, to what

6 Miodern Pbilofophers reduced to four Orders; Bоoк 1 purpofe we wrote it; if (as we confefs) Infatisfaction be the End of ftudy, and (as we intimate) our Phifiology at moft but ingenious Conjecture: we muft divert him with the noveley of a Paradox, viz. that the Irregularity of our Curiofity is one Caufe of the Dijfent of Philofo. phers.
Art. 3. That our defire of Truth fhould be a grand Occafion of our Error; and

The Irregu'ari ly of our curic. fity.A paradox. that our Firft Parents were deluded more by the inftigation of their own effential CURIOSITY, than by citherthe allurement of their Senfual Appetite, or the fubtle Fallacies of the Serpent: is a conceit not altogether deftitute of thefupport and warrantry of Reafon. For, the Human Soul (the only Creature, that undertands the ${ }^{\wedge} \xi_{0} \chi^{n}$, or tranfeendent Dignity of its Original, by reflecting upon the fuperlative Idea, which it holds of its Creator) from the moment of its immerfion into the cloud or opacity, of fefl labours with an infatiable Appetence of Knowledge; as the only means, that feems to conduce to the fatisfaction of its congenial Ambition of Atill afpiring to Greater and Better things: and therefore hath no Affection cither fo Effential, or Violent, as the Defire of Science; and confequently, lyeth not fo open to the deception of any Objects, as of thofe which feem to promife a fatisfaction to that defire. And obvious it is from the words of the Text; that the Argument which turned the fcales, i.f. determined the Intelleet, and fucceffively the Will of our Grandmother Eve, from its indifferencic, or æquilibration, to an Appetition, and fo to the actual Degultation of the Forbidden Fruit, was this: Defiderabilis eft arboris fructus ad babendam. fcientiam. Befides, though we fhall not exclude the Beauty of the fruit, tranfmitted by the fight to the judicatory Faculty, and fo allecting the Senfual Appetite, from having a finger in the Delufion ; yet can we allow it to have had no more then a finger; and are perfwaded, that in the fyndrome or confpiracy of Caules, the moft ponderous and prevalent was theHope of an acceffion or augmentation of Knowledge. Since it cannot but highly difparage the primitive or innocent fate of man, to admit, that his Intellect was fo imperfect, as not to difcern a very great Evil, through the thin Apparence of Good, when the utmof that Apparence could promife, was no more, than the momentany pleafure of his Palate or Guft: Or, that the exprefs and poenal Interdition of God, yet founding in his ears, could be over-balanced by the light fpecies of an objeit, which muft be loft in the Fruition.

Nor is this Curiofitie to be accufed only of the Firft Defection from Truth, but being an infeparable Annex to our Nature, and fo derived by traduetion to all Adams pofteritie, hath proved the procatarctick Caule of many (fome contemplative Clerks would have adventured to fay of All) the Errors of our judgments. And, though we have long caft abour, yee can we not particular any one Vicious inclination, or action, whofe Scope or End may not, either directly or obliquely, proximly or remotely, feem to promife an encreare of Knowledge in fome kind or other. To inftance in one, which appears to be determined in the Body, to have no interen beyond the Senfe, and fo to exclude all probabilitie of extending to the Mind, as to the augmentation of its Science. Whoever loves a beutiful Woman, whom the right of Marriage hath appropriated to another, ardently defires to enjoy ber bed; why, not only for the fatisfaction of his fenfual Appetite, becaufe that might be acquired by che act of carnality with

C HAP. I. and the principal caufes of their Diffention.
with fome other lefs beuriful, and Beuty is properly the objeet of the Mind : but becaure that Image of Beuty, which his eye hath tranfmitted to his mind, becing praxented in the fpecies or apparition of Good and Amiab'e, feems to contain fome Excellence, or comparitively more Good, then what He harth, formerly underftood. If it be objeited, that if fo, one enjoyment muft fatisfie that Defire; and confequently, no man could love what He hath once enjoyed, fince Fruition determineth Defire: We Anfrwer, that there is no fuch neceeffirie juntly inferrible, when Experience affures, that many times Love is fo far from languihhing, that it grows more ftrong and violent by the poffeffion of its Object. The Reafon is, becaure the paffionate Lover, apprehending no fruition total, or poifeffion entire, fuppofech fome more Good ftill in the object, then whar his former enjoyment made him acquainted withall. And ifit be replyed, that the Lover doth, in the perfeverance of his Affection, propofe to himfelf meerly the Continiution of that Good, which He hath formerly enjoyed : we are provided of a fufficient Rejoynder, vit, that whofo wifheth the Continuation of a Good, confiders it not as a ching prexent, but to come; and confequently as a thing which yet He doth not know : for, no $m$ an can know what is not.

Other Inftances the Reader may be pleafed to feleet from among the Pafsions, tracing them up to their firt Exciting Caufe, in order to his more ample fatisfaction : it being digreffive and only collateral to our Scope. Good thus being the only proper Object of our Affections (for Evil exhibited naked, i.e. as Evil, never Atrracts, but ever Averts our Will, or Rational Appetice: as we have clearly proved in our Difcourfe of the Liberty Elective of mans Will.) if we miftake a real cvil prafented under the difguife of a Good: this mittake is to be charged upon the account of our Rational or judicatory Faculty, which not fufficiently examining the Reality of the fpecies, judgeth it to be good, according to the external Apparence only; and fo mifguideth the Will in its Election. Now, among the Caufes of the Incellects erroneous judicature (we have formerly touched upon its own Native Imperfection, or Crecity, and Prajudice, ) the chiefeft and moft general is the Impatience, Pracipitancy, or Inconfderatenefs of the Mind; when, not enduring the ferious, profound, and ftritt examen of the fpecies, nor pondering all the moments of Reafon, whi. hare on the Averting part of the Object, with that impartialisy requifite to a right judgment; but fuffering it felf, at the firt occurfion or prafentation thereof, to be determined, by the moments of Reafon apparent on the Attracting part, to an Approbation thereof: it mifinformeth the Will, and ingageth it in an Election and profecution of a Falfity, or Evil, couched under the feecious femblance of a pofitive Truth, or Good.

Now, to accommodate all this to the intereft of our Paradox; if Good, real or apparent, be the proper and adæquate object of the Intellect; and the chief reafon of Good doch confift in that of Science, as the principal end of all our Affections: then, moft certainly, munt our prxcedent affertion ftanto firm, viz. that our underffanding lyeth moff opens to the delufion of fuch objects, which by their Apparence promile the mof of Satisfafion to our Defire of Science; and, upon confequence, by how much the more we are fpurred on by our Curiofity, or Appecence of Knowledge, by fo much the mors is our mind impatient of their frict examen, and xquitable perpenfion. All which we dayly obferve experimented in our felves.
felves. For, when our thoughts are violent and eager in the purfuit of fome reafon for fuch or fuch an operation in Nature; if either the difcourfe, or writings of fome Perfon, in great efteem for Learning or Sagacity, or our own meditations furnifh us with one, plaufible and verifinilous, fuch as feems to folve our Doubt : how greedily do we embrace it, and without further perpenfion of its folidity and verity, immediately judge it to be true, and fo fet up our reft therein ? Now, it being incontrovertible, that Truth confifts in a Point, or Unity; it remains as incontrovertible, that all thofe judgements, which concur not in that Point, mult be erroneous: and confequently that we ought ever to fufpect a multiplicity of diffenting judgments, and to fuppofe that Phænomenon in Nature to be yet in the dark, i.e. uncomprehended, or not underftood, concerning whofe folution the moft various opinions have been erected.
And thus have we made it out ; that our Curiofity is the moft frequent Caufe of our Minds Impatience or Prxcipitancy: that Precipitancy the moft frequent Caufe of our Erroneous jdugments, concerning the Verity or Falfity of Objects: thofe Erroneous judgments alwayes the Caufe of the Diverfity of Opinions: and the Diverfity of Opinions alwaycs the Caufe of the Variety of Seets among Philofophers.

# Chap. II. <br>  <br> CHAP. II. 

That this World is the Univerfe.

SECT. 1 .



Mong thole Fragments of Antiquity, which Hiftory hath gathered up from the table of fated Oblivion, we find two worthy the entertainment of our Readers memory, though, perhaps, not eafie to be digefted by his Belief. The one that Alexanderthe Great grew melancholy, at the lecture of Asaxarchus his difcourfe of an Infinity of Worlds, and with tears lamented the confinement of his Ambition to the Conqueft of One: when yet, in truth, the wings of his Vietory had not flown over fo much as a third part of the Terreftrial Globe; and there remained Nations more then enough to have devoured his numerous Armies at a breakfaft, to bave learned him the uncenftancy of Fortune, the inftability of Empire, and the vanitic of Pride, by the experiment of his own overthrow, and captivity in a narrow prifon. The other, that there were whole Schools of Philo Sophers, who fiercely contended for a Plusality of Worlds, and affected the honour of invincible Wits, by extending their difquifitions beyond the Extrems or Confines of this adfpectable World to a multitude of others withour it, as vaif, as glorious, as rich in variety of Forms: when, indeed, their Underftandings came fo much fhort of conquering all the obvious Difficulties of this one, that even the grafs they trod on, and the finalleft of Infects, a Handworm, muft put their Curiofity to a ftand, red duce them to an humble acknowledgment of their Ignorance, and make them figh out the Scepticks Motto, Nibil Scitur, for a Palinodia. Whether His or Their Ambition were the greater, is not eafie to determine; nor can we find more wildnefs of Phanfy, or more infolent Rhodamontadoes in Camps, than Academies, nay if we go to Abfurdities, Cedunt Arma Toga, the Sword muft give place to the Gown. But, that his Error was more venial then theirs, is manifeft from hence; that He had conquered all of the World that he knew: but they could not but find themfelves foiled and canquered by every the moft minute and fenfible part of the world, which they had attempted to know.

This Genus of Phibofophers doth naturally divide it felf into two diftinct fpecies. The Firf of which doth confift of thofe, who affert only a Plurality of Worlds: the Second of thofe, who have been fo bold as to afcend even to an Infinity. Thofe who affert only a Plurality mag be again

Art. 1 . The Ambition of Alexander in affecting the Conqueft, lefs vain then that of many ancient Philofophers in affecting the Knowledge of a Multitude of Worlds.

Art. 2. A reduction of thofe Philotcphers to fous diftint Sets: refpective to their diflinet perfuafions:and the Hicds of cach Setr ror minated.
fubdiftinguifhed into two fubordinate divifions: (1) Such as held a Plurality of Worlds Cobxiftent, among whom the irioft eminent was Plutarch, who (in lib.de oracul. defect.) affirms, that to have many Worlds at once, was confiftent with the maje liy of the Divine Natuic, and confonant to Human Reafon; and (in I.placit.5.) earnefly labours to diffolve the contrary Arguments of Plato and Aviffotle for the Llnity of the World. Nor were thefe all of one Sect; for fome opinioned that there were many other Worlds fynchronical in the Imaginary fpace, or on the outfide of this: and others would admit of nothing, beyond Trifmegiftus Circle, or without the convex part of the Empyramm; but conceived that every Planet, nay, every Star, contained in this, was an intire and diftinct World. Among thefe the Principal were Heraclides, the Pythagoreans, and all the Sectators of orpbess: as they are enumerated by Plutarch (2 Placit.13.)
(2) Such as held a Plurality of worlds, not coexiftent or fynchronical, but fuccefsive; i.e. that this prefent world, Phœenix-like, Sprung up from the ruines of a nother precedent; and that the Afhes of this fhall produce a Third, the Cinders of that a Fourth, Bec. of this perfwafion were Plato, Heraclitus, and all the Stoicks.
The Second fpecies is made up of thofe, who dreamt of an Infinity of Worlds coexifent in an infinite fpace : and the chief feats in this Claffs belong to Epicurss and Metrodorus, upon the laft of which this peremprory

 fieri mundum, quim in magno agrounam nafcifpicam. And below them Thall fit Anaximander, Anaximenes, Archelaus, Xenophon, Diogenes, Lerssippus, Demecritus, and Zeno Eleates, as may be collected from the records of Stobars (Ecl_Phyfic.l.9.) That Epicurus was a grand Patron of this Error, is confeft by himflf (in Epijt. ad Herodetum, apud Laertium) in
 Caterum in wniverfitate, Seu natura rerum, infinitij funt mundi, alï quidem fomiles iffi quem nos incolimus, alij verò difsimiles.

The Reafons, or rather the Apparences of Reafon, which feduced the The two main pillars on which the erpinion of a Plu. rality of Worlds was ancientlyerectd d . Underftandings of fo many and great Philofophers into a judgment, that there was an Infinity of Worlds; are comprehended under thefe Two.
11) Quod Cauffe funt infinita. Nam fo hic quidem mundus fir, fixitus Cauffe verò, ex quibus eft, fû̀re omninò infinita: neceffe eft mundi etiam fint infinitito Prorfus enim, ubi funt Caufle, Effectus queque ibi funt. That Worlds there are infinite in multitude, is manifeff from hence, that there are infinite Caufes for Worlds: for, fince this World is finite, and the Caufes of which it was made, were infinite; neceffary it is that there be infinite Worlds. Infomuch as where are Caufes, there alfo mutt be Effects. This Epicurus more then intimated, when He argued thus: 2uippe Atomi, cum font infinite, per infinitatem Spatiorum feruntur, \& alibi alie, ac procub ab hoc ad $f a-$ bricationem mundorum infinitorum variè concurrunt. Confule Platarcbum, (I. Placit.5.) \& Lucretium.(lib.2.)
(2) Quod nulla fit fpecialis res, cui non fuo fub genere fint fingularia multa fimilia: That there is no onc thing fpecial, to which under that kind, many fingulars are not alike. Upon this fand was it that Plutarich erected bis feeble ftructure of a Plurality of Worlds; for (in defect.Oracml.) he expreffeth
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preffech it at large, in the fe words, videmuis naturam ifs generibus; ;speciebisque, quiff quibibufdam val coulis ant involiciois feminum, res fingulares con:


 situr, it communemit amen rationem, qualitita temque- muxndi obtineat: finguluia: iris anserin conditionis $f t$, ex differentia ab elis quai ejufdem Generic sent: Et cersì non unicus Home, non unicus Equals, ron' unicum © 1 Arum, nor unicuss Dens, woos snicks. Demos in rectum naturra eft:" quid prohibit, quo minus pluses, non wniclum mundum Nature contiseat, de d.
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## SECT. II. The Redargution. - anacin a himpit

THat our Redargution of this vain Error may obtain the more both of Perfoicuisy and Credit, we are to advertife that the Quaftion is not concerning the Possibility, but the real or actual Exiffence of an infinity 'of Worlds. For, of the Possibility, no man, imbued with the principles of Ply= fiology, or Theology, can doubt.
(i) Because, to the mol profound and nice Enquires into that ab ftrufe point, to Argument, whether dimple or complex, hath appeared weighty enough to diff wade them from admitting an immense. Tonus, orinfinite Facsums, without the extremities of this World. For, riot a -few, no the lear judicious part of cen our Chriftian Doctorsibive afferted thofo Extramundane paces calling them IMAGINAR $\bar{\xi}$, becaufe we canima 1 gina she fume Dimenfions of Longitude, Latitude, and Profundity, to be in them, as are in thole real Spaces, wherein: Bodies are included in otis Wort and fine all men, acknowledging the Omnipotence of God, con clue, that He might, had He fo pleated, have created this World Target and larger even to infinity; neceffary it is, that they alpo admit larger ad larges $\int$ pace or Continent, for the Reception of that enlarged Would. Which may with equal Truth be accommodated allot to an Infinity of fortes, infomuch as all, who acknowledge Gods omnipotence, readily condefcend, that He could, hid it deemed good in the eye of his wisdom; Five created more and more Worlds, even to Infinity t neceffary it is shat they undertand thole Worlds mut be received in proporitonare paces; which ought to be over and above that space, which this W ord poffeffeth. For, whereas forme have conceived, that if God would create more Worlds betides this, He mut affocieate more Spaces to contain ithemundoubted le the entangle themselves in that inextricable Difficulty which is objected apothem, concerning the face interjected between any two worlds 3 fine that face may be brought under the laws of Mathematical Com ${ }^{3}$ menfurasion, and clearly explained by a greater or left Diftance. . - (z) Because, it -is found no a un saxon, or desperate Difficulty to defend a Possible Infinity of Bodies. For the Fathers of our Churchinave delivered it as Canonical, shat God might have created any thing Actually InfoIn..1 Cz

Art. 1. The Question flared to be concerning the real Exijfence, not the pofilit. lily of an Intinifty of Worlds
Art. 2. Becaile the fuppored Infinitety of the Extra; mandan Spaces is no imipobry: bility.


Art. 3. Becaure an $l$. finitely of Batite s is alto porfifle: as to the Oman. potence of $0_{0}$
nite not only in Magnitude, but alfo in Mulcitude. Only they referve the infinity of Effence; which fince it can be competent to none but the Divine Effence, and comprehends all perfections whatever in a moft tranfeen. dent or Eminent manner: it is as ablolutely impoffible that any thing flould be Created Actually Infinite in Effence, as that God fhould be created. Which we conceive to be the ground of that Truth; that to imsgine God to be able to create any thing equal to Himfelf: is to fuppofe an Imperfection in his Natwre. Nar have They, without good Caule, deferted the conduct of Plate and Ariftotle, when they would feduce them into an opinion, that Infinity is only Potential, not Actual, i. c. that nothing is Rerum Natura can be infinite in Actu, but only in Potestia; infomuch as though a Continuum may be either divided, or Augmented even to Infinity : yet cannot that Continumm either by Divifion, or Augmentation, ever become Actually infinite. For, fince even sriflotle himfelf deferibes an Infinite to be, non cujus extra nibil eft, Sed ex quo accipientibus femper a. liquid accipiendum reftat, that from which though nere fo much be abetraated, yet ftill there fhall more remain undeducted; which is, in the fum or importance, to fay that the Effence of Infinity is Inexhauribility: it feems poffible to admit not only many, but even infinite infinities in an Infinite. Thus we fay, and truly, that in an infinite Number are comprehended not only infinite $U$ sities, but alfo infinite Binaries, infinite Ternaries, infinite $D e$ naries, Centenaries, 6 c , which is the reafon of that Axiom, That all the parts of an Infinite are infinite.

Art. 4. The Error of coneluding the Effe, from the Pojfe of an Infi. nity of Worlds

Now though to be able, by perfect Demonfiration, to evince that there are no more Worlds but chis one, which we inhabit, is that of which to defpair can be no. difhonour to the moft acure and Mathematical Wit in the world; fince none ought to doubt, but God might have created, and may yet at his pleafure create others innumerable, becaule neither can His Infinite Power ever be exhaufted, nor that Abyfs of Nothing, out of which the. Energic of his W ord inftantly educed this World, not afford - cis pol sible therefore there : yet notwithitanding to affirm, that becaule a manifeft inartificial Argument, and a Conclurion actually cocxiftent: is ducements of Perfuafion.

For, albeit we readily concede, that there is an Infinite Inanity or Ul. tramundan Space, yet can it not follow of neceffity, that there are Infinite Atoms contained inchat Ulitramundane Space; as Democritus and Epicurus prxpofterouily infer: infomuch as it founds much more concordant to reafon, that there are no more Atoms, then thofe of which this fingle World was compacted.

Art. 5. sality of Worlds fub. verted.

And when they Argue thus; Since the sucuity or ultramundane Space is infinite in CMagnitude or Capacity, neceffary it is that the Aby fs of Atoms included therein be alfo. Iafinite in Extent; becaufe otherwife they could never have convened, and coalefced in that Form, which the World now holds: we admit their Induction for natural and legitimate, but deteft their fuppofition as abfurd and impoffible. For, They take it for granted, that the Chaos of Atoms was not only cternal and Iscreate, but alfo that it difpoled, and compacted it felf into that Form, which conftitures the World, by the fpontancous motion inhærent in Atoms, and their fortui rous coalefeence in fuch and fuch refpective Figures: when to a fober judgment
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judgment it appears the higheft Imppof sibility imaginable, that cither the Chaos of Atoms could be cternal, felf-principate, or increate, or difpofe and fix it felf into fo vaft, fo fplendid, fo fymmerrical, fo univerfally harmonical, or Analogical a fructure, as this of the World. For, as the Difpofition or Difpenfation of the Chaos of Atoms into fo excellent a form, can be afreribed to no other Caure, but an Infinite Wijdom: fo neither can the Production or Creation of the fame Chaos be acribed to any other Cauff, but an Infinite Pover, as we have formerly demonftrated in our Darknefs of Atheifm, cap.2.
And therefore, fince it is mof probable that Atoms were the Mas teria Prima, or material Principle of the World; as we flahll clearly enunciate in a fingular Chapter fubfequent : we may adventure to affirm, that God created exactly fuch a proportion of Atoms, as might be fufficient to the making up of fo vart a Bulk, as this of the World, and that there remained no one fuperfluous. 'Tis unworthy a Philofopher to acknowledge any fuperfluity in Nature : and confequently a dangerous foloceifm to fay the God of Nature knowing not how to proportion the quantity of his materials to the model or platform of his ftruSture, created more Acoms, then were neceffary, and left an infinite Refiduc to be perpetually hurried too and fro in the ultramundane fpace. If they fhall urge upon us, that no man was privy to the Councel of God at the Creation, and confequently no can know, whether He created cither more Aroms then were requifite to the amaffment of this World, or more Worlds shen this one: we may jufly retert the Argument upon them, and conclude, that fince no man was privy to the Councel of God, they have no reafon to pretend to know, that God created either more matcer, or more Worlds; and fo the whole fubfance of the Difpute muft be reduced only to this : That they have no more Reafon for the fupport of their opinion of a Plurality of Worlds then we have for ours of the Unity of the World. Nay the greateft weight of Reafon hangs on our end of the fcale; for, we ground our Opinion upon that ftable Criterion, our fenfe, and afferting the fingularity of the world, difcourfe of what our fight apprehends: but. They fould theirs upon the fragil reed of wild Imagination, and a ffirming a Plurality difcourfe of what neither the information of their fenfe, nor folid reafon, nor judicious Authority, hath learned them enough to warrant even Conjecturc.

And, as to their fecond Argument, viz. That there is in Nature no ome Thing Jpecial, to whic b under the fane kind, there are not many fngulars alike: we $A n d$ wer, that All thofe $f$ ing whars, which we obferve to be multiplied under one and the fame kind, are fuch which perith in the Individual, and therefore cannot but be loft, if not conferved by the multiude of Succeffors;, and not fuch as are not obnoxious to deftruction by Corruptibility, for they, conftantly exifting in the Individual, need not Multiplicity to their confervation. For which caufe, oneSun, and one Moon are fufficient, and in al probability of chis fort is the World;for though it be conceived obnoxious to corruption, and frall once confers a Period: yec is this no valid reafon to juftiise the neceffity of a multitude of worlds, fince the Diffolution of the World fhal be fynchronical to the Diffolution of Nature, when Sun,Moon, and all other kinds of Creatures, as well fingle as numerous fhall be blended ocogecther in one common ruine; and then the fame Infinite Catf $f_{e}$ which hath delt.royed them, can, with as much facility as he firtt Creared them, repeir

Art. 6. The fecond Pilo lar found fophifficate, and demolifhed.
their ruines, educe them out of their fecond Chaos, and redintegrate them into what Form His Wifdom fhall defign.

Ars. 7. A Plurality of Worlds mani. f. fily repug nant to Axtio. T.ly Divine,

Art. 8. AniHuTan.

Art.9. Therctuls of all; the Demonftration of the Authors Thefis, That this World is the vorverfe.

Nor is chis opinion of a Plurality of Worlds only deftitute of, but even è diametro repugnant to the principal Inducements of Belief. For, if we confider Authority Divine; in Mofes inætimable Diary or Narrative of the Creation can be found no mention at all of a Multitude of Worlds, but on the concrary a pofitive affertion of one world; and the exprefs declarement of the manner how the Fiat of Omniposence educed the feveral Paresthereof fucceffively out of the Chaos, difpofed them into fubordinate Piles; and endowed them with exquifite configurations refpective to their diftinet definations, motions and ufes: and in all the other Books of Sacred Writ, whatever concerns the Providence of God, the Condition of man, the myAteries of his Redemption, means of falvation, \&x. doth more then intimate the fingularity of the World; nor is there any one word, if rightly interpreted, which can be produced as an excufe for the oppofite Error.

If Humane Authority; we may foon perceive, that thofe Ancient Philofophers, who have declared on our fide, for the Unity of the World, do very much exceed thofe Plaraliffs nominated in our pracedent Catalogue, both in Number and Dignity. For, Tbales, Milefins, Pythagoras, Empedocles, Ecphantus, Parmenides, Melijus, Heraclitus, Anaxagoras, Plato, Arffoolle, Zeno the Stoick, attended on by all their fober Difciples, have unanimourly rejected and derided the Conceit of many Worlds, not only as vain and weak, hue as extremly Hypochondriack, and worthy a whole acre of Hellebor. Nor, indeed, are we perfuaded, that fo great Wits as thofe of Demacritus and Epicurss, did apprehend it as real; but only Imaginary, propofing it as a neceffary Hypothefis, whereon to erect their main Phyfical Pil,
 pers, That the Univerfe is nonprincipiate and indiffoluble. For, having mediated thus; Whatever is Finite, is circumfcribed by an External Space, from which 2 caufe may come and invading deftroy it, and into which the matter thercof, after the diffolution of its Form, may be received: now this World, being Finite, muft be environed by a circumambient fpace, from which a Caufe may invade and deftroy it; and into which the matter thereof, after the diffolution of its Form, may be received ; muft of neceffity therefore be diffoluble: They inferred, that, unlefs they would concede the Univerfe to be diffoluble, which could never confift with their Principles, they mult affirm it to be Infinite, i. e. withour which no \{pace can be, from whence any Caufe might invade it, and into which the matter thereof after the deftruction of its Form, might be received : and thereupon concluded to fuppofe an Infinity of Worlds Cocxiftent.
Which feems to be the Reafon alfo that induced Epichrus and Metrodorus to opinion, that the $V$ aiverfe was not only 'A $\mu \varepsilon$ rúbえñov Immutable; bue alfo axivinto Immoverable: as may be collected from thefe words of Plusarch quoted by Eufebim (1.prapa. Evang.5.) concerning Metrodorus, Is inter catera non moveri univerfum dixit quoniam now ef quio migrare poffit; nam $\sqrt{3}$ poffes quidem, vel in plenum, vel in vacuam; atqui univerfom continet quirquid hujuf modi eft, quia fin non contineres, minimì foret trniver fum.

Having thus amply refuted the Dream of a Plurality of Worlds, boin by detecting the excceding invalidity of thofe two Cardinal Reafons, on which
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which the Authors and Abettors of it had rafhly fixed cheir Affent; and by convicung it of manifert Repugnancy to Authority Divine and Human: we may fafely prxfume, the underttanding of our Reader is fufficiently propared to determine his judgment to an Approbation of our Thefis, the Argument and Title of this Chapter, viZ. That this Adipectable world is the ro $\pi x^{\nu}$ Omne, $\boldsymbol{x}^{\circ}$ önov Vniverfum, the All in Rerum Natura, the large Magazine wherein all the wealth and treafure of Nature is included; and that there is Nothing Quantitative, but meerly Local, beyond the Convex
 tiam que eff in ultima Cali converfione; the outide of the Empyreum. Thus much Ariffotle, though upon the convittion of other Arguments, feems fully to have both underftood and embraced, when in pofitive terms He
 Extra calum neque eff quicquam Corpus, neque effe omnino poteft (de calo l.r:
 Vaiverfum \& Mundus, as perfect fynonymaes, indifferently fignifying one and the fame thing: which was moft frequent not only to him, but to Plato alfo, and moft of the moft judicious fort of Philofophers.

If any Curiofity be fo immoderate, as to tranfgrefs the Limits of this All, break out of Trifmegiftus Circle, and adventure into the Imaginary Abyis of Nothing, vulgarly called the Extramundan Inanity; in the Infinity (or, rather, Indefinity') of which many long-winged V Vits have, like feel'd Doves, flown to an abfolute and total lofs: the moft promifing Remedy we can prafcribe for the reclaiming of fuch wildnefs; is to advertife; that a ferious Diverfinn of thought to the fpeculation of any the moft obvious and fenfible of fublunary Natares, will prove more advantagious to the ac. quifition of Science, then the moft acute metaphyfical Difcourfe, that can be hoped from the groading and limited Reafon of man, concerning that imperveftigable Abftrufity; of which the more is faid, the lefs is underfood; and that the moft inguifitive may find Difficulties more then enough within the Little VVorld of their own Nature, not only to exercife, but empuzle them. To which may be annexed that judicious Correative of Pliny, (l.2. Nat.Hif.c. . I.) Furor eff, prefectiò furor eft egredi ex hos mundo, fo sanquams interna ejos cuncti a planè jam fint nota, ita forutari Extera. Quafiverọ menfuramullius pofsit agere, qui fui aefciat: aut mens Hominis videre, que mundus ipfe nons capiat. And that facete fcoff of the moft ingenious Mr. White (in Dialog. I. de mundo.) Thar the Extramundan Space is inhabited by Chymarais which there feed, and theive to Giants upon the dew of Second Intentions.

Art.IO. Extramundane Curiofity, a high degree of Madnefs.

## Corporiety and Inanity.

SEct. I.

Art. I. Body and inanity, the two general Pares of the Univerle.


HE Univerfe, or this adfpectable World (henceforth. Synonymaes ) doth, in the general, confift of only two Parts, viz. Something and Nothing, or
 y x xevor, Natur am rerum effe Corpora \& Inane, was the Fundamental pofition of Epicurus (apud Plutarch. adver.Colot.) which his faithful Difciple Lucretius hath ingenuofly rendred in this Diftich :

Omxis, ut eft igitur per fe, Natura duabus
Confifit rebus; que Corpora funt, of Inane.
The All of Nature in two Parts doth lye,
That is, in Bodies and Inanity.
Art. 2. Concerning the nature or effence of a B O D IE, we find more then Three the moft one Notion among Philofophers.
meniorable De. (I) Some undertanding the root of Corporiety to be fixt in Tamgibility:
finitions of Corporitity extant among Phyfiologifts, recounted and cxamined.

 congerie figure magnitudinis, refiflentic (feus Soliditatis ac impenetrabilitatis mittue \& gravitatis; that by Bodie is to be underfood a congeries of figure, magnitude, refiftence (or folidity and impenetrability mutual) and gravity.

To which Ariftote feems to allude (in 4. Phy (ic. 7.) where He faith of
 conceive all Bodies to be Tangible: and Lucretius, Tangere enim \& tangi fine Corpore nulla poteft res. Here weare, per tranjennam, to hint; that the Authors of this Notion, do not reftrain the Tangibulity of Bodies only to the Senfe of Touching proper to Animals; but extend it to a more ge. netal importance, viz. the Contact of two Bodies reciprocally occurring each to other Secundum Jiperficies; or what Epicurus blended under the word, 'Aptisotias, Refiftence mutual arifing from Impenetrability.
(2) Ochers
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(2) Others placing the Effential Propricty of a Body in its Extenfion into the three Dimenfions of Longitude, Latitude, and Profundity. Thus Ariftotle (Nat. Aufcult. 4. cap. 3.) Atrictly cnquiring into the

 tres babet, longitudinem, latitudinem, \& altitudinem, quibus omne Corpus definitur. And thus Des Cartes (princip. Philof. Part. 2. Sect. 4.) Naturam materie, five Corporis in univerfum Spectati, non confffere in eoquod $f$ it res dura, sel ponder ofa, vel colorata, vel aliquo alio modo Senfus afficiens; Sed tantum inco, quòd fit res extenfa in longum latum do profundium: that the Effence of matter, or a Body confidered in the General doth not confift in its hardnefs, weight, colour, or any other relation to the fenfes; but only in its Extenfion into the three Dimenfions.

And (3) Others, by an exceffive acurenefs of Wit, dividing the Subflance of a Body from the 2uantity thereof, and diftinguifhing 2世antity from Extenfion. Of this immoderately fubtle Sect are all thofe, who conceived that moft Bodies might be fo rarified and condenfed, as that by Rarefaction they may acquire more, and by Condenfation lefs of Extenfion, then what they have before in their native dimenfions. We fay immoderately fubtle, becaufe whoever thall with due attention of mind profound the nature of Rarcfaction and Condenfation, mult foon perceive; that by thofe motions a Body doth fuffer no more theri a meer Mutation of Figure, but its Quantiry admits of neither Augmentation, nor Diminution. So as thofe Bodies may be faid to be Rare, betwixt whofe parts many Intervals or Interfices, repleted with no Bodies, are in. rerferfed, and thofe Bodies affirmed to be Denfe, whofe parts mutually approaching each to other, either diminifh, or totally exclude all the Intervals or intercedent Diftances. And whenit eveneth, that the Intervals betwixt the diftant parts of a Body, are totally excluded by the mutual accefs, convention and contact of its parts : that Body mult become fo abfolutely, or (rather) fuperlatively Denfe, as to imagine a poffibility of greater Denfity, is manifently abfurd. But yet notwithftanding, is not that Body thus extremly Denif, of lefs Extenfion, then when having its parts more remote each from other, it poffeffed a larger fpace: in refpect, that whatever of Extenfion is found in the Pores, or Intervals made by the mutually receing parts, oughe not to be afcribed to the Body rarified, but to thofe fmall Inanities that are intercepted among the diffociated particles. For inftance, when we obferve a Sponge dipt in Liquor to become turgent and fiwell into a greater bulke; we cannot juftly conceive, that the Sponge is made more Extenfe in all its parts, then when it was dry or compreffed: but only, that it hath its pores more dilated or open, and is therefore diffufed through a greater fpace. But we may not digrefs into a full examen of the nature of Rarefaction and Condenfation; efpecially fince the Syntax of our Phyfical Spiculations will lead us hereafter into a full and proper confideration chereof.

Of the nature of the other ingredient of the Univerfe, INANITY, there are Ieveral Defcriptions:

Art. 3.
Four Defcrip. tions of the nature of Inanity, by Epicurus, cleomedes, Empiricus, Arijfotie.
 Space, and a Nature that cannot be touched: thereby intimating the direat Contraricty betwixt the effential notion of Corporicty and Inanity; which Antithefis Lucretius plainly expreffeth in that Verfe, Tafus coporibrs cunitis intactus Inani.
 ex fua natura incorporeum: adding for furrher explanation, fiquidern ef in. coiporeum, tactumque fugit, of neque figur am habet ullam, neque recipit, (o negue patitur quicguam, neque agit, fed prabet folummodo liberum per feipfum corporibus motum; it is incorporeal, becaufe ir cannot be touched, hath no figure of its own, nor is capable of any from orhers, neither fuffers nor acts any thing, but only affords free face for the motion of other bodies through it.
13. Empiricus (2. adver Phyfic.) defcanting upon Epicurus defcription of Inanity, faith; Natura cademcorpore deftituta, appellatur Inane; occu. pata verò à corpore, Locus dicilur, pervadentibus ipfam corporibus evadit Regio: the fame Nature devoid of all body, is called a Vacmem, if poffeffed by a body, 'tis called a Place, and when bodies pervade it, it becomes a Region.

And (4) Ariftotle (3.Phyfic.7.) defines a Vacumm to be Locus in quo xihil eft, a Place wherein no body is contained.

Art. 4 Their iriportance cxira\{ed : and what is tlie firmal or proper notion of a Vaikim.
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on implantate in, ot coeffential to our mind, and the belief of the lat, bcing founded upon Revelation fupernatural ) other then Commentaries upon the Hints given by Come one of our External fenfes." Which Confideration caufed Epicurus to erect there two Canons, as the Bale of Logical Judicature.


Opinio ill vera eft, mi vel fuffragatur, vel non refragalur census evidentia.


By the fuffragation or Affent of the Evidence of Sene, is meant an Affurance that our Apprehenfion or Judgment of any Object occurring to our fenfe, is exactly concordant to the reality thereof; or, that the Object is truly fuchs, as we, upon the perception of it by our fenfe, did judge or opinion it to be. Thus Plato walking far off towards us, and we freeing him conjecture or opinion, as confidently as the great diftance will admit, that it is Plato, whom we fee coming toward us: but when, by his nearer approach, the great impediment of Certitude, Diftance is removed; then doth the evidence of fenfe make an Atteftation or fuffragation of the verity of our opinion, and confirm it to be Plato, whom we flaw.

The Non-refragation of Sente, intends the Confequution of rome Incvident thing, which we fuppofe or præfume to be, with reflection upon fomething fenfibly evident, or apparent. As when we affirm that there is a Vacuum, which taken fingly, or peculated. in its own obscure natore, is wholly inevident, but may be demonftrated by another thing fufficiently evident, viz. Motion: for if no Vacuum, no Motion; fince the Body to be moved mut want a Place, wherein to be received, if all Places be already full and crouded. Hence comes it that the thing Evident doth not Refragari to the Inevident. And thus the Suffragaton and Norirefragation of the Evidence of fence, ought to be underflood as one Criterion, whereby any Pofition may be evicted to be true.

Hither alfo may be referred that Tetraftick of Lucretiues, (lib.I.)
[Corpus enimper fe communis deliqwat effe
Senfus: quo nifi prima fides fundata valebit,
Haud erit, occultis de rebus, quò referentes
Confirmare Animi quicquam ratiose queamus.
That Bodies in the World exiftent are,
Our Senfes undeniably declare:
Whofe Certitude once quæftion'd; we can find
No judge to folve nice feruples of the Mind.
It remains therefore only that we prove (1) That there is a Facumm in Nature. (2) That there is in the Uxiverfe no Third Nature befides that of Body and Inanity.


# CHAP. IV. <br> <br> A Vacuum in $\mathcal{N G a t u r e}$. 

 <br> <br> A Vacuum in $\mathcal{N G a t u r e}$.}

SECT.I.


iN order to our more profperous Evacuation of that Epidemick Opinion, Vacmum non dari in rerum natwra, that there is no Vacuity or Emptinefs in the World; it is very requifite, that we promife, as a convenient Prxparative, this fhort advertifement.

Among the fpeculations of many Ancient Phy: fiologits, and efpecially of Arifotle (4. Phyfic. 6) we find a Vacuum diftinguifhed into $x_{3}^{71}$ qúow, \&x maséqúou, Secundum naturams, \&e Eternaturam, a Vacuum confiftent with, and a Vacuum to. tally repugnant to the fundamental conftiturions of Nature. According
 usjor, Diffeminatum, Inter $\int p e r \int e d$, or of fo large diffufion as varioully to interrupt the Continuity of the parts of the World. 2 As 'A $\theta_{\rho}$ own, Coacervatum, Coacervate or feparate from all parts of the World, fuch as the Ultramundan Space is conceived to be. Now, if we refpe:t the Firff confideration or acception of a Vacuum, the Quxftion muft be, Ass detur vacuwn Difeminatume? Whether there be any fmall Vacuity in nature, or more plainly, Whether among the incontinued particles of Bodies there be any minute infenfible Spaces intermixed, which are abfolutely empry, C: unpoffeffed by any thing whatever: If the fecond; then the doubr is to be fated chus: An detur vacuum intra mundanum Coacervatum? Whether within the World (for of the extramundane Inanity, the difficulty is not great, as may be collected from the contents of our Second Chapter precedent) there can be any great or fenfible Vacuity, fuch as we may imagine poffible, if many of the fmall or interfperfed Vacuities fhould convene and remain in one entire coacervate Inanity.

Concerning the Firft Problem, we cannot fate the Doube more intelligibly, then by propofing it under the analogy of this Exaraple. Let a man intrude his hand into a heap of Corn, and his hand fhall poffers a certain fenfible fpace among the feparated Grains: his hand again withdrawn, that face doth not remain empty, but is immediately repoffeffed by the mutuall confluent grains, whofe Confusibility, not impeded, cau-

Art. I. The Diffinđti, on of a Vacuum into (1) Natural, and (2) Praternatural: and the noe called Difo Seminate, the other Coacter vate.
feth their inftant convention. And yet betwixt the Grains mutually convened there remaine many intercepted or interpofed Spaces or Intervalls, unpoffersed by them; becaufe the Grains cannot touch each other fo fecundum totas fuperficies, according to all parts of their fuperficies, as to be contiguous in all points. Exactly thus, when any Body is intruded into Acr, Water, or any fuch rare and porous nature, betwixt whofe incontinued parts there are many Interftices varioufly diffeminated, it doth poffers a ceitain fenfible fpace proportionate to its dimenfions: and when that Body is withdrawne, the fpace cannot remain empty, becaufe the infenfible or atomical particles of the Aer, Water, Bic. agitated by their own native Confluxibility, inftantly convene and repoffefs it. And yet, betwist the convened particles, of which the Aer, and Water, and alfo all porous Bodies are compofed, there remain many empty fpaces (analogous to thofe Intervalls betwixt the incontingent Grains of Corn) fo minute or exiguous, as to be below the perception and commenfuration of fenfe. $W$ hich is the very Difficulty, concerning which there are fo many Controverfies extant, as their very Lecture would be a Curfe to the greateft l’atience. However, we conceive our felves fufficiently armed with Arguments to become the Affertors of a Vacnum Diffeminatum; or empty Intervals betwixt the particles of Rare, Porous, or Incontinued Bodies.

Art 3. The tirft atgu. in nt of a $D$ iffewinnte Vacuty, defuriod fremi the evidence of w. wion, in (Eencral: and Arift lissers is concerning the thlice of Pacecencifely dreetith. and correfted.

Our Firft Argument is that Reafon given for a Vaこuum by Eficurus:

 effent, neque quit motus fuos obirent, ciom moveri ea quidem manifeftum fit: Linlefs there were a Vacuum, Bodies could have neither where to confift, nor whither to be moved; and manifest it is, that they are moved. Which folid Reafon, though feemingly perfpicuous, hath in it fo many receffes of obfcurity, as may not only excufe, but efflagitate a curfory paraphrafe. Finf, we are to obferve that, in the theory of Epicurus, the Notions of Inanity and Locality are one and the fame effentially, but not refpectively: i.e. that the fame fpace when replenimed with a Body, is a Place, but when devoid or deftitute of any Tenent whatever, then it is a Vacsum. Secondly, that Arifoolle did not fufficiently profound the Quiddity of Place, when He taught, that the Concave fuperficies of the Circumambient did conffitute the Effence thercof. For, when it is generally conceded that the Locus muft be adxquate to the Locatum; it is truly prafumed, that the internal fuperficie of the Circumambient or Place, olight to be adxquate to the external luperficies of the Locatum or Placed; but not to its Profundity, or Internal Dimenfions. And, fince it is of the formal reafon of Place, that it be Immoveable, or uncapable of Tranflation; for, otherwife any thing might, at one and the fame time, be immote and yet change place : it is cvident, that the fuperficies of the Circumambient is not Immoveable, fince it may both be moved, the Locatum remaining unmoved, and è conira, perfift unmoved, when the Locatum is removed. And, therefore, the Concave fuperficies of the Circumambient may, indeed, obtain the reafon of a Veffel, but ṇot of a Place. And, upon confequence, we conclude, that the Space comprebended within the fuperficies of the Circumambient, is really and effentially what is to be underftood by place Since that Space is adxquated perfectly to its Locatum in all its internal Dimenfions, and is alfo truly Immoveable; in regard that upon the remove of the Locatum,
it remains fixt, unchanged, unmoved; in the fame fate as before its occupation, it perfevers after its defertion. And when the Body removed poffefferh a new Space: the old Space is inftanely poffeffed by a new Body. Thirdly, that this argument defumed from the Evidence of Motion, was propofed by Empiricus, (adverf. Geometr.) more Syllogiltically, thus,
 eft, Inane eft; atqui Motus oft, eft ergo Iadne. If there be Motion, there muft be Inanity; but clotion there is, therefore there is a Vacuum.

That there is Motion, is manifeft from fenfe. And as for that memorable Argument of Zeno againft Motion, though we judge that he affected it more for the fingularity, then folidity thereof, and only propofed it as a new Paradox to gain fome credit to Scepticifm, of which he was a fierce Affertor; and that no man did ever admir it to a compecition with the Authority of his Senfe: yet, fince many have reputed it indiffoluble, we concrive the folution thereof mut become this place.

Motus nonpoteft fieri per $\int$ patium quodvis, nifi priis mobile pertransent minus, quam majus; (ed quancunque afsignes partem, alia ef minor, o alia minor in infinitum: Ergo non poteff ficri motus, numquam enim incipiet. No Motion can be made through any fpace whatever, unlefs the Moveable firft pafs through a lefs, before a greater \{pace; bur, what part of fpace foever you thall pleafe to affign, ftill there will be another lefs patt, and anorher lefs then that, and fo up to in. finity: therefore can there be no motion at all, fince it can never begin at a fpace fo little as that no lefs can remain.

## Solution.

The Fallacie lyeth in the Minor, which we concede to be true ratione Natbematica, in the Mathematical acceptation thereof; and fo no folucion can be fatisfactory to the Argument, unlefs we admit an infinite Divifibi. lity in the parts of a Continuum : But deny it ratione Pbyfica, in the proper Phyfical acceptation, and fo we may folve the riddle by proving the parts of a Continuum not to be divifible ad infinitum, and Motion is to be confidered penes realem rerum exiftentiam. Now, that Space is divifible ad infinitum only Extrinfecè and Mathematicè, not Phyfice, may be thus evinced. If Motion be divifible in infinitum, the parts of a flow Motion will beas many as the parts of a fwift Motion: but'tis indubitate, that two parts of a fivift motion are coexiftent to one of a flow : therefore either that one part muft be permanent, fince it exifteth in two times, or all Motions are equall in velocity and tardity, which is repugnanc to experience. And Motion, Space, and Time, are perfectly Analogous, i.e. Proportional: for there is no part of Motion, to which there may not be affigned a Part of Space and Time fully refpondent. Befides, fhould we allow the Argument to be too clofe for the teeth of Reafon; yet no man can affirm it to be too hard for the fivord of Senfe, and therefore it ought not to be repured inextricable: fince thofe objects which fall under the fincere judicature of the fenfe, need no other Criterion to reftifie their Ve: rity. Upon which the judicious Migzenus happily reflected (p.IG2.

Democriti revivifcent.) when He layed down this for a firm Principle: Senfibilia per fenfus funt judicanda, nam illius potentice eft judicare de re, per quam res cognofitur; neque fides omnis fenfibus deneganda.

Art. 5. The Conjequall on of the Argu. ment (ifno $V$ a. сиит, no lít on) illuftrated.

This thort Excurfion ended, we revert to our Fourth oblervable, viz. the Confeqaution or Inference of Epicurus, in his argument for a Vacuum : If no Vacuum, no Motion. Which feems both natural and evident; for what is full, cannot admit a fecond tenent: otherwife nothing could prohibit the fynthefis or Coexiftence of many Bodics in one and the fame place; which to imagine, is the extremeft Abfurdity imaginable.

For Illuftration, let us Imagine, that the Uuniverfe (having nothing of Inanity interfecrfed among its parts) is one Continued Mafs of Bodies foclofely crouded, ramm'd, and wedged together, that it cannot receive any the leaft thing imaginable more: and keeping to this Hypothefis, we thall foon deprethend, whether any one Body among thofe many difpofed within this compact or clofely crouded Mafs may be removed out of its own to invade the place of anocher. Certainly, if all places be fill, it mult extrude another body out of its place, or become joint-tenant with it and poffers one and the fame place. Extrude a body out of its poffeffion it cannot, becaufe the Extruded muft want a room to be received into; nor can the Extruded difpoffefs a third, that third expel a fourth, that fourth eject a fifth, \&ec. Since the difficulty fits equally heavy on all: and there, fore, if the invaded doth not refign to the invading, there can be no beginning of Motion, and confequently no one Atome in the Univerfe can be moved. And, as for its becoming fynthetical or joint-tenant, that is manifetly impoffible: becaufe a Collocation of tiwo Bodies in one and the fame place, imports a reciprocal Penetration of Dimenfions, then which nothing can be more repugnant to the tenor of Nature: and therefore it remains, that every part of the Univerfe would be fo firmly bound up and compacted by other parts, that to move thofe Cochles, Snails, or Infeets, which are found in the ferruminated womb of Rocks, and incorporated to the heart of Flints, would be a far more nodeft attempe, then to move the leaft atome thercin.

Art.f. An Obiestion. that the loce c. Wi. $n$ of fome Bodics, d, p ncis on their Rarty or Parriy; nor on a Dificminate Vicuite: prevented.

Nor can the Diffenting evade the compulfion of this Dilemma, by pratending, that in the Univerfe are Bodies of rare, porous, and flux.ible Conftitutions, fuch as are more adapted to Lococeffion, or giving place upon their invafion by other Bodies, then are Rocks or Flints. Becaufe, unlefs their Rarity, Por ofity, Fluxibility, or yceldingnefs be fuppofed to proceed from Inanity diferminate; or, that all the particles of thofe Bodies are contiguous, or munually contingent fecundum totas fuperficies: doubtlefs, they muft be fo Continued, as that it can make no difference, whether you call them Bodies of Flint or Aer. For, neither fhall the Aer puffels a place lefs abfolucely then a Flint : becaufe how many particles foever of place you fhall fuppofe, no one of them can remain unpoffeffed; it being of the Effence of Place, that it be adrequate to its Tenent in all its internal Dimenfions, i.e. in the number and proportion of Particles: nor a Flint more perfectly then :Acr, whore infenfible Particles are prefumed to be reciprocally contingent in all points, and fo to exclude all Interfecred Inanity.

Chap. IV. A Vacnum in Nature.

We faid, without Inanity interfperfed, there can be no Beginning of Motiox. Which to explain, let us luppore that a Body, being to be moved through the Aer, doth in the firft degree of motion propel the contiguous aer, the fpace of a hairs bredth, Now, the Univerfe being abfolutely full, that fmall fpace of a hairs bredth muft be prepoffeffed, and fo the Body cannot be placed therein, untill it hath thence depelled the in. cumbent Acr. Nor can the contiguous Aer poffeffing that fpace of a hairs bredth be depelled per latera to a place behind: becaufe that place alfo is replete with Aer. Infomuch, therefore, as the body to be moved, cannot progrefs through fo fmall a fpace, as that of an hairs bredth, becaufe of the defect of place for the reception of the Aer replenifhing that face: it muft of neceffity remain bound up immoveably in that place, wherein it was firtt fituate. But if we conceive the Aer to have fimall Inane Vacisolas, or Spaces (holding an analogy to thofe fpaces interceding betwixt the Grains of a Heap of Corn or Sand ) varioully interpofed among its minute infenfible particles : then may we alfo conceive, how the Motion of a Body through the Aer is both begun and continued: viz. that the Body moved, doth by its fuperfice protrude the particles of the contigu. ous Aer, thofe protruded particles being received into the adjacent empty interftices, prefs upon the next vicine particles of aer, and likewife protrude them, which recsived alfo into other adjacent. empty fpaces become contiguous to, and urgent upon other next particles of Aer, and fo forward untill, upon the fucceffive continuation of the Compreffion by protrufion, and the confequent dereliction of a place behind, the lateral particles of the Aer, compreffed by the anterior parts difflient, are effufed into it : and fo, how much of Aer is compreffed and impelled forward, fo much recurrs backward per latera, and is dilated. The fame alfo may be accommodated to the Lococeffion of the Parts of Water; allowing it this prerogative, that being propelled by a Body movent, is doth by its parricles more eafily propel the contiguous particles of the Aer, then its own; becaufe the empty minute fpaces of the aer incumbent upon the Water, are larger, which may be the reafon, why water propelled forwards, becomes tumid and fwelleth fomewhat upwards in its fuperfice, and is depreffed proportionately backward. Now according to this theory, ought we to underftand the Reafon of Epicurus for a Vacuum, defumed from the neceffity of motion.

Sect. II.

AS the nature of Motion confidered in the General, hath afforded us our Firft Argument, for the comprobation of a Vacuity Diffe. minate: fo likewife doth the nature of Rarefaction and Condenfation, which is a feecies of Local Motion, fpeculared in particular, readily furnifh us with a Second. Examine we therefore, with requifite ferutiny, fome of the moft eminent Apparesces belonging to the Expanfion and Comprefsion of Aer and Water: that fo we may explore, whether they can be falved more fully by our hypothefis of a Diffeminate Vacuity, then by any other, relating to an Univerfal Plenitude.

Art. 2. The eminnent Phenomenon of an Rerolith. per, or 11 in ! Gun, folveciby a Vacuity Diffenmare among the in c:mntigus"s (quad tother superficies) pares of aer.

Take we a Pneumatique or Wind Gun, and let that part of the Tube, wherein the Aer to be comprefled is included, be four inches long (the diameter of the bore or Cavity being fuppofed proportionate: ) now if amone the particles of that aer contained in the four inched rpace of the Tube, there be no empty Intervals, or minute Inanities; then of neceffity muft the mafs of Aer included be exactly adrequate to the capacity or Space of four inches, fo as there cannot be the leart particle of place, wherein is not a particle of aer æqual in dimenfions to it, i.e. the number of the particles of aer is equal to the number of the particles of the Cavity. Suppofe we then the number of particles common to both, to be rocoo. This done, let the aer, by the Rammer artificially intruded, be compreffed to the half of the fpace (not that the compreffion may not exceed that rate, for Merfennus (in praf. ad Hydraulicam Pnermaticam 1 - $r$ tem.) bath by a moft ingenious demonftration taught, that Acr is capable of Compreffion even to the tenth. part of that 华ace, which it poffeffed in the natural difpofition, or open order of its infenfible particles:) and then we demand, how that half fpace, viz. two inches, can receive the double proportion of Aer, fince the particles of that half fpace are but soco. Fither we mult grant that, before' compreffion, each fingle particle of Acr poffeffed wo particles of fpace, which is manifenly abfurd: or, that after Compreffion, each fingle particle of fpace doth contain two of aer, which is alfo abfurd, fince two bodies cannot at once poffers the fame place: or clife, that there were various Intervals Inane diffeminate among the particles of Acr, and then folve the Phænomenon thus. As the Grains of Corn, or Granules of Sand, being powred into a veffel ap to the brim, feem wholly to fill it, and yet by fuccuffion of the veffel, or depreffion of the grains upon the impofition of a great weight, may be reduced into a far lefs face; becaufe from a more lax and rare, they are brought to a more clofe and conftipate congeries, or becaufe they are reduced from an open, to a clofe order, their points and fides being more adapted for reciprocal contact quoad totas fuperficies, nor leaving fuch large Intervals betwixt them as before fuccuffion or depreffion. So likewife are the particles of aer included in the four-inched fpace of the Tube, by Compreffion or Coanguftation reduced downe to the impletion of onely the half of that fpace; becaufe from a more lax or rare Con. texture they are contracted into a more denfe or clofe, their angles and fides being by that force more difpofed for reciprocal Contingence, and leaving lefs Intervals, or empty faces betwixt them then before.

Art. 3.

## Experiment

 of an Aelipile, or Hermerical Bellows, atrefine a Vacuicy Diffenindie.Our Second Experiment is that familiar one of an Eolipile which having one half of its Concavity replete with $W$ ater, and the other with Aer, and placed in a right pofition near the fire : if you will not allow any of the fpaces within it to be empty, pray, when the Water by incalefcence rarefied into vapours, iffues out with thundering impetuo- fity through the flender perforation or exile outlet of its roftrum, fuccerfively for many hours together, how can the fame Capacity fill remain full ? For, if beforc incalefaction the particles of Water and Acr were equal to the number of the particles of fpace contained therein; Pray, when fo many parts both of Water and Aer. confociared

## Chap. IV.

 A Vacuum in Nature.confociated in the form of a vapour, are evacuated through the Orifice, mult not each of their remaining parts poffers more parts of the capacity, and fo be in many places at once? If not fo, were there not, before the incalefcence, many parts of Water and Aer crouded into one and the fame part of fpace, and fo a manifeft penerration of real dimenfions? Remains it nor therefore more verifimilous, that, as an heap of duft difperfed by the Wind, is rarefied into a kind of clond and poffeffech a far larger fpace then before its difperfion; becaufe the difgregated Granules of Duft intercept wider fpaces of the ambient aer: fo the remaining parts of Water and Aer in the cavity of the Eolipile poffefs all thofe Spaces left by the exhaled parts ; becauret they intercept more ample empry Spaces, being difpofed into a more lax and open contexture. And that this is caured by the particles of Fire, which intruding into, and wich rapid impecuofty agitated every way betwixt the fides of the Æolipile, fuffer not the parts of Aer and Water to quiefce, but difperfe and impel then varioufly: fo that the whole ipace feems conftantly full by reafon of the rapidity of the Motion.

The Third Meclanick Experiment, which may juftifie the fubmiffion of our affent to this Paradox, is this. Having prepared a horr Tapor of Wax and Sulphur grofly powdered, light and fufpend it by a fmall Wier in a Glafs Vial of proportionate reception, whercin is clean Fountain Water fufficient to poffers a fifth part, or thereabour, of its capacity: and then with a Cork fitted exactly to the Orifice, flop the mouth of the Vial fo clofely, that the eruption of the moft fubtle Atom may be prevented. On this you flall perceive the flame and fume of the Sulphur and Wax inftantly to diffure and in a manner totally poffefs the room of the Aer. and fo the fire to be extinguifhed : yet not that there doth ficceed cither any diminution of the Aer, fince that is imprifoned, and all poffibility of evafion pracluded; or any afcent of the Water, by an obfcure motion in vulgar Phyfiology called Suction, fince here is required no fuction to fupply a vacuity upon the deftitution of aer. But if you open the orifice, and enlarge the imprifoned Aer, you fhall then indeed manifefly obferve a kind of obfcure fưtion, and thereupon a gradual afcention of the Water : not that the flame dorh immediately elevate the water, as well becaure it is extinct, and the water doth continue elevated for many hours after its extinztion, as that, if the flame were continued, can it be imagined that it would with fo much tenacity adhare to the tapor, as is tequifite to the elevation of fo great a weight of water; but rather, that upon the Coanguftation or compreffion of the aer reduced to a very clofe order in the mutual contact of its infenfible particles, the empty fpaces formerly intercepred betwixt them being replenilhed with the exhalations of the tapor; when the orifice is deobturated, there fenfibly fucceeds a gradual expiration of the aroms of Fire, as the moft agile, volatile and prepared for motion, and then the aer, impelled by its own native Fluxibility, re-expands or dilates it felf by degrees. But fince the narrownefs of the Evaporato. ry, or orifice prohbibis the fo fpeedy reflexion or return of the compreffed particles of the aer to their naturall contexturc or open order, as the renitency of their fluxibility requircth, fo long as there
remain any of the atoms of Fire in poffeffion of their Vacuities, as long continues the reexpanfion of the Aer; and that reexpanfion preffing upon the fides of the water, caufeth it to afcend, and continue elevated. And no longer, for fo foon as the aer is returned to its native contexture, the water by degrees fubfideth to the bottom, as before the accenfion of the Tapor: and to that motion commonly called a Suction in avoidance of $V a$ cuity, is more properly a Protrision, caufed by the expanding particles of aer comprefled.

Art 5. No Combatititle in Ater: and fo the opinion of the Anliorcte. ans, that thie Extunftion of Fiaste imprilcened, is to be charge.. on the Defeit of Aer fories Jufienta: 12on; grofly er. roneOus.

If any pracipitous Curiofity fhall recur to this Sanctuary, that in the Subftance of the Aer is contained Aliquid Combuftibile, fome combuftible matter, which the hungry aftivity of the flame of the Tapor doth prey upon, confune and adnibilate : He runs upon a double abfurdity; (I) That in Nature is a fubftance, which upon the accidental admotion of Fire, is fubject to abfolute $A$ dnibilation, which to fuppore, fmels of fo great a wildnefs of Imagination as muft juftifie their fentence, who fhall confign the Author of to to feven years diet on the roots of White Hellebor, nor durft any man but that Elias Artium Helmont, adventure on the publique Pa . tronage of it. (2) That the Aer is the Pabulum, or Fewel of Fire : which though no private opinion, but paffant even among the otherwife venerable Sectators of Ariftotle (who unjuftly refer the Extinetion of flame imprifoned, to the Defection of Aer: as intimating that the deftruction of Fire, like that of Animals doth proceed from the deftitution of Aliment) is yet openly inconfiftent to Reafon and Experiment. To Reafon, becaule the Aer, confidered fincerely as Aer, without the admixture of vapours and exhalations, is a pure, fimple and Homogeneous fubetance, whofe parts are confimilar: not a compofition of heterogeneous and diffimilar, whereof fome fhould fubmit to the confumptive energie of Fire, and other fome (of the invincible temper of Salamandes Wool, or Mufcovy Glafs,) conServe their originary integrity inviolable in the highelf fury of the flames. Again, Themfelves unanimounly approve that Definition of Galen (lib.r. de Element. cap.1.) Elementa $\int$ ant natura prima ó fimplicifsima corpora, queque in alia non amplius difolviqueant: that it is one of the eflential Proprieties of an Element as to be ingenerable, fo alfo Indifoluble: and as unanimounly confticute the Aer to be an Element. To Experiment, becaufe had the Fire found (and yet it is exceedingly inquiftrive, efpecially when directed by A ppetite, according to their (uppofition) any part of the Aer inflamable; the whole Element of aer had been long fince kindled into an univerfal and inextinguable conflagration, upon the accenfion of the firf focal fire : nor could a flath of Lighening or Gunpowder, be fo foon extinct if the flame found any maintenance or fuftentaculum in the Aer, but would enlarge it felf into a Combuftion more prodigious and deftructive then that caufed by the wild a mbition of Phaecon. Moft true it is, that Fire deprived of aer, doth fuffer immediate extinction : yet not in refpect of Aliment denyed (for Nutrition and Vitality are ever convertible) but of the want of room fufficient to contain its igneous and fuliginous Exhalations, which therefore recoiling back upon the flame, coaretate, fuffocate, and fo extinguifh it. For upon the exceffive and impetuous fuddain afflation of aer, Flame doth inftantly perifh, though not imprifoned in a glafs: the caufe is, that the flame, not with teracity fufficient adhrering to the body of the tapor, or lamp, is eafily blown off, and being thus diflodged hath no longer fubfiftence in the aer. And Hear, beating upon
the outfide or convex part of a Glafs, feems fenfibly to dilate the Aer imprifoned within; as is manifett upon the teftimonie of all Thermometres, or Weather-Glaffes, thofe only which contain Chryfulca, or Aqua Fortis in ftead of Water, at leaft if the experiment be true, excepted: but Fire in the Concave or infide of the Glafs violently compreffeth the aer, by reafon of its fuliginous Emiffions, which wanting vacuities enough in the aer for their reception, recoil and fuffocate the fire.

The Fourth, this. Being in an intenfe froft at Droitwich in Worcefferflire, and feeding my Curiofity with enquiring into the Mechanick operations of the Wallers (fo the Salt-boylers are there called) I occafionally took notice of $\Upsilon c e$, of confiderable thicknefs, in a hole of the earth, at the mouth of 2 Furnace very great and charged with a Reverberatury fire, or Ignis rote. Confulting with my Phylofophy, how fo firm a congelation of Water could be made by Cold at the very nofe of fo great a fire; I could light on no determination, wherein my reafon thought ir fafe to acquiefce, but this. That the ambient Aer, furcharged with too great a cloud of exhalations from the fire, was forced to a violent receffion or retreat, and a frefh fupply of aer as violently came on to give place to the receding, and maintain the reception of frefh exhalations; and fo a third, fourth and continued relief fucceeded : and that by this continued and impetuous afflux, or ftream of new aer, loaden with cold Atoms, the activity of the cold could not bur be by fo much the more intenfe at the mouth of the furnace, then abroad in the open aer, by how much the more violent the ftream of cold aer was there then ellewhere. To complete and affure the Experiment, I caufed ewo difhes, of equal capacity, to be filled with river Water; placed one at the mouth of the furnace, the other fwb Dio: and found that near the furnace fo nimbly creamed over with Yce, as if that vifibly-freezing Tramontane Wind, which the Italian calls Cbirocco, had blown there, and much fooner perfectly frozen then the other. And this I conceive to be alfo the reafon of that impetuous fuction of a ftream of aer, and with it other light and fpongy bodies, through the holes or pipes made in many Chimneys, to prevent the repercurfion of fmoke.

From thefe obfervations equitably perpended and collated, our meditations adventured to infer
(I) That the Aer, as to its principal and moft univerfal Deftination was created to be the "A $4 \mu 8 \delta$ oxciov, or common RECEPTARY of Exhalations: and that for the fatisfaction of this End, it doth of neceffity contain a Vacuums Defseminatum in thofe minute and infenfible Incontiguities or Intervals betwixt its atomical Particles; fince Nature never knew fuch grofs improvidence, as to ordain an End, without the codeftination of the Means requifite to that End. To pravent the danger of mifconAtruction in this particular, we find our felves obliged to intimate; that in our afsignation of this Function or Action to the Aer, we do nor reftrain the aer to this ufe alone: fince Ignorance it felf cannot but obferve it neceffarily infervient to the Confervation of Animals endowed with the organs of Refpiration, to the tranfveition of Light, the convoy of odours, founds, and all Species and Aporrhæas, \&ec. but that, in allufion to thas Diftinction of Anatomifts betwixt the Action and USe of a Part, we intend; that the grand and moft General Action of the Aer, is the Reception or
ercertainment of Vapours and Exhalations cmitted from bodies lieuste in or near the Terraqueous Globe. And in this acception, allowing the Aer to be confitures the General Hefo to admit; we infinuate that it hath rooms wheeen to lodge the arriving Exhalat.ons: infomuch as the necellity of the one, coth import as abfolute a neceffity of the other ; the ex:fonce of the Final cres attefting the exiftence of the Condutive, or Med. aror, Cauíf.

Al: S. nreend as : m. hat: = Act 1- rewise 2t: 20 enc: actan Tme, or dchase prictivn: whicn carn: tevariserded wich int prociticesvio. lerce.
(2) That, chough the Aer be varioully interferffed with empty Inteiftees, or minute Incontiguities, for the reception of Exbalut.ons: yet dothit rece.ve them at a juif Rate, Tax, o: decerminat: Prepertien, conform to its own Capacity, of Exrenfibility; which carnot without Reluftancy and Violence be exceeded. For when the Vacuines, or Holds have taken in their juft portage, and equal fraught, the comprefied aer hoyfeth fail, bears off, and furrenders the Scene to the next advenient or vicine aet, which acteth the like pare fucceffively to the contiruation of the motion. This may be exemplified in the experiment of the Furnace and Chinimeys newly ment.oned, but more manitefly in that of the Sviphas aie Taper in the Vial: where the Aer, being overburthened with too great a conflux of fulicinous Exhalations, andits receffion impeded by the foopping of the Vial, it immediately recontractech it felf, and in that renitency exitriguithech by fuffocation the ruce Flame, which opprefied it with too copious an afflux. As allo in chole of Carooss and Nemes; which could not produce fuch portentous efiects, as are čayly obferved in Wars, if it were not in this refpert, that the Receptaries in the Acr flffer a raik or extenfion beyond their cue Capacities For, when the Powder fired in them is, in the fmalleft fubdivifion of time, fo much fubriliated, as to yeeld a Flame (according to the compure of Merfennus) of 10000 parts larger in extention, then if felf, while its Atoms remained in the clofe order and comp= $\mathfrak{E}$ form of Powder; and the Aer, by reafon of its imprifonment, is not able to recede, and bear off fo peecily, as the relocity of the motion requires: for avoidance of a murual Penerration of Dimenfors among the minute particles of the Fire, imoke, and its own, it makes aneruptioa with fo p:odig:ous an impetuofity, as to fhatter and evert all folid bodies firuate within the orb of :mpeciment.

Art..
Tine Ex.wence of lime iner Ggatisin the 4et, consim ci thy two coninderze'c Argunents.

For the further Confirmation of our Fir $/ \mathrm{I}$ Thefis, $=12$. That the Aer is interfferfed with various Porofities, or Vacuities, by reafon of the Incontiecuity of its inferfible Particles; and that there ferre to the recestion of all Exhalations: we thall fuperacd thefe two confiderable is:uments. (I) If this Facuum Difeminatame of the Aer be fubmoved, and an ab folute Plemisude in the Univerle from a Continuity of all its parts fuppofed: then muft cvery the fmalleft motion, with dangerous violence runthrough the whole Engine of the Wiorld, by reafon of that Continuisy. ( 2 ) If the Aer were not endowed wath fuch Porefities, other Bodies could never fuffer the dilatation orrarefection of themíl res: frice, upon the fubuiliation o: cillatation of their minute particles, ie. the remore of their Atoms from 2 clofe to an open contexture, they polfefs 100 a times larget Capacities: and fo there would be no room to entertain che continual Effaviams, exp:ring from all bodies paffing their natural viciffitudes and degenerazions.

| Chap. IV. $\quad$ Vacuim in Nature. |
| :---: | :---: |
| SE CT. III. |

TO thefe Fowe eminent Experiments, we might have annexed others numerous enough to have fwelled this Chapter into a Volume; but concciving them fatisfactory to any moderate Curiofity, and that it can be no difficulty to a Phyfiological Meditation, to falve any Apparence of the fame nature, by this Hypotbefis of a Vacuum Diffeminatum in the Aer, as the Carlfa finc qua non of its Rarefaction and Condenfation: we judged it more neeceflary to adddefs to the difcharge of the refidue of our duty, viz. to prefent it as verifimilous; that in the Water alfo are varioufly difperfed the like Vacuola, or empty foaces, fuch as we have not unfitly compared to thofe fia ninga'g, or Intervals betwixt the Granules of Sand in a heap, in thofe parts where cheir fuperficies are not contiguous, in refpect of the ineptitude of their. Figures for mutual contact in all points. And this feems to us fo illuftrious a Verity, as to require neither more attefation, nor explanation, then what this one fingular Experiment im. ports.

Tis generally known, that Water doth not diffolve Salt in an indefinite quantity, but ad certamtaxam, to a certain determinate proportion; fo as being once fated with the Tincture thereof, it leaves the overplus entire and undifolved. After a long and anxious ferutiny for a full folution of this Phenomenon, our thoughes happily fixed upon this: That, the Salt being in diffolution reduced (Analy $\sqrt{2}$ retrograda) into its moft minute or Atomical Particles, there oughe to be in the $W$ ater Confimilar or adæquate Spaces for their Reception; and that thofe Spaces being once replenifhed, the Diffolution (becaufe the Reception) ceafeth. Not unlike to a full fo. mach, which eructates and difgorges all meats and drinks fuperingefted : or full veffels, which admit no. liquor affufed above their brim. Hereupon, having firft reflected upon this, that the Atomical Particles of common Salt are Cubical; and thereupon inferred, that, fince the $L_{\theta c u s}$ muft be perfectly adxquate to the Locatum, they could only fill thofe empry fpaces in the water, which were alfo Cubical: we concluded it probable, that in the water there ought to be other empty fpaces ottobedrical, Sexangular, spharical, and of other Figures, which might receive the minute particles of other Salte, fuciu as Alum, Sal Ammoniac, Halinitre, Sugar, Sxc. afeer their diffolution in the fame Water. Nor did Experiment falficie our Conjecture. For, injecting Alum, parcel after parcel, for many dayes togecther, into a veffel of Water formerly fated with the cincture of common Sale; we then, not withour a pleafant admiration, oblerved that the water diffolved the Alum as fpeedily, and in as great quancity, as if is altogether wanted the tinture of Salt; nor that alone, for it likewife diffolved no fraall quantities of orher Salts alfo. Which is no obfcure nor contemptible Evidence, that water doth contain various infenfible Locillaments, Chambers, or Receptaries of different Figxres: and that this variecy of thofe Figures doth accommodate it to extract the Tinetures of feveral Bodies injected and infufed therein. So as it is exceedingly difficult, to evince by Experiment thatany Liquor is fo fated with precedent Tinctures, as nor

Art. I. That Water alfo contains Vaculata, empry
Space: demen Space:;demonfltated.

Art. 2. From the Experiment of the Diflolurion of Alum,Halinitre, Sal Ammoniac, and Sugat, in Water formerly fared with the Tindure of Common. Salt
to be capable of others alfo : efpecially while we cannot arrive at the exaot knowledge of the Figure of the Atomical Particles of the body to be infured, nor of the Figures of thore minute fpaces in the liquor, which remain unpoffeffed by chic former diffolutions.

Art. 3. The veriey of the Lord ki inns Alfertion, thar a repested
 haib accurtes as flrong i vertue Cathalfinal, .s a Fmp. $\operatorname{cinjufing~}$ of Scammy, in equal yusitiry: and why.

Art. 4.
Why two Drachms of Antumony im. pixgnate a pint of wine, with of frong 2 vomitory Faculty, astw 0 ounces.

Art. 5. Why cne and the lame Menfinuerm may her Curiched with $v$ rimis I: $n$ ethers.

Upon which reafon, we are bold to fufpect the truth of the Lord S. Albans affertion; Centur. 1. Nat.Hiff.) that by epeating the infufion of Rhubarb feveral times, letting each dofe thereof remain in maceration but a fmall time (in regard to the Fineness and volatility of its Spirits, or Emanations), a medicament may be made as ftrongly CatharCfical or Purgative, as a fimple infreion of Scamony in the like weight. For (1) when the empty fpaces in the Menfruum, or Liquor, which refpond in Figure to the Figure of the can admit no particles of the R hubarb, are replenifhed with its Tincture; they that two or threater fraught, but the Imbibition of Virtue ceafeth: and fpertive faces, infutions, at mof, fuffice to the repletion of thole refourth infufion lofert nothing of its Purgative Faculty thereby, but being taken out and fingly infufed in a proportionate quantity of the like liquor, it worketh as effectually as if it had never been infufed before. (2) Experience teftifiech the Contrary, viz. that a Drachm of Scamony fingly in. fufed in an ounce and half of White wine, doth operate (ceseris paribus) by 15 parts of 20 , more fmartly then 5 drachms of Rhubarb fucceffively infufed in the like quantity of the fame or any other convenient Liquor.

Here allo is the moft probable Caufe, why two Drachms of Antimony crude, or Crocus Metallorum, give as powerful a Vomitory impragnation to a Pint of Sack, or White wine, as two ounces: viZ. becaufe the menflessm hath no more Vacuities of the fame Figure with the Atomical Effrriums of the Antimony, then what fuffice to the imbibition or admiffion of the two Drachms. For the Certitude of this, we appeal to the experience of a Lady in Chefhire, who feduced by an irregular Charity, and an opinion of her own skill, doth pratend to the cure of the fick, and to that purpofe prepares her Catholique Vomitory, confifting of four Drachms and an half of crude fibium infufed all night in 3 or 4 ounces of White wine, and ufually gives it (without refpect to the individual eemperament of the Affument for one dofe to the fick: and yet, as our felves have more then once obferved, the infufion doth work with no greater violence, in fome perfons, then as much of our common Emetique Infufion prafcribed in the reformed Difpenfatory of our Venerable College. Nay more then this, our felves have often reduced the Dofe of the fame Emetique Infufion down only to 4 Scruples, and yet found its operation come not inuch fhort of the ufual Dofe of an ounce.

Hence alfo may be defumed a fatisfactory reafon for the impregnation of one and the fame Menflruum with various Tinstures: for tixample, Why an Infufion of Rhubarb, fated with its tincture, doth afterward extract the tinctures of Agarick, Senna, the Cordial Flowers, Cremor Tartari, \&cc. injected according to the præfeript of the judicious Phyfician, in order to his confection of a Compound Medicament requifite to the fatistastion of a Complex scope or Intention.

SECT.

AThird Argument, for the comprobation of a Vacuum Diffeminatum, may be adferred from the Caufe of the Difference of Bodies in the degrees of Gravity, refpective to their Denfity or Rarity, (i.e.) according to the greater or lefs Inane Spaces interfperfed among their infenfible Particles. And a Fourtb likewife from the reafon of the Calefaction of Bo. dies by the fubingrefs or penetration of the Atoms of Fire into the empty Intervalls varioufly diffeminate among their minute particles. But, in refpect that we conceive our Thefis fufficiently civinced by the Precedent Reafons; and that the confideration of the Caules of Gravity and CillefaEfion, doth, according to the propriety of Method, belong to our fucceeding Theory of Qualities: we may not in this place infift upon them.

And as for thofe many Experiments of Water- hour-glafes, Syringes, Glafs Fountains, Cuppinglafes, \&c. by the inconvincible Affertors of the Peripa. tetick Pbyfiology commonly objected to a Vacuity: we may expede them altogether in a word. We confefs, thofe experiments do, indeed, demonftrate that Nature doth abhorr a Vacuum Coacervatum; as an heap of Sand abhors to admit an Empty Cavity great as a mans hand extracted from it: but not that it doth abhor that Vactum Difeminatum, of which we have difcourfed; nay, they rather demonftrate that Nature cannot well confift without there fmall empty Spaces interfperfed among the infenfible Particles of Bodies, as an heap of Sand cannot confift without thofe fmall Interftices betwixt its Granules, whofe Figures prohibit their murual con. tact in all points. So that our Affertion ought not to be condemned as a Kænodox inconfiftent to the laws of Nature, while it imports no more then this ; that, as the Granules of a heap of Sand mutually flow together to replenifh that great Cavity, which the hand of a man by intrufion had made, and by es eraction left, by reaton of the Conflusxibility of their Nature : fo alfo do the Granules, or Atomical Particles of Aer, Water, and other Bodies of that Rare condition, flow together, by realon of the Fluidity or Confluxibility of their Nature, to prævent the creation and remanence of any confiderable, or Coacervate Vacuum betwixt them. To infance in one of the Experiments objected. Water doth not diftil from the upper into the lower part of a Clep $\int y d r a$, or Water-hour-glafs, folong as the Orifice above remains fopped; becaufe all places borh above and below are ful, nor can it defcend uncil, upon unftopping the hole, the aer below can give place, as being then admitted to fucceed into the room of the lateral aer, which alfo fucceeds into the room of that which entered above at the orifice, as that fucceeds into the room of the Water defcending by drops, and fo the motion is made by fucceffion, and continued by a kind of Circulation. The fame alio may be accommodated to thofe Veffels, which Gardners ufe for the irrigation of their Plants, by opening the hole in the upper part thereof, making the water iffue forth below in artificial rain.

It only remains, therefore, that we endeavour to folve that Giant $D$ ifffculty, propofed in defiance of our Vacuum Diffemisatum, by the mighey F Mereennus

Art.I. Two other Ar. gumbenes of a Vacuity Diffo minate inferrible from (i) the difference of Bodies in the degrees of Gravity: (2) the Calefaction of Bodies by the penetration of igneous Atoms into them.

Art. 2. The Experiments vulgarly adduced to prove no vacuiry in nature, fo far from denying. that they confefs a Difeminate one.

Ari. 3. The grand Dificulty of the Cauc of the Aers refficuciors of is felf:o iss naturalionrexture, atier rasefatirnand condenfation: taristed in brief.

Merfennus (in Phenomen. Pneumatic. propof. 31.) thus. Quomodo Vacuola, folito majora in rarefactione, definant, aut minora facta in condenfatione cref. cant iterum : quanam enim Elateria cogunt aerem ad jui reftitutionems? How do thofe Vacuities minute in the aer, when enlarged by rarefaction, recover their primitive exility; and when diminifhed by condenfation, reexpand themielves to their former dimenfions: What Elaters or Springs are in the aer, which may caufe its fuddain reftitution to its natural conftcution of infenfible particles?

We Anfirer; that, as it is the moft catholique Law of Nature, for every thing, fo much as in it lies, to endeavour the confervation of its originary fate; fo, in particular, it is the effential quality of the Aer, that its minute particles conferve their natural Contexture, and when forced in Rarefaction to a more open order, or in Condenfation to a more clofe order, immediately upon the ceffation of that expanding, or contracting violence, to refleet or reftore themfelves to their due and natural contexture. Nor need the Aer have any Principle or Efficient of this Reflection,, other then the Fluidity or Confuxibility of its Atomical Parts: the effence or Quiddin ty of which Quality, we muft referve for its proper place, in our enfuing theory of Qualities.

# CHAP. V. 

A Vacuum Praternatural.

Sect. I.



Efides a Natural, or Diffeminate Vacuity frequently in. tercepted betwixt the incontiguousParticles of Bodies (the Argument of our immediately precedentChapter) not a few of the higheft form in the fehool of Democritus have adventured to affirm not only the poffibility, but frequent introduction of a Praternatkral or Coacervate Inanity:fuch as may familiarly be conceived, if we imagine many of thofe minute inane fpaces congregated into one fenfible void fpace. To affift this Paradox, the autoptical teftimony of many Experiments hath been pleaded; c(pecially of that Glafs Foussain invented by Hero (praf.in Spirit.) and fully defcribed by the learned and induftrious Turnebus (in lib. de calore) and of that Brats Cylindre, whofe concave carries an Embolus, or fucker of wood, concerning which the fubtle Galileo hath no fparing difcourfe in the firlt of his Dialogwes: but, above all, of that moft eminent and generally ventilated one of a Glafs Cylindre, or Tube filled with Quickfilver, and inverted; concerning which not long after the invention thereof by that worthy Geometrician, Terricellius, at Florence, have many excellent Phyficomathematical Difcourfes been written by Monfieur Petit, Dr. Pafohal MerSennas, Gaffendus, Stephanus Natalis. Who, being all French, feemed unanimoully to catch at the experiment, as a welcom opportunity to challenge all the Wits of Europe to an æmulous combat for the honour of perficicacity. Now albeit we are not yet fully convinced, that the chief Phænomenon in this illuftrious Experiment doth clearly demonftrate the exiftence of a Coacervate Vacuity, fuch as is thereupon by many conceled, and with all poffible fubtlecy defended by that miracle of natural Science, the incomparable Merfenaus (in reflexionib. Phyficonathemat.) yet, infomuch as it affords occafion of many rare and fublime fpeculations, whereof fome cannor be folved either fo fully, or perficuounly by any Hypothefis, as that of a Vacusm Diffeminatum among the infenfible particles of Aer and Water; and moft promife the plealure of Noveity, if nor the profit of fatisfaction to the worthy confiderer; we judge it no unpardonable Digreffion, here to prefent to our judicious Reader, a faithful Tran. fcript of the Experinent, together with the moft rational folutions of all

Art. Io What is conceived by a $C_{0}$ acervatel acuity: and who was the Inventor of she famous Ey:periment of Quichfliver in z Glafs Tube, upon which ma. ny modern Phy fiologifls have erected their perfuafiin of the polf:bility of inrroducing it.

Experientiam apponam, cujus inven: ionem effo nécio qui alii ambitiofius f fbi arrogent; certio tamen mibic cons Sat, primum a Torricellio nobili magni Dиі" $A$ ITrurie Mathematico deteram, $\nLeftarrow c$. Achanaf Kircherus,inArtis maga. Confoni \& Dififanil. rp.11. in finguluriDigrefions
the admirable Apparences obferved therein, firf by Torricellius and the reft beyond Sea, and fince more then once by our felves.

## The Experiment.

Art. 2. A bishful de. fription of the Exp, riment, and all iis rare Pbenomena.

Haring prepared a Glafs Tube (whofe longitude is 4 feet, and the diameter of its concavity equal to that of a mans middle finger) and flopped wp one of its extremities, or ends, with a Seal Hermetical: fill it with Ruckfilver, and flop the other extreme with your middle finger. Then, has ing with a moft How and gentle motion (leff otherwife the great weight of she Quick filver break filled with equal parts of Quick filver and We ftopt by your finger into a Veffel untill the end of the Tubebe at leaft 3 or ater, not withdraping your finger filver: for, fo yous prevent all infinuation or intrulion of Aer. This dore, and the Tubc fixed in an erection perpendicular pofition; upon the fubduction of your finger from the lower orifice, you may obferve part of the Quickfilver contained in the Tube to defcend dpeedily into the reftagnant or fubjacens Quickfluer, leaving a certain fpace in the fuperior part of the Tube, according to apparexce at leaft, abfolucely Void or Empty: and part thereof (after fume Reciprocations or Vibrations) to rernain fill in the Tube, and poffefs its cavity to a certain proportion, or altitude of 27 digits, or 2 feet, 3 digits and an half (proximè) conflantly. Further, if your recline, with a gentle motion alfo, the upper extrense of the Tube, untill the lower, formerly immerfed in the Quickfilver, arife up into the region of the Water incumbens on the furface of the Q wickfluer: you may perceive the Q uickflelver remaining in the Tube to afcend by fenfible degrees up to the fuperior extreme thereof, together with pari of the Water; both thofe liquors to be confounded together; and, at length, the Quickfilver wholly to diftill domn in parcels, furrendring the cavity of the Twbe to the poffefsion of the Water. Likewife, if you recline the fuperior extreme of the Tube, untill its altitude relpond to that of 27 digits, fill retain. ing the oppofite extreme in the region of the fubjacent $\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q i c k j i l v e r}$ in the vefSel: then will the Quickfilver be ferfibly impelled up again into the Tube, un. till that pace formerly vacated be replenifhed. Finally, if, when the Quick. filver hath fallen down to the altitude of 27 digits, the Twbe be fuddainly edirced out of the fubjacent Quickfilver and Water, fo as to arrive at the consfines of the Aer; then doth the Aer rufh into the Tube below, with fuch impetwofity, as to elcuate the Quickfilver and Water contained in the Tube, to the top; nay, to blow up the fealed end theregf, and drive out the ligwors 4 or 5 feet perpendicular up in the aer; not wit bout jome terror, though not much danger to the Experimentator, efpecially if he do not expect it.

Now though it be here præicribed, that the Tube ought to be 4 feet in length, and the amplitude of its Cavity equal to that of an ordinary mans finger: yet is neither of thefe neceffary; For, whatever be the longitude, and whatever the amplitude of the Tube, fill doth the Quickfilver, after various reciprocations, acquiefce and fubfift at the fame fandard of 27 digits; As Dr. Pafchal junior found by experience in his Tube 15 feet long, which he bound to a fear of the fame length, fo to prevent the fraction thereof, when it was erected perpendicularly, replete with Quickfilver, (inlibrocuititulas, Experiences Novelles tonchant le Vuide.)
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Among thofe many (Natalis reckons up no lefs then 20) Atupendious Art.3.

Magnalities, or rare Effects, which this eminent Experiment exhibits to obfervation; the leaft whereof feems to require a fecond oedipus more perfpicacious then the firft, for the accommodation thereof though but to plaufible and verifimilous Caures, and might had Ariftotle known it, have been reputed the ground of his defparr, with more credit then that petty Problem of the frequent and irregular Reciprocation of Euripus: we have felected only fix, as the moft confiderable, and fuch whofe folution may ferve as a bright tapor to illuminate the realon of the Curious, who defire to look into the dark and a'sfruce Diboties of the reft.

## Sect. II.

## The Firfl Capital Diffculty.

| Hetber that Space in the Tube, betwixt the upper extreme thereof |
| :---: |
| and the Quickfluer delap fed to the altitude only of 27 digits, be | really an extire and abolute Vacuity?

Concerning this, fome there are who confidently affirm the fpace between the fuperfice of the Quickfilver defluxed and the fuperior extreme of the Tube, to be an abfolute COACERVATE VACUITIE: fuch as may be conceived, if we imagine fome certain fpace in the world to be, by Divine or miraculous means, fo exhaufted of all matter or body, as to prohibit any corporeal transflux through the fame. And the Reafons, ippon which they ereet their opinion, are thefe fubfequent.

This fpace, if poffeffed by any Tenent, muft be replenifhed either with common Aer, or with a more pure and fubtle fubftance called Ether, which fome have imagined to be the Univerfal Cament or common Elater, by which a general Continuity is maintained through all patts of the Univerfe, and by which any Vacuity is prævented : or by fome exhalation from the mafs of Quickfilver included in the Tube.

Firft, that it is not poffeffed by Aer, is manifeft from feveral frong and convincing reafons.
(1) Becaufe the inferior end of the Tube, $D$, is fo immerfed into the fubjacent mafs of Quickfilver below the line $E F$, that no particle of aer can enter thereat.
(2) Becaufe, if there were aer in the Tube filling the deferted face $C$ K, then would not the circumambient or extrinfecal aer, when the Tube is educed out of the reftagnant Quickfilver, and Water, rufh in with that violence, as to elevate the remainder of the Quickfilver in the Tube, from $K$ to $D$, up to the top $C$, and break it open; as is obferved: in regard, that could not happen without a penerration, of bodies. So chat, if we fuppofe any portion of aer to have flipped into the Tubebelow, at the fubduction of the finger that clufed the orifice : then would not the Mercury realcending (upors ch:
$\qquad$

The Aurliors, reafon, for his felection of only fix of the moft confiderable Phsnomena to explare the Caufes of thern.
the inclination of the Tube down to the horizontal line $K M_{s}$ ) rife up quite to the top $C$, but fubfift at $O P$. But the contrary is found upon the experiment.
(3) When the Tube, after the deflux of the Mercury to $K$, is reclined fo as the extreme $C$, be of the fame horizontal altitude with the point $K$, as is vifible in the Tube $L M$ : then doth the Mercury in the fubjea veffel reafeend into the farae, and again poffefs the defert Space $\mathbb{S C}$, or $N M$. This being fo, whither can the aer, if any the leaft portion of it were refident in the fpace $N M$, retreat, fince the extreme $M$, is hermetically clofed, and fo no way for its egreffion caa be pretended.

Art. 4. The Experimene prafeneed in lunnj/m.


$\triangle \mathrm{B}$, A Tube of Glafs, replete with Quicksilver.
A, The lower extreme ibereof, hermetically fealed.
B, The wpper extreme thereof; open.
DC, The fame Twbe inveried, and perpendicularly erected in a veffel frull of Quicksilver: fo as the orifice D, be not unglopped, untill it be smmerfed is the fubjacent Quick. filver.
HG I, A veffel filled up to the lise EF, with Quickfilver: and thence up so the brim H I, with Water.
C K, The l'acurnm, or Space defersed
by she Quick folver defcended
O C P, The guantisy of Aer fuppofed io have in finnased it felf as the fubducrion of the finger from the inferior orifice D.
K M, A Lise parallel to she Hori. 2on.
L M, The fame Tube again filled sith Quickfolver, and reclined watill the epper exsreene thercof becorme parallel to she fame horizontal altisude with K.
N , The diftance of 27 inches from L , as K from: D .
(4) If any portion of Aer chance to intrude into the cavity of the Tubes which may come to pals cither if, when the fuperior orifice of the Tube is inverted, it be not exactly obturated by the finger of the Experimentator; or, if at the extraction of his finger the lower extreme be not immerfed deep enough in the fubjacent Mercury, to prevent the fubingrefs of come aer; or, if the orifice of the
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Tube educed out of the region of the fubjacent Mercury and Water, be not wholly deobturated at once, but fo as there is only fome flender inlet of Aer: We fay, if in any of thefe Cales it happen, that fome fmall portion of aer be admitted into the cavity of the Tube; we have the evidence of our fenfe, and the moft infallible one too, that the aer fo admitted doth not afcend to the top $C$, but remaine vilible in certain fmall Bubbles (fuch as ufually mount up to the furface of feething water) immediately upon the fuperfice of the Mercury at the altitude of 27 digits $K$. As if, indeed, the aer were aterated, and in a manner chained down by the Magnetical Effuriums of the earth, together with the pendent Quickfilver: which having more Anfule or Faftnings, whereon the fmall Hooks of the Magnetical Chains exhaling from the Globe of the Earth, may be accommodately fixed, is therefore ateracted downward more forcibly, and, in that refpect, is reputed to have the greater proportion of Gravity. Again, If upon the inclination of the Tube, and the fucceeding repletion of the fa me by rhe regurgitating Mercury, that portion of aer formerly entered be propelled up to the top of the Tube, $C ;$ and then the Tube again reduced to its perpendicular, fo as the Quickfilver again deflux to $K$ :in this cafe the aer doth not remain at $C$, but finks down as formerly to $K$ alfo, and there remains incumbent upon the face of the Quickfilver. Which Defeent of the aer cannot be more probably referred to any Caufe, then the Attraction of the Magnetick Atreams of the Earth.
(5) Having admitted fome few Bubbles of aer to flide up by the margine of the Mercury into the defert Space K.C; and then reclined the Tube to the altitude of the horizontal line $K M$ : you may perceive the delapled Quickfilver not to be repelled up again quite to the top, as before the irreption of aer, but to make a ftand when it arrives at the confines of the included aer at $O P$; leaving fo much fpace, as is requifite for the reception of it. Nor can it do otherwife, without a penetration of Dimenfions, by the location of two Bodies in one and the fame place.
(6) Moreover, after the acquiefence of the Quickfilver at $K$, if you ftop the inferior extreme $D$, with your finger, while it remains immerfed in the reftagnant Quickfilver $E F$, fo as to preclude the irreption of any more aer; and then invert the Tube again: the Scene of the Defert Capacity $C \mathbb{K}$, will be changed to the contrary extreme ftopt by your finger, and yet withour the leaft fign of aer pervading the mafs of Quickfilver in a kind of fmall ftream of Bub $=$ bles, contrary to what evene's, when aer is admitted into the Tube in a fmall quantity, for in that cafe, upon the inverfion of the Tube, you may plainly behold an interleetion between the defeending Quickfilver and the afcending aer, which mounts up through it in a fmall ftream or thread of Bubbles.
(7) To thofe, who conceive that a certain portion of the Circumanant Aer, being forced by the compreffion of the reftagnant Mercury in the Veffel, rifing higher, upon the deflux of the Mercury contained in the Tube, doth penetrate the fides of the Tube, and for replenilh the defert Capacity therein: we anfwer; that though we deny nor but aer may penetrate the pores or Incontiguities of Glafs, fince that is demonftrable in Weather Glaffes, and in the experiment of Sis Kenelm

Sr. Kenelm Digby, of making a fenfible tranfudation of Mercury mixt with Aqua Fortis in a Bolt-head, through the fides thereof, if gently confricated with a Hares-foor on the outfide; yet cannot it be made out, that therefore the Defert Capacity in the Tube is porfeffed with Aer, for two inoppugnable reafons. (1) Becaufe chough the Tube be made of Brafs, Steel, or any other Metal, whofe conte $\div$ ture is fo clofe, as to exclude the fubtleft aer, yet fhall the Experiment hold the fame in all Apparences, and particularly in this of the deflux of the Quickfilver to the altitude of 27 digits. (2) Be. caufe, if the defert Cavity were replete with aer; the incumbent aer could not rufh in to the Tube, at the eduction of its lowerend $D_{5}$ out of the reftagnant Mercury and Water, with fuch violence; fince no other caule can be affigned for its impetuous rufhing into the Tube, but the regreffion of the compreffed parts of the ambient aer to their natural laxity, and to the repletion of the violent or forced Vacuity. Since, if the whole Space in the Tube were poffeffed, i.e. if there were as many particles of Body, as Space therein: doubtlefs, no part of place could remain for the reception of the irruent acr.

Art. $5 \cdot$ The Vacuity in the Defert Space, not prevented by the infinuation of $/$ 至ther.

Secondly, As for that moft fubtile and generally penetatrive fubftance, ETHER, or pure Elementary Fire. which fome have imagined univerfally diffured through the valt Body of Narure principally for the maintenance of a Continuity betwixt the parts thereof, and fo the avoidance of any Vacuity, though ne're fo exile and minute 3 . we do not find our felves any way obliged to admit, that the Defert Space in the Tube is repleted with the fame, untill the Propugrators of that opirion thall have abandoned their Fallacy, Petitio principii, a pracarious affumption of what remains dubious and worthy a ferious difpute, vit. That Nature doth irreconcileably abhor alt vacuity, per $\int$ e. For, until they have evinced beyond controverfic, that Nature doth not endure any Emptinefs or folution of Continuity, quatenus an Emptine $\int s$, and not meerly ex Accidenti, upon fome other finifter and remote refpect: their Pofition, that fhe provided that fubtile fubftance, Æther, chiefly to prevent any Emptinefs, is ramly and boldly anticipared, and depends on the favour of Credulity for a toleration. Nor is it fo foon demonftrated, as affirmed, that all Vacuity is repugnant to the fundamental conftitution of Nature.

Art. 6. A Parcdox, Hias Nature de th not ablior al vacuiry, per fe; buer nly cxac. cidenti, or in refpe $\hat{\text { é }}$ to fluxility.

Natur am abhorrere Vacuum, is indeed, a maxim, and a true one: but not ro be underftond in any other then a metaphorical fenfe. For, as every Animal, by the inftinct of felf-confervation, abhors the folution of Continuity in his skin, caufed by any puncture, wound, or laceration; though it be no offence to him to have his skin pinke or perforated all over with infenfible pores: fo alfo by the indulgence of a Meraphor, may Nature be faid to abhor any great or \{enfible vacuity, or folution of Continuity, fuch as is imagined in the Dclert Space of the Tube; though it be familiar, nay ufeful and grateful to her, to admit thofe infenfible inanities, or minute porofities, which conftitute a Faraum Diffeminatism. Wefay, by the indulgence of a Metaphor; becaufe we impore a kind of fenfe in Nature, analogous to that of Animals. And, tollerating this Meraphorical Speech, that Nature hath a kind of fenfe like that of Animals; yer, if we allow for the valtity of her Body can it be conceived no
greater trouble or offence to her, to admit fuch a folution of Continuity, or Emprinefs, as shis fuppofed in the Defert fpace of the Tube, then to an Animal, to have any one pore in his skin more chen ordinarily relaxed and expanded for the tranfudation of a drop of fiweas. This perpended, it can fecm no $\triangle$ ntiaxiomatifime, to affirm, that nature doth not abhor Vacuity, per fe, but onely e.x Accidenti : i. e. upon this refpect, that in Naturc is fomewhat, for whofe fake fhe doth nor, without fome reluctany, admit a Co.cervate or fenfible Vacuity. Now chat fomewhat exiftent in Nature per $\int_{e}$, in relation to which, fh: feems to oppofe and decline any fenfible Vacuity, can be no other then the Fluxility of her Atomical Particles, efpecially thofe of Fire, Air, and Water. And, for ought we poor Haggard Mortals do, or can, by the Light of Nature, know to the contrary, all thofe vaft fip.ces from the margent of the Atmofphere, whofe altitude exceeds not 40 miles (according to cxer ennus and $G_{a}$ af endus) perpendicular, up to the Region of the fixed Stars; are not only Fluid, but Inane; abating only thofe points, which are pervaded by the rayes of the Sun and other Celeftial Bodics. But, why fhould we lead the thoughts of our Rea. der up to remote objects, whofe fublimity proclaims their incertitude ; when from hence only, that the Aer is a Fluid fubftance: it is a mani feft, direet and unftrained confequence, that the immediate caufe of its avoidance of any fenfible or coacervate Vacuity, is the Confuxibility of its Atomical particles; which being in their natural contexture contiguous in fome, though not all points of their fuperficies, muft of neceffity prefs or bear each upon other, and fo mutually compel each other, that no one particle can be removed out of its place, but inftantly another fucceeds and poffeffes it; and fo there can be' no place left empty, as hath been frequently explained by the fimile of a heap of Sand? Now, if the Confluxibility of che infenfible particles of the aer, be the immediate and per $f$ e Caufe of its avoidance of any aggregate fenfible folution of Continuity : we need no farcher juttification of our pofition, that Nature doth oppofe vacuity fenfible nor per Se, but only in order to the affection of Confuxibility, i.e. ex Acci-

Again, flould we fiwallow this precatious fuppofition of the Atther, with no lefs pertinacity, then ingenuity afferted by many Moderns, but profeffedly by Natalis, in both his Treatifes (Pbyfica Vetus \& Nova, \& Plenum experimentis novis confirmatum) and admit, that Nature provided that moft tenuious and fluid fubftance chiefly to prevent Vaclity : yet cannor the Appetite of our Curiofity be fatisfied thar the Defert fpace in the tube is replenifhed with the fame, prenecrating through the glafs; untill they have folved that Apparence of the violent irruption of the ambient Aer into the orifice of the tube, , foon as it is educed out of the fubjazent liquors, the Quickfilver and Water, by the fame Hypochefis. Which whether they have done, fo as to demonftrate, that the fole caufe of the Acrs impetuous rulhing into the canale of the Tuhe, and prodigioufly elevating the ponderous bodies of Quickfilver and Water refiduous therein, is not the Reflux of the incumbent aer, by the afcention of the reftagnant Quickfilver in the veffel, compreffed to too deep and diffured a fubingreffion of its infenfible Particles, to recover its natural laxity, by regaining thofe fpaces, from which it was expelled and fecluded, and to fupply the defect of this reafon, by fubtitituting fome orher fynta xical to their hyporthefis of the ether, which fazll
be more verifimilous and plaufible: this we ought to refer to the judgment of thofe, who have attentively and aquitably perufed their Writings.

Art. 8. The Vacuity of the Defert rpace, nor prx. vented by an Halitus, or Spiritual Eftlux from the Mercury: for three convincing reafons.

Lafty, as for the third thing fuppofed to replenifh the Defert face in the Tube, viz. A certain fipiritual Efflux, or Halitus, in this exigent, edüced out of the Mais of Quickfilver, by a fecret force of Nature, which makes any fhift to avoyd that horrid enemy of hers, Inanity; we deny not the poffibility of extracting or exhaling a firitual fubftance from Quickfilver, fine enough to poffers fuch a fpace, without obnubilating it: but cannot conceive in this cafe, what fhould be the efficient of that Extraction; for whocan acquiefs in that General, a fecret Force of Nature? (2) What becomes of that Exhalation, when the Tube, meerly upon its reclination to the altitude of the Horizontal line, K.CM. is repoffeffed with Mercury; for, to admit its reduction to what it was before Separation, is to fuppofe a fecond fecret force in Nature fyncritical, or Conjunctive, Antagonift to the former Diacritical or Separative, which operateth withour Hear, as the other without Cold : and to admit, its expiration through the pores or incontiguities of the Glafs, is either to fuppofe the fame portion of Quickfilver rich enough in fpirit'to replenifh that Defert face a thoufand times fuc-, ceffively, in cafe the Tube be fo often elevated and reclined; for if all the fpiritual fubfance be once exhaufted, then muft that Fox, Nature, recur to another expedient, or elfe tollerate a vacuity Coacervate; or to fuppofe that the fame exhalation doth again return into the Gla/s, by the fame flender ways it expired, which is a Fancy worthy the fmile of Heraclitus. (3) J How this Halitus, in refpect it is profumed more rare and fubtile, then the aer admittible by the orifice of the Tube, upon its referation, can confift withour Inanity Diffeminate : which implicateth an Univerfal Plenitude.

And thefe are the Reafons, which at firftinclined our judgement to de termine on their part, who opinion the Defert $\int$ pace in the Tube to be an abfolute Coacervate Vachity.

Art.9. The Autbors Apoftacy from the opinion of an abfolure CoacervareVacuity in the defert space: in regard of

But, it was not long, before our fecond and more circumfpect cogitations, affited by time, which infenfibly delivered our mind from that pleafant enchantment of novel conceptions, and reduced it to that juft temper of indifferency, requifite to fincere difcernment and æquitable arbitration; perpending alfo the Arguments impugning the former perfwafion of a Coacervate Vacuity, and diminifhing it down onely to a Difeminate one in the Defert fpace of the Tube: found them, by incomparable exceffes, to preponderate the former, and with many more grains or moments of Verifimilty to counterpoyfe our judgement to their end of the balance. And the Arguments Negative, are thefe.

Art. 10. The poffibili. of the fubin. grefion of light.
(1) Manifeft it is even to the moft critical of our fenfes, that LIGH Tpenetrating the fides of the Glafs Tube, doth totally pervade the Defert Space : therefore it cannor be an abfolute fenfible Vacuum. Now, that Light is a Body, or that the rayes of Light are certain iCorporeal, though moft minute Effluviums tranfmitted from the luminous Body, or Focus; is a Truch fo univerfally embraced by all Knowing men, and upon fuch apodictical commendations, that here to demonftrate it, would not only be an unfeafonable Digreffion, but a criminal Parergy.
(2) Though
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- (2) Though the Tube might be made of fome metal, or ocher material, whofe contexture of Atomical Particles is fodenfe and compaet, as not to permit the trajection of the beams of Light; and though the Experiment would be the fane, in all Apparences, if made in the dark: yet may the Defert Space be poffeffed by the fubtle © Atoms of Heat, or Cold, proceeding from the ambient aer, and infinuating themfelves through the incontiguities of the Tube. That the Atoms of Heat and Cold ordinarily transfix Gla s , is evident from the Experience of Weather-glaffes: in which the caule of the defcent of the Water included, is the Rarefaction of the aer thercin by the Heat, and the caife of the afcent of the water in cold Weather, is the Condenfation of the fame aer by Cold; neither of which were pofiole, if the fubingreffion of Cold and Hor Atoms through the Glafs were excluded. And, that the aer incarcerated in a Thermometre, or Temperamental organ of Silver, Coper, or Brafs, is fub ject to the fame inutations of qualities, upon the fame vicifitude of Caures: hath been fo frequently experimented, as to cut off all prexext of diffidence. Which is alfo a fufficient manifett, thar the Atoms of Hear and Cold are more exile and penetrative, then thore of the common Aer of ufe to Animals in Refpiration: infomuch as they infinuate chemfelves through fuch bodies, whofe almoft continued parts interdict the inerufion of the groffer particles of Aer, which cannot permeate through ordinary Glafs. (i) Becaure, if you fhat your felf in a clofet, or chamber, that hath but one fmall window confifting of one entire pane of Glafs, and that fo cremented into Lead, as that no chinke is lefe berween; and whofe cranies as well in the door, as elfewhere are all damm'd up: you cannot hear the voice of another perfon, though fpeaking very loud and near the Glafs on the ourfide, notwithitanding youlay your ear clofe thereunto. Now, fince a Sound (at leaft the velricle of a found) can be noughe clfe, but a fubsle portion of the aer modified; as fhall be profeffedly commonftrated, when time hath brought us fo far on our prefent journey, as the proper place for our Enquiry into the Nature of Sounds: and yee this fo fubele and fine a portion of the aer cannot penetrate Glafs of an ordinary thicknefs: we have the auctority of no weak nor obfcure Reafon, to countenance this our Conjecture, that the Atoms of Cold and Heat, are more exile and fearching, then the common Aer. (2) If you include fnall Fihes in a large vial of the thinneft Glafs, filled with River water; they may live therein for many months, provided the orifice of the Glafs remain open and free to the ace : but, if you once ftop it, fo as to exclude the aer, they fhell expire in few moments. Whence we may conclude, that however Fifhes feem to have an obfcure kind of Refpiration, fuch as may be fatisfied with that finall portion of Aer, which is commixe with Water: yer is not that thin and fubtile aer, fuppofed to peneerate Glafs, the fame they (or any other *Animal) ure in Refpiration. Which had thofe grand Mafters of myiterious Difquifitions, Merfoninus and Robervallius animadverted; they mighe have foon divined, what would be the event of their intended Experimene, of including fome fmall Animal, as a Moufe or Grahopper, in a Glais of fufficient capacity, and luting on the fame on the top of the Tube, where the Defert Spice ufech to be, in the Experiment of Mercury, fo to try whether the vital organs thereof could keep on their masions in a place devoid of aer: info much as chat purer fubtance dim mant from the region of the circumjacent Aer, is noi corporeal cnough to ferve the necefficy of Refpiration in any finimal, though ne're fo minuse. The manner of

Are. 2 Of the Atoms or infenfíle bodies of $H$ tast and Coll:which are mach more exile and penetrative then common Aes.

A Vacuиm Preternatural.
making this Experiment, is, by Merfennus ( $\mathbf{p}$. So. reflect. phyficomathemat.) præeript, thus: Porro, opere pratium foret aliquam mufcam admodum vegetam \& robuftam, v.c. Crabronem, aut Vefpam, in tubo includere, priuf. quam Mercurio impleretur, ut pof depletionem ad altitudinem 27 digit. proximè, videretur num in eo Vacuo, aut, $f$ mavis, athere viveret, ambularet, vo. laret, \& num Bombus à volante produceretur.

Art. 12.
Of the Magnetical $E f f u x$ of the Earib: to which opini on the Author refigns his Afjent.
(3) Deducting the poffibility of both thefe, there yet remains a Third fubfance, which may well be conceived to prevent a Coacervate Vacuity in the forfaken fpace of the Tube: and that's the MA GNETICAL EFFLUX of the Earth. For (1) that the Terraqueous Globe is one great Magnet, from all points of whofe fuperfice are unceffantly deradiated continued Threads or beams of fubtle infenfible Aporrhears, by the interceffion whereof all Bodies, whofe Defcent is commonly adfcribed to Gravity, are attracted towards its Centre; in like manner as there are continually expired from the body of the Loadftone invifible Chains, by the interceffion whereof Iron is nimbly allected unto it: is fo generally conceded a pofition among the Moderns, and with fo folid reafons evicted by Gilbert, Kircher, Cartefius, Gajendus and others, who have profeffedly made difquifitions and difcourfes on that fubject; that we need not here retard our courfe, by infifting on the probation thereof.
(2) That, as the Magnetical expirations of the Loadfone, are fo fubtle and penetrative, as in an inftant to transfix and fhoot through the moft folid and compaet bodies, as Marble, Iron, foc. without impediment; as is demonftrable to fenfe, the interpofition of what folid body foever, fituate within the orb of energy, in no wife impeding the vertical or polory impregnation of a fteel Needle by a Magnet loricated, or armed : fo alfo the Magnerical Effluvias of the Globe of Earth do pervade and pals through the mafs of Quickfilver contained both in the Tube, and the Veffel beneath it, and fixing their Uncinulx or hamous points, on the Anfulx, or Faftnings of the Quickfilver therein, attrast it downward perpendicularly toward the Centre: is deduceable from hence, that if any Bubbles of aer chance to be admitted into the Tube together with the Quickfilver, that aer doth not afcend to the top of the Tube, but remains incumbent immediately upon the fummity of the Quickfilver, as being, in refpect of its cognation to the Earth, attracted and as it were chained down by the Magnetical, Emanations of the Earth tranfmitted through al interjacent bodies, and hooked upon it. For we thall not incur the attribute of arrogance, if we dare any man to affign the incumbence of the aer upon the Mercury, to any more probable Caufe. It being, therefore moft Verifimilous, that the Earth doth perpetually exhale infenfible bodies from all points of its fur. face, which tending upward in direct lines, penetrate all bodies fituate swithin the region of vapors, or Atmofphere withour refiftence; and particularly the maffes of Quickfilver in the Tube and fubjacent veffel: we can difcover no fhelf, that can diffwade us from cafting anchor in this fe. rene Haven; That the magnetical Exbalations of the Earth, do poffefs the Defert fpace in the Tube, lo as to exclude a fenfible Vacuity.

Art. 13. No abralure plenitude, nor abiolure Vacu$i y$, in the De : terr Space: but inls a Difemi. nate Vachity.

We faid, fo as to exclude a fenfible Vacuity, thereby intimating that it is no part of our conception, that cither the Rayes of Light, or the Atoms of Heat and Cold, or the Magnetical Effurvia's of the Earth, or all combined together, do fo enter and poffefs the Defert fpace, as to caufe an ab-
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folute Plenitude therein. For, doubelefs, were all thofe fubtle Effuxions coadunated into one denfe and folid mafs; it would not arife to a magnitude equal fo much as to the $10^{\text {th }}$, nay the $40^{\text {th }}$ part of the capacity abandoned by the delapfed Mercury. But fill it to that proportion, as to leave only a Vacusity Diffeminate: fuch as is introduced into an Æolipile, when by the Atoms of fire entered into, and varioufly difcurrent through its Concavity, the infenfible Particles of Aer and Water therein contained, are reduced to a more lax and open order, and fo the inane Incontiguities betwixt them ampliated. And this we judge fufficient concerning the folution of the Firft Difficulty.

## S ect. III.

## The Second Capital Difficulty.

UVHat is the immsediate Remora, or Impediment, whereby the wer, which in refpect of the natural Confluxibility of its infenfible particles, foftrongly and expeditely previenteth any exceffive vacuity, in all other cafes, is forced to Suffer it in this of the Experiment?

## The Solution.

Infomuch as the Fluidity, or Confluxibility of the Atomical or infenfible particles of the Aer, is the proxime and fole Caule of Natures abhorrence of all fenfible Vacuity; as hath been proved in the precedent Seetion: Manifeft it is, that whofoever will admit a Vacuity exceffive, or againft the rite of Nature, mult, in order to the introduction or Creation thereof, admit alfo two diftinct Bodies; (I) One, which being moved out of its place, muft propel the contiguous aer forward. (2) Another, which interpofed, mult hinder the parts of the circumftant aer, propulfed by the parts of the aer impelled by the firf movent, from obeying the Confluxi. bility of their Figure, and fucceeding into the place deferted by the body firft moved.

Which is the very fcope, that the profound Galileo propofed to himfelf, when He invented a wooden Cylindre, as an Embolus or Sucker to be in. truded into another concave Cylindre of Brass, imperviounly ftopped below; that by the force of weights appended to the outward extreme, or handle thereof, the fucker might be gradually retracted from the bottom of the Concave, and foleave all that fpace, which it forfaketh, an entire and coacervate Vacuum. Upon which defign Torricellius long after meditating, and cafting about for other means more conveniently fatisfactory to the fame intention; He moft happily lighted upon the prefent Experiment : wherein the Quickfilver became an accommodate fubftitute to Galileo's wooden fusker, and the Glafs Tube to the Brafs concave Cylindrc.
irt. 4. a:3:s w - Lirical carernally wants a fit rpace for it to circulare into.

The remaining part of the Difficuley, therefore, is only this relative Scruple; How the Aer can be propelled by the wooden fucker, downwards or by the reftagnalat Quickfilver in the Vefiel, upward, when externally there is provided no woid $\int$ pace for its reception For, indeed in the ordinary Tranflation of bodies through the aer, it is no wonder that the adjacent aer is propelled by them; fince they leave as much room behind them; as the aer propelled before them formerly poffeffed, whereinto it may and doth recur:but in this cafe of the Experiment, the condition is far otherwife, there being, we confefs, a place.left behind, but fuch as the aer propelled before cannot retreat into ir, in regard of the interpofition of another denfe folid \& impervious bo. dy. Ulpon which'confideration, we fermerly and pertinently reflected when reciting fome of thofe Experiments vulgarly objected to a Vacuum Biffeminatiom, we infifted particularly upon that of a Garden Irrigatory: fhewing, that the Reafon of the Waters fubfiftence, or pendency therein, fo long as the orifice in the Neb remains ftopped, is the defect of room for the aer preffed upon by the bafis of the Water to recur into upon its refignation of place; becaufe all places being full, there can be none whercinto the inferior aer may recede, until upon deobftruction of the hole above, the circumjacent aer enters into the cavity of the Veffel, and refignes to the aer preffed upon below, and fo the motion begins and continues by a fucceffive furrender of places. For, though the aer contiguous to the botrom of the Irrigatory, be not fufficient to refift the compreffure of fo great a weight of water, by the fingle renitency of the Confluxibility of its atomical particles; yet the next contiguous aer, poffeffing the vicine fpaces, and likewife wanting room to recede into, when compelled by the firf aer, aggravates the refiftence : which becomes fo much the greater, by how much the farther the preffure is extended among the parts of the circumjacent aer; and by fo much the farther, is the preffure of the circumjacent aer extended, by how much the greater is the preffure of the next contiguous aer; and that preffure is proportionate to the degrees of Gravity and velocity in the body defeendent. Which is manifeftly the reafon, why the water doth not defcend through the perforated bottom of the Veffel, vit. becaufe the Gravity thereof is not fufficient to counterpoyfe fo diffufed, prolix, and continued refiftence, as is made and maintained by the confluxibility of the parts of the circumambient aer fusceffively uniting their forces. the fati, by the Laxily of rbe Contex-
ture of the Aer sure of the Aer

Notwithftanding this feeming plenitude, we may abfolve our reafon from the intricacy of the Jcruple, by returning: that, though all places about the Tube are filled with aer, yet not without fome :axity. So, though there be, indeed, no fenfible or coacervate fpace, wherein there are not fome parts of the aer: yet are there many infenfible or aiffemizate fpaces, or Loculamenss varioufly interfperfed among the incontiguous (in all points) particles of the aer, which are unpoffeffed by any Tenent at all. For the familiarizing of this Nicety, let us have recourfe once again to our fo frequently mentioned example of a heap of Corne.

Art. 6. The rame illuflrated, by the adæquate fimile of Corne intufed into a Bufnel.

When we have poured Corne into a Buhhel up to the brim thercof; the capacity feems wholly poffeffed by the Graines of Corne, nor is there therein any (pace, which fenfibly contains not fome Graines: yet if we thake the bufhel, or deprefs the Corne, the Graines fink down in a clofer pofture, and leave a fenfible fpace in the upper part of the bufhel, capable
of a confiderable accefs or addition. The reafon is, that the Grains, at their firft infufion, in refpect of the ineptitude of their Figures for mutual contact, in all points of their fuperficies, intercept many empty fpaces betwist them; which difperfed minute inane fpaces are reduced to one great and coacervate or fenfible fpace, in the fuperior part of the Consinent, when, by the fuccuffion of the veffel, the Grains are difpofed into a clofer pofture, i.e. are more accommodated for mutual contingency in their ends and fides. Thus alfo may aer be fo compreffed, as the Granules, or infenfible particles of it, being reduced to a more clofe or denfe order, by the fubingreffion of fome particles of the aer neareft to the body Compreffing, into the incontiguities of the next neighbeuring aer; may poffefs much lefs of fpace, then before compreffion; and confequently furrender to the body propelling or compreffing, leaving behind a certain fpace abfolutely devoid of aer, at leaft, fuch as doth appear to contain no aer.

But this Difficulty, Hydra-like, fends out two new Heads in the room of one cut off. For, Curiofity may jufly thus expoftulate.
(1) Have you not formerly affirmed, that no body can be moved, but it muft compel the aer forward, to fuffer a certain fubingrefsion of its infenfible particles into the pores, or Loculaments of the next contiguous aer, fuch as is requifite to the leaving of a fpace behind it for the admiffion of the body moved? And, if fo; how comes it, that when moft bodies are moved through the aer, with fo much facility, and therefore caufe the parts thereof before them to intrude themfelves into the incontiguities of the next vicine aer, with a force fo fmall, as that it is altogether infenfible : yet in this cafe of the Experiment, is required fo great a force to effect the fubingreffion and mutual Coaptation of the parts of the aer?

The Caufe feems to be this. In all common motions of bodies chrough the liberal aer, there is left a Space behind, into which the parts of the aer

Art. 7.
A fubordinate fcruple, why mof bodies are moved through the Aer, with io litele refis
ffence as is imperceptible by fenfe? may inftantly circulate, and deliver themfelves from compreffion; and fo there is a fubingreffion and Coaptation of only a few parts neceffary, and confequently the motion is tolerated without any fenfible Refiftence: but in this Cale of the Experiment, in regard there is no place left behind by the Propellent, into which the compreffed parts of the aer may be effufed; neceffary it is that the parts of aer immediately contiguous to the body Propellent, in their retroceffion and fubingreffion comprefs the parts of the next contiguous aer; which though they make fome refiftence (proportionate to their meafure of Confluxibility) do yet ycild, retrocede, and intrude themfelves into the incontiguities of the next contiguous aer; and thofe making alfo fome refiftence, likewife yeild, retrocede, and infinuate themfelves into theLoculaments of the next, which aits the like part upon the next, and fo fucceffively. So that a greater force then ordinary is required to fubdue this gradually multiplied refiftence fucceffively made and maintained by the many circumfufed parts of the aer; and to effect, that the retroceffion, fubingreffion and coaptation of the parts of the aer be propagated farther and farther, untill convenient room be made, for the reception of the body Propellent.
(2) Whence do you derive this Refiftence of the Acr :

From its Gravity. For, the Aer of its own nature is Heavy, and can be

Art.g.
A recond dependent fcrufle concerning the Caufe of the fenfible refiftence of the Aer, in this cafe of the Experiment: to. gerher with the farisfattion thereof, by the Gravity of Aes
faid to be Lightonly comparatively, or as it is lefs ponderous then Water and Earth: nor can there be given any more creditable reafon of the Aers tendency upward here below near the convexity of the Earth, then this; that being in fome degree ponderous in all its particles, they defcend downwards from the upper region of the Armofphere, and in cheir defcent bear upon and mutually compel each other, unnill they touch upon the furface of the Earth, and are by reafon of the folidity and hardnefs thereof repercuffed or rebounded up again to fome diftance: fo that the motion of the Aer upwards near the face of the Earth, is properly Reflition, and no natural, but a violent one. Now, infomuch as the Aer feems to be no other, but a common Mifcelany of minute bodies, exhaled from Earth and Water and other concretious fublunary, and proportionately to their Craffi-: tud: or Exility, emergent to a greater or lefs alcitude: it can be no illegal procefs for us to irfer, that all parts thereof are naturally endowed with more or lefs Gravity proportionate to their particular bulk; whether that Gravity be underfood to be (as common Phyfrology will have it) a Quality congenial and inherent, or (as Verifimility) their conformity to the magnetick Attraction of the Earth. And, infomuch as this Gravity is the caufe of the mutual Deprefsion among the particles of aer in theirtendency from the upper region of the Atmofphere down to the furface of the Earth: we may well conceive, that the Deprefsion of the inferior parts of the aer by the fuperior incumbent upon them, is the origine immediate from whence that Reluctancy or Refifence, obferved in the Experiment, upon the induction of a preternatural Inanity between the Parts thereof. But a farther profecution and illuftration of this particular, depends on the folution of the rext Problem.

## Sect. IV.

## The Third Capital Difficulty.

The Srate of the Tinird Difficulty. minate Altitude, or Standard of 27 dizits?

Art. 2.
The Solution thereof in a Word.

Art. 3.
Three pracedenc pofirions briefly recog: nifed, in order to the worthy profounding of the myftery, of the Aers refifting Compreffion beyoud a certain rate, or determinate pupartion.

The Refifence of the parts of the aer, which endures no comprefsion, or fubingrefs of its infenfible particles, beyond that certain proportion, or de. terminate rate.

To profound this myftery of Nature to the bottom, we are to requeft our Reader to endure the fhort recognition of fome paflages in our pracedent difcourfes. (1) That upon the ordinary tramflation of bodies through the Aer, the refiftence of its infenfible parts is fo fmall, as not to be difcoverable by the fenfe; becaufe the fubingrefsion of its contiguous parts into the loculaments of the next vicine aer, is only perexile, or fuperficial: and that we may fafely imagine this fuperficial fubingrefsion not to be extended beyond the thicknefs of a fingle hair; nay, in fome

## Solution.

cafes, perhaps, not to the hundrech part thereof. So ftupendioufly fubtle are the fingers of Nature in many of her operations. Put, that the refittence obferved in the prefent Experiment, for the enforcing of a prixternatural Vacuum, is therefore deprehenfible by the fenfe, becaule in refpect of a defect of place behind the body propellent, into which the parts of the acr compelled forward may circulate, the fubingreffion muft be more profound; and fo the refiftence being propagated farther and farther by degrees, muft grow multiplied, and confequently fenfible. (2) That the Force of the body propellent is gieater, then the force of the next contiguous aer protruding the next, and the force of the third procruded wave of the aer (for a kind of Undulation may be afcribed to aer) greater on the Fourth, then that of the Fourth upon the Fifth, and fo progreffionally to the extrem of its diffufion or extenfion: fo that the Force becomes fo much the weaker and more oppugnable, by how much the farther it is ex tended; and dwindles or languimes by degrees into a total ceffation. (3) That, as upon the fuccuffion, or hock of a Bumpl apparently full of Corn, is left a certain fenfible fpace above, unpoffeffed by any part or Grain thereof; which coacervate empry face refponds in proportion to thofe many Difleminate Vacuola, or Loculaments intercepted among the incontingent fides of the Grains, before their reduction to a mare clofe order by the fucculsion of the Buthel: fo likewife, upon the impulfe of the aer by a convenient body, is left behind a fenfible fpace ab folutely empty, as to any part of aer, which Coacervate empery face muft refpond in proportion to thofe many Diffeminate fpaces intercepted among the incontiguous pares, or Granules of the aer, before their reduction to a more clofe order, or mutual fubingrefsion and coapeation of fides and points, by the body comprefsing.

Thefe Notions recogitated, our fpeculations may progrefs with more advantage to explore the proxime and proper Caufe of the Mercuries conflant fubfiftence at the alticude of 27 digits, in the Tube. perpendicularly erected. For, upon the credit of their importance, we may juftly aflume; that upon the comprefsion of the circumambient Aer by a fmall quantity of Quickfilver (fuppofe only of two or three inches) impendent in the concave of the tube, can be caufed, indeed, fome fmall fubingrefsion of the particles thereof; but fuch, as is only fuperficial and infenjible: in refpeat the weight of fo finall a proportion of Quickfilver is not of force fufficient to propel the parts of the aer to fogreat a crafsitude that the fpace detracted from the Aggregate of Diffeminate Vacuities hhould amount to that largnefs, as to become vifible above the Quickfilver in the Tube; fince the quantity of the Quickfilver being fuppofed little, the force of Reluctan. cy, or Reffiftence in the parts of the aer, arifing from their inhærent Fluidity, inuft be greater then the force of compreffion arifing from Gravity;

Art. 4. The ©. F quponderancy of the Exterial Acr, pendent upcri the furface of the Reffagnani Mercury, in the veffiel, to the Cylindre of Mercury refiduous in the Tube, at the altitude of 27 digifs: the caufe of the Mercuries cunflant fubfiflence ar that puint. and therefore there fucceeds no fenfible Deflux of the Quickfilver. Bur, being that a greater and greater mais of Quick filver may be fucceffively infufed into the Tube, and fo the compreffive force of its Gravity be refpetively augmented; and thereupon the aer become lefs and lefs able fucceffively to make refiftence: 'tis difficule not to obferve, that the proportion of Compreffion from Gravity in the Quickfilver, tray be fo equalized to the Reffiltence from Gravity in the Aer, as thar both may remain in ftatru quo, without any fenfible ycilding on either fide. Hence comes it, that at the xquipondium of thefe two Antagonifts, the fpace in the

Tube detracted from the Aggregate of minute Inanities diffem inate in the aer, is fo fmall as not to be commenfurated by fenfe: and at the ceffation of the Equilibrium, or fucceding fuperiority of the encreafed weight of the ©uickfilver, the parts of the Aer being compelled thereby to a farther retroceffion and fubingreffion; the fpace detracted from the Aggregate of diffeminate Vacuities in the aer, becomes larger, and confequently feníble, above the Quickfilver in the upper region of the Tube.

Art. 5. A conventent fimile, illuftrdting and enforcing the Sane.

Art. 6.
The Remainder of the Difficulty; viz. Why the 厓: quilibrium of there treo oppofre meights, the Mercury and the Aer, is conflant to the pra. cife altitude of 27 digits: re. moved.

Art. 7. Humare Perfpicacty terminated in the exrerior parts of Nature, or fimple Apparitions: which cluding our Cognition,frequentiy fall ander no other comprehenfion, but that of ratiosual Conjecturc.

This may be moft adxquately illuftrated, by the fimilc of a ftrong man, ftanding on a plane pedeftal, in a very high wind. For, as He by a fmall afflation or gutt of wind, is in fome degrec urged or preft upon, though not fo much as to caufe him to give back; becaufe the force of his refiftence is yet fuperior to that of the Wind affaulting and impelling him; nor, when the force of the Wind grows upon him even to an Eqquilibrium, is He driven from his ftation, becaufe his refiftence is yet equal to the impulfe of the wind; but when the force of the Wind advances to that height, as to tranfeend the Equilibrium, then muft the man be compelled above the rate of his refiftence, and fo be abduced from the place of his ftation: fo likewife, white there is only a fmall quantity of Quickfilver contained in the Tube, though, by the intervention or mediation of the Quickfilver reftagnant in the fubjacent veffel, it prefs upon the parts of the incumbent aer, in fome degree; yet is not the aer thereby urged fo, as to be compelled to retrocede, and permit the reftagnant Quickfilver to afcend higher in the veffel; and therefore the Quickfilver impendent in the Tube cannor defeend, becaule the reftagnant wants room to afcend. Bur, when the quantity, and fo the Gravity of the Quickfilver contained in the Tube is fo atigmented, as to exceed the Reliftence of the aer; then is the aer compelled or driven back, by the reftagnant Quickfilver rifing upwards, to a fenfible fubingreffion of its atomical particles, and the Quickfilver in the Tube inftantly defluxeth into the place refigned by the reftagnant, until it arriveshat that point of altitude, or ftandard, where the refiftence of the aer becomes again equal to the force compreffing it, and there fubfiftech, after various reciprocations up and down in the Tube.

Now concerning the remaining, and, indeed, the moft knotty part of the Difficulty, viz. Why the Equilibrium of thefe two oppofite Forces, is confant to the certain precife altitude of 27 digits? of this admirable Magnale no other caule feems worthily affignable, but this; that fuch is the mature of aer, in refpect bush of the atomical particles of which it is compofed, and of the dilleminate vacuities variouly inter $\int$ perfed among them, as that it doth refift comprefsion at fuch a determinate rate, or definite proportion, as ex. actly relponds to the altitude of 27 digits. Should it be demanded of us, Why He, who ftands on a plane, doth refift the impulfe of a mighry wind to fuch a determinate rate or height, but not farther: we conceive our Anfiwer would be fatisfactory to the ingenious, if we returned only, that fuch is the exad proportion of his ftrength, refulting from the individual temperament of his body.

Wearcecren i.e. Choles; whore weak and narrow Cpticks are ac. commodated only to the infpection of the exterior and low parts of Nature, not perfpicacious enough to penetrate and transfix her interior and $a b / \mathbb{R}^{2} u f$ E Excellencies: nor can we fpeculate her glorious beauties in the diret and
incident
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incident line of E/fences and Formai Caufes, but in the refracted and reflected one of Effe7s; nor that, without fo much of oblcurity, as leaves a manifeft incertitude in our Apprehenfions, and reftrains our ambition of intimate and apodictical Science, to the humble and darkfome region of mere fuperficial Conjecture. Such being the condition of our imperfect Intellectuals; when we cannot explore the profound receffes, and call forth the Formal Proprieties of fome Natures, but find our difquifitive Facultics terminated in the fome 1 pparences, or Effects of them : it can be no derogation to the dignity of Humanity, for us to reft contented, nay thankful to the Bornty of our Creator, that we are able to erect verifimilous ConjeCtures concerning their caufation, and to eftablifh fuch rational Apprehenfions or Notions thereupon, as may, withour any incongruity, be laudably accommodated to the probable folution of other confimilar Effects, when we are required to yeild an account of the manner of their arife from their proper originals. Thus, from our obfervation of other things of the like condition, having extracted a rational Conjecture, that this fo great Gravity of the 2 wickjiluer doth depend upon the very Contexture of its infenfible particles, or minute bodies, whereof it doth con. fift, by which they are fo clofely and contiguounly accommodated each to other in the fuperficies of their points and fides, as no body whatever (Gold only excepted) doth contain more parts in fo fmall a bulk, nor confequently more $\cup$ Infule, or Faftnings, whereon the Magnetique Hooks of the Earth are fixable, in order to its attraction downward: and on the conerary, that the fo little Gravity of the eser, depends on a quite difsimilar Contexture of its infenfible particles, of which it is compofed, by which they are far lefs clofely and contiguoufly adapted each to other, and fo incomparably fewer of them are contained in the like fpace, and confequently have incomparably fewer AnJule or Faftnings, whereon the Hooks of the Magnetick Cbains of the Earth may be fixed: having, we faid, made this probable conjecture, what can be required more at our hands, then to arreft Curiofity with chis folution; that the Aer is of fuch ${ }^{2}$ Nature, i.e. confifteth of fuch infenfible particles, and fuch Inane Spaces interferfed among them, as that it is an effencial propriety of it, to refift compreffion, to fuch a determinate rate, and not beyond? Had we bin born fuch Lyncei, as to have had a clear and perfpect Knowledge of the Atoms of Aer, of their Figure, magnitude, the dimenfions of the Inane fpaces intercepted among them, of the facility or difficulty of their reciprocal adaptation, of the meafure of their Attraction, the manner and velocity of their Tendency, \&zc. then, indeed, might we, without any complex circumambage of Difcourfe, have rendered the exprefs and proper Reafon, why the Aer doth yeild precifely fo much, and no more to the Gravity of the Quickfilver compreffingit. Since we were noe, it may be repured both honour and fatisfaction, to fay; that it is effential to the Na tures of Mercury and Aer, thus and thus oppoled, to produce fuch and only fuch an Effect.

Art. ${ }^{2}$
The conftane fubfiftence of the Mercury ai 27 digits, adicriptive rather to the Refifence of tie Aer, then coany occult Quality in the Tube Mircury.

Tube doth alfo defcend to the point of Æquipondium, and ftops at the al. titude of 32 Feer, nor more, nor lefs; and in that altitude becomes æquiponderant to the Mercury of 27 digits. So that it is manifeft, that with what Liquor foever the Tube be filled, fill will the Aer refift its deflux at a certain meafure: provided only, that the Tube be long enough to receive fo much of it, as the weight thereof may equal that of the Mercury at 27 digits, or the Water at 32 feet.

Art 9. The Anallegy beewivt the Abfolure and Refrective E. quality of weight:s, of Quick fiver and Water, in the dififent alritudes of 27 digits and 32 feet.

Here we meet an opportunity alfo of oblerving to Him, by how admirable an Analogy this refpetive Æquality of the weights of Quickfilver and $W$ ater, in thefe fo different altitudes, doth confent with the abfolute weight of each. When, as the weight of Quickfilver carries the fame proportion to the weight of Water, of the fame meafure or quantity, as I 4 to I: fo reciprocally doth the Altitude of 32 fect, carry the fame proportion to 27 digits, as $14^{\text {to'I. And hence comes it, that, if Water be }}$ r peraffufed upon the reftagnant Quickfilver in the veffel under the Tube; the Quickfilver doth inftantly afcend above the ftandard of 27 digits, higher by a $14^{\text {th }}$. part of the water fuperaffuled. Which truly, is no immanifeft argument, that the Aer, according to the meafure of its weight, or the precife rate of its refiftence, becomes æquilibrated to the Mercury at the altitude of 27 dig. fince the fuperaffufed Water doth no more then advance the Æquilibrium according to the rate of its weight, or proportion of refiftence. Befides, it is farther obfervable, that becaule the Tube is replenifhed by a $14^{\text {th }}$ part in 27 dig. of the alcitude, above the firt E quilibrium (a proportionate accefs to the Mercury in the Tube, being made by a like part of that in the fubject veffel, impelled into it ) therefore is the $V$ acuum above the Mercury in the Tube, diminifhed alfo by one $14^{\text {th }}$. part; and the compreffion of the Aer, impendent on the furface of the reftagnant Mercury, relaxed and diminifhed alfo by a $14^{\text {th }}$ part. So that if the veffel underneath the Tube be large enough to admit an addition of Water fucceffively affufed, until fo much of the reftagnant Mercury, as formerly defcended, fhall be again propelled up into the Tube : then muft the whole Tube be replenimed, and fo the whole Vacuity difappear, for then all Compreffion of the incumbent aer ceafeth, and fo much fpace as was poffeffed before the Experiment, both without and within the Tu'se, by the Mercury, Water, Aer, is again repleted.

Art. 10. The definite weights of the Mercury at 27 digits, and Water ar 32 feer, in a Tube of the third part of a digit is diamerre; found to be near upon two pourd, Paris weight.

* Confulendus Merfennus, in trall. de Menfu$r$ is \& ponderi. bus, cap.1. d $d \tau$. reflettion. phyfis somathemar. p . 329.

If you fhall ftill infift, and urge us to a precife and definite account of the weight of the Quickfilver contained in the Tube to the altitude of 27 digits, and of the Water of 32 feet; which makes the Æquilibrium with the oppofite weight of the circumftant Aer: our $A n /$ wer is, that the exact weight of neither can be determined, unlefs the juft Diameter or Amplitude of the Tube be firt agreed upon. For albeit neither the Longitude nor the Amplitude of the Tube makes any fenfible difference in this Phxnomenon of the Experiment, the Æquilibrium being fill conftant to the fame altitude of 27 digits, tor the Mercury, and 32 feet for Water: yet, according as the Cavity of the Tube is cither fmaller, or greater, muft the weight of the Liquors contained therein be either lefs, or more. Since therefore, we are to explore the definite weight of the Liquor contained, by the determinate Amplitude of the Tube containing; fuppofe we the Diametre of the cavity of the Tube to be one third part of 'a * Digit, and we fhall find the weight of the Quickfilver, from the bafe to the altitude
of 27 digits, to be near upon two pound, Paris weight : and upon corfequence the weight of Water in the fame Tube, of 32 feet in altitude, to be the fame; and the weight of the Cylindre of Acr, from its bafe incumbent on the furface of the reftagnant Quickfilver, up to its top at the fummity of the Atmofphere, to be alfo the fame; otherwife there could be no Æquilibrium. Here, as a Corollary, we may add, that infomuch as the force of a body Atrrahent may be æquiparated to the weight of another body fpontancoufly defcending or artracted magnetically by the Earth : thereupon we mitay conclude, that the like proportion of weight appended to thic handle of the wooden Sucker, may füfice to the introduction of an equal vacuum, in © calileoo's Brafs Cylindrc.

Art. I 1.
But, perhaps, you'l object; that this Feems rather to entangle then diffolve the Riddle. Since by how much the laiter the cayity of the Tube, by fo miuch the greater the quantity, and fo the weight of the Quick filver
contained: and by hovis much he contained : and by how much the greater the weight, orf force of the Depriment, by fo much the more muft the Depreffed yeild, and confequenenty; fo much the lower mult the eqquilibrium be fated.

To extricate you from this Labyrinch, we retort; that the caufe of the Equilibriums conftancy to the point of 27 digits, whatever be the quantity of the Mercury contained in the Tube, is the fame with hhat, which makes the defcent of two bodies of the fame matter, buir diffetent weights, to be e Equally Swift: for a bullet of Lead of an ounce, falls down as iwiftly as one of $10 \bigcirc$ pound.' For, in refpect, that a Cylindree of Quickfilver contained in a Tube of a large diametre, doth noo defeend more fivifty, cthen a Cylindre of Quickfiver contained ${ }^{\text {I }}$ If Tube of 1 nartow diamectre therefore is it, that the one doth not ptefs the bottom, upon which as its Bare, it doth impend, miore violently then the" other doth prefs upon iss Bafe; and conlequendly, the reftagnanté Quick filvet about the larget Cylindre doth not, in its elevation or rifing upwärd; more comprefs the Bafis of the impendent Cylindre of Acr', then what is reftagnant abour the leffer Cylindre. Whereupon we'may conclide 's, that agreat Cylindre of Aer refifting a great Cylindre of Quickfilver, no lefs then a friall doth refift a friall : therefore ought the Æquilibrium 'bel twixt the depreffare of the Quick filver', and the refiftence of the circturi' ftant Aer, to be conftant to che altitude of 27 digits, afwell in a large, as a narrow Tube. Which reafon may alfo be accommodated to Water and all orher Liquors.

2usere, Why the $E$. quilbrium is confunct to the fame point of allitude in ${ }^{a}$ Tube of a large concave, as well as in one of a frall; whien theforce of the Deprimeat muff be greater in the one, then the orher.
Art. 12.
The follution thereof by tije appropriatioi: of the fame Caufe, which makes the defecent of two bor dies. of dififerent weibbts, aywi. velox.


## The Fourth Capital Difficulty.

Art. 1. VTHy is the defiux of the quickfilver alwayesfinted at the altitude of The Fourth Capital Diffis culty propo. fed. more ereas ${ }^{2}$ nable dig that , thourgh in Tubes of different longitudes? when it feems in the upper part of the Tube, which holds proportion to the Long itude there of, the Comprefsion, and $\int_{0}$ the Reffernce of the Aer circumpendent ousht allo to be cnccreafed proportionately: and confequently, that the e Equilibrium ought to be fo much the higher in the Tube, by how much the greater Refffence the Aer makes withowt; becaufe, by how muich a larger Space is detr acted from the Aer, by fomuch more diffufed and profound mufl the Jubingref sion of its Atomical Particles be, and $\int$ o the greater its refjefence.

## Solution.

Art.2. Certain it is, afwel upon the evidence of fenfe, as the conviction of feveThe foll folu- ral demonftrations excogitated chiefly by CMer fennus (in Pbenome Ry. tion thereof, by demonftraz tion.

Art. 3. The fame confirmed, by the theory of the Gaure of the Mercuries frequent Reciprocations, before it acquiefce ar the poine of f.quipondiun.
draulic.) that a Cylindre of any Liquor doth with fo much the more force or Gravity impend upon its Bafe, or bottom, by how much the higher its. perpendicular reacheth, or, by how much the longer it is : and confequently, having obtained a vent, or liberty of Exfilition below at its Bafe, iffues forth with fo much the more rapidity of motion. And this fecret reveals what we explore. For, according to the fame fcale of Proportions, we may warrantably conceive; that, by how much the higher the Cylindre of Quickfilver is in the Tube, by fo much the more forcibly it impendeth uponits Bafe, in the Reftagnant Quickfilver; and fo having obtained a vent below, falleth with fo much the more rapidity of motion or exfilition thereupon: and upon confequence, by fo much the more violently is the incumbent Aer compreffed by the reftagnant Quickfilver afcending, its refiftence overcome, and the fubingreffion of its infenfible particles into the inane Loculaments of the vicine aer, propagated or extended the farther; and the fpace detracted from the Aggregate of Diffeminate Inanities, fo much the larger, and confequently the Coacervate Vacuum apparent in the fuperior region of the Tube, becomes fo much the greater. And, becaufe the Refiftence made againft the fubingreffion, dilating or diftending it felf, is in the inftant overcome, by reafon of a greater impulfe cauled by the Cylindre of Mercury defcending from a greater altitude; and that refiftence remains, which could not be overcome, by the remnant of the Mercury in the Tube, at the height of 27 digits: thetefore, is this Remain. ing Degree of refiftence, the manifet Caufe, why the Mercury is Fiquili brated here at the point of 27 digits, afwell when it falls from a high as a low perpendicular.

This may receive a degree of perfpicuity more, from the tranfitory ob. fervation of thofefrequenc Reciprocations of the Quickfilver, at the firft deflux
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defiux of it into the reftagnant, before it acquiefce and fix at the point of Æqwiponderancy: no otherwife then a Ball bounds and rebounds many times upon a pavement, and is by fucceffive fubfultations uaceffandy agitated up and down, untill they gradually diminifh and determine in a ceffation or quies. The Caufe of which can be no other then this; that the extreme or remoteft fubingrefsion of the infenfible particles of the Aer, is (we confefs) propagated fomewhat farther, then the necefficy of the A quipondium requireth, by reafon of a new accefs of Gravity in the Quickfilver; but, inftantly the infenfible particles of the Aer, being fo violently and beyond the rate of fubingreffibility preft upon, and made as it were more powerful by their neceflary Reflexion, then the refidue of Quickfilver remaining in the Tube; relule back to their former ftation of liberty, with that vchemency, as they not only prexent any further fubingreffion, and reduce the cven-now. fuperior and conquering force of the Quickfilvet to an equality; but alfo repell the Quickfilver delapfed up again into the Tube above the point of the Riquipondium : and again, wher the Quickfilver defluxerh, bue not from fo great an altitude, as at firft; then is the Aer again compelled to double her files in a countermarch, and recede from the reftagnant Quick filver, though not fo far, as at firft charge. And thus the force of cach being by reciprocal conquefts gradually decreafed, they come to that Equality, as that the Quickfilver fubfitts in that poine of altitude, wherein the Equilibrium is.

## Sect. Vi.

## The Fifth Capital Difficulty.

VVHat Force that is, whercby the Aer, admitted into the lower orifice of the Tibe, at the total eduction thereof out of the reffagnant Luickfilver and Water; is impelled So violently, as fufficeth not only to the ele.

## Solution.

The inmediate Cattfe of this impetuous notion, appears to be only the Refiux, or Reflition of the fo much compreffed Bafis of the Cylindre of Aer, impendent on the furtace of the Reftagnant Liquors, Quickfilwer and Water, to the natural Laxity of its infenfib'e particles upon the ceffation of the force Compreflive : the Principle, and manner of which Reforative or Reflexive Motion, may be perficicuouny deprelended, upon a ferious re-

Ari. 2s
Solved, by the Motion of $R e$ fatiratrion natural to eacin in. renfible part:: cle of Aer. cognition of the Contents of the laft Article in the precedent Chapter of a Difeminate Vacuan ; and moft accommodately Exemplified in the difcharge or explofion of a bullec from awind- Gwa. For, as the infenfible particles of the Aer included in the Tube of a Wind-Gun, being, by the Embolus or Rammer, froma more lax and rare contexture, or order, reduced to a more denfe and clofe (which is effered, when they are made more contiguous in the points of their hurafice, and fo compelled to di-
minifh the inane fpaces interjacent betwixt them, by fubingreffion) are, in a manner fo many Springs or Elaters, each whereof, fo foon as the external Force, that compreffed them, ceafeth (which is at the remove of the Diaphragme or Partition plate in the chamber of the Tube) reflecteth, or is at leaft reflected by the impulfe of another contiguous particle : therefore is it, that while they are all at one and the fame infant oxecuting that Reftorative Motion, they impel the Bullet, gaged in the canale of the Tube, before them with fo much violence, as enables it to transfix a plank of two or three digits thicknefs. So alfo do the infenfible Particles of the Bafe of the Cylindre of Aer incumbent on the furface of the Reftagnant Liquors, remain exceedingly compreffed by them; as fo many Springs bent by external Force: and fo foon as that Force ceafeth (the Quickfilver in the Tube, after its eduction, no longer preffing the Reftagnant Mafs of Quickfilver underneath, and fo that by his tumefaction no longer preffing the impendent Aer) they with united forces reflect themfelves into their natural rare and liberal contexture, and in that Reftorative motion drive up the remainder of Quickfilver in the canale of the Tube to the upper extreme thereof with fuch violence, as fufficeth to es:plode all impediments, and fhiver the glars.

Art. 3. The incumbent Aer, in chis care, equally difter fed, by two contrary For: ces.

Art. 4.
The monton of Reffauration in the Aer, extended ro the ratisfation of another confi= milar Doubr, concerning the fubintrufion of $V$ Vater into the Tube; if fuperaffured upon the reflagnant Mercury.

Art. 5.
A Third inuft importane Donist, concerning the norapparence of any Tenfity, or Rigidity in the region ồ Acr inctunbent upan the Reftagnant Liquors.

For, in this cafe, we are to conceive the Aer to be rqually diftreffed betwixt two oppofite Forces; on one fide by the Gravity of the long Cylindre of Aer from the fummity of the Atmofphere down to the Bafe impendent on the fuperfice of the Reftagnant Liquors; on the other, by the afcendent Liquors in the fubjacent veffel, which are impelled by the Cy lindre of Quickfilver in the cube, defcending by reafon of its Gravity: and confequently, that fo foon as the obex, Barricade, or impediment of the Reftagnant Quickfilver, is removed, the diftreffed Aer inftantly converteth that refiftent force, which is inferior to the Gravity of the incumbent aereal Cylindre, upon the remainder of the Quicknilver in the Tube, as the now more fuperable Opponent of the two; and fo countervailing irs Gravity by the motion of Reflexion or Reftoration, hoyfeth ic up with fo rapid a violence, as the eafily frangible body of the Glass :annot fuftain.

Which Reafon doth alfo fatisfie another Collateral Scrusle, viz. Why Water, fuperaffused upon the Reftagnant Quickflver, doth intrude it Self as it werecreeping up the fide of the Tube, and replenibs the Defert Space therein; $\int 0$ foon as the inferior orifice of the Tube is educed out of the Refadgnamt guickflver, into the region of Water. For, it is impelled by the Bafe of the Aereal Cylindre exceedingly compreffed, and relaxing it felf: the refitence of it, which was not potent enough to prevail upen the greater Gravity of the Quickfilver in the Tube, fo as to impel it above the point of Equiponderancy; being yet potent enough to elevate the Water, as that whofe Gravity is by is parts of i4 lefs then that of the Quick filver.

Here the Inquifitive may bid us ftand, and obferve a fecond fubordinate Doubt, fo confiderable, as the omiffion of it together with a rational folution, mult have rendred this whole Difcourfe not only imperfent, bus a more abfolute Vacuum, i.e. containing lefs of mater, then the Defert Space in the Tube; and that is: How it comes, that during the Æquilibrism
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librium betwixt the weight of the Quickfiver in the Tube on the one hand, and the long Cytlindre of Aer on the other, even then when the Bate of the Cylndre of. Ler is comprefled to the term of fubingres sion; we find the aer as Fluxile, foft, and yeilding, (for, if you move youx band triakf. verfly over the Reftagnant Q aickfilver, you can deprehend none the leaft Tenjity, Rigidity, or Virgency thereabout, as any other part of the Region of Acr not altered from the Laxity of its natural contexture?

We reply, that though nothing occurr in the whole Experiment more worthy our abfolution ; yet nothing occurrs leis worthy our admination then this. For, if my hand, when moved toward the region of the compreffed Aer, did leave the fpace, which it poffeffed before motion, abfolutely Empty, fo as the aer impelled and diflodged by it could not circulate into the fame; in that cafe, indeed, mioht I perceive, by a refiftence obvening a manifent Tenfity or Ri. gidity in the compreffed aer: but, infomuch as when my hand leaves the region of the lax aer, and enters that of the compreffed, there is as much of fpace left in the lax aer for the compreffed to recurr into, as that which my hand poffeffeth in the region of the compreffed; and when it hath paffed through the region of the compreffed, and again enters the confines of the lax, there is juft fo much of the lax aer propelled into the fpace left in the compreffed, as refponds in proportion to the fpace poffeffed by it in the lax: therefore doth my hand deprehend no fenfible difference of Fluxility in cither, and yet is the Urgency or Contention of the Bafe of the Cy. lindre of aer impendent upon the reftagnant Quickfilver, conftantly e qual, though it may be conceived to fuffer an Undulution or Wavering motion by the craverfing of my hand to and again, by reafon of the propulfe and repulfe.

This may be enforced by the Example of the Flame of a Candle; which though afcending conitantly with extreme pernicity, or rapidity of motion, and made more crafs and tenfe by the admixture of its own fuliginous Exhalations : doth yet admit the traverfing of your
finger to and fro through it fo eafily, as you can deprehend no difference of Fluxility between the parts of the Flame and thofe of the circumvironing Aer; the caule whereof muft be identical with the former.

Secondly, by the Experience of Urinators or Divers; who find the Extenfion and contraction of their arms and legs as free and cafie at the depth of 20 fathoms, as within a foot of the furface of the Water; not-

Art. 8. 2 By the Experiment of Urination.
quent smvis immerfum aque profunclituem nullum incumbentis aque pondus fentiat, leftor perat ex Merfenno plisnom. Hydraulic. propof, 49:p.205.

## Art. 9.

 3 By the Beams of the Sun, entring a room, through fome flender crany, in the appearance of a wobire foining $\checkmark$ Vand, and conftantly maintaining that Figure, notwithfanding the agitation of the aer, by wind, \&c.Art. 10. 4 By the conflancy of the Rainbow, to its Figure, notwithftanding the change of pofition and place of the cloud \& con. tiguous aer.

Art. II. Helmonts Deị̂rium, that the Rainbow is a fupernatural Meteor; obferved.

Thirdly, by the Beams of the Sun; For, when there infinuate themfelves through fome flender hole or crany into a chamber, their ftream or Thread of Solary A toms appears like a wbite fhining wand (by reafon of thofe fmall Dufty bodies, whofe many faces, or fuperficies making innumerable refractions and reflections of the rayes of Light towards the Eye) and con. fantly maintains that figure, though the wind blow ftrongly tranfiverfe, and carry off thofe fmall dufty bodies, or though with a fan you totally difpel them: why ? Becaufe frefh Particles of Duft fucceeding into the rooms of thofe difpelled, and æqually refracting and reflecting the incident radii of light toward the Eye, conferve the Apparence ftill the fame. So though the wind blow off the firt Cylindre of compreft aer, yet doth a fecond, a third, \&c. inftantly fucceed into the fame Space, fo as that region, wherein the Bafe thereof is fituated, doth conftantly remain compreft : becaule the compreffion of the infenfible Particles of the Aer and Wind, during their Continuation in that region, continues as great as was that of the particles formerly propulfed and abduced.
And Fourthly, by the Rainbow; which perfifteth the fame both in the extent of its Arch, and the orderly-confuled variety of Colours: though the Sun, rapt on in his diurnal tract, flifts the angle of incidence from one part of the confronting Cloud to another, every moment; and the Wind change the Scene of the Aer, and adduce confimilar fmall bodies, whofe various fuperficies making the like manifold Refractions and Reflexions of the incident lines of Light, difpofe them into the fame colours, and prafent the eye with the fame delightful Apparition.

Which had the Hairbrain'd and Contentious Helmont in the leaft meafure underfood; he mult have blufh't at his own moft ridiculous whimfy, that the Rainbow, is a supernatural Metcor, or Ens extempore created by Divinity, as a lenfible fymbol of his Promife no more to deftroy the inhabitants of the Earth by Water, having no dependence at all on Natural Caufes: efpecially when the Atrongeft Argument He could excogitate, whereby to impugn the common Theory of the Schools, concerning the production thereof, by the refraction and reflection of the rayes of the Sun incident upon the varioully figured parts of a thin and rorid Cloud in oppofition diametrical; was only this. Oculis, manibus, \& pedidus cognovi iftius figmenti falljtatem. Cum ne quidem fimplex Nubes effet in loco Iridis. Neque enim, et $₹$ manu Ir idem finderem, eamque per colores Iridis ducerem, Senfi quidpiam, qrod non ubique circumqraque in aere vicino: imo non proin Colores Iridis turbabantur, aut confufionem tollerabant. (in Meteoron Anomalon.)

## Sect. VII.

## The Sixth and laft Capital Difficulty.

Art.I. The fixth and laft confiderable Difficulty.

UPon the eduction of the lower extreme of the Tube out of the region of the Reftagnant Quick filver, into that of Water Superaffufed; wherefore doth by fenfible degrees defux, inntil it hath totally furrendred wnto it?

## Solution.

This Pbenomenon can have for its Caufe no other but the great Dif. parity of weight betwixt thofe two Liquors. For, infomuch as the fubfiftence of the Quickfilver in the erected Tube; at the altitude of 27 digits, juftly belongs to the Æquipondium betwixt it and the circumpendent Cylindre of Aer; and the proportion of Weight which Quick. filver holds to Water, is the fame that $I_{4}$ holds to I : it muft as manifeftly, as inevitably follow, that the Water, being by fo much lefs able, in regard of its fo much minority of Weight, to fuftain the impulfe of the Aer unceffantly contending to deliver it felf from that immoderate Compreffion, muft yeild to the defcending Bafe of the aereal Cylindre, and fo afcend by degrees, and poffefs the whole Space; every part of Quickfilver that delapfeth, admitting is parts of Water into the Tube.

Here occurrs to us a fair opportunity of erecting, upon the premiled foundation, a rational Conjecture concerning the perpendicular Extent of the Region of Aer from the face of the Terraqueous Globe. For, if Aer be roco times (according to the compute of the great cever fennus (reflect. phyficomath. pag.104.) who exceedingly differs from the opinion of Galileo (Dialog.al. moviment. pag.8r.) and CWarinus Ghetaldus (in Archimed. promot.) both which demonftrate Aer to be only 400 times) lighter then Water, and Water 14 times lighter then Quickfilver: hence we may con. clude (1) That Aer is 14000 times lighter then Quickfilver; (2) That the Cylindre of Aer æquiponderant to the Cylindre of Quickfilver of the alcitude of 27 digits, is 14000 times higher; and (3) That the altitude of the Cylindre of Aer amounts to 21 Leucx, or Leagues. Since 14000 times 27 digits (i.e. 378000 digits) divided by 180000 digits (fo many amounting to a French League, that confifteth of 15000 feet) the Quo. tient will be 2 I .

From the fo much difcrepant opinions of thefe fo excellent Mathematitians, and moft frict Votaries of Truth, Galileo and Merjennus; each of which conceived his way for the exploration of the exace proportions of Gravity betwixt Ler and Water, abfolutely Apodictical: we cannot omit the opportunity of obferving; how infuperable a difficulty it is, to conciliate Ariftotle to Euclid, to accommodate thofe Axioms, wch concern Quantity abffralf from Matter, to Matter united in one notion to Quantity, to ercct a folid fabrick of Phyfology on Foundations Mathematical. Which Difficulty the ing enious Magnenus well refenting, made this a chief preparatory Axiom to his fecond Difputation concerning the Verifimility of Democritus Hypothefis of Aroms: Non funt expendende actiones Phyfice regulis Geometricis; fubnecting this ponderous Reafon, Cum Demonfirationes Geometrice procedint ab Hypothefi, quam probare non eft Mathematici, ,ed alterius Facultat is, que eam refellit; id eolineis Mathematicis, regulifque fritiè Geometricis, Actiones Phyfica non finnt expendend.r. (Demo. crit. Revivifcent.p.318.)

Art. 5. The Concluyion of this Digref. fion: and the reafons, why the Author ad= frribes a Cylin drical Figure to the portion of Aer impendent on the Reftagnant Liquors, in the Experiment.

And now at length having run over thefe fix fages, in as direct a courfe, and with as much celerity, as the intricacy and roughnefs of the way would tolerate; hath our Pen attained to the end of our Digrefsion: wherein, whether we have gratified our Reader with fo much either of fa. tisfaction, or Delight, as may compenfate his time and patience; we may not prefume to determine. However, this prefumption we dare be guilcy of, and own; that no Hypothefis hitherto communicated, can be a better Clus to extricate our reafon from the myfterious Labyrinth of this Experiment, by folving all its ftupendious Apparences, with more verifimility, then this of a Difeminate. Vacuity, to which we have adhæred. But, before we revert into the ftraight tract of our Phyfiological journey, the precaution of a fmall fcruple deduceable from that we have configned a Cylindrical Figure to the portion of Aer impendent on the furface of the Reftagnant Liquors; advifeth us to make a hort ftand, while we advertife; That though we confefs the Diametre of the Sphere of Aer to be very much larger then that of the Terraqueous Globe, and fo, that the Aer, from the Convex to the Concave thereof incumbent on the furface of the Reftagnant Liquors in the veffel placed on the Convex of the Earth, doth make out the Section or Fruftum of a Cone, whofe Bafis is in the fummity of the Atmofphere; and point at the Centre of the Earth (as this Diagram exhibiteth.)


C I K, The Terraqueous Globe.
B, The Centre thereof.
CD G, A veffel fituate on the fosperfice thereof.
C, The lower region of the veffel, filled witb सuickfilver.
G, The upper region palfeffed by Water.
FED, The Tube perperdicularly erected in the Veffel.
E, The point of exquilibrium, at 27 dig. to which the Cylindre of Quickfilver bath defcended.
A B H, A Cone extending from the Centre of the Earth to the convex fuperfice of the region of Acr
A DGH, A Fruftum, or part of that Come extending from the Convex to the Concave of the Aer, impendent on the furface of the Reftagnant Liquors in the vefel D C G.

Notetbat neither Earth, Aer, Veffel, nor Tube, aredelineated according to their due proportions: Fince fo, the Earth would buve appeared too great, and the reft too fmall, for requijite infpection.
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Yet, infomuch as the Aer is Equipenderant to the Cylindre of Quickfilver contained in the Tube (the only requifite to our profent purpofe) no lefs in the Figure of a Cone, then in that of a Cylindre; and fince both Mer ennus and Gaffendus ( to either of which we are not worthy to have been a meer Amanuenfis) have waved that nicety, and declared themfelves our Precedents, in this particular: we have thought our felves excufable for being conflant to the moft ufual Apprehenfion, when the main intereft of Truth was therein unconcerned.



## CHAP. VI. <br> OF <br> P L A E.

Sect, I.

Art. I. The Identy EJential of a $V$ acuum and Place, the caufe of the prafent En= quiry into the Nature of. Place.


Hat Inanity and Locality bear one and the fame Notion, Effentially, and cannot be rightly apprehended under different conceptions, but Re. Spectively; or, more exprefly, that the fame Space, when poffeffed by a Body, is a Place, but when left deftiture of any corporeal Tenent whatever, then it is a Vacuum: we have formerly infinuated, in the third article, Sect. 1. of our Chap. concerning a Vacumm in Nature. Which effential Identy, or only-relative Alterity of a Vacsum and Place, is manifefly the Reafon, why we thus fubnect our profent Enquiry into the Nature or Formality of Place, immediately to our precedent Difcourle of a Vacuum : we conceiving it the duty of a Phyfiologift, to derive his Method from Nature, and not to Ceparate thofe Things in his Speculation, which the hath conftituted of fo near Affi. nity in Effence.

Art. 2.
Among all the Quaries, abour the Hoti of Flace; the moft important is, Whecher Epi. curus, or Ari. fiotes Definition of ir, be moft adx. quate.

A mong thofe numerous and importune Altercations, concerning the 2uiddity or formal reafon of Place, in which the too contentious Schools ufually lofe their Time, their breath, their wits, and their Auditors attention; we fhall felect only one guefion, of fo much, and fo general im. portance, that, if rightly ftated, calmly and æquitably debated, and judicioufly determined, it muft fingly fuffice to imbue the inind of any the moft Curious Explorator, with the perfpicuous and adrequate Notion thereof.

Epicurus (in Epiff. ad Herodot.) underfands Place to be, Tò siásnua, Intervallum illud, quod privatum Corpore, dicitur IN ANE, \& oppletam corpere
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corpore, LoCuS: That Interval, or Space, which being deftitute of any body, is called, a Vacuum, and poffeffed by a body, is called Place:

And Ariflotle (in 3. Aufcult.Natur. cap.6.) thinks He hath hit the white,
 Circumdantis Corporis extremum immobile primum; Concavanempi, Seis proxima imarediataque, \& ipfum locatum contingens corporis ambientis fuper. ficies : the concave, proxime, immediate fuperfice of the body circumambient, touching the Locatum.

Now the Difficulty in Quxftion, is only this: Whether this Definition of Arifotle, or that modeft Defcription of Epicurus, doth with the greater meafure of verifimility and perficuity refpond to the nature of what we ought to underfand, in propriety of conception, fignified by the word, Place.

In order to our impartial perpenfion of the moments of reafon on each fide, requifite it is, that we firft Atrictly ponder the Hypothefis, or Ground, on which Ariftotle crected his affertion, which is this; Preter dimenfiones Corporis locati, ơ ipfam ambientis fuperficiem, mullas alias dari (in $4:$ PhyJic. 1.) that in nature are none but Corporeal Dimenfions: for, if we can difcover any other Dimenfions, abftruct from Corporiety, fuch wherein the formal reafon of Space may beft and moft incelligibly be radicated; it can no longer remain in the fufpence of controverfie, how unfafe it is for the Echools to recurr to that fuperftructure, as a Sanctuary imprægnable, whofe Foundation is only fand, and depends for fupportupon no other but a precarious fuppofition.

Imagine we, therefore, that God fhould pleafe to adnihilate the whole ftock or mafs of Elements, and all Concretions refulting there-from, i.e. all Corporeal Subftances now contained within the ambite, or concave of the loweft Heaven, or Lunar Sphere: and having thus imagined, can we conceive that all the vaft Space, or Region circumferibed by the concave fuperfice of the Lunar Sphere, would not remain the fame, in all its Dimenfions, after as before the reduction of all bodies included therein to nothing? Undoubtedly, that conceipt cannot endure the teft of Reafon, which admits, that this fublunary Space can fuffer any other alteration, but only a privation of all Bodies that poffeffed it. Now, that it can be no Difficulty to God, at pleafure, to adnihilate all things comprehended within it; and yet at the fame time to conferve the Sphere of the Moon entire and unaltered : capnot be doubted by any, but thofe inhumane Ideots, who dare controvert his Omnipotenc.

Nor can it advantage our Diffenting Brother, the Peripatetick to plead; that we fuppofe, what ought not to be fuppofed, an abfolute Imppof sibility, as to the Firm and fundamental Conftitutions of Nature, which knows no fuch thing, as Adnibilation of Elements: fince, though we allow it imporfible to Nature, yet can no man be fo fteeled with impudence, as to deny it facile to the Author and Governosr of Natures, and fhould we conced it imporsible to Himalfo, yet doth not the impoffibility of any Effect interdift the fappofition thereof as poffible, in order to the appropinquation of a remote, and explanation of an obfcure verity, nor invalidate that Illation or affumption, which by genuine cohxrence depends thereupon.

Befides, 'tis no Novelty, nor fingularity in us, upon the fame confideration, to fuppofe Nateral 1 mppofsibilities : infomuch as nothing is more ufual, nor laudable amongit the nobleft order of Philofophers, then to take the like courfe, where the abftrufe condition of the fubjeat purs them upon it; and even Ariftotle Himflf hath been more then once our Precedent and Exemplar therein. For, when He had demonftrated the Neceffity of the motion or circumgyration of the Coeleftial Orbs; He yet requires of us, that we fuppofe them to quiefoe conftantly: that fo we may the more fatisfactorily apprehend the truth of that pofition, at which his whole difcourfe was collineated; wit. that the Caufe of the Earths Quiet, is not, as fome dreamed, the rapid motion of the Heavens; for, having cleared the eye of his Readers mind from all the duft of prefumption, with this fuppofition, He then with advantage demands of him, Ubinam terra morayetur? (2 de Colo.) Nay, even concerning this our Argument, need we not want the Authority of Ariftotle to juftifie the lawfulnels of this our fuppofition: for, attempting to enforce, that in a large imagined Vacuum, in part where. of a Cube of Wood is conceived to be fituate, there can be no Dimenfions but thofe of the Cube; He admits them conceiveable as clearly abftracted from the mafs or bulk of wood, and devefted of all corporeal Accidents; wherein (under favour) He more then feems to incurr an open Contradiction of his own dear Tenet, that it is abfurd to imagine any $D i$. menfons Incorporeal. Nor is the Facility of our fuppofition leís manifeft then the Lawfuliness thereof: fince we dare our Opponents to produce any contemplative Perfon, who thall confcientioufly arteft, that He could not, when He fixed his thoughts thereupon, clearly and eafily imagine the fame; What therefore can remain to impede our progrefs to the $u f e$, or fcope of this our fuppofition?

## Art. 6.

 The Dimenfions of Longitude, Latitude, and Pro. fundi y, y , maginable in a $V \hat{v}$. сиин,Art. 7. The Grand Prefipatectck ob jerion, that Nothing is in a vicuuin ; ergo no Dimenfions

Having, therefore, imagined the whole fublunary Region to be one continued and entire Vacuum : we cannot but alfo imagine, that from any one point defigned in the concave fuperfice of the Lunar Sphere, to another point è diametro oppofite in the fame, there muft be a certain Diftance, or Intercedent Space. If fo; muft not that Diftance importa Lingitude, or more exprefly an incorporeal and invifible Line? (2) Iffo; muft not the medium of that Line be the Centr al point of the empty Space, the fame which ftood for Centre to the Terraqueous Globe, before its adnihilation? (3) If fo; may we not conceive How much of that voyd Region was formerly poffeffed by the mals of Elements: and with mental Geometry commenfurate how much of that Space did once refpond to the fuperfice, how much to the profundity of each of thofe Bodies ? (4) If fo; muft we not allow the Dimenfions of Longitude, Latitude, and Profundity imaginable therein? undoubredly, yea: fince we can no where conceive a Diftance, or intercedent Space, but we muft therealfo conceive a Quantum; and Quantity imports Dimenfions, nor is there any Diftance, but of determinate extent, and io commenfurable.

From the preffure of this Socraticifm, hath our Peripatetick retreated to that fuinous fanctuary of the Term, Nothing: retarding our purfuit, with this Sophifm. When you fuppofe the fublunary Region to be an abfo. lute Vacuum, you exprefly concede, that Nothing is contained therein; and upon confequence, that thofe Dimenfions by you imagined therein, are Nothing,

Nothing, and fo that therein are no Dimenfions at all. Why; becaufe Dimenfions confift effentially and fo infeparably in Quantity: and-all Quantity is infeparable from Corporiety. Whercfore, fuppofing no Body exiftent in that Empty Space: you implicitely exclude all Quantity; and confequently all Dimenfions from thence.

This Evafion, we confefs, is plaufible; nor hath it impoled only upon young and prodantique Paxrenders to Science, fuch as having once read over Come Epitome of the Commentaries upon Arifotles Phyficks, and learned to cant in Scholaftick Terms, though they underftand nought of the Nature of the Things fignified, believe themfelves wife enough to rival Solomon: but even many grey and fage Enquirers, fuch who moft feduloully digged for the jewel of Knowledge in the Mine of Nature, and emancipated their intellectuals betimes from the flavery of Books. For, among the moft celebrated of our Modera Phyfiologifts, we can hardly find two, who have judged it fafer to abide the feeming rigour of this Difficulty, then to run upon the point of this Paradox; that, if all Bodies included in the ambite of the Lunar Heaver, were 4 nihilated, then would there be no Diftance at all betwixt the pofite fides of the fame: and the Reafon they depend upon, is this; Neceffary it is that thofe points fhould not be diftant each from other, but be contiguous, betwixt which Nothing doth intercéde. Nay, even Des Cartes himfelf cannot be exempted: fince, 'tis confeft by' him (in Princip. Philofoph. articul. I8.) that He fubfrribed the fame com-. mon Miftake, in thefe Words: fi queratur, quid fiet, $\sqrt{2}$ Dens auferat omne corpus, quod in aliquo vale continetur, $\&$ nullum aliud is ablutilocum fubire permittat? Refpondendum eft vafis latera hoc ipfo fore contigua. Cum enim inter dwo corpora nibil interjacet, neceffe eft, ut fe mutuo tangant; ac manifofte repugnat, ut diftent, \& tamen ut diftantia illa Sit Nibil: quia omnis Diftantia eft modus Extenfionis, \& ideo Sine fubfantia. extenfa effe non poteft. To him alfo may we affociate Mr. White (ins Dialog.t. de Mundo.)

The moft direct and fhortef way to the Redargution of this Epidemick Errour, lyes in the detection of its grand and procatarctick Canfe; which is the Preoccupation of moft Scholers minds by the Peripatetick Inftitutions, that limit our Notions to their imperfect Categories, and explode thofe Conceptions as Poetical and extravagant, that tranfcend their claffical Diftinction of all Entities into Sub. flance and Accident. For, firt, infomuch as in the Dialect of the Schools, thofe three Capital Terms, Ens, Res, Aliquid, are mere Synonyma's, and fo ufed indifcriminately;-it is generally concluded, that whatever is comprehenfible under their fignification, muft be referred either to the Claffis of Subftances, or that of Accidents: and upon illation; that what is neither Subftance, nor Accident, can pratend to no Reality, but mult be damned to the pradicament of Chimara's; or be e cluded from Being. Again, having conftituted one Categorie of all Substances; they mince and cantle out poor thin Accident into Nive, accounting the firft of them ©uantity, and fubdividing that alfo into (i) Permanent, i, e. the Dimenfions of Longitude, Latitude, Profundity; and fo make Place to conift if not in all three yet at leafe in one of them, wit. Latitude

Art. 8. Des Cartes, and Mr. W Vitite $\mathrm{ff}_{\mathrm{c}}-$ duced by the plaufibility of the fams.
or the fuperficies of a Boajy: (2) Succefsive, i.e. Time and Motion, bur efpecially Time, which may be otherwife expreffed by the Term, Duration. Hercupon, when they deliver it as oraculous, that Quantity is a Corporeal Accident : they confidently inferr, that if any Quantity, or Permanent, or Succeffive, be objected, that is not or feparately, or conjunctly Corporeal, it ought to beexploded, as not Real, or an abfolute Nothing.

Now this their Sobeme is defective. (1) Becaufe it fails in the General Diftribution of Ens, or Res, into Subftance and Accident: in regard, that to thofe two Members of the Divifion there ought to be fuperadded othertwo, more general then thofe; viz. Place and Time, Things moft unreducible to the Categories of Subftance and Accident. We fay, more General then thofe Two; becaufe as well all Subftances as Accidents whatever, have both their Exiftence in Come Place, and their Duration in fome Time; and both Place and Time are, even by thofe who difpute whether they are Accidents, or not, willingly granted to perfever conftantly and invariately the fame.
(2) Becaufe it offends Truth in the confinement of all Quantity, or Dimenfion, and fo of that of Place and Time, to the Category of $A C$ cidents, nay even of Corporeal ones: when there wants not a fpecies of Quantity, or Extenfion having Dimenfions, that is not Corporeal; for, nor Place, nor Time, are Corporeal. Entities, being no lefs congruous to Incorporeal, then Corporeal Beings. Upon which conlideration, tis a genuine and warrantable Inference; that albeit Place and Time are not pertinent to the Claffis either of fubftances, or Accidents: yet are they notwithftanding Realities. Things, or not-Nothings; infomuch as no fubftance can be conceived exiftent without Place and Time. Wherefore, when any Cholerick Bravo of the Stagirites Fastion, fhall draw upon us with this Argument; Whatever is neither Subftance, nor Accident, is a downright Nothing, \&x. We need no other buckler then to except Place and Time.

Art. 10. Place, neither Accident nor Subfance.

Art. II. The prxcedent Giant objection, that Norhing is in a Vacuum ; ftabb'd, ara blow.

To authenticate this our Schifm, and affert our Affirmation; we muft now evince, that Place is neither Accident, nor fubftance: which to effect, we need not borrow many moments of its T win-brother, Time, to hunt for Arguments in. For (1) though it be objected, that Place is capable of Acceffion ro, and fejunction from the Locatium, without the impairment, or deftruction thereof; and in that relation feems to be a mere Accident : yet cannot that juftific the confignation of Place to the Category of Accidents; becaufe Place is uncapable of Access and Rece $\int$ s, and 'tis the Locatum to which in right we ought to adfcribe Mobility. So that when various Bodies may be fucceffively fituate in one and the fame Place, without caufing any the leaft mutation therein: we muft allow the force of this Argument, to bring it neareft to the propriety of a fubftance. (2) A fubstance it cannot be; becaufe the Term, Substance imports fomething, that doth not only exist per fe, but alfo, and principally, what is Corporeal, and cither Attive or Paffive: and neither Corporiety, nor Activenefs, nor Paffivenefs, are Aztributes comperent to Place: Ergo.

Now, to leaveour roving, and thoot level at the mark; the Extract
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of thefe premifed Confiderations, will eafily and totally cure the defperate Difficulty objected. For, when it is urged, that betwixt the oppofite fides of a veffel fuppoled to be abfolutely devoyd of any Body whatever, nothing doth intercede, and confequently that they are Contiguous; we need no other folution but this: that (indeed) nothing Corporeal doth interced, betwixt the diametrally oppofite fides of a voyd concave, that is cither Subftance, or Accident; but yet there doth intercede Something Incorporeal, fuch as we underfand by Spatium, Intercapedo, Diftantia, Intervallum, Dimenfio, which is neither Subftance nor Accident. But, alas! that Thing you call Space is, according to your own fuppofition, an abfolute Vacuum: What though? it mult not therefore be Nothing, unlefs in the fenfe of the Peripatetick: becaufe it hath a Being ( $\int$ uo modo) and fo is fomething.

The fame alfo concerns thofe Dimenfions, which we conceive, and the Schools deny to be in our imaginary Vacuum : For of them it may be likewife truly faid, that they are Nibil Corporeum, but not that they are Nibil Incorpoream, or more emphatically, Nibil SPATIALE, Nothing Spatial. Hence, according to the diftinction of Things into Corporeal, and Incorporeal; we may, on the defign of Perfpicuity, difcriminate Dimenfions alro into (1) Corpoe. real, fuch as are competent to a'Body, wherein we underftand Longitude, Laticude, Profundity: (2) Spatial, fuch as are congruots to Space, wherein we may likewife conceive Longitude, Latitude, and Profundity. And fo we may conclude, that thofe Dimenfions, which muft remain in that fuppofed Inane Regions circumfcribed by the concave of the Lunar Orb, in cale God hould adnihilate the whole mafs of Elements, and all their off-fprings, included therein; are, in truth, not Cor. poreal, but spatial.

Let us skrew our fuppofition one pin higher, and farther imagine; that God, after the Adnihilation of this vaft machine, the Univerfe, hould create another, in all refpeits confimilar to this, and in the fame part of Space, wherein this now confifteth: and then fhall our thoughts be tuned to a fit key for the Speculation, nay the comprehenfion of Three notorious Abfrufities, vi\%.
(1) That as the Spaces were Immenfe, before God created the World; fo alfo muft they eternally perfift of infinite Extent, if He Shall pleare at any time to deftroy it: that He , according to the counfel of his own Beneplacit, clected this determinate Region in the infinite Spaces, wherein to erect or fulpend this huge Fabrick of the World, leaving the refidue which we call Extramundan Spaces, abfolutely voyd: and that as the whole of this determinate Region of Space is adrequately competent to the whole of the World; fo alfo is each part thereof adxquately competent to each part of the World; i. e. there is no part of the World, Great or Small, to which there is not a part of Space exactly refpondent in all dimen. fions.
(2) That thefe immenfe Spaces are abfolutely Immoveable. And therefore fhould God remove the World into another decerminate regiors

Art. 13. The former fuppofition reo affumed and enlarged.

Art. $14{ }^{\circ}$ The foope and advantage thereof; viz. the conuprehenfion of three eminent Abflruffities concerning the Nature of Place.
of them, yet would not this Space wherein it now perfifteth; accompany it, but remain immote, as row. In like manner; when any part of the World is tranflated from one place to another; it leaves the part of Space, which it formerly poffeffed, conftant and immote, and the Spaces through which it paffeth, and wherein it acquiefceth, continue alfo immote.
(3) That, in refpect the Dimenfions of thefe Spaces are Immoveable, and Incorporeal: therefore are they every where Coexiftent, and Compatient (we fpeak in the dialect of the Schools) with Corporeal Dimenfions, without reciprocal repugnancy; fo as in what part foever of Space any Body is lodged, the Dimenfions of that part of Space, are in all points refpondent to the Corporeal Dimenfions thereof. In this cafe, therefore, 'tis far from an Abfurdity, to affirm, that Nature dotb not abhor a Penetration of Dimenfions. To bring up the rear of thefe advantages refulting from our fuppofition, we may from thence deprehend, Why Ariffotle hath not cleft a hair in his pofition, that there is in the Univerie no Interval, nor Dimongions, but what are Corporeal.

Art. 15. The Incorporie. ty of Dimenfions Spatial, Difcriminated from that of the Divine Effence, and other Subflan. ces Incorporeal.

To difcriminate the Incorporiety of thefe Dimenfons Spatial, from that adfcribed to the Divine Nature, Intelligences Angelical, the Mind of Man, and other (if there be any) Incorporeal fubftances; we advertife, that the term Incorporeal bears a double importance. (i) It intends not only. a fimple Negation of Corporiety, and fo of corporeal Dimenfions; but alfo a true and germane fubftance, to which certain $F$ aculties and operations effentially belong; and in that fenfe it is adferiptive properly to Cod, Arigels, the Souls of men, \&c. fpiritual Effences. (2) It fignifies a mere Ncgation of Corporiety, and fo of corporeal Dimenfions, and not any pofitive Nature capable of Faculties and Operations; and in this fenfe only is it congruous to the Dimenfions of Space, which we have formerly incimated to be neither Active, nor Pafsive, but to have only a general Non-repugnancy, or Admifsive Capacity, whereby it receives Bodies either permanentèr, or tranfennter.

Art. 16. This perfuafi: on, of the Im production and Indepentency of Place; prxierved from the fufpition of Impiety.

Here we difcover our felves in danger of a nice fcruple, deductive from this our Defcription of Space, vit. that, according to the tenor of our Conceptions, space muft be unproduced by, and independent upon the original of all Things, God. Which to prevent, we oblerve, that from the very word Spatial Dimenfions, it is fufficiently evident, that we underffand no other Spaces in the World, then what moft of our Eccle fiaftical DoEtors allow to be on the outfide thereof, and denominate Imaginary: not that they are mecrly Phantaftical, as Chimæra's; but that our Imagina tion can and doth apprehend them to have Dimenfions, which hold an analogy to the Dimenfions of Corporeal fubftances, that fall under the perception and commenfuration of the fenfe. And, in that refpect, though we concede them to be improduct by, and independent upon God; yet can. not our Adverfaries therefore impeach us of impiety, or diftort it to the difparagement of our theory: fince we confider thefe Spaces, and their Dimenfions to be Nihil Pofitivum, i.e. nor Subftance, nor Accident, under which two Categories all works of the Creation are comprehended. Befides, this founds much lefs harfh in the ears of the Church, then that which not a few of her Chair-men have adventured to patronize; viz. that the Effences of Things are Non-principiate, Improdus; and Independent: infomuch
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infomuch as the Effence being the nobleft, conftiturive, and denominative partof any Thing, Subftance or Accident; to hold ic uncreac and indcpendent, is obliquely to infer God to be no more clien:an Adopted. Father to Nature, a Titular Creator, and Author of only the material, groffer and


BY the difcovery of Dimenfions independent upon Corporiety, fuch wherein the Formal reafon of Space appears mof intelligibly to confilt, have we fully detected the weaknefs of Ariftotes Bafis, preter dimeinfiones Corporis locati, \&o ipfam ambientisfuperficiem, nuullas alias dari: it remains only, that we demolifh his thereupon-erected Defirition of Place, in which his legions of SeCtators have ingarrifoned their judgments, as moft impregnable.

That Place is not the immediate and contiguous fuperfice of the body invironing the Loc atum, may by the fingle force of this Demonftration be fully evisted. Immobility is effential to Place, as Arifotle well acknowledged; for if Place were moveable, then would it follow of inevitable neceffity, that a body might be tranflated without mutation of place, and econverfo, the place of any thing might be changed, while the thing it felf continues immote; both which are $A b f$ wrdities fo manifeft, as no mift of Sophiftry can conceal them even from the purblind multitude: Now the fuperfice of the Circumambient can in no wife pratend to this propriety of place, Immobility; as may be mof conveniently argued from the example of a Tower, for that fpace, which a Tower poffefferh, was there before the fructure, and mult remain there the fame in all dimenfions after the ruine thereof; bat the fuperfice of the contiguous Aer, the immediate Circumambient, is removed, and changed every moment, the whole mafs of Aer being unceffantly agitated more or lefs, by winds and other violences: Er 30 . So numerous are the fhifts and fubterfuges of the diAtreffed Difciples of Ariftotle, whereby they have endeavoured to Fix this Volatile fuperfice of the Circumambient : that fhould we infift upon only the commemoration of them all; we might juttly defpair of finding any Charity great enough, to pardon fo criminal an abufe of leafure.

Befides, from Epicurus siásnua, or Space, we may extrad Salvo's for all thofe Scruples, which are commonly met with by all, who worthily en. quire into the nature of Place. For, when it is queftioned (i) How a body can perfitt invariately in the fame place, though the circumambient be frequently, nay infinitely varied? (2)How a body can change place, though the Circumambient accompany it in its remove? (3) Why one body can be faid to be thus or thus far, more or lefs diftant from another? we may eafily fatisfie all with this one obvious Anfiver, that all mobility is on the part of the Locatum, all Space continuing conftant and immote. Fur. ther, hence come we to underfand, in what refpeet Place is commonly conceived to be exactly adequate to the Locitmm: for, the Dimenfions of all Space poffeffed, are in all points refpondent to thofe of the body poffer-

Art. I . Place, not the inmediate $\int u-$ perfice of the Body invironing the Lscatum; contraty to $A$. rifothe.

Art. 2 : Salvo's for all the Difificult Scruples, roveh ing the nature of Place; genuinely exira. cied from $E_{i} i$ сиrus his دxí. snuss
fing; there being no part of the body, profound or fuperficial, to which there is not a part of Space refpondent in æqual extent; which can never be made out from the mere faperfice of the Circumambient, in which no one of the Profound or Internal parts of the Locatum, but only the Superficial are refident. Moreover, hence allo may we underftand, How Incorporeal fubftances, as God, Angels, and the Souls of men, may be affirmed to be in loco. For, when God, who is infinite, and therefore uncapable of Circumfcription, is faid to be in Place; we inftantly cogitate an infinite Space: which is more then we can do of Place, if accepted in Arifotles Notion, which imports either that God cannot be in any place, or elfe He muft be circumfcribed by the contiguous fuperfice thereof: which how ridiculous, we need not obferve. For Angels likewife, who dares affirm an Angel to be in a place, that confiders his Incorporiety, and the neceffity of his circumfciption by the fuperfice of the Circumambient, if Arifotles Definition of Place be tolerable? To excufe it with a diftinction, and fay, that an Angel may be conceived to be in a determinate place, not Circumforiptive, but definitivè, i.e. So Here, as no where elfe: is implicitely and upon inference, to confefs the truth of our affertion; Since that Here, defigns a certain part of Space, not the fuperfice of any circumambient. For, though you reply, that an Angel, being an incorporeal fub. ftance, wants as well internal and profound Dimenfions, by which his fubftance may refpond to Space, as thofe fuperficial ones, that refpond to Place: yet cannot that fuffice to an evafion, fince if his fubftance hath any Diffufion in place, as is generally allowed; and though it be conftituted in pancto, as is alfo generally conceived : neverthelefs, doth that Diffufion as neceffarily refpond to a certain æqual part of Space, as a point is a determinate part of fpace. This perhaps, is fomewhat abftrufe, and therefore let us conceive an Angel to be refident in fome one point of that Inane Region circumfcribed by the concave of the Lunar orb, formerly imagined: and then we may without any fhadow of oblcurity underftand, How his fubftance may refpond to a certain part, or point of the Inane Space, fo as He may be faid to be Here, not There, in this but no other place: but impoffible it is, to make it out, How the fubftance of an Angel conftituted ins pancto of an empty fpace, can refpond to the fuperfice of a Body Circumambient, becaufe all Bodies formerly included in that fublunary Region are prefuppofed to be adnihilated. Laftly, by the Incorporiety of Space we are præferved from that Contradiction, which Arifotle endeavouring to prevent, præcipitated himfelf upon no fmall Abfurdity, vir. that the fupreme Heaven, or Primum mobile is in no Place. For, if we adhere to his opinion, that place is the fuperfice of a body circumambient; the Primum mobile being the extreme or bounds of the World, we deny any thing of Corporiety beyond it, and foexempt it from Locality: but if we accept fpace to be the fame without and within the world, we admit the Primum mobile, the nobleft, largeft, and moft ufeful of all Bodies in the World, to enjoy a Place proportionate to its dimenfions, and motion, as adæquately as any other. The neceffity of which conceffion, Thales cuilefius well intimated, when interrogated, What Ihing was greateff? He anfwered, Place: becaufe, as the World contains all other Bodies, fo Place contains the World.

Art. 3. Reduced to thefe ftraights, Ariftotle, among fundry other Sophifms, Arijpotes ulti- entrufteth the laft part of his Defence, to this flight objections; If Place
mate Refuge.
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were a certain Space, conflant in three dimenfions; then would it inevitably follow, that the Locatum and the Locus mult reciprocally penetrate each others dimenfions, and So the parts of each be infinitely divided: which is manifefty absurd, (ince Nalure knows nor penetration of Dimenfons, nor infininity of cor:poreal divifion.

To this Induction we could not refufe the attribute of Probability, no more then we do now of Plaufibility, had we not frequently prevented it, and openly by our Diftinction of Dimenfions into Corporeal and Incorporeal, and appropriating the last to Space. For, indeed, the Fundamencal Conftitutions of Nature moft irrevocably prohibite the fubftance of one Body to penetrate the fubftance of another, through all its Dimenfions:
 and therefore may its dimenfions Incorporeal be Coexiftent, or Compatient with the Corporeal Dimenfions of any Body, without mutual repugnancy, the Spatial Dimenfons not excluding the Corporeal, nor thole extruding the fpatial. This cannot be a diaphasous, or rnigmatical to thofe, who concede Angels to be Incorporeal, and therefore to penetrate the Dimenfions of any the moft folid Bodies, fo that the whole fubftance of an Angel may be fimul \& femel, altogether and at once in the fame place with that of a ftone, a wall, the hand of a man, or any other body whatever, without any-neceffity of mutual Repugnancy. Nor to thofe, who obferve the Synthefis, or Collocation of Wbitenefs, Sweetnefs, and Qualities in the fubftance of Milk: for as thofe are conceived to pervade the whole fubftance of Milk, without any reciprocal repugnancy of Dimenfions, fo are we to conceive that the Dimenfions of Space are totally pervaded by the whole Body of the Locatum, without Renitency.


Art. 4. The Invalidity thereof: and the Coexifibi. lity, or Compatibility of Di menfions Corporeal and Spa. tial.


Art. 1. The Hoti of Time more eafily conceivable by the Simple Notion of the Vulgar, then by the complex Definitions of Philofophers.


Ome Texts there are in the Book of Nature, that are beft interpreted by the lenfe of the Vulgar, and become fo much the more $x$ nigmatical, by how much the more they are com. mented upon by the fubtile difcourfes of the Schools: their over-curious Defoants frequéntly rendring that Notion ambiguous, comp'ex and difficult, which accepted in its own genuine fimplicity, ftands fair and open to the difcernment of the unprajudicate, at the firft converfion of the acies of the Mind thereupon. A mong thefe we have juft caufe to account TIME; flice if we keep to the popular and familiarufe of the word, nothing can be more eafily undertood: but if we range abroad to thofe vaft Wilderneffes, the Dialectical Paraphrafes of Philofophers thereupon, and hunt after an adæquate Definition, bearing its peculiar Gersus, and effential Difference; nothing can be more obfcure and controverfial. This the facred Doctor (Ausufl. in. Confeff. I 4.) both ingenuoufly confeffed, and moft emphatically expreffed, in his, Sinemo ex me querat, quid fit Tempus, fcio; fi qucrenti explicare ve$\lim$, nefcio: intimating that the Mind may, indeed, at firft glance fpecuLate the nature of Time by a proper Idea; but fo pale and fine a one, as
nor Tongwe, nor Pen can ever pourrray a lively reprefentation chereof. And Cicero ( I deinvent.) is bold to lift it among the moft defperate Difficulties, Tempus definire Generalitìr. To which we may annex that Caying of one quoted by Stobeus (Eccl. Pbyf. II.) Tempus efe Noinga ss umióseast, Quidpians non re, Sed cogitatione conflans. As alfo that of 1 vistotle, who not only injoyns, that we difcourfe of Time in a certain key of thought far different from that wherein we ufe to confider things, which have a real inherence infubjecto; as if Time had no other fubject of inherence but the Mind, werc only a mere Ens Rationis, extrinrecal Denomination, and could expect no exacter a defription, then His Numerus, qui abfque ratione numerante off nullus: but advifech, if any fhall demand, what Time is, to afford him no other but Democritus An-
 nostifque apparet.

If we refearch profoundly into the Origisal of this Difficulty, of acquiring a clear and perfect theory of the Quiddity of Time, from the Lecture of thofe prolix Treatifes, whofe plaufible Titles promife fatisfaztion con. cerning it: we fhall foon find the chicf $C$ cuy $f$ to be this, that moft Philofophers have prefuppofed Time to be fome Corporeal Ens, or at leaft fome certain Accident inexiftent in and dependent on Corporeal Sub jects; when (in verity) if it be any thing at all it feems to be the Twin-brother of Space, devoyd of all relation to Corporiety, and abfolutely ind ependent on the Exiftence of any Nature whatever. For, to Him, who fhall, in abftract and attentive meditation, fqueftre Time from all Bodies, from their motions, fucceffive alternations, and contingent vicifitiudes infequent upon thofe motions; i.e. all Y'ears, Months, Weeks, Daycs, Hours, Minutes, Seconds, and all Accidents or Events contingent therein: it will foón appear moft evident, that Time (in fuo effe) owes no refpect at all to Motion, its conftancy, variety, or meafure; fince the underftanding muft deprehend Time to continue to be what it ever was and is, whecher there be any Motion or Mutation in the World, or not, nay, whether there De any World or not. For, examining what is meant by the eerm Duration, and what by the term Motion, in their fingle importances apart: we difcover, that Motion holds no relation to Duration, nor è 6 onver $f$ o, Duration to Motion, but what is purely Accidental, and Mental, i.. e. imagined by man, in order to his commenfuration of the one by the orher.

Another Caife of this Difficulty, may be the irreconcileable Difcrepancy of judgments concerning it, even among the moft Vencrable of the Ancients. For (1) Epicurrus hach a complex and periphraftical Defcription


 quent to dayes and nights, and hourss to pafsions and indolency, motion and quiet. The reafon of which Empiricuss (2. adverf. Phyfic.) by way of explanation, thus renders : Days and Nights are Accidents fupervenient upon the ambient Aer, the one being caufed by the prexence, the other by the abfence of the Sun; Hours are alfo accidents,as being parts of day or night; but Time is coextended to each day, night \& hourr, \& therefore we fay, that this day is long, this night fhort, while our thoughts are conftantly pointing

Art. 2. The Generall prafumption that Time is Corporeal, or an Accident dependent on Corporeal Subjetts; the chief Canfe of that Difficulty

Art. 3. The variety of opinions,concer ning it; another Caufe of the Difficulty: and Epicurus Defcription of irs Effence,recited and ex. plained.
at Time in that refpect fupervenient; Pafsions likewife and Indolences or Dolours and Pleafures, are Accidents not without Time evenient; laftly, Motion and Quiet are Accidents contingent in Time, and therefore by it we commenfurate the Celerity and Tardity of Motion, the long or flort duration of Quiet: therefore is Time the Accident of Accidents. And Lucretius alluding to the fame opinion of Epicurus, tranflates his "A-
 fe nonest, \&̛c. lib.I.

Art. 4. Time detined to be Coleffial Motion, by Ze. no, Cbryfiphus \&c. and thereupon affirmed, by Pbilo, to be only Cosucus to the V Vor L.
(2) Zeno, Chryippus, Apollodorus, Pofidonius, and their Sectator Pbi. lo, define Time to be, Motus ceelestis, five mundani intervallam, underftand. ing as well all particular Converfions, as the Generality of Motion from the beginning to the end of the World. Whereupon Philo would inferr, that Time was coervous to the World, i.e. before the. World there was no Time, nor fhould be any after : chough the Stoicks unanimounly defend the Infinity of Time, in regard they affirmed an infinitic of Worlds fucces. five, the fecond fpringing up, Phrenix-like, from the afhes of the firft, the the third from the fecond, \&xc. (3) Pytbagor as, according to the Records of Plutarch (in quaftion. Platonic.) to one interrogating him concerning the Effence of Time, calls it Animam Coli, the foul of Heaven. To which Plotinus (En.3. lib. 7. cap. 10.) feems to have alluded, when interpreting Plato's-faying, that Time was the Image of Eternity (in Timeo) He make Æiternity to be the very foul of the World, as confidered in Se, in its own fimple effence; and Time to be the fame foul of the world, confidered, prout varias mutationes fufcipit, as it admits various mutations.

Art. 5. Arjfotetes fo much magni fied Definition of Time, to be the Meafure of Motion Celle. fial, duc. perpended and found too lighr.
(4) And Aritootle, as every Pædagogue hath heard, after a long and anxious ferutiny, pofitively and magifterially determines Time to be, Numerum Motus (crelestis ac primi) fecundum prius \& posterius, the Number of the firft Coeleftial Motion, according to former and later; i. e. infomuch as in Motion we may obferve parts Antecedent and Confeqwent by a perpetual fucceffion. At the firf word of this eminent Definition, fome fuperficial Criticks have fawcely nibbled, urging (forfooth) that it founds folociftical, becaufe Number is Quantity Difcrete, but Time Continued; and therefore that the Word Medfure ought to be its fubftitute : but alas! had they read His whole difcourie of the nature of Time, they could not have been ignorant, that Aristotle intended nothing lefs, then that Time flould be yeputed a Quantity Difcrete; when both in his precedent and fubfequent lines He exprefly reacheth, that Chotion is contivued, inrefpert of Magnitude, and Time in refpect of Motion. Had They Excepted againft the whole, indeed, their Quarrel had bin juftifiable, and our felves might fafely have efpoufed it'; becaufe, if Time be the Meafure of Coeleftial Motion, then muft it follow, that if there were a Plurality of Worlds, or Prima Mobilin's; there would alfo be a Plurality of Times, becaufe a Plurality of Motions. To thofe of His Difciples; who reply, that in cafe there were many First Moveables, and confequently many diftinct Motions; yet would there be but one Meafure of them all : we rejoyn, if it be fuppofed that fome of the many Motions are fwifter then others, then of neceffity mutt they have many Prior and Posterior Darts; and if fo,
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how can all thofe, more or lefs difcrepant in velocity and tardity, fall under one and the fame meafure? / or, what fober man can admit, that there would be but one Time, where mult be reany diffinct fubjects of Mo. tion, and fo of Time? Nor can it more avail them to diftinguifh Time INTERNAL from EXTERNAL, affigning to each particular Primum Mobile a proper or Internal Time within its ambite, and one General or External Time to them all in common: becaufe it is a manifelt Adynaton, that there fhould be a General Time, without a General Motion, whofe parts being prior and pofterior, in refpe乞t of perpetual fucceffion, muft be the common Norma, or Rule of obfervation to all the reft; nor, indeed, can we admit, that a Flux of ten hours at once, or together, is poffible, where ten Spheres are in one hour moved. And, therefore, though Ariffotle feems to have had fome Hint of the true nature of Time, in his Objection againft thofe, whoopinioned it to be Caleffial Motion: yet he loft it again, when He defined it to be the Meafure of Caelestial Motion. For, Reafon attefteth the contrary, it being evident that the Coeleftial Motion is rather the Meafure of Time : infomuch as the meafure ought to be more known then the thing meafured; and Time is a certain Flux no lefs independent upon Motion then Quiet. Which thofe Worthies well underftood, who confeft Time to be IMA GINARY, fuch as flowed infinitely in duration before the Creation, and fhall continue its flux infinitely after the Diffolution of the World.

Art.I. Time, nor fub fance, nor Ac. cident: but an Ens more Ge neral, and the Twintrother of Space.

Art. 2.
A Paralellifm. berwixr Spar : and Time. Time, in its totality non.principiate and interminable. (2) As every Moment of Time is the fame in all places: fo is every canton or part of Place the fame in all times. (3) As Place, whether any, or no Body be collocated therein, doth fill perfift the fame immoveable and invariately: fo doth unconcerned Time flow on eternally in the fame calm and
equal tenor, whecher any or nothing hath duration thercin, whecher any thing be moved or remain quiet. (4) As Place is uncapable of expanfion, interruption or dif continuity, by any Caufe whatever: So is Time uncapable of acceleration, retardation, or fufpenfion; it moving on no lefs, when the Sun was arrefted in the midft of its race in the dayes of $\mathcal{F o}$ of hua, when the Hebrews vanquifhed \& purfued the Amorrhires, then at any time before, or fince. (5) As God was pleafed, out of the Infinite Space to elect a certain determinate Region for the fituation: fo hath He , out of Infinite Time, eleeted a determinate part for the Duration of the World. (6) And therefore, as every Body, or Thing, in refpect to its HERE or THERE, enjoyes a proportionate part of the eMundane space: So likewife doth it, according to its NOW, or THEN of Exiftence, enjoy a proportionate part of the Mun dane Duration. (7). As, in relation to Place, we fay, Everywhere, and Somewhere: fo, in relation to Time, we fay, Almayes, and Sometimes. Hence, as it is competent to the Creature to be only fomewhere, in refpect of Place, and fometimes, in refpect of Time: Yo is it the prarogative of the Creater, to be Everywhere as to place, and Forever, as to time. And eherefore thofe two illuftrious Attributes, Immens $f$ ty, whercby He is prafent in all places, and Eternity, whereby He is exiftent at all Times, are proper only to God. (8) As Place hath Dimenfions Permazent, whereby it refponds to the Longitude, Latitude, and Profundity of Bodies : fo hath Time Dimenfions fuccefsive, to which the Cuotions of Bodies may be adxquated. Hence comes it, that as by the Longitude, of any ftanding meafure (V.G.) of an Ell, we commenfurate the longitude of Place: fo by the flux of an Horologe do we commenfurate the flux of Time. And, infomuch as no motion is more General, Conftant and Ob. ferved, then that of the Sun: therefore do we affume its motion for a General Herodix, by it regulate all our computations, and confide in it as an univerfal Directory, in our Menfuration of the flux of Time. Nor that the Feet of Time are chained to the Chariot of the Sun, fo as the Acceleration or Retardation of the motion of that fhould caufe an equal Velocity, or Tardity in the progrefs of this : but that Cuftom hath fo pra. vailed, as we compute the flux of Time by the diurnal and annual revolution of the Sun. For, in carc the motion of the Sun were doubly fwifter, then now it is, that of Time would not therefore be doubly fiviferalfo; but only the 'rpace of two dayes would then be equal to the .fpace of one, as now during the prefence of the Sun to our Hemipphere : nor, on the contrary, if the motion of the Sun were doubly flower, would the pace of Time be likewife doubly flower; but ohly the Space of one day, would be equal to that of two. And, therefore, He that will defend Empedocles conceit, that in the beginning of the World, the length of the dayes did by fix parts in feven exceed that of our dayes: muft demonftrate that the urrial Arch of the Sun was then by fix of feven larger then now, or its motion fo much flower.

## Att. 3.

 Time, Senior unio, and in. dependent upon Notion: and only acciden. rally indicated by Morion, as the Menfuratum by the Menfura.From this Paralelli/m'tis difficule not to conclude, that Time is infriztely elder then Motion, and confequently ixdependent upon it : as alfo, that Time is only indicated by Motion, as the Menfuratum by the Menfura. For, infomuch as it had been otherwife impoffible for Man to have known how much of Time He had fpent either in 'action, or reft; therefore did He fix his obfervation upon the Coeleftial motion, and compute the quantity of Time praterlapped by che Degrees of the Suns motion in the Hea-
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vens. And becaure the obfervation of the Suns motion was eafie and familiar ; therefore did the Ancients invent feveral inftruments, as Water and Sand Hour-glaffes, and Sun-dials, and the Neotoricks Trochiliack Horodixes, circumgyrated by internal fprings, or external weights appenfed; and fo arrificially adxquated them to the motion of the Sun, that defines the day by its prafence, and might by its abfence, as having fubdivided their horary motions into equal fmaller parts, at lat they defcended to the defignation: of each ftep in the progrefs of Time, i.e. to the computation even of Minutes and Seconds.

If any yet doubt (which we cannot fuppofe, without implicite fcandal) of the Independence of Time on Caleffial CMotion; or, that old Cbronos mulf ftand fill,, in cafe the Orbs hould make a Halt: We advifc him ferioufly to perpend that fuperratural Detenfion of the Sun in the day of batetle betwixt the Iraelite and the Amorrhite; affuring our felves that his thoughts will foon light upon this $\mathcal{A}$ podiztical Argument. Either there was no Time during the Ceffation of the Suns motion on that day; or elfe Time kept on its conftant fux : for one of thefe pofitions muft be true. That the Firft is falfe, is manifeet from the extraordinary Duration of the day, the Text pofitively expreffing, that no day was ere, nor fould be fol long as that; and the word Long undeniably importing a Continsed flux of cime: Ergo, the Jecoond muft be molt true; and upon Confequence, though the Detention of the Sun was miractillous, yet was the Duration of the day Natural, becaure Time hath no dependence on Coeleftial Motion.

Nor do they at all infirm the news of this Dilemma, who object; that there was then no Time, becaulf there were no Hours: fince Hours are no more Effential to Time chen Spring, Stmmer, Autumn, and Winter, which are only fucceffive mutations of the temperament of Aer, convenient to the confervation and promotion of feminalities; and as for Dayes, they likewife are abfolute Aliens to Time, fince while our Hemiiphere enjoyes theillumination of the Sun, the fubterraneous one wants it, and foour day is night to the Antipodes inhabiting the oppofite part of the Globe Terreftrial; but Time is confantly the fame through the Univerfe. Befides, there were Hours during the arreft of Don Phebus; in this refpect, that the fpace of Time, in which he ftood fill, was defignable by the flux of Hour-glaffes, or any other Temporary Machine:: nor ought we to fay, there are no hours but thofe which we commenfurate. And therefore, we incur no Solecifif when we fay, that God, had it feemed good in the eye of his Widdom, might have created the World many thoulands of millions of years fooner then He did: becaufe frach was the praxcedent Plux of Time as might be computed by Spaces of Duration in longitude refpondent to that determinate fpace of Time, which the Sun in its progrefs through the Zodiack annually doth fulfill; not that before the Creation, there were real years, diftinet and defined by the repeated Converfions of the Sun.

Furcher, As Time hath no Dependence on, fo can it receive no Unwation from Motion. Aristotle, indeed, accufeth it of Mutability, merely becaure we ufe to connect that Time in which we fall alleep,

Ǎrt.4。 A demonfiration of the independence of Time upon Motion, from the miraculous Detention of the Sun, above the Horifon, in the days of 70 fina.

Art. S. An Objethon, thar, during the arreft of the Sun, there was no Time, becaufe no Houts; fatisfis ed.

Art. 6. The immutabllity of Time alto aflerted. agzinf $A$ rififo atie.
to that in which we awake, lofing that of which the ceffation of our fenfes operation make's us infenfible: But alas! this looks like too weak a conceit to be the mature iffue of fo ftrong a brain as His; infomuch as albeit we concede fome Mutations to be neceffary, as to our perception of the flux of Time, yet doth it not follow, that therefore thole Mutations are neceffary; as to the Flux of Time it felf. True it is allo, that we ufe to meafure various Mutations by Time: but if we examine the mater profoundly, we thall animadvert, that the Time, during which thofe Mutations laft, is rather meafured by Motion then the contrary; for though that motion be not oblerved in the Heavers, yet may it be rquivalent indicated by Hour-Glaffes, or any other Chronodix. Which Cari: fotle himfelf feems to acknowledge ( in 12. de Calo) when He affirms, that as: Motion may be meafured by Time, So may time by Motion.

## Sect. liI.

Art. I. The Grand Quxftion, con cerning the Difparity of Tine and Eternity; flated.

IF Time be, as our Defcription imports; Non-principiate and Infinite : how can we Difcriminate it from Æternity? Should we refolve, that externity, in the ears of an unprejudicate underftanding, founds no more then PERPETUAL DURATION, or Time that never knew beginning, nor can ever know an end : we are inftantly affaulted with this Difficulty; that Time hath Dimenfions fucceffive, comprehends Priority and Pofteriority of parts, and effentially confifteth in a certain perpetual Flux; but Eternity is radicated in one permanent point, falls under none but the Prefent Tenfe, and is only a certain conftant $\neq w w$, or intranfible NOW; or, as Booctius defines it, Interminabilis vite tota fimul \& perfecta poffefsio, an interminable and perfect poffeffion of life altogether, i.e. without praterite and future, or, Forever at once. To extricate our felves from this feeming Confufion of two things, whofe Natures appear fo irreconcileably difparate; we are to begin at two previous Confiderables.
(1) That Plato (Oirt of whofe Tinsous that eminent Definition of

Art. 2. Two preparatory Confiderations, touch ant the xquivo cal ufe of the word Aternity) ; requifite to the clear folu. tion thereof. Boctius was extracted, which hath received the approbation and praifes of moft of our Ecclefiaftick Patriarchs ) afferting his opinion, that Immutable and Eternal Natures are not fubject to Time, to which $A$ riffotle alfo affented; doth not intend the word, eEternity, abftractly and precifely, to fignifie a fpecies of Duration: but Concretely, for Comething whofe Duration is Eternal, viz. the Divine Subfance, which He otherwife calls, the Soul of the World. This may be, without violence or finifter perverfion, collected from hence, that He diflikes the incongrwous conference of both and either of thofe Tenfes, Fuit and Erit, as well upon Eternity or interminable Duration, abftraftly confidered; as 6min twi dufion sioiay, upon the Eternal Subftance. And Plotinus (En.3. lib. 7. cap. I.) more then once exprefly declares as much: and moft ingenioulty infinuates the fame both when He derives the
 and when he excludes all real Alterity, or difference from $\pi i$ 名, quod eff, and rox cé öv, quod Semper oft, Importing chat Is and Etervity are Identical.
(2) That when Plato deniect the Congruity of Preterite and Future, but allowes that of the Prefent Tenfe, or $E f t$, to the Eternal Swbfance; He only aims at chis, that, Caying of the Eternal Subftance, Fuit, it hath been, we do not underftand it the fame with Non amplius oft, it is no more; and alfo when we fay of it, Erit, it frall be, we do not underfand it as Nondune eft, it is not yet: but not that Fuit is incompetent to the Eternal Subftance, provided we intend that it doth now continue to be the fame it ever hath been; nor Erit, while we conceive it Thall be to all Eternity the fame, that it ever hath been, and now is. It being manifeet from the Syntax and purpore of all his Dialogue, that his cardinal feope was only to pravent the dangerous adicription of thofe temporary Matations to the Eternal Being, which are properly incident to Generable and Corruptible Natures: and to demonftrate, that we ought to conceive
 In a word, plato doth judge, that the Tenfe Eft is proper only to the Divine Nature, becaule it is ever the fame, or invariably poffeffech the fame perfections, not is there any moment in the vaft amplitude of Eternity, wherein it can be jufly faid, Now it hath fome Attribute, which it had not formerly, or which it fhall not have in the fuuture : fince the progrefs of Time can neither add any thing unto, nor detraat any thing from it, as it doth to othet Natures, that are obnoxious to mutation; fo that God may well
 liter:

There remora's of ambiguity removed, we may uninterruptedly advance to inference, and withour further hefitancy determine, (1) That when Eternity is faid to be, Okidpiam totum fimul, fomething wanting fucceffion or flux of parts, as in the memorable Definition of Boetiuss, then is it to be accepted, not abferiactly for Duration, but Concretely for the Divine Subfance, whofe Duration is fem. piternal. (2) Tliat Time and Eternity differ each from other, in no. other refpect, then that Etefnity is an inffinite Duration, and Time (according to the Vulgar intent of the word) a certain part of that infinite Duration, commenfing at the Creation, and decermining at the Diffolution of the World.

This Cicero rightly apprefiended, and empliatically expreffed, in his fentence, Tempus est pars quedami Eterritatais, cumm alicujus axmui, menstrui, diurni; nociturnive fpatii certaffinificatione.? In this refpect, Eternity is faid to be Duration Non-principiate and Interminable; which is proper only to God: and Time is faid to be Duration Principiate and Terminable; which is competent to atl 'Caduce, CMutable, and Corruptible Natures: as allo that part of Eecrnity, which the Neotericks by a frecial idiome name Æ.verm, is Duration Principiate, but Interminable, which is adfriptive to Angeliral or Intelle चiulal Natures; and
to the Rational Soul of man; for thus we underfand that frequent Biparo tition of Eternity into à parte ante, \& a parte post, invented by the Schoolmen.

Art. 4. The Platonicks Definition of Eternity, to be one Everlafing Now, not intelligible, and therefore col. lufive.

Art. 5. Their Affertors fubterfuge. that Eternity is Coexifent to Time; alfo un. intelligible.

There Pofitions being indifputable, the remaining fubject of our prefent Difquifition, is only Whether the Platonicks fpake rationally and intelligibly, when they defined Eternity to be one everlasting NOW, or a Duration void of fuccefsion, or flux of parts?

Concerning this grand Doubt, we profers, would Truth have connived, we could moft willingly have paft it by untoucht; becaufe moft of our Cbristian Doctors have fully affented unto them in this particular : but, fince the convulfion of this their opinion doth ftagger no Principle of Faith, or Canonical Document made facred and eftablinhed by the Authority of the Church; we fhall not deferve Excommunication, nor fuffer the expurgatory Spunge of Rome, if we quxttion the Congruity of that Definition, and affirm that No man can underfand it. For, what Wit is fo acute and fub. lime, as to conceive, that a thing can have Duration, and that Duration can be as a point without Fufion and Continsation from one moment to another, by intervenient or mediate moments? Eafie enough, we confers, it is to conceive, that the Res durans is altogether at once, or doth retain the famenefs of its Nature, withour mutation, diminution, or amiffion of any Perfection : but that, in this Perfeveration, there is not many Nows, or many Inftants, of which, compared among themfelves, fome are Antecedent, and others Confequent; is to us abfolutely incomprehenfible.

Nor can we undertand, why it may not be good Chriftian Phrafe, to fay; God WAS in the time of the Firft Man, and SHALL be in the time of the Laft : or why it is not more Grammatical and proper for us to fay, God Created the World HERETOFORE, and will both deftroy and renovate the World HEREAF TER; then, that God doth NOW Create, deftroy and renovate.

To this the Common Anfwer is, that the Reafon why thefe Anthropopathical Phrales are tolerable, is becaufe Eternity is Coexiflent to our Time: but this is Ignotums explanare per ignotius; for the manner of that fuppofed Coexiftence hath been never explained, and feemeth laid by till the advent of Elias. That an Inftant, i.e.' what wants fucceffion, can be Coexiftent to a fuccefsive thing; is as manifeft an impoffibility, as that a Point, i.e. what wants Longitude, can be Coexiftent or Coextenfive to a Line. Indeed, They have endeavoured to wave the Difficulty, by fubnecting, that the Inftant of Eternity is of fuch peculiar Emisency, as that it is Equivolent to Time though Succeffive: But as to the Formal Reafon, and manner of this peculiar Eminency, they have left it wholly to our Enquiry alfo. Nor did they beftow one ferious thought upon the confideration of it; for had they, doubtlefs they mut have found their Wit at a lofs in the Labyrinth of Fancy, and perceived themfelves reduced so this Exigent : either that they had fooled themfelves in rri月ing
trifing with words not well underfood; or that they had precarioufly ufurped the Quxftion; or that the fame Inftants are in Eternity, that are inour Time, but with fuch Eminency, that infinitely more are contained in Erernity, then in our Time. How much better were it faid, that we are Coexiftent with God; or, that we are exiftent in a fmall part of that Duration, in which God infinitely exiftech? For, while we are, certainly, we cannot imagine Two diftiņt Durations; but one, which in refpet to our Nature, that is principiate, murable, and terminable, doth contain defignable Terms; and in refpect of the Divine Nature, which is nonprincipiate, immutable, interminable, hath its Diffufion or Extenfion infinitely long before, and as long after us. This may receive ample juftification from that fpeech of the Hebreno Poet, whofe Infpirer was the Holy Ghoft, (Pfal. ror.) Thous fhalt Change them and they fhall be changed; but thou, O God! art the fame forever, and thy years thall not fail. For here Y EARS are artributed to God, but not any mutation of Subitance: fo that when our years are exhaufted, in a fhort, or fpan-like flux of Time, the Glafs of His Duration is alwayes full. And, therefore, the Expreffion is only Tropological, when it is faid, that the years of our life make but a Day in the Almanack of Divinity: for the life of the Hemerobit compared to ours of threefcore years and ten, holds fome proportion; but the life of CMetbufalem, compared to the Duration of the Life of our lives, the Divine Effence, holds none at all. Ulpon this confideration, it was more then a Heathen obfervation of Plustarch (in Confolat. ad Apollon.) that there is no difference betwixt a long and a brief time, in refpect of Eternity: fince, as Simonides, a thoufand, nay a million of years make but a point, nor fo much as the leaft part of a point in the line of infinite Duration.

Art. 6 :
Convifted thus by Reafon, our Doctors convert to Scripture, urging that God (Exod. 3.) indicates his Beeing only in the Prefent Tenfe, as peculiar to his Eternity, faying, I am, that I am, and I am hath fent thee to Mofes. But chis Objection admits of a threcfold evafion. (1) The Hebrew Text doth not, in that place, ufe the Profent, but the Future Tenfe, I Joall be, what I hall be, and I fhall be bath fent thee. (2) We can oppofe many other Texts, which adfcribe to God as well Preterite and Future, as Prefent time; and moft eminently in the Revelation, He
 and is to come. (3) God Himfelf doth frequently enunciate many actions, not that He now doth, but that He hath formerly done and will do in the future, in that moment of opportinity, which His Wirdom hath predetermined. Hence alfo expulfed, They fly to their laft fortefs, vit.

If Eternity be not one permanet Now, then cannot all things be prefent to God, objectively. But vain is their hope of fecurity in this alfo. For, many things, if we relpect the when of their exiftence, have already been, and as many are not yet; but, becatife the Omnifcience of God pervades as well the darknefs of pajt, as of
prafent Time, and alwayes fpeculates all things moft clearly and diftinctly: therefore do we fay, that all things are objects to His Opricks, or, that all things are prefent to His Cognition; not that He knows, all things to be prefent at once altogether, but that He hath before Him at once all the diverfities of Times, and as perfectly contemplates them Future and Pre. terite, as Prefent. For, the Divine Intellect doth not apprehend Objects, as the Humane, one after another, or in a fucceffive and fyntactical feries; but grafps all things together in one entire att of Cognition, and comprehends in one fimple intuition whatever hath been, or may be known. And, therefore, our opinion is not at all impugned by that facred fentence; $A l l$ things are open and naked to His eyes, and He calls upon thofe things, that are not, as if they were. Hereupon fome have, with unpardonable temerity and incogitancy, inferred; that ONCE there was no Time; for in this their very denial, they openly confefs, that Time hath ever been: it being all one as if they had faid, There was a Tifne when there was no Time.

Art. 8. Nor the limmusability of the Divine Nature; againft Arifo: the.

Laftly, as the Omnifcience of God cannot be indubitated by our perfuafion of the Identity of Eternity and Time, fo neither can His Immutability, as Ariftotle would have it, only for this Reafon (forfooth) that Time, or that Duration, which hath fucceffive, and fo prior and po. fterior parts, is the General Caufe of Corruption. For, our precedent Difcourfe hath lefe no room for the intrufion of that futile Objection; infomuch as it rather commonftrateth the Divine Nature to be fo Conftant and Perfect, that in the cternal flux of Time it can know nothing of Innovation or Corruption. Befides, Time, or the fucceffion of Duration, is not the Caufe, that induceth Corruption: but the Native Imbecillity of compound Natures, invaded and fubdued by fome Contrary Agent; and God is a Pure, Simple, Homogeneous fubftance, and fo not fubject to the invafion of any Contrary. Evident it is, therefore, that Ariftotle, when He urged this Sophifm, fpoke more like a Poet, then a Philofopher'; fince Poets only ufe to give Time the Epithite of Edax reram: nor could He be fo abfurd, as to dream, that Time was a vaft Animal, with fharp teeth, an infatiate appetite, and a belly inexplebile, or an old man armed with a Sithe, as the Poets defcribe Saturn, making xévos and xévos, Saturn and Time one and the fame thing. For, Time really doth neither Eat nor Mow down any thing; and the Diffolution of all Create compound Natures can be imputed to no other Caute, but the Domeftick Hoftility of their Heterogenieties, or the unceffant inteftine warr of their Elements, from whofe commixture their Compofitions, or Concretions did firf refult. With this qualification, therefore, we are not angry at that of Periander, in Stobeus, Temppus oft Caufa omnium rerum: becaufe in the procefs of Time all things have their origin, fate, and declination. In this reftrained fenfe we alfo tolerate the faying of Thales Milefius, quoted by Laertius, Tempus eft fapienti simum: fince Time produceth Experience, and Experience Prudence. And that Antitheton of Pharon the Pytbagorean, recited by Ariftotle; Tempus eft Ineruditifsimum: becaule in procefs of Time the Memory of all things is obliterated, and fo oblivios may well be called the Hand-maid of Time, that perpetually follows at the heels of her Miftrifs.

Our
Chap. VII. Of Time and Eternity. 8.3

Our Clue of thoughts concerning Time is now wholly unravelled ; Art.g. and though we may not prafume, that we have therewith led the mind Coronis. of our Reader through all the mytteries of its Nature: yet may we hope; that it may ferve as a conduct to thofe, who have a more ample ftock of Lcarning and Perficacity for the fupport and encouragement of their Curiofity; at leaft that the Attentive and Judicious may eafily collect from thence, that we have, upon no Intereft but chat main one of Ve. rity, withdrawn our affent from the common Doctrine of the Schools, that Etcrnity is one permanent Now, without Succeffion, or Priority and Pofterionty of Moments.



CHAPI.

The Exifence of eftoms, Evicted.

## Sect, I.

'Art. I. The right of the Authors Tranfition from the Incorporeal to the Corporeal part of Nature: and a feries of his fubfeguent fpeculations:


Mong infinite other hypochondriack Conceits of the Testonick (rather, Fanatique) Philofophers, they frequently adicribe a Dark, and a Light fide to God; determining the Effence of Hell in the one, and that of Heaven in the other. Whether the expreffion be proper and decent cnough to be tolerated; requires the arbitration of only a mean and vulgar judgment. We fhall only affirm, that had they accommodated the fame to the fhadow, or Vicegerent General of God, to Nature; their Dialect had been, as more familiar to our capacity, fo more worthy our imitation. For, that the INCORPOREAL, and therefore Invifible part of the Univerfe, the Inane Space, may bear the name of the DARK; and the CORPOREAL and vifible part of the LUMINOUS fide of Nature: Ceems confentaneous to reafon. On the Firft, hath the eye of our Mind been thus long levelled; taking in by collateral and digreffive glances the Effential Proprieties of Place and Time; the one of which is ablolutely Identical, the other perfectly Analogous to Inanity : on the other we are now to convert it, and with more then common attention, therein to fpeculate the Catholique Prinsiples, Motions and chutations, or Generation and Corruption of B O D I ES.

All Bodies, by an univerfal Dittinction, are either (1) $\pi x^{1} \varepsilon^{\prime} \xi \tilde{\omega}$ Eurxeíers, fuch, from the convention and coalition of which all Concretions refult; familiarly called by Phyfologits, Principia, Primordia, Componentia, but mof commonly, Elementa, and Materia Prima. Or (2) rai Eurxeícouta, fuch as confift of the former coacervated, and coa. lefced: or fuch as are compofed of many fingle particles Component. The Former were made by Creation, and are fuperiour to Corruption: the Later are produced by Generation, and reducible by Corruption. The Firfl are Simple and origizary; fuch as Plato intends (in Phedro) when he faith, Principii nullam effe originem, quoniam ex ipfo principio oriuntur om. nia: the other, Compound and Secondary; fuch as Lwcretius (lib.I.) underftands by his Concilio qua conftant principiorum.

What there Fivft, Simple, Ingenerable, Incorruptible, Univerfally Component Bodies are, or to fpeak in the Dialect of the Vulgar, What is the General Matter of all Concretions (it is no foloccilm in Phyfiology, to transfer a word abftractly importing a Natural Action upon the thing produ. ced by that action ) hath been by more Difputed, then Determined, in all Academies. Thar there muft be fome one Catholique Material Principle, of which all Concrete Subftances are compofed; and into which they are again, at length by Corruption refolved: is unanimoully confeffed by all. And, confequently, that this Matter is Incorruptible, or the Term wherein all Diffolution ceafech; hath been indubitated by none, but thofe, who, upon a confufion of Geometrical with Phyfical Maxims, run upon the point of that dangerous Abfurdity, that the infinite divijfon of a real Continumum is pof sible. Infomuch cherefore, as the Eiffential reafon or Formality of Corporiety doth folely confiff in Exten. fibility, or the Dimenfions of Longitude, Latitude, and Profundity real ; as our Third Chapter pracedent hath demonftrated, and as the Patriarch of the Schools doth exprefly confers (Natur. Aufcult. 4. cap. 3.) and infomuch as nothing can be che Root or beginning of Material or Phyfical Extenfion, but ci ästajpon Gor, Aliquid indijolubile, fomething fo minute and folid, that nothing can be conceived more exiguous and impatible in Nature (for, as the Radix of crathematick, or Imaginary Continuity, is a Point : fo muft that of Phyfical or fenfible Continuity be a Body of the fralleft quantity) fuch as are the A TOMS of Democritus, Epicurrus, and other their Seethators; and the Infenfible Particices of Cartefius: therefore, from manifett neceffity, may we determine, that no Principle canjufle ly challenge all the Proprieties, or Attributes of the Firff Univerfal Matter,
 tal Pofition clearly to eftablifh by demonftration; is a chief part of our difficult Province: having, for mechod and prevention of ob fcurity, firft briefly infifted upon their various Appellations, with the Etymological relation of each, traced them up to their $\pi$ 保您, or Invention, and evicted their Exijfence.
(I) As for their various DENOMINATIONS; they natu. rally reduce themfelves to three General Imports, bearing a congruous and emphatick refpeet to their chree moft eminerit Proprieties. For,
(1) In relation to their Corporiety, they are called, $\tau \alpha^{\prime} \sum \omega_{\mu}^{\prime} \mu \alpha \pi$, , Bo-
 devoyd





[^1][^2]Art. 4 Their fundry Appellations alo lufive to their three eminent propristies.
devoyd of all Incorporiety, i.e. they contain nothing of Inanity, as do all Concretions emergent from them, there being in all Compound Bodies more or lefs of Inanity diffeminate among theír particles'. For which reafon, they are alfo named, $\pi \lambda$ nign, plena.
(2) In regard of their affording Matter to all Concretions, they are de

 Matter of all things, and Marowsppia, Genitalia Semina rerum, the feminaries of all productions: becaufe all material things are compoled of them. In which concern alfo, by a Pythagorical Epithite, they are ffiled, Movódes, Unities; becaufe, as all Numbers arife from Unities, fo all Compofitions from them.

To denote their Indifolubility, they are mof frequently known by the term, "A Arou, and "A topgt, Atoms; either becaufe they are incapable of Section, as IJodor, Plutarch, Servius, Budeus, Scapula, \&x. or Six minv ä $\lambda$ vilov seppómites, ob iadifolubilem Soliditatem, for their indiffoluble folidity. For, all Concrete Bodies, infomuch as they came thort of abfolute folidity, having fomewhat of Inanity intermixt, may be divided, and fubdivided until their ultimate refolution into theefe, their component parts: but Atoms admir of no divifion below themfelves. Wherefore they are ufually chriftned, adidiúpn"es, "̈urgn̆", Individual, Infectile, Impartible; as likewife, aंóeate, noz $\omega$ Yecopnta, Invijible, and by the mind only perceptible, Bodies, i.e. fo exile as no man can conceive a real Exility beyond theirs.

Art.5. Two vulgarly paflane Derivations of the word, Atom, exploded.

Hence are we affured, that Two vulgarly paffant Derivations of the word, Atome, are ingenuine and extorted. (I) That of Hefychius, with too much femblance of approbation mentioned by the Reviver of the great Democritus, Magnenus, (de Atom. difput. 2.cap.2.) which would have it a fprigg of that root, "A A Mes, Fumus; becaufe (forfooth) from all bodies, in their reverfion from mixtion to diffolution, their Elements difperfe by Exbalation: as if this Etymologie were fo adxquate and important, as to compenfate the defect of an omicron, in the fecond fyllable. (2) That embraced not only by many pædantique Grammarians, but cven acute Pbilologers, who interpret the word Atomus to fignifie a Defect of Parts; as if an Atom were deftitute of all Magnitude, or no other then a mere Mathematical Point: when, indeed, the Nomenclat or had his eye fixt only on their Solidity, Hardnefs, or Impatibility, which is fuch, as excludes all poffibility of Fraction, Section, Divifion. Thus much Epicarus himfelf expreffeth, in moft perfpicuous and unpervertible terms (apud Plutarch. 1. placit. 3.) thus; Dicitur Atomus, non quìd minima fit, vel inflar puncti, Sed grod non pofsit dividi; cum fit patiendi incapax, \& inanis expers. And Galen ( I de Elem.) recounting their doetrine, who affirmed the Principles of all Bodies to be Aroms, . Caith of Edicurus, Fecit
 to their Jolidity.
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(2) Concerning their IN VENTION; if we reflect upon them Art. 6 . as in $R e$, before their reception of any conftant Denomination; we have who their inthe tradition not only of Pofsidonius the Stoick, related by Empiricus (ad. ventor: and wher $\begin{gathered}\text { wo- }\end{gathered}$ verf. Phyfic. lib. ib.) but alfo of Strabo, to affure the honour thereof upon whenclaeror. one Mof chus, a Phanician, who flourifhed not long before the ruíne of Troy by the Gracians. Allowing this for Auchentique, we have fome caufe to judge cNagnenus to have been too favourable to his Grand Mafter, Democritus, when (inteffimon. de Democrito. pag. 32.) He enrichech his Panegyrick of him with, Effuria Corporum Atomofque comperit, \& invexit omnium primus: ex Laertio quod unum tanti apud ine eft, ut congeflas omnium Philofophorum laudes velexagret vel fuperet. Befides, to do La. ertius right, He finds Leucippos, not Democritus, to have been the Founder of this incomparable Hyporhefis: as his records lye open to teffifie (in vita Lewcippi.) Bur, if we refled upon them only as in Nomine, enquiring who was their Godfather, that impofed the moft convenient name, Atoms, upon them; we need not any more ancient, or faithful monuments to filence all comperition about that honour, then thofe of Theo. Soret: who rightly fets the Laurel on the deferving front of Epicurus, in

 ing Democritus and Metrodorus Cbius) Nafta o adiereta, appellavit Atomos. We are not ignorant, that Sidonius, Apollinaris (carmin. 15.) adfcribes the impofition of this name, 'to Archelaus in thefe Verfes:

Pof hos, Archelaus divina, mente paratam Concipit hanc molem, confectam partibus illis, Quos Atomos vocat, iple leveis, tóc.
But how unjufly, even S. Auguftine (8. de Civit. Dei, cap 3.) fufficiently declares; faying, that Archelaus deduced all things, non ex. Atomis, fed ex Particulis difsimilibus. And therefore, though we may not file up the firt Difcovery of this noble Principle, Atoms (of all orhers, hitherto excogitated, the moft verifimilous, becaufe moft fufficient to the folution of all Natures Phanomena) among thofe many benefits, which the Commonweal of Philolophy owes to the bounteous Wit of Epicuras : yet hath his fagacity in accommodating them with fo perfectly congruous an Appellation, and fucceffful induftry in advancing and refining their Theory, in the General, worthily entituled him to the homage of a grateful Eftimation equal to that, which the merit of their $I$ m. ventor claims.
(3) Concerning their EXIS T E N C E; that there are fuch Things, as Atoms. or Infectile Bodies, in Rerum Natura; cannor be long doubted by any judicious man, who fhall thus reafon with himfelf.
(1) Nature can produce Nothing out of Nothing; nor redisce any thing to Nothing: is an Axiome, whợe tran puility was never yet difturbed, no not by thofe who have invaded the Certitude of even Firf Notions, and accufed Geomerry of delufion. If fo; there muft be fome Common Stock, or an Univerfal Somecthing, Ingenerable, and Incorruptible, of which being praexiftent, all things are Generated, and into which

Ari. 7. Their Exyliencie demonfirated.
being indiffoluble, all things are, at the period of their duration, again refolved.

Are. 8. That Narure, in her dififolution of Concretions, doth defrend to infenfible particles.

That Nature doth diffolve Bodies into exceeding minute, or infenfible particles; Her felf doth undeniably manifeft, as well in the Nutritios of Animate (their Aliment being volatilized into fo many infenfible particles, as thore whereof the Body nourifhed doth confint; otherwife there could be no General Appofition, Accretion, Affimilation) as the Irecineration of Lead Bodies. Which ground Des Cartes rightly apprehended to be fo firm and evident, that he thought the exiftence of his Infenfible Particles fufficiently demonftrable from thence. Quis dubitare poteft (faith He) quin multa Corpora fint tam minuta, ut ea nullo fenfu deprebendamus, $\sqrt{3}$ tantum confideret, quidnama fingulis horis adjiciatur is qua lentè augentur, vel quid detrabatur ex iisque jenfim minuuntur! Crefcit enim arbor quotidie, sec poteft intelligi majorem illam reddiquim prius fuit, nijo fimul intelligatur aliquod corpus cidem adjungi. 2uis autèm unquam fenfu deprebenderit, quenam fint illa corpufcula, que in una die arbori crefoenti accefferunts, foc. (princip. Pbilof.part.4.articul.201.)

Art. 9.
That fhe cannot run on to Infinity.

That the cannot in her Diffolution of Bodies, proceed to Infinity, but muft confift in fome definite Term, or extreme, the loweft of Phyfical Quantity; is demonftrable from hence, that every real Magritude is uncapable of interminable Divifion. For, fince to an infinite procefs is required an infinite Time; fhe could never Generate any thing New, becaufe the old would require an infinite time and procefs to their Diffolution. Convieted by this apodictical Argument, Arifotle (I Phyf.9.) detefting the odious Abfurdity of (e่s aंTcerer exvay) running on to Infinity; folemnly concludes (ávérxn ori sinvay) that there mult be an Extreme Matter, wherein all Exolution is terminated: only herein He recedes from the fuppofition of Democritus, Epicurus, and other Patrons of the fame Doctrine, that they terminated all Exolution in the Infectility of Atows; but He defcribes no fuch Extreme, or point of Confiftence, his Materia Prima being ftated rather Potential, then Actual, and abfolutely devoid of all Quantity; then which we know no more open and inexculable a Contradiction. Again, if the Exolution of Bodies were not Definite, and that Nature knowing no nè ultra, did progrefs to Adnibilation: then mult it inevitably follow, that the Matter of all things, that have been formerly, is totally Adnihilated; and the matter of all things now Exiftent, was educed out of Nothing. Two moft intolerable Abfurdities; fince Adnibilation and Creation are terms not to be found in the Dictionary of Nature, but proper on. ly to Ommipotence : nor is there any fober man, who doth not underftand the Common Material of Things to be conftantly the fame, through the whole flux of Time, or the duration of the World; fo as that from the Creation thereof by the Fiat of God, no one particle of it can perifh, or vanifh into Nothing, until the total Diffolution of Nature, by the fame Metaphyfical power, nor any one particle of new matter be fuperadded thereto, without miracle. The Energy of Nature is definite and prexcribed : nor is the Commiffioned with any orher Efficacy, then what extends to the moulding of old Matter into New Figures; and C , the nobleft Atrri-
Art. 10. bute we can allow her, is that of a Tranflator.

Now, to extract the firit of all this, fince there muft be an Extreme, or
ultimate
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ultimate Term of Exolubility, beyond which can be progrefs; fince this Term can be conceived no other but the loweft degree of Phyfical Quantity; and fince, beyond the Infectility of Atoms, no Quantity Phyfical can be granted: what can the genuine Confequent be, but that in Nature there are extremly minute Bodies, "A Immutable?
(2) For Confirmation; as in the Univerle there is, Aliquid Isane, fomeching to purely Inane, as that it is abfolutely devoyd of all Corporiety : fo alfo muft there be Aliquid Corporeum, fomewhat fo purely Corporeal, or Solid, as to be perfectly devoyd of all Inanity; to which peculiar folidity nothing but Atoms, in regard of their Iadivifibility, can prætend: therefore is their Exifence to be confeffed. This Reafon Lucretius moft clegantly thus urgeth;
Tum porrò, si nileffet, quod I N A N E vacaret,
Omne foret folidum; nifi contri CORPORA ceca
ESent, qua loca complèent quecunque tenerent,
Omne quod eft Jpatium, Vacuum conflaret Inane, doc. Lib.I.
(3) Evident it is to fenfe, that in the World are two forts of Bodies, Soft and $H_{\text {ard }}$; now, if we affume the Principles of all things to be exquifitely Hard, or Solid; then do we admit the production of not only Hard, but alfo of foft Bodies to be poffible, becaure 反ofnefs may arife to a Concretion of Hard Principles, from the Intermittion of Inanity: but, if we affume foft Principles, then do we exclude all poffibility of the praduction of Hard Bodies, that Solidity, which is the Fundament of Hardnefs, being fubetracted: Therefore is the Conceffion of Atoms neceflary.
(4) Nature is perpetually conftant in all her fpecifical Operations, as in her Production and Promotion of Animals to the determinate periods of their Increment, Stature, Vigour, and Duration; and, more evidently, in the impreffion of thofe marks, whereby each fpecies is difcriminated from other. Now, to what Caufe can this Het Conftancy be, with greater probability, referred, then to this, that her Materials are Certain, Con. ftant, and inobnoxious to Diffolution, and confequently to mutation : and fuch are Atoms prefumed to be ? Ergo, they are Existent.

Art.12. A third, hinced from the imporfibility of the Produaion of Hard Bodies, from any other Principle.

Art.13. A Fourth, from the Conffancy of Nature in the fpecification and Determinate Periods of her Generations.

## CHAP. II.

## No Pbyjical Continuum, infinitely Divififle.

Art. I. The Cognation of thisTheoreni, to the Argument of the immediately procedent Chapter.


He Grand Bafe on which the whole Fabrick of the Aromifts, i.e. our Phyfiology is fupported, confeffeth it felf to be this; that Nature cannot extend her Diffolution of Bodies beyond ti segeop xy aj cránulov, fomewhat that is Firm and Inexfoluble. And the rock on which that adamantine Bafe is fixt, is foon underftood to be chis; that the Parts of no. Phyfical Continusm, or CMagnitude, are fubdiviflble to Infinity. The Former, we conceive fo clearly comprobated by Rearons of evidence and certitude equal to that of the moft perfect Demonftration in Geometry, that to fufpect its admiffion for an imprægnable Verity, by all, who have nor, by a facramental fubfcription of Arifootles Infallibility, abjured the ingenious Liberty of eftimating Philofophical Fundaments more by the moments of Verilimility; then the feecious Commendums of Authority; were no leís then implicitely to difparage the Capacity of our Reader; by fuppofing Him an incompetent judge of their importance and validity. And that the other is equally noble in its alliance to Truth, and fo fecure from fubverfion by the minds of the acutef Sophiftry, that may oppofe it; is the neceffary Theorem of this preent Exercitation.

Art. 2.
Magnirude divifible by a continued progrefs through parrs either Prrporrional, or Ali quotal.

To ufher in this Verity with the greater fplendor, we are required to advertife
(1) That Philofophers have inftituted two diftinct Methods, for the regular Divifion of Magnitude. For, their Divifions are continted by a progreffion through Parts either ( I PROPORTIONAL; which is when a Phyfical Continuum is divided into two parts, and each of thofe parts is fubdivided again into two more, and each of thofe into two more ; or when the whole of any magnitude is divided into 10 equal
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parts, and each of thofe into 10 more, and each of thofe into to more $j_{j}$ and fo forward, obferving the fame decimal proportions through the whole divifion: or (2) ALIQUOTAL; i.e. when a Continuum is divided into fuch parts, as being divers times repeated, are xquated to the whole, or into fo many parts as feem convenient to the Divifor, provided they hold equal proportions among themfelves', whether they be Miles, Furlongs, Fathoms, Feet, Digits, \&cc. Which Diftinction Arifotle feems to allude unto, when he declares (3.phyjc.7.) that the Difference betwixt Magnitude and Number doth confift in this, that by the Divifion of Numbers we arrive at laft, $\%$ to ${ }^{3} \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \chi 150 \nu$, ad Minimum, at the Leaff; but of

(2) That when Democritus, Epicurus, and other Ancients of the fame Antiftoical Faction, treating of the Divifion of Magnitude, determine it b7in $\tau$ 8゙刀ax 7 Tov; they did chiefly intend that Methodical Divifion, which is made in partes Proportionales; infomuch as every part made by a fecond that of that Diftinaion in the pris. divifion mult be lefs then that made by a firt.

## The Demonftration.

If in a Finite Body, the number of Parts, into which it may be divided, be not Finite alfo; then muft the Parts comprehended therein be really Infinite: and, upon Confequence, the whole Compofition refulting from their Commixture, be really Infinite; which is repugnant to the Juppofition.

So perfectly Apodictical, and fo inoppugnably victorious, is this fingle Argument, that there needs no other to the juftification of our inftant Caufe: nor can the moft obftinate and refractory Champion of the Pe ripatericks, refufe to furrender his affent thereto, without being reduced to a moft difhonourable exigent. For, He muft allow either that the whole of any Body is fomething befides, or diftinct from the Aggeries, or Mafs of Parts, of which it is compofed: or, that all the Parts, together taken, are fomewhat greater then the whole amaffed by their convention and coalefcence. If fo; there muft be as many parts in a grain of Muftard feed, as in the whole Terreftrial Globe : fince in cither is fuppofed an equal Inexhauribility; which is contrary to the Firft Notion of Euclid, Totum eft majus fua parte. And if any mans skull be fo foft, as to admit a durable impreffion of an opinion fo openly felf-contradictory, as this, that the Whole is lefs. then its Parts; we judge him a fir Scholer for Chryippus, who blufhe not publiquely to affirm, that one drop of Wine was capable of commiftion with every particle of the Ocean, nay, diffufive enough to extend to an union with every particle of the Univerfe, were it $1000=0$ times greater, then now it is. Nor, need we defpair to make him fwear, that Arcefilas did not jeer the Difciples of Zeno, when he exemplified the incexhaurible divifion of Magnitude, in a mans Thigh, amputated, putrified, and caft into the Sea; ironically affirming the parts thereof fo infinitely fubdivifible, that is mighe be incorporated per minimas, to every particle of Water therein; and confequently, that not only Antigon*s Navy might fail at large through the thigh, bur $\mathrm{N}_{2}$
alfo that Xerxes thoufand two hundred mips might freely maintain a Na. val fight with 300 Gallies of the Greeks, in the compafs of its difperfed parts. We deny nor, but Zeno's Argument againft Motion, grounded on the fuppofition of interminable Partibility in Magnitude, is 100 hard and full of Knots, to be undone by the teeth of common reafon: yet who hath been fo fuperlatively ftupid, as to prefer the mere plaufibility thereof to the contrary Demonftration of his fenfe, and thereupon infer a belief, that there is no Motion in the World! What Credulity is there fo eafie, as to entertain a conceit, that one granule of fand (a thing of very fmall circumfcription ) doth contain fo great a number of parts, as that it may be divided into a thouland millions of Myriads; and each of thofe parts be fubdivided into a thoufand millions of Myriads; and each of thofe be redivided into as many; and each of thofe into as many: fo as that it is impoffible, by multiplications of Divifions, ever to arrive at parts fo extremely fmall, as that none can be fmaller; though the fubdivifions be repeated every moment, not only in an hour, a day, a month, or a year, but a thoufand millions of Myriads of years? Or, What Hypochondriack hath been fo wild in Phanfie, as to conceive that the vaft mafs of the World may not be divided into more parts then the Foot of a Handworm, a thing fo minute as if made only to experiment the perfection of an Engyfcope? And yet this mult not be granted, if we hearken to the fpels of Zeno and the Stoicks; who contend for the Divifibility of every the fmalleft quantity into infinite parts : fince, into how many parts foever the World be divided, as many are affermable in the Foot of a Handworm, the parts of this being no lefs inexhauftible, nor more terminable by any continued divifion, then the parts of that, according to the fuppo. fition of Infinitude. And, hereon may we fafely conclude, that albeit the Arguments alledged in defence of Infinite Divifibility of every Phyfical Continuum, were (as not a few, nor obfcure Clerks have reputed them ) abfolutely indiffoluble: yet notwithftanding, fince we have the plain Certificate of not only our Reafon, but undeluded fenfe alfo to evidence the Contrary, ought we to more then fufpect them of fecret Fallacy and Collufion; it being a rule, worthy the reputation of a Firft Notion, that in the examination of thofe Phyfical Theorems, whofe Verity, or Falfity is determinable by the fincere judicature of the fenfe, we ought to appeal to no other Criterion, but to acquiefce in the Certification thereof; efpescially where is no Refragation, or Diffent of Reafon.

Notwithitanding the manifeft neceffity of this apodictical Truth, yet have there been many Sophifms framed, upon defign to evade it : among which we fird only $\mathcal{T} w o$, whofe plaufibility and popular approbation feen to præfcribe them to our prefent notice.

Art. 6. Ariffotes fubterfuge of In finitude Potential.;

The Firft is that famous one of Ariftetle (de infecabil. lineis) Noncrearipropterea infinitum aClus ex bujufmodi partibus infinitis, quoniam tules partes nonactu, Jed poteffate duntaxat infinite funt; adeo proindè ut creerst folum infinitum poteftate, quod idem jit attu finitum: that the divifion of a finite body into infinite parts doth not make it a aually infinite, becaufe the parts arè not actually, but only potentially infinite; fo as they render it infinitely divifible only potentially, while it ftill remains actually Finite.
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The Collufion of this Diftinction is not deeply cuncealed. For, every Continuum hath cither no parts in actu, or infinite parts in aifu. Since, if by parts in $a 7 \pi$, we underftand thofe that are actually divided: then hath not any Continuum fo much as two or three parts; the fuppofed Continuity excluding all Divifion. And if we intend, that a Continuum hath therefore two parts actually, becaufe it is capable of divifion into two parts actually: then is it neceffary, that we allow a Continuum to have paits actually infinite, becaufe we prefume it capable of divifion into infinite parts actually; which is contradictory to Ariftotle. Nor can any of his Defendants excufe the confequence by faying; that the Divifion is never finifhable, or terminable, and that his fenfe is only this, that no Continuum can ever be divided into fo many parts, as that it may not be again divided into more, and thofe by redivifion into more, and fo forward without end. Since, as in a Continuum two parts are not denyed to exift, though it be never divided into thofe two parts: So likewife are not infinite parts denied to exift thercin, though it be never really divifible into infinite parts. Otherwife, we demand, fince by thofe requifite divifions and fubdivifions ufque ad infixitum, ftill more and more actuall parts are difcovered; can you conceive thofe parts, which may be difcovered to be of any Determinate Number, or not? If youtake the Affirm. then will not there be parts enough to maintain the divifion to infinity: if the Negat. then muft the parts be actually infinite. For, how can a Continuum be fuperior to final exhauftion, unlefs in this refpect, that it contains infinite parts, i.e. fuch whofe Infinity makes it Inexhauftible. Becaufe, as thofe parts, which are deduced from à Continuum, muft be prxexiftent therein before deduction (elfe whence are they deduceable?) fo alfo mult thofe, which yet remain deduceable, be actually exiftent therein, otherwife they are not deducible from it. For, Parts are then Infinite, when more and more inexhauftibly, or withour end, are conceded Deducible.

The other, with unpardonable confidence infifted on by the Stoicks, is this; Continuum son evadere infinitum; quoniam illsd proprie refultat noos ex Proportionalibus, fed ex Aliquotis partibus, quas conftat effe Definitas, cùm inter extrema Corporis ver $\int_{\text {entur }}$ : that [by admitting an infinity of parts in a Finite Continuum] a Continuum doth not become infinite; becaufe that refults properly not from Proportional, but Aliquotal parts, which are therefore confeffd to be Definite, becaufe they relate only to the Extremes of a Body.

Firft, this fubterfuge is a mere Lus us Verborum, founding nought at all in the ears of Reafon. For fince every thing doth confift of thofe parts,

Art. 8. A fecond fubterfuge of the Stoicik;

Art. 9. Manifeftly dif-
fencraneons to rentaneon
Reafon. refolved into, therefore muft it confift of Proporcional Parts. Again, fince every one of Aliquotal parts is Continuate, each of them may be divided into as many Aliquotal parts, as the whole Continuum was firft divided into, and fo upwards infinitely: fo as at length the Divifion mult revert into Proportional Parts, and the Difficulty remain the fame.

THe impoffibility of Dividing a Phyfical Continuum into parts interminably fubdivifible, being thus amply Demonftrated; and the So phiftry of the moft fpecious Receffes, invented to affift the Contrary opinion, clearly detected: the refidue of this Chapter belongs to our Vindication of the fame Thefis from the guilt of thofe Abfurdities and Incongruities, which the Diffenting Faction hath charged uponit.

Art. 1. The Abfurdities, by Empiricus, charged bpan the rex. pofision of only Finice parts in a Conrinu. um.

Empiricus, with great Virulency of language inveighing againft the Patrons of Atoms, accufeth them of fubverting all Local Motion, by fuppofing that not only Place and Time, but alfo Natural Quantity indivifible beyond Infectile Parts. To make this the more credible, $\mathrm{He} \mathrm{Ob}-$ jects (1) That if we affume a Line, confifting of nine Infectils, and imagine two infectile Bodies to be moved, with equal velocity, from the oppofite extremes thereof toward the middle; it muft be, to their mutual occurfe, and convention in the middle, neceffary that both poffers the me. dian part of the median, or Fifth Infectile place (there being no caufe, why one fhould poffefs it more then the other) when yet both the Places and Bodies therein moved, are prefumed Infectile, i.e. without parts. (2) That all Bodies mult be moved with equal celerity; for, the pace of the Sun and that of a Snail muft be æquivelox, if both move through an infectile fpace, in an infectile Time. (3) That, if many Concentrical Circles be defcribed by the circumduction of one Rule, defixed upon one of its extremes, as upon a Centre; fince they are all delineated at one and the fame time, and fome are greater then others: it muft follow, that unequal portions of Circles are defcribed in the fame individual point of Time, and confequently that an Infectile of an Interior Circle muft be æquated to a fectile of an Exterior.

Art. 2. The fundry Incongruities \& Inconfifiences, by the Modern Anti-Demoritans, impured to the fupp of: tion of Infectility.

To thefe our Modern Anti-Epicureans have fuperadded many other 'Aovisata, or Inconciffencies, as dependent on the pofition of Infectility. vit. (I) That a Line of unrequal Infectiles, fuppofe of 3.5 .9 . or I 1 . cannot be divided into two equal halfs : when yet, that any Line whatever may be exaftly bipartited, is demonftrable to fenfe. (2) That a lefs line cannot be divided into fo many parts, as a Greater : though the Contrary be concordant to the maximes of Geometry. (3) That though lines drawn betwixt all the points of the Leggs of an Ifofcelis Triangle, parallel to its Bare, are lefs then its Bafe; yet will they be found greater: becaufe, fuppofing the Pafe to be of five points, and the Leggs of 10 ; it muft follow, that the leaft Line, or the neareft to the Vertex, doth confift of only two points, the fecond of 3 , the third of 4 , the fourch of 5 , the fifth of 6 , the fixth of 7 , the feventh of 8 , and the greatent, or neareft to the Bafe, of 9 ; then which nothing can be more abfurd. (4) That the Diagone of a Quadrate would be commenfurable in longitude with the fide thereof: one and the fame point being the meafure common to both; though the Contrary is demonftrated by Euclid. (5) That the fame Diagone of a Quadrate could not be greater then, but exactly adxquate to
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the fide thereot: becaule each of all its points muft be poffeffed by juft fo many, nor more nor fewer lines, then may be drawn betwixe the points of the oppofite fides; which is highly abfurd. (6) That, with the danger of no lefs abfurdity, would not a femicircle be greater then its Diametre; fince to every point in the femicircle there would refpond another in the Diametre, and there would be in both as many points, on which as many perpendicular Lines, deduced from them, mighr be nocident (7) That, according to the fuppofition of Infectility of many Concentrick Circles the Excerior would not be greater then the Interior, infomuch as all the Fines drawn from all the points of is toward the Cencre, muft pafs through -as many points of the other Many other Ex́ceptions lye againft our In. fectility; but being they are of the fame Nyature with there, rather Mathematical, then Phy fical, and that one common folution will Serve them all: we may not abufe our leafure in ther rectitation.
That there fave been hot and fearce ingenigus Altercations among the graveft and leading Philofophers, in all ages; and eyen about thofe Arguments, which wear the proper Characters of Truth fairly engraven on their Froncs: can be ctteemed no wonder, becaule the general cuftom of -men to fpeculate the Fabrick of Nature through the deceivable Glafs of Authority, doth amply folve it. But, that fo many Examples of Sa-- oacity and Difquificion, as have condemned the Hypothefis of Atoms, fhould think their Choler againft the Patrons of it exsufable only by the allegation of thefe light and impertinent Exceptions: cannot be denyed the reputation of a Wonder, and fuch a one as no plea, but an ambitious Affectation of extraordinary fubtilty in the invention of Sophifms (wherein Fallacy is fo neatly difguifed in the amiable habit of right Reafon, as to be charming : enough to impore upon the incircumfection of common Credulity, and caft difparagement upon the moft noble and evident Fundamentais.) can palliate. For, certainly, They could not be ignoranf, that they corrupted the fate of the Qureftion; the Minimum, or Inferitile of 1 tomifts; being not Mathematicum, but Phyfacum and of a far different.nature from that Leaft of Quantity, which Geometricians imagining only, denominate a Point. And therefore, what Cicero (I de finib.). faid againt Epicurus; Non effe ne illud quidem Phyfci, credere aliquid effe minimнm: may be juftly converted intn. Efle prefertim Pbyfici, naturale quoddam minimum afferere; fince Nature in her Exolutions cannot progrefs to infinity. We fay, Phyfici; becaufe it is the Naturalift, whofe enquiries are confined to fenfible objects, and fuch as are really Exiftent in Nature: nor is He at all concerned, to ufe thofe Abftractions (as they are termed) from Matter; the Mathematician being the only He, who cannot, with fafety to his Principles, admit the Tener of Infectility, or Term of Divifibility. For to Him only is it requifite, to fuppofe and fpeculate Quantity abfract from Corporiety; it being evident, that if He did allow any Magnitude divifible only into Individuals, or that the number of poffible parts, or points in a Continuum, were dcfinite: then could he not ereff Geomerrical, or exquifite Demonftrations. And hence only is it, that He fuppofech an Infinicude of points in every the leaft Continuum), or (in his own phrafe) that every Concinuum is div fible into parts infinitely fubdi. vifible : not that He doth, or can really underfand 15 fo; but that many Convenient Conclufions, and no confiderable Incongruities, follow upon the Conceffion thereof. This confidered, we need no other evidence,

Art. 2: The full Derogation of them all rogether, by one fingle Refponie; that the minimum of Aromitts is not Mathematical, bur $\mathrm{P} b ;$; fical, contrary to their pres fumption.

$\square$
that all the former Objections, accumulated upon Epicurus by the malitious Sophiftry of Empiricus and ochers, concern only the Mathematicians, not the Phyfologift, who is a franger to their fuppofition of interminable Divifibility.

Art. 4. A feeming $D$ :lemma of the Adverary, expeditely evzded.

If this Refponfe prevail not, and that we muft yet fuftain this feeming Dilemma; Either the fuppofitions of the Mathematicians are True or Falfe: if true, then doth their verity hold, when accommodated to Phy fical Theorems, by the affumption of any fenfible Continuum, or real Magnitude; if falfe, then are not the Conclufions Neceffary, that are deduced from them, but the contray is apparent in their demonftrations; Therefore, \&c. Our Expedient is, that, though we fhould concede thofe fuppofitions to be Falfe, yet may they afford true and neceffary Conclufions: every Novice in Logick well knowing how to extract undeniable Conclufions our of moft falfe propofitions, only fuppofed true, as may be Inftanced in this Syllogifm. Omnes arbores funt in coslo (that's falfe) Sed omnia Sydera funt Arbores (that's falfe) Ergo, omnia Sydera funt in calo (that's indifputable). Befides;' 'tis evident, that of thofe many Hypothefes celcbrated by Aftronomers, cither no one is abfolutely true, or all except one, are falfe: yet Experience affures, that from all, at leaft from moft of them the Motions of Coeleftial Bodies may be defribed, and refpective Calculations inftituted with equal Certude.

## Digreffion,

Art. 5. A Digreflon, frating and determining that notable Quaftion, Wherher Geo metrical Demonfrations may be conveniniently trans ferred to Phyfrcal or fenfible Quantity?

Here, becaufe our Reader cannot but perceive us occafionally fallen into the mouth of that eminent Quxttion; An liceat in materiam phyfa. cam, five fenfibilem, transferre Geometricas Demongtrationcs? Whether it be convenient to transfer Geometrical Demonitrations to Phyfical or fenfible Quantity: Since they, who accepr the Negative, feem to adnihilate the ufe of Geometry: we need not deprecate his impatience, though we digrefs fo long, as to prefent him the fummary of our thoughts concerning it.

Firft, we conceive it not juntifiable, alwayes to expect the eviation of Phyfical Theorems; by Geomerrical Demonftrations. This may be authorized from hence, that Geometricians themfelves, when they fall upon the theory of thofe parts of the Mathematicks, which are Phyficomathematical, or of a mixt and complex Confideration, are frequently neceffitated to convert to fuppofitions, not only different from, but directly and openly repugnant to their own proper and eftablifht maxims. Thus, in Opticks, Euclid concedes a Leaft Angle; and Vitellio admits a Leaft Light, fuch as being once underftood to be divided, hath no longer the akt of Light, i.e. wholly difappears: which is no lefs, then in Opticks to allow a Term, or point of Confiftence to the Divifion of Quantity, which yet in Geometry they hold capable of an infinite procefs. We are provided of a moft pertinent Example, for the illuftration of the whole mat. ter. The Geometrician Demonftratethethe Divifion of a Line into two equal fegments, to be a thing not only poffible, but moft cafie : and yet cannet the Phyfologift be induced to fwallow it, as really performable.

For He confiders ( I ) That the fuperfice of no body can be fo exactly fmooth and polite, as to be devoyd of all unevenefs or afperity, every common Microfcope difcovering numerous inxqualities in the furface of even the beft cut Diamonds, and the fineft Chryftal, Bodies, whofe Tralucericy fufficiently confeffeth them to be exceeding polite: and confequently, that there is affumable thereon no Line fo perfectly uniform, as not to be made unequal by many Vallecule and CMonticule, fmall pits and protuberances frequently interjacent. (2) That the Edge of no Diffecting Inftrument can be fo acute, as not to draw a line of fome Latitude. (2) That fhould the edge of the acuteft Rafor be laid on the foot of a Handworm, which may be effected by the advantage of a good Magnifying Glafs, and a fteady hand: yet is that compofed of many Myriads of Acoms, or infenfible particles of the Firft univerfal Matter. And thence Concludes that no real Line drawn upon the fuperfice of any the fmootheft Body, can be prastically divided into two Halfs, fo exactly, as that the feCtion fhall be in that part, which is truly the median to both extremes. Since, that part, which appears, to the fenfe, to be the median, and is moft exiguous ; doth yet confift of fo many Myriads of particles, as that though the edge of the Rafor be impofed by many Myriads of particles afide of that, which is truly in the middle, yet will it feem to the eye fill to be one and the fame. This duely perpended, we have no caufe to fear the fection of an Atome, though the edge of a knife were impofed directly upon it : Since the edge muft be grofs and blunt, if compared to the exility of an Atome : fo that we may allow it to divide an Affembly, or Heap of Atoms, but never to cut a fingle one.

Secondly, We judge it expedient in fome cales to accommodate fuppofitions Geomerrical to Subjects merely Phyfical; but to this end only, that we may thereby acquire majorems óx eibjav, a greater degree of $A$ cutenefs, or advance our fpeculations to more Exactnels. Thus the foul of the Mathematicks, $\sim$ Archimed, (de Arenarunn num.) fuppofed the Diametre of a grain of Poppy feed to confift of 10000 particles; not that He conceived that any Art could really difcern fo vaft a multitude of parts in a body of fo minute circumfcription : but that, by transferring the fame reafon to another body of larger dimenfions, He might attain the certitude of hisPropofition by fo much the nearer, by how much the lefs he might have erred by neglecting one of thofe manyparticles. Thus alfo is it the cuftom of Geometricians, in order to their exactnefs in Calculations, to imagine the Semidiametre, or Radius of any Circle, divided into many Myriads of Parts; not that fo many parts can be really diftinguifhed in any Radius, but that, when comparation is made betwixt the Radius, and other right lines, which in parts Aliquoral, or fuch as are expreffed by whole numbers, do not exactly refpond thereunto, particles may be found out fo exile, as though one, or the fraction of one of them be neglected yet can no fenfible Error enfue thercupon. And this (in a word) feems to be the true and only Caufe, why Marhematicians conftantly fuppofe every Continuum to confint of !nfinite parts: not that they can, or ought to underftand it to be Really fo; but that they may conferve to themfelves a liberty of infenfible Latitude, by fubdividing each divifion of Parts into fo many as they pleafe; For, they well know, that the Phyfiologift is in the righr, when He admits no Infinity, but only an Innumerability of parts in natuaral Continuum. Laftly, if thele Reafons appear not weighty enough to
counterpoife the Contrary Perfuafion; we can aggravate them with a Grain of noble Authority. For, no meaner a man then Plato, who feems to have underftood Geometry as well as the Ægyptian Theuth, the fuppofed Inventor thereof (vide Plator. in Phedro) and to have honoured it much more in a folemn Panegyrick (9. dialog. de Rep.) Marply reprehends Eudoxus, Archytas, Menachomus, \&cc. for their errour in endeavouring to adjuft Geomerrical fpeculations to fenfible objects: fubnecting in
 good of Geometry was corrupted. (Lege Marfil. Ficin. in Compend. Timai.cap. 19.)

## CHAP.

## C H A P. III.

## Atoins, the Firft and Univerfal Matter.

## SECT.I.



O man fo fit to receive and retain the impreffions of $\mathcal{T r u t h}$, as He , who hath his Virgin mind totally dirpof feffed of Prejudice: and no Thefos hach ever, fince the Envy of Arifotle was fo hot, as to burn the Volumes of Democritus and moft of the Elder Philofophers, which might have conferved its luftre, been more Eclipfed with a prefumption of fundry Incors. gruities, then this noble one, that $A$ toms are the Firft and Catholique Principle of Bodies. Requifite it is therefore that thisChapter have, $\mathcal{F}$ a anuslike, two faces : one to look backward on thofe Impediments to its general admif fion, the Inconfiftences charged upon, and fundry Difficulties fuppofed infeparable from it; the other to look forward at the plenary Remonftrance of its Verity.

 fiatu: Trum capitibus fuum impofuit fingulis: ut Magnenas, in Democrit. Script. Elench. ex Plinio in preíat. ad D. Veffanianum Imp.

In obedience to this neceffity, therefore, we advertife, firft; that it hath proved of no fimall difadvantage to the promotion of the Doctrine of Atoms, that the Founders thereof have been accufed of laying it down for a main Fundamental, that there are two Principles of all things in the Univerfe, BODIE and INANITY; importing the neceffary Concurrence of the Inane Space to the conftitution of Bodies complex, as well as of Atoms. This Abfurdity hath been unworthily charged upon Epicurus by Plutarch, in thefe words; Principia effe Epicuro Infinitatem \& Inane: and upon Leucippus and Democritus by Aristotle (1. Metaphyf.4.) in thefe; Plenum \& Inane Elementa dicunt.

To vindicate thefe Mirrors of Science from fo difhonourable an Imputation, we plead; that though they held the Univerfe to confift of two General Parts, Atons and Vacuity: yet did not they, therefore, affirm, that

Art. T. The incroduGion, hinting t'e two general affumprions of the Chapter.

Superijifino furore ambitioo us nominis Arifoteles, in Pb:iblopporaum Principes efis debacchatus, unoque incentio congeffas rigin${ }^{2} s$ fex feculis tot Sapientix divitias absampfyt, Lff que voluit fuperefe funcri,

Art. 2.
Demectitus \& Epicurres vindicated from the abfurd ad. miffion of Inanity to be ols Principle of Generables.
all things were compofed of thofe two, as Elementary Principles. . That which impofed upon their Accufers judgment, was this, that fuppofing Atoms and the Inane Space to be Ingenite and Incorruptible, they conceived the whole of Nature to arife from them, as from its two univerfal Parts; but never dreamt fo wild an Alogy, as that all Concretions, that are produced by Generation, and fubject to deftruction by Corruption, muft derive their Confiftence from thofe two, in the capacity of Elements, or Componentia. For, albeit in fome latitude and liberty of fenfe, they may be conceded Elements, or Principles of the Univerfe : yet doth it not naturally follow, that therefore they mult be equal Principles, or Elements of Generables; fince Atoms only fulfill that title, the Inane Space affording only Place and Dijcrimination. Nor is it probable, that thofe, who had defined Vacuity by Incorporiety, fhould lapfe into fo manifeft a ContradiEtion, as to allow it to be any Caufe of Corporiety, or to conftitute one moiety of Bodies. Befides, neither can Epicurus in any of thofe Fragments of his, redeemed from the jaws of oblivion by Laertius, Cicero, Empiricus, Plutarch, efc. nor his faithful Difciple and Paraphraft, Lucretius, in all his Phyfiology, be found, to have affirmed the Contexture of any Concretion from Inanity, but of all things fimply and folely from Atoms. And for Democritus, him doth even Ariflotle himfelf wholly acquit of this Error; for (in I.Phyf.) enumerating the feveral opinions of the Ancients concerning the Principles, or Elements of all things, He faith of him; Fecit prissipiorum Genus unicum, Figuras verò differentes. All therefore that lyeth againft them in this cafe, is only that they afferted the interfperfion or diffemination of Inanity among the incontingent particles of Bodies concrete, as of abfolute neceffity to their pecular Contemperation: which we conceive our felves obliged to embrace and defend, untill it fhall be proved unto us, by more then paralogiftical arguments, that there is any one Concretion in the world fo perfectly folid, as to contain nothing of the Inane Space intermixt ; which till it can be demonftrated that a Concretion may be fo folid, as to be Indiffoluble, we have no caufe to expect.

Art. 3. Atoms not in. coniffent with, becaure the Principites of the four vulgar Elements.

Secondly, That the,Patrons of Atoms do not (as the malice of fome, and incogitancy of others hath prætended, to caft difparagement upon their Theory) deny the Exiftence of thofe four Elements admitted by moft Philofophers: but allow them to be Elementa Secundaria, Elements Elementated, i.e. confifting of Atoms, as their Firft and Higheft Principles. Thus much we may certifie from that of Lucretius ( $2, l, h$. ) sreating of Atoms;

> Unde mare, \& Terre poffent aikgefcere, \& unde Adpareret $\int$ patium Cocli* domus, altág tecta, Tolleret à terris procul, ơ confurgeret Aer, \&c.

Ione fen Tuphe re, quem dialum Anaxageras cenfuit, àmi ${ }^{\frac{m}{7}}$ ai'sais, ab k= rendo.

Chap. III. Atoms, the Firf Vuiverfal Matter. Iot
(I) The Diffent of the Ancients nibout the number of Elements cannot Art. 4. be unknown to any, who hath revolved their monuments and taken a lift of their feveral opinions; their own, or their Scholiafts volumes lying open to record, that of thofe who fixt upon the four Vulgar Elements, Fire, Aer, Earth, Water, for the univerfal Principles, fome confticuted only one fingle firf Principle, from which by Confideration and Rarefaction, the other three did proceed, and from them all Elementated Concretions: among which are Heraclitus, who felected Fire; Anaximenes, who pitched upon Aer; Thales Milefius, who praferred Water; and Pherecydes, who was for Earth. Others fuppofed only $T_{w o}$ primary, from which likevvife, by Condenfation and Rarefaction the other two fecondary were produced: as Xesophanes would have Earth and Water; Parmerides contended for Fire and Earth; Oenopides Chius for Fire and Aer; and Hippo Rheginus for Fire and Wyater. Others advanced one ftep higher, and there acquiefced in Three; as onomacritus and his Profelytes affirmed Fire, Water, and Earth. And fome made out the Quaternian, and fuperadded alfo Aer; the Principal of which was Empedocles. Now, to him who remembers, that there can be but one Truch; and thereupon juftly inferrs, that of many difagreeing opinions concerning one and the fame fubject, either all, or all except one muft be falfe; and that it is not eafie which to prefer, when they are all made equally plaufible by a parity of fpecious Arguments: it cannot appear either a defect of judgment, or an affectation of fingularity in Democritus and Epicurus to have fufpected them all of incertitude, and founded their Phyfiology on an Hypothefis of one fingle Principle, Atoms, from the various tranfpofition, configuration, motion, and quiefcence of whofe infenfible Particles, all the four generally adinitted Elements may bederived, and into which they may, at the term of Exfolubility, reverc without the leaft hazard of Abfurdity or Impoffibility; as will fall to our ample enunciacion in our fubfequent. Enquiries into the Originals of Qualities, and the Caufes of Generation and Corruption.
(2) That one of the four Elements cannot fingly fuffice to the production of any Compound Natare; needs no other eviction but that Argument of Hippocrates (de Natur. Hominis) gepotto, cilmunum exiftat, generabit aliquid, nificum aliquo mifceatur? Inftance we in Heraclitws Proto-Element, Fire; from which nothing but Fire can be educed : though it run through all the degrees of thofe fertile Modifications of Denfefcence and Rarefcence. (2) To fuppofe Rarefaction and Condenfation, without the more or lefs of Inanity intercepted; as they do: is to ufurp the conceffion of an Impoffibility. (3) Tis abfurd, to conceive Fire transformable, by Extinction, into any other Element : becaufe a fimple fubftance cannot be fubject to effential tranfmutation. So that, if after its extinetion any thing of Fire remain, as muft till Adnihilation be admitted; its furviving part mult be the Common Matter, fuch as Atoms, which according to the various and refpective addition, detraction, tranfpofition, agitation, or quiet of them, now put on the form of Fire, then of Aer, anon of Water, and lantly of Earth; fince, in their original fimplicity, they have no actual, but a porential De termination to the forms of all, indifcriminately. And, what is here urged, to evince the impoffibility of Fires being the fole Catholique Element, carrieth the fame proportion of reafon and evidence, (the two pathognomick characters of Verity) to fubvert the fuppofition of any of the other three for the fubftantial Principle of the reft.

Art. 5.
No one of the four Elements fufficient to the produation of either any of the 0 ther three, or of any Com. pound nature.

The diflent of the Anci. ents, about the number of E . tements.
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## Art. 6.

The four Elements, not the Protrprinciple of Concresions.
(3) That though the four vulgar Elements may be the Father, yet can they not be the Grandfather Principle to all Concretions; is evidencible from hence. (I) They are Contrary each to other, and fo not only Afymbolical or Difharmonious, but perfectly Deftructive among themfelves, at leaft uncapable of that mutual correfpondence requifite to peaceful and durable Coalefcence. (i) They are prafumed to coalefce, and their Concretions to confift without Inanity interfperfed among their incontiguous particles: which is impoffible. (3) Their Defendants themfelves concede a degree of Diffolution beyond them : and confequently that they know a Principle Senior. (4) Their Patrons muft grant either that they, by a previous deperdition of their own nature, are changed into Concretions, which by mutation of Forms efcheat again into Elements; in which cafe Elements can be no more the Principle of Concretions, then Concretions the Principle of Elements, fince their Generations muft be viciffitudinary and Circular, as that of Water and Ice: or, that, conferving their own natures immutable, they make only confufed Heaps, and confer only their vifible Bulks to all productions; in which cafe, nothing can rever a be faid to be generated, fince all Generations owe their proprieties and peculiar denominations to their Forms. (5) Whofo admits a reciprocal or fymbolical Tranfmutation of Elements: muft alfo admit one Common, and fo a Former Matter, which may fucceffively inveft it felf in their feveral Forms; For Contraries, while Contraries, cannot unite in the affumption of the fame nature. (6) That Acbilles, or Champian Objection, that Vegetables and Animals owe theirNutrition and Increment to the four Elements, is foon conquered by replying; that Eiements are not therefore the Firf Principles, but rather thofe from whofe refpective Contexture they borrowed the nature of Elements, and fo derived an aptitude, or qualification requifite to the condition of Aliment.

Art. 7. Thirdly, that the Principles of Democritus, Epicurus, \&ic. are toto ccelo minared from the Homniomerial Princi. ples of anaxa. goras.

Aroms difrri- by irreconcileable difparities, different from thofe of Anaxagoras, called MILAR
 are fuppofed to be parts in all points confimilar to the Things generated of them, according to the paraphrafe of Plutarch (1.placit.3.) who there explains it by the Example of Aliment. Wherein, whether it be Wine, Water, Bread, Flefh, Fruits, \&c. notwithftanding the feeming difference in the outward form, there are actually contained fome Sanguineous, fome Carnous, other Offeous, other Spermatick Parts, which, upon their fequeftration, and felection by the Nutritive Faculty are difcretely appofed to the fanguineous, carnous, offeous, and fpermatick parts præexiftent in the body nourifht. And the Difparity doth chiefly confift herein; that They endow their Atoms with only three congenial Qualities, viz. CMargitude, Figare, and Gravity: but He invefteth his Similarities with as great variety of effential Proprieties, as there is of Qualities, nay Idiofyncrafies in Bodies.

Which to fuppofe, is to dote: (1) Becaufe if the nature of the whole be one and the fame with that of its Parts: then muft the Principles, no lefs then the Concretions confifting of them, be obnoxious to Corruption. (2) Becaufe, if it be affumed, that Like are made of Like, or that Concretions are abfolutely Identical to their Elements; it cannot be denyed; that there are Laghing and Weeping Principles concurrent to the generations

## Cusp. III. Vitoms, the Firft and Univerfal Matter.

of Laughing and Weeping Compofitions. (3) Becaule from hence, that (concordant to Ariaxagoras ) all things are aćtually exiftent in all thengs, and that the cifference refteth only in the external Apparence, arifing from the prxciominion offuch or fuch over fuch or fuch parts of the Confimilar Principles: it neceffarily enfuss (as Arij? otle argueth againft Him, I Phyfic. 4.) that in the contufion, fećtion, or cetrition ot Fruits, Heros, \&ic. there muft frequently appeas Bbod, Milk, Sperm, Sic. as being thereby enfranchifed from the iyrann: of thoie parts, which ruled the rolt in the inciuction of the outward apparence, and emergent out of thole Clouds which concealed and difguifed them. All which are Ablurdities to palpable that a blind man may thereby Diftinguilh the rough and fourious Hypothelis of Anaxazoras, from the fmooth and genuine Principle of Democritus and his sectaters.

Fourthly anitaftis, that the Diffulties, which many Difiencers, and more eminencly their mott potent aniceclared Opponent, Lactumtius (inlib. de ira Dr:cap. Io., hare poltej up gainft the luppoficion of Acoms for the Catholick Principle of Bodies Concrete, thereby to pravent their furcher approbation, and admifiten into the Schools; carry not moments enough of rexfon to inflect and decermine the juigment of an xquitable Arbiter to a fulpition, much le.s a pofitive neartion of its verifinility: Of this we defire our Reader to be julge, when he hath made himiets competent, by a patient hearing, and upright perpenfion of the pleas of boch parties, here prafented.
(1) Arti-Atcomift Whence had thefe minute and indivifible Bodies, called Atoms, their original? or, out of what weere they educed:


#### Abstract

Atomif: This inappofice Demand lvech open to a double refponfe. As a mere Pbilef Upher I return ; that the aifumption of Atoms for the Firft Matter doth expretly paxrent the pertinency of this Quare. Nor would Atifficte, Plist, os any other of the Etbunck Philofophers, who would nor hear of a Creation, of procuction of the Firf Mater ouc of Nothing, but contumacioufly maintined its Ingeneration and Eternity, have had Gravity enough to lupprefs the infurrestion of their ipleen againft the ablurdity thereof: lince to enquire the Mateer of the Firf Matcer, is a Conir adiction interminis. As a proficient in the racred School of exopes, I may anfiver; that the fruitful Fiat of God, out of the Tebur, or infinite lpace of Nothing, called up a fufficient fock of the Firf Matter, for the fabrication of the World in that moft excellent Form, which He had Idea'd in his orn omnifient incellect from Ecemity.


(2) Ansi-Atomif: If Atoms be imooth end fohwical, as their Inventors fuppole ; it is impolible they thould take mucual hold each of other, to as by reciprocal adhation and coalition to contiruse any Concretion. For, what power can mou'd an heap of Miller-lead into a durble figure, when the Levitude or politenels, and roundels of the G-ains inexcutably interdiet their Coition into a Mals:

Atemif? ; This Objection cilicovers the rancour, no lets then the pracecent Interrogntion did the weaknels of the propolers. For, they could nor be ignorant, thit the Defendants of Atoms do not fuppote theth to be alt
finooth and globular, but of all forts of figwes requifite to mutual Application, Coalition, Coherence. And therefore they could not but expect this folution. That, though polite and orbicular Atoms, cannot by mutual apprehenfion and revinction each of other, compact themfelves into a Mafs; yet may they be apprehended and retained by the Hooks, and accommodated to the Creeks and Angles of other Atoms, of Hamous and Angular figures, and fo confpire to the Coagmentation of a Mafs; that needs no other Criment befides the mutual dependence of its component particles, to maintain its Temacity and Compingence. This may receive light, from obfervation of the fucceffive feparation of the diffimilar Parts of Bodies, by Evaporation. For, firt thofe Atoms, which are more fmooth, or lefs angular and hamous, eafily extricate themfelves, and difperfe from the Concreted Mals; and then, after many and various Evolutions, circumgyrations, and change of pofitions, the more rough, hamous, and angular, they expede themfelves from reciprocal concatenation, and at laft, being wholly disbanded, purfue the inclination of their inhærent Motive Faculty, and difappear. Experience demonftrating, that by how much more Unctuous and Tenacious any Confiftence is, by fo much a longer time do the particles thereof require to their Exhalation. Thus is Water much fooner evaporated, then Oyl: and Lead then Silver.
(3) Anti-Atomift; If Atoms be unequal in their fuperfice, and have angular and hamous proceffes; then are they capable of having their rugofities planed by detrition, and their hooks and points taken off by amputation : contrary to their principle propriety, Indivifibility.

Atomif; the hooks, angles, afperities, and proceffes of Atoms are as infecable and infrangible as the refidue of their bodies, in refpect an equal folidity belongs to them, by reafon of their defect of Inanity interferfed, the intermixture of Inanity being the Caufe of all Divifibility.

## Hec, que funt rerum primordia, nulla potefl $w$ is Stringere, namz folido vincunt ea corpore demum.

(4) Anti-Atomifs; That Bodies of fmall circumfcription, fuch as grains of fand, may be anaffed from a fyndrome, and coagmentation of Atoms; feems, indeed, to ftand in fome proportion to probability: but to conceive a poffibility, that fo vaft a Bulk, as the adfpectable World bears may arife out of things but one degree above nothing, fuch infenfible materials convened and conglobated; is a fymptome of fuch madnefs, as Melancholy aduft cannot excule, and for which Phyfitians are yet to ftudy a cure.

Atomif: To doubt the poffibility, nay difpute the probability of it: is certainly the greater madnefs. For, fince a fmall fone may be made up of a Coagmentation of grains of Sand; a multitude of fmall ftones, by coacervation, make up a Rock; many Rocks by aggregation, make a Mountain; many Mountains, by coaptation, make up the Globe of Earth; fince the Sun, the Heavens, nay the World may arife from the conjunction of parts of dimenfions equal to the Terreftrial Globe: what impoffibility doth he incur, who conceives the Univerfe to be amaffed out of Atoms? Doubelefs, no Bulk can be imagined of fuch immenfe Dimenfions, as that the
greateft parts thereof may not be divided into lefs, and thofe again be fubdivided into lefs; fo that, by a fucceffive degradation down the fcale of Magnitude, we may not at laft arrive at the foot thereof, which cannot be conceived other then Atoms. Should it appear unconceivable to any that a Pifmire may perform a perambulation round the terreftrial Globe; we advife him to infticute this Climax of Dimenfions, and confider, firft that the ambite of the Earth is defined by miles, that miles are commenfurated by paces, paces confint of feet, feet of digits, digits of grains, \&c. and then He may foon be convinced, that the ftep of a Pifmire tiolds no great difproportion to a grain, and that a grain holds a manifeft proportion to a digit, a digit to a foot, a foot to a pace, a pace to a perch, a perch to a furlong, a furlong to a mile, and fo to the circumference of the whole Earth, yea by multuplication to the convexity of the whole World. If any expect a furcher illuftration of this point, it can coft him no more but the pains of reading the 45 . page of our Treatife againft Atheifm; and of $\dot{\text { Irchimeds book de Arc- }}$ narum $\wedge$ иmero.
(5) Anti-Atomift, If all peices of Nature derived their origine from Individual Particles; then would there be no need of Seminalities to fpecifie each production, but every thing would arife indifcriminately from Atoms, accidentally concurring and cohæring: fo that. Vegetables might fpring up, without the preactivity of feeds, without the affiftance of moyifture, withour the fructifying influence of the Sun, without the nutrication of the Earth; and all Animals be generated fpontaneoufly, or without the prolification of diftinct fexes.

Atomifts This inference is ingenuine, becaufe unneceffary, fince all Atoms are not Confimilar, or of ohe fort, nor have they an equal aptitude to the Conformation of all Bodies. Hence comes it,
that of them are, firf compofed certain Moleculx, fmall mafles, of various figures, which are the feminaries of various productions, and then, from thofe determinate feminaries do all fecifical Generations receive their contexture and Conftitution, fo precifely, that they cannot owe their Configuration to any others. And, therefore, fince the Earth, impregnated with Fercility, by the facred Magick of the Creators Benediction, contains the feeds of all Vegetables; they cannot arife but from the Earth, nor fubfift or augment without roots, by the mediation of which, other fmall confimilar Maffes of Atoms are continually allected for their nutrition; nor without moyfure, by the benefit of which, thofe minute maffes are diluted, and fo adapted for tranfportation and final affimilation; nor without the influence, of the Sun, by vertue whereof their vegetative Faculty is conferved, cherifhed and promoted in its operations. Which Reafon is æquivalent alfo to the Generation, Nutrition and Increment of Animals.
(6) Anti-Atomist, If your Proto-Element, Atoms, be the Principle of our 4 common Elements, according to the various Configurations of it into Moleculx, or finall maffes; and that thofe are the Seinina-
ties of all things : then may it be thence inferred, that the seeds of Fire are invifibly contained in Flints, hay more, in a Sphærical Glafs of Water, expofed to the directly incident rayes of the Sun; our fenfe convincing, that Fire is ufudly kindled either way.

Atomift; Allowing the legality of your Illation, we affirm, that in a Flint are concealed not only the Atoms, but Molectule, or Seeds of Fire, which wanting only retection, or liberty of Exfilition, to their a apparence in the forme of fire, acquire it by excuffion, and purfuing their own rapid motion undiquaque, difcover themfelves both by affecting the fight and accenfion of any eafily combuftible mat ter, on which they fliall pitch, and into whofe pores they thall with exceeding Celerity penetrate. Nor can any man folve this eminent Phxomenon fo well, as by conceiving; that the body of a Flint, being compofed of many igneous (i. e. mof exile, (pharisal, and agile) Atoms, wedged in among others of different dimenfions and figures; (which conitexture is the Caufe of its Hardnefs, Rigidity and Friability) upon percuffion by fome otherbody conveniently hard, the infenfible Particles thereof fuffering extraordinary ftrefs and violence, in regard it hath but little and few Vacuola, or empty fpaces intermixt, and fo wanting room to recede and difperfe, are conglomorated and agitated among themfelves with fuch impetuoffice, as determinately caufeth the conititution of Firé. It being manifef, that violent motion generateth Heat: and confeffed even by curifotle (I. Meteor.3.) that Fire is nothing but the Hyperbole or laft degree of Heat. Secondly, That the feeds of Fire are not contained either in the fphxrical Glars or the the Water included therein; but in the Beams of the Sun'whofe Compofition is altogether of Igneous Atoms) which being deradiated in difperfed lines, want only Concurle and Coition to their inveftment in the vifible form of Fire' and that the Figure of the Glafs naturally induceth, it being the nature of either a Convex, or Concave Glafs to tranfmit many Beams varioufly incident towàds one and the tame point, which the virtue of Union advanceth to the force of Igt. nition.

Art. 9. A recapirulation of the promiles, i:troductory to the verification of the prx. ient thefis.

Having thus vindicated our Atoms from the fuppofed Competito of the Thane Space, in the dignity of being one Principle of Bodies'; Jeconciled them to the a Peripatetick Elements; difcriminated them from the Confinilar Particles of "Anaxagoriss ; 'folved the moft confiderable of the Difficulteies charged upon them'; and thereby fully performed our affumption of removing the principal pretexts of prajudice: we may now, with more both of perfpicuity, and hopes of perfwafion, advance to the Demoniftration of our Thefis, the Title and Argument of this Chapter.

Chap. IU. Atoms, the Firft and Vniverfal Matter.

S ect. II.

BEfides the manifeft Allufion of Reafon, we have the affent of all Philofophers, who have declared their opinions concerning the Compofition of a Continuum, to affure a neceffity, that it muft confift either (1) of Matbematical Points; or (2) of Parts and Mathematical points, united; or (3) of a fimple Entity, before actual divilion, indistinit; or (4) of Individurls, i.e. Atoms.
(I) Not of Matbenatical Points; becaufe Enuciov; Punctum, in the fenfe of Euclid, is Cujus nulla fat pars, in refpect it wants all Dimenfions, and confequently all Figure: which is the ground of Aristotles Axiom, Punctum puncto additum non potest facere majus. To render the abfurdity of this opinion yet more confpicuous, let us remember, that the Authors and Defendants of it have divided themfelves into three diftinct Factions. (I) Some have admitted in a Continuum, points Finite fimpliciter \& determunate; (2) Others allow points alfo Finite, but not fimplicitèr, Sed $\sqrt[\pi]{2} \pi$ Secundum quid; (3) And others contend for points Infinite, fimpliciter, \&o abolute. The First and Second endeavour to fagger the former Axiom of Aristotle, by an illegal tranfition from Quantity Continued, to Difcrete, alledging this inftance, that one Unity added to another makes a greatel quantity. The Last recur to Plato's Authority, who concederh two Infinites, a Greater and Leis, commemorated by Aristotle ( $3 \cdot p$ phy. 27.) Now, for a joint redargution of all, we demand, how they can divide a Line confifting of 5 infectiles into two equal fegments? For, eicher they mult caft off the intermediate infectile, or annex it to one divifion : if the firf, they fplit themfelves upon that rock, our fuppofition; if the latt, they chafh with the 9 - propofit. r. lib. Euclid. To evade the force of this Dilemm?, they have invented many fubterfuges: but how unfuecelsfully, may be enquired of Aristotle (in (. phyficor.) who there convicts them all of either Falfity, or Impoffibility; where, having præmifed an excellent enunciation of the Analogy between Motion, Time, and Place, He apodictically concludes, that, if a Continuum did confift of points Mathematical, all Motions would be equally fivift. Notwithftanding this, fuch was the contumacy of Arriaga, that in hopes to elude this infoluble Difficulty, He pratends to difcover a new kind of Motion, diftinguifhed by certain Refpites, or Paufes intercedent; thereupon inferring that all things are moved, during their motipn, with equal Celerity, but becaufe the motion of one thing is intercepted with many paules, and the motion of another with ferv, therefore doth the motion of this feem fivift, and the motion of that flow; as if the degrees of Celerity and Tardity did refpond to the Frequency and Rarity of Refpites interceding. If this be true, then muft a Pifmire move flower then an Eagle only becaufe this diftinguifheth its motion by fhorter paufes, and that by longer: nor can a Faukon overtake a Parridge, frace our eyes affure, that a Partridge ftrikes fix ftrooks at leaft with his wings, while its purfuer frikes one. Marcgravius ( $n$ bister. Animal. $\mathrm{P}=$ Ërcgiliens

Art. I.
The a norable opinions,corr. cerning the Compofition of a Continaum

Art. 2.
A Phyfical Conimuum cannor confift of Poines Mz. thematical.

Brafiliens ) tells of an Animal, which from the wonderful tardigradous inceffion of it, is named by the Portugals PRIGUIZA, or Lubart : becaufe though goaded on, it cannot fnail over a ftage of io paces in 48 hours. Had Arriaga beheld this תoth, either He muft have difavowed his nicety, or held it an equal lay which fhould have fooner run over a four mile courle, that, or the fleeteft Courfer in the Hippodrome at Alexandria: becaufe the Paufes, which intercept the conftant progreffion of the one, in the face of io paces, cannot be more then thofe that interrupt the continuity of the others motion, in the fpace of four miles. Thefe confiderations therefore enable us to conclude, that thofe who conftitute a Continuum of points Mathematical, abfurdly maintain, (1) That a point added to a point makes an augmentation of quantity; (2) That no Motion is fucceffive, but only Difcrete; (3). That all motions are of equal velocity, funt enim puncta minimum quod pertranfiri pofsint : and Arriaga's Quiet, imagined to be in motions, is no part of Motion. (4) That a Wheel is diffolved, when circumrotated upon its Axis; for, fince the Exterior Circle muft precede the Interior, at leaf, by one point, it follows that the fame points do not correfpond to the fame points; which is abfurd and incredible. Therefore is not a Continuum compofed of Mathematical points.

Art. 3: Nor of Parts and Points Mathematical, united.
(2) Not of Parts and Mathematical points, united. Becaule (1) Part's cannot be conceived to be united or terminated, unlefs by an adrequation of Points to them; (2) Since thofe points, which are imagined to concur to the conjunction of parts, are even by SuareZ the chief Patron of them, (in Metaphyf. Difput. de quantitat.) named Entia Modalia; it muft thence follow, that Parts, whichare Entia Abfoluta, cannot confift without them; which is ridiculous. (3) Since they allow no Laft Part, how can there be a Laft, i.e. a Terminative Point ? (4) Either fomething, or nothing is intermediate between one Indivifible and other Indivifibles: if fomething; then will there be a part without points; if nothing, then muft the whole confift of Indivifibles, which is the point at which we aim.

Art. 4.
Nor of a fimple Entity, before divifion indiffine: but of Indivifibles
(3) Not of a fimple Entity before Divifion, Indiftinct; as not a few of our Modern Metaphyficians have dreamt, among whom © lbertinus was a Grand Mafter. Who, that He might palliate the Difficulty of the Diftinction of Parts, that threatned an eafie fubverfion of his phantaftick pofition; would needs have that all Diftinction doth depend ab Extrinfeco, i.e. arifeth only from mental Defignation, or actual Divifion. But, O the Vanity of affected fubtilty ! all that He , or his whole faction hath erected upon this foundation of Sand, may be blown down with one blaft of this fingle Argument. Thofe things which can exift being actually feparate; are really diftinct: but Parts can exift being actually feparate; therefore are they really diftinct, even before divifion. For Divifion doth not give them their peculiar Entity and Individuation, which is effential to them and the root of Diftinction. The Major is the general and only Rule of Diftinctions, which whofo denyes cannot diftinguifh Plato from Ariftotle, nor Albertinus from Therfites. The Minor holds its verity of fenfe, for the part $A$, is exiftent without the part $B$, though being before conjoyned, they both confpired to the conftitution of one Continuum. And that the Propriety of Entity, is the Bafe of Diftinguibility, is verified by that ferene Axiome, Per idem res diffinguitur ab omni alia, per quod confituitur in fuo effe. Therefore cannot a Continuum confift of a fimple Eutity before divifion
indiftinct:

## Chap. III. Atoms, the Firft and Vniverfal Matter.

indiftinct : but of Individuals, or Atoms, which is our fcope and Conclufion.

Our fecond Argument flowes from the nature of Union. For the dècent introduction of which, we are to recognize, that a Modal Ens cannot fubfift without conjunetion to an Abfolute; as, to exemplifie, Intellection cannot be without the Istellet, though on the reverfe, the Intellect may be withuat the act of Intellection: fo likewife cannot $V$ nion be conceived without Parts, though on the contrary, Parts may be without Union. And hence we thus argue :

That only which is made independenter à fubjecto, or holds its effence ex propriv, is the Term of Creation; but Union is not independent a jubjecto: therefore is not Union the Term of Creation. Since therefore the Term of Creation in the Firft Matter is devoid of Union; it mult confift of Individuals, for Divifion proceeds from the folution of Union. This derives Confirmation from hence ; that the fubject from whence another is deduced, muft be pracedent in nature to that which is derived: now the Parts of the Firft Matter are the Subject from whence Union is derived; Ergo, are the Parts of the Firf Matter in nature precedent to all Union; and confequently they are Individuals, i.e. Atoms.

If it be objected, that the underftanding cannot apprehend the Firft Matter to confift without fome implicite Union we appeal to that Canon, Quod non est de effentia rei, non iagreditur ejus conceptum: For, Union not being of the effence of the parts of the Firf Matter, ought not to fall under the comprifal of that Idea, by which we fpeculate them. And, upon confequence, if they are conceived without implicite Union : certainly they are conceived as Individuals, or Atoms. The Major is juftified by that common Principle; Exeo quod res eff, vel non est, dici poteft vel effe, vel non effe; conceptus enim menfura off rei Entitas, menfura autem vocis oft conceptus. And the Certitude of the exinor refults from that Metaphyfical Canon, Nullus modus actualis est de Effentia rei.

Ulpon thefe Two Arguments might we have accumulated fundry others of the like importance, fuch as are chiefly infifted upon by the Modern Redeemers of Democritus and his noble Principles from that obfcurity and contempt, which the Envy of Time and the Peripatetick had introduced, Sennertus (in Hyponemat. de Atomis.) and Magnenus (in cap.2. difput. 2. de Atomis.) and, in imitation of their ample model, have explicated the myftery of our Thefis, from the Syncritical and Diacritical Experiments of Chymiftry, (whereby all Bodies are fenfibly diffolved into thofe Molecule, or Firft Conventions of Atoms, which carry their fpecifical feminaries; and the Heterogencous parts of diverfe Concretions, after diffolution, coagmentated into one ma(s, and united per minimas) but moft eminently from that natural miracle, the Trec of Hermes, made by an artificial Refufcitation of an entire Herb from the Atoms of it in a Glafs, honeftly effected by a Polonian Phyfitian in the prafence of Gaffarel, as himfelf records (in Curiofitat. inaudit.) afferted by Quercetan (in defenf.contra Anonym. cap. 23) and to the life defrribed by Hierem. Cornarius, famous for his long profeffion of Philofophy and Medicine at Brandenburgh, in an Epifte to the great Li bavius, which he therefore made an Appendix to his acute differtation

Art. 6. An objection prevented.

Art. 7: The reafon of the Aurliors fuperceffion of all other Arguments of the like imporrance.

110 Atoms, the Firft and Zniverfal Matter. Bоок II. de Refufcitatione Formarum ex cineribus plantarum ( $\int y n t$ agm. Arcan. Chymic. lib.1.cap.22.) But having upon an upright and mature perpenfion of their weight, found it fuch, as warrants our adfrcription of them to the golden
 (as Aristotle fpeaks of other Arguments concerning the fame fubject, in de Generat. \& Corrupt.cap.2.) fuch as urge and compel the mind to an affent, and bid defiance to all folution: we judged our prefent Fundamental fufficiently firm, though erected upon no other but thofe two pillars; efpecially when we remembred that fupererogation is a kind of Deficiency.

## CHAP. IV.

## The Effential Proprieties of Atoms.

## Sect. I.



Hat our Theory of chofe 2ualities, which being congenial to, and infeparable from Atoms, fulfil the neceffary Attributes of the Firft Univerfal Matter, may, according to the Method requifite to perfpicuity, immediately fucceed to our Demonftration of their Exiftence, and the impoffible Elementation of Concrete fubftances from any other general Principles; and that the expectance raifed in our Reader by our frequent tranfitory mention of the Proprieties of Atoms, may be opportunely fated by a profefs Encuneration and Enunciation thereof: are the two reafons that juftifie our fubnection of this to our precedent Difcourfe.

The PROPRIETIES of our Atorns difference themfelves into General and Specifical. The General are (ir) Confimilarity of Subffance; for all Aroms being équally Corporeal and folid, muft be fubftancially identical, or of one and the fame nature, knowing no difparity of Effence. Thus much Ariffotle intimates (I. Phyfic. 2.) when He infers Democritus hold-


Art. 1 : The two links conneating this to the prxcedent Chapter.


Art. 2.
The General Proprieties of Aroms: and the Infeparabi. lity of each, demonftrated; ram unam, that the Principles of all things are of one Kind, or of one Nature. In refpect of this, there is no difference among Atoms. (2) Magnitude, or Quantity, which they cannot want, fince they are not Mathematical Infectiles, but Material Realities, and Quantity or Extenfion is the proper and infeparable affection of Matter; and therefore every thing hath fo much of Extenfion, as it hath of Matter. (3) Figure, which is the effential Adjunct of their Quantity. For, infomach as Atoms are moft minute Bodies, and ftand diametrally oppofed to Points Imaginary; therefore muft they have dimenfions real, and confequently a termination of thofe dimenfions in their extreme or fuperfice, i. e. determinate Figure. Which is the ground of Magnenus 3. Poftulate (de Atomses, difput. 2.) 2uicquid magnitudinev: concedatur illif fuann ineffe Figuram; and perhaps alfo of Euclids definition of Figure, Figura est, qua fub aliquo, vel fub aliquibus terminis comprebenditur. Nor have they only a Plain figure, but a Solid one, according to that of Euclid (lib, 2. def. 1.) Jolidum eft. qusod longitudinem, latitudinem, to craflitudinem babet. (4) Gravity, or Weight; which is alfo coeffential to them in relpect to their folidity, and the principle of their Motion. And therefore Epicurus had very good caure to add his Co Baंers, to De-

 pora moveri iplo impetu Gravitatis. For, having fuppojed that Motion was effentially competent to Atoms, it muf have been no venial defect, not to have affigned them a certain fpecial Faculty, or Virtue for a Canfe to that motion prefumed; and fuch muft be cheir inharent Gravity, or the tendency of weight. Now, in refpect to either of there three laft Proprieties, Atoms may be conceived to admit of difference among themfelves; for, in regard of Magnitude, fome may be greater then orhers, of Figure, fome may be fpharical, others cubical, fome fmooth, others rough, \&\&c. and of Gravity, fome may be more, and others lefs ponderous, though this can caufe no degrees of Velocity or Tardity in their Motion, it being formerly demonftrated, that two bodies of different weights are æqually fwift in their defent.

Art. 3. The Refifence of Atoms, no diftinct propriety; but pertinent to their Solidity or Gravity.

To thefe 4 Effential Attributes of Atoms, Empiricus hath fuperadded a Fifth, viz. 'A viturtia, Renitency, or Refiftence. But, by his good leave, we cannot underftand this to be any diftinct Propriety; but as ri útoxéptvar, fomething refilient from and dependent on their folidity, which is the formal reafon of Refiftence: befides, we may confound their Renitency with their Gravity, infomuch as we commonly meafure the Gravity of any thing, by the renitency of it to our arms in the act of Elevation. Which may be the reafon, why Aphrodifaus (lib.1. 2uest. cap.2.) enumerating the proprieties of Atoms, takes no notice at all of their Gravity; but blends it under the moft fenfible effect thereof, Refigtence.

Art. 4. The ferijical Proprietics of Atoms.

The $\int$ pecifical are fuch as belong to Atoms of particular forts of Figure, as Smootbnels, Acutenefs, Angularity, and their Contraries, Afperity, obtufenefs, orbicularity, \&rc. Thefe, in the dialect of Epicurus, are $\sigma u \mu \varphi u n ̃$, Cognate Proprietates. Now all thefe Proprieties, both Generical, and Specifical, or Originary and Dependent, are truly a'x ${ }^{\text {weresx }}$, as Plutarch (1.adv. Colot.) calls them, Congenial, and infeparable. Other Proprieties there are adfrriptive to Atoms, fuch as their Concur $\int$ e. Connexion, Pofition, Order, Number, scc. from which the Qualities of Compound Bodies do emerge ; but fince they are only Communia Accidentia, Common Accidents, or (as Lucretius) Atomorum Eventa, ed as complex and coadunated in the Generation of Concretions, and not in the intire fimplicity of their Effence; and confequently feperable from them : therefore may we hope, that our Reader will content himfelf with our bare mention of them in this place, which is defigned for the more advantagious Confideration of only the Eferrial and Infeparable.

## Sect. II.

## Concerning tbe Magnitude of Atoms.

MAgnitude and Atoms, though two terms that make a graceful Confonance to ears acquainted with the moft charming harmony of Reafon, may yet found harfh and difcordant in thofe of the Vulgar, which is accuftomed to accept Magnitude only Comparatively, or as it ftands Antithetical to Parvity: and therefore it concerns us to provide againft mifapprehenfion by an early advertifement; that in our affumption of Magnitude as the firft effential Propriety of Atoms, we intend not that they hold any Cenfible bulk, but that, contrary to Infectiles, or Points Mathematical, they are Entities 2uantitive fimply, i.e. Realities endowed with certain corporeal Dimenfions, though moft minute, and confifting in the loweft degree of phyfical quantity; fo that even thofe of the largeft fize, or rate, are much below the perception and difcernment of the acuteft Opticks, and remain commenfurable only by the finer digits of rational Conjecture. And fomewhat the more requifite may this Præmonition feem, in refpect that no meaner an Author then Theodoret hath, through grofs inadvertency, ftumbled at the fame block of ambiguity. For (in Serm. 4. therapeut.) He pofitively affirms, that Democritus, Metrodorus, and Epicurns, by their exile Principles, Atoms, meant no other but thofe fimall pulverized fragments of bodies, which the beams of the Sun, tranfmitted through lattice Windows, or chincks, make vifible in the aer : when according to their genuine fenfe, one of thofe dufty granules, nay, the fmalleft of all things difcernable by the eyes of Linceus, though advantaged by the moft exquifite Engyfoope, doth confift of Myriads of Myriads of thoufands of true Atoms, which are yet corporeal and poffefs a determinate extenfion.

To avert the Wonder impendent on this nice affertion, and tune out thoughts to a key high enough to attain the Verifimility thereof; We are firf to let them down to a worthy acknowledgment of the exceeding Grofsneffe and Dulneffe of our Senfes, when compared to the fuperlative Subtiiily, and Acufenefs of Nature in mott of her Operations: for that once done, we fliall no longer boaft the perfpicacity of our Opticks, nor circumicribe our Intellectuals with the narrow line of our 'enfible difcoveries, but learn there to fet on our Reafon to hunt, where our fenfe is at a lofs. Doubtlefs, the flender Crany of a Pifmire contains more diftinct Cellules, then that magnificent Fabrick, the Elchurial, doth rooms; which though imperceptible to the eye of the body, are yet obvious to that of the mind: fince no man can imagine how, otherwife, the Faculties of fenfe and voluntary Motion can be maintained, a perpetuall fupply of Animal (or, as Dr. Harvey will have them, Vital) fpirits being indifpenfably neceffary to the continuation of thofe actions; and therefore there muf be Elaboratories for the praxparation and confection, Treafuries for the confervation, and various Conduits for the emiffion, and occafional cranfvection of them

Ari. I.
By the Magnitude, is meanc the Parvity of Atoms.
into the Nerves and Mufcles of that induftrious and provident Animal. The due refentment of which pregnant Inftance, is alone fufficient to demonftrate the incomputable degrees of diftance betwixt the fenfible Capacity of man, and the curious Mechanicks of Nature : and make the acutert of us all call for a Table-book to enroll this Aphorifin; Ubi bumana indufrria fubtilitafque definit, inde incipit induftria fubtilitafque Nature. The wings of our Arrogance being thus clipt, let us difplay thofe of our Dif courfive Faculty, and try how near we can come to deprehend the Magnitude, i.e. the Parvity of Atoms, by an ingenious Conjecture.

## Art. 3. <br> The incom. prehenfible fubtility of Nature, argued from the Artfice of an $c$ :quifite Wath, contrived in a very narfow room.

Art. 4. The vaft multirude of enfible particles, \& the vafter of Elemental Atoms, contain. ed in one grain of Frankinfenfe; exactly calculated.

Confider we, firft, that an exquifite Artift will make the movement of a Watch, indicating the minute of the hour, the hour of the day, the day of the week, moneth, year, together with the age of the Moon, and time of the Seas reciprocation; and all this in fo finall a compafs, as to be decently worn in the pall of a ring: while abungling Smith can hardly bring down the model of his groffer wheels and balance to low, as freely to perform their motions in the hollow of a Tower. If fo; well may we allow the finer fingers of that grand Exemplar to all Artificers, Nature, to diftinguifh a greater multiplicity of parts in one Grain of Millet Seed, then ruder man can in that great Mountain, Cauca/us; nay, in the whole Terreftrial Globe.

Confider we, with Magnenus, that one grain of Frankinfenfe being fired, doth fo largely diffure it felf in fume, as to fill a fpace in the aer, more then feven hundred millions of times greater then it poffeffed before combuftion. For, to the utmof difperfion of its fume, the fpace might eafily have received of grains of Frankinfenfe, equal in dimenfions to the feed of a Lupine,

| Faccording to its Altitude according to its Latitude | 720 900 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Longitude | 1200 |
| Superfice of the whole figure | 5184000 |
| Superfice of the end only | 648000 |
| (Area, or whole enclofure | 777600000 |

Since, therefore, our noftrils afcertation, that in all that fpace of Aer, there is no one particle which is not imprexgnated with the fragrant exhalations of that combuft grain of Frankinfenfe, which, while it was entire might be by a fteddy hand, a fharp incifion knife, and a good magnifying Glafs, or by that fhorter way of trituration, divided at leaft into a thoufand fenfible particles: it mult follow, in fpite of Contradiction, that the fenfible odorous particles of it do fulfil the number of 777600000000 . And, infomuch as each of thefe fenfible Particles, is mixt, it being lawful and commendable according to the fubtile fpeculations of $\operatorname{Arch}$ umed (in Areisar.) to affume that the fimalleft of them is compofed of a Million of Elemental Atoms: therefore by the fame rule, muft there have been in the whole Grain of Elemental Atoms 777600000000000000, at leaft. If fo; we have but one ftep lower to Infectility, and fo may guefs at the Exiguity of a fingle Atome.
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Mafter in Opticks, and drive him to the Minimus Angulus of Euclid: but that it foon came into our thoughts, that He fpeculated the fame by the fubtiler Dioptrick of Reafon; which indeed is the beft Engyfope of the Mind, and renders many things perficuous to the Underfanding, whofe exceeding Exility is their fufficient Darknefs.

## Art. 7.

 The Exility of Atoms, conje: Aural from the great diffufion of one Grain of Ver. million diflul ved in Watcr.To put more weights into the Scale of Conjecture, let us moreover obferve ; how great a quantity of Water may be tinged with one grain of Ver- 0 million; how many fheets of Paper may be crimfoned with that tincture; of thofe fheets: and wh points, by the apex of a needle, defignable on each are found in each of then tis manifeft that many particles of Vermillion cles comprehended in the compars of that grain are indefinable by the exacteft Arithmetique.

Art. 8.
The fame, inferrible from the frall quan. tity of oil depridared by the Flame of a Lamp, in a quarter of anl hour.

Art. 9. The Microfope of great ule, in the difeernment of the minute particles of Bodies: and fo advailrageous to our Coniecture, of the exility of Atoms.

Again, (for we could be content, to let the Almund tree bud, before we take off our cogitations from this pleafant Argument) confider we, how finall a portion of oyl is confumed by the flame of a Lamp, in a quarter of an hour; and yet there is no moment paffert, wherein the ftock of flame is not wafted and as faft repaired, which if it could be conferved alive all at once, would fill not only whole rooms, but evenample Cities: and if fo, what need we any further eviction of the extreme Exiguity of thofe Parts, of which all Concretions are material'd ?

Had the Ancients, indeed, been fcrupulous in this point; their wanc of that ufeful Organ, the Engyfcope, might in fome part have excufed their incredulity: but for us, who enjoy the advantages thereof, and may, as often as the Sun fhines out, behold the moft lævigated Granule of diffolved Pearl, therein prefented in the dimenfions of a Cherry fone, together with its various faces, planes, afperities, and angles, (fuch as before infpection we did not imagine) moft clear and diftinct, longer to difpute the poffible Parvity of Component Principles, is agrofs difparagement to the Certitude of Senfe, when it is exalted above deception, and all poffible impediments to its fincere judicature are prow vented.

Conclude we therefore, fince the Diametre of a granule of Duft, when fpeculated through a good Engyfcope, is almoft Centuple to the diametre of the fame, when lookt on meerly by the eye, on a fheet of Venice Paper: we may fafely affirm, with Archimed (in arenario.) that it is conflated of ten hundred thoufand millions of infenfible Particles; which is enough to verifie our prefent Affumption.

## Sect. III.

## Concerning the Figures of Atoms.

IN all the fufficiently prolix Difcourfes of the Ancient Affertors of Atoms, concerning their FI GURE, and the no faring Commentaries of the Moderns thereupon; whatever feems either worthy our ferious animadverfions, or in anywife pertinent to our Defignation: may be, without perverfion, or amiffion of importance, well comprized under one of thefe 3 Canons. (1) That Atoms are, in their fimple effence, varioully figarate; (2) That the diffinct Species of their Figures are Indefinite, or Incomprabenfible, though not fimply, or abfolutely Infinite; (3) That the Number of Atoms retaining unto, or comprehended under each peculiar $\int$ pecies of Figure, is not only indefinite, but fimply Infinite.

Concerning the FIRST; we advertife, that no man is to conceive them to have fuppofed the Figure of Atoms deprehenfible by the Sight, or Touch, no more then their Magnitude, the termination whereof doth effence their figure, according to that definition of Euclid, lately alledged; but fuch, as being inferrible from manifold reafons, is obvious to the perception of the Mind. Which Plutarch (r. placit., 2.) perfonating Epicu-
 prias habere, Sed ratione, fert mente contemplabiles Figuras. To avouch the verity hereof, we need no other argument but this; infomuch as every Atome hath fome determinate Quantity, or Extenfion, and that all Quantity muft be terminated in fome certain Figure : therefore is it neceffary, that however exile the dimenfions of an Atome are, yet mult the fuperfice thereof be or plane, or fphærical, or angular, or Cubical, \&c. i. e. of fome figure either regular, or irregular.

Doth any incline to believe, that the extreme Exility of Atoms may neceffitate their general Roundnefs; and the rather becaufe he perceives all thofe dufty fragments of bodies, vifible in the aer by Sunfhine, (which are the Atoms of the Vulgar) to be clad in that figure: We advife him to collect a multitude of them, on a clean fheet of the fineft white Paper, and then fpeculate any the fmalleft granules among them with a perfect Engyfcope. For, in fo doing He will acquire autoptical fatisfaction, that none of them are exactly orbicular and perpolite, but all of various angular figures, pyramidal, pentahedrical, cubical, trapezian, heptahedrical, octahedrical, dodecihecrical, icofihedrical, \&c. nay of fo many irregular and difflmilar apparences, as muft refute his error with a delightful Wonder. Though, in troth, it can be no wonder to him that confiders the Defect of any Caufe, that thould break off the angles from thofe fragments voLatile, after their detrition from hard bodies, and fo turnate them into fimooth fpharules: obfervation afcertaining, that when hard bodies are broken into large pieces, thofe pieces are alvayes angular, and extremely difcrepant in the parts of their fuperfice; and Reafon thence
thence inferring, that leffer pieces muft confeis the like irregularity and difparity of figures among themfelves. True it is, they enter the eye in a perfect Iphear, becaufe of the exiguity of their Angles; for every fmall, or remote Icofahedrical body, nay even Cblong and Cylindrical, pofited at exceffive diftance, the extremities of their images being $t$ in their long trajection through the aer, confracted, retufed, and fo entering the Retina tumica in a leffer angle; alwayes appear orbicular. Thus, if we fpeculate any ftar; which is not of a Ppherical figure, as Saturn, which both Kircher and Hevelius, having beheld it with their excellent Telefcopes, defrribe in this apparence
(in Pbotijmo Corporum colefium; dr sece. nographia.)

$\infty$it will deradiate its fpecies in a pyramid, which hath fo many diftinct fition of the eye, in right lines drawn to the circumference thereof, and yet in the decurfe of the angle, they all become fo retufed, as that the image of the Starr is received by the eye in a figure perfectly fphrrical. And, as the exceffive Remotion, fo likewife doth the immoderate Exiguity of objects caufe our fenfe not to difcern their genuine Figure and fo to delude the common judicatory Faculty, by giving in diffimilar images: as is demonftrable from the reafon, whereby Masnifying Glaffes meliorate the fight, i.e. their enlarging the bafis of the Radius Viforius, according to the theory of Kircherus (in Magia Catoptrica.) and Scheinerus (in Fundam. optic lib. 3. part.2.). Thus, if he credit the fingle information of his eye, who doth not judge a Handworms to be exactly round? and yet let him but behold it through an Engyfcope, and he fhall at firft infpection difcern the feveral divarications of its Members, Leggs, Feet, Tail, and other parts, no lefs diverfe in proportion, then thofe obferved in multipedous Infects, of farr greater bulk.

Art. 4.
The Dizerfity of Figures in Atoms, evis ed from the renfible Difimilitude of individuals, as well A nimate, as Inanimate.

To guard this Affertion of the variety of Figures in Atoms, with other Arguments of its Verifimility; let us Confider, that all Individuals, as well Animate, as Inanimate, are diftinguifhable each from other of the fame fpecies, by fome peculiar fignature of difparity vifible in the fuperficial parts of their Bodies : and Reafon will thereupon whifper us in the ear, that they are alfo different in their Configurations; and that the Caufe of that fenfible Diffimilitude, muft be a peculiar, or idiofyncritical Contexture of their infenfible Component particles. For Animals, we may inftance in the nobleft fpecies. Among the Myriads of fivarms of men, who can find any two Perfons, fo abfolute Twinns in the aer of their faces, the lines of their hands, the ftature of their bodies, proportion of their members, $\& c$. as that Nature hath left no impreffion, whereby not only their familiar friends, but even ftrangers comparing them together, may diftinguifh one from the other? For Inanimates; doth it not deferve our admiration, that in a whole Bufhel of Corn, no two Grains can be found fo exquifitely refpondent in fimilitude, as thas a curious eye fall not difcover fome difparity betwixt them: and yet we appeal to frict obfervation, for the verity thereof. If our leafure and patience will bear it, let us conferr many Leaves, collected at one time from the fame Tree; and try whether among thein all we can meet with any two perfectly confimilar in magnitude, colour, fuperfice, divarications of filaments, equality of ftemms, and other external proportions. If not; we muft affent to a variecy of

Configurations in their parts, and consequently admit no lees, but iiideed a fart greater variety of Figures in the particles of thole parts, their Atoms.

To the fe it concerns us to annex one fingular Experiment, eafie, delightfut, and fatisfactory. Expofing a veffel of Salt water, to the Sun, or other convenient heat, fo as the aqueous parts thereof may be gentty evaporated, we may observe all the Salt therein contained; to refine in the bottome, conformed into Cubical Maffes. And, if we figures ger, by how much the more and deeper the Water, wherein it was diff-

Art. 5. A frygular Ex . periment, anoptically demonftrating the various Configurations of the minute Particles of Concretions. loved; and $\grave{i}$ contra, fo much the faller, by how much flallower the Water: fo that from a large veffel will arife faline Cubes in dimentions equal l to thole of a Gamesters Die; but from a fall we hall receive Cubes, by five parts of fix, lefter, and if we drop a final quantity of brine upon a plane piece of Glass, the Cubical Concretions thereon fixing, will be fo minute, as to require the help of an Engyrcope to their difcernment. Now, as to that part of this Exferment, which more directly points at our present Scope; we may perceive the greater Cubes to be a meer Congeries or affembly of final ones, and those fall ones to be coagmentaied of others yet finaller, or certainly composed of exiguous Mantes bearing the figure of Iforcele Triangles, from four of which convened and mutually accommodated, every Cube doth refill. Hence is it obvious to ConjeCure, that thole final Cubes, difcernable only by an Engyicope, are contexied of other fimaller, and thole again of fimaller, until by a fucceffive degradation they arrive at the exility of Atoms, at leaft of thole Moleculx, which are the Seminaries of Salt, and, according to evident probability, of either exactly Quadrate, or Iforcele Triangular figures. Now, infomuch as the fame, allowing the difference of Figure, is conjectural alto concerning Alum, Sugar, Nitre, Vitriol, \&c. Saline Concretions: why may we not extend it alto to all other Compofitoons, efpecially fuch as have their Configurations certain and deterininate, according to their fpecifical Nature.

Again, whofo fubfracts a diverfity of Figures from Atoms: doth inplicitely deftroy the variety of fenfibles. For, what doth cure the Odoratory Nerves of man to difrriminate a Rope from Wormwood? but the different Configurations of thofe Molecule, Flores Elementorum, or Seminaries of Qualities, which being conflated of exceeding fine and mall congregations of Atoms, do constitute the odorable fpecies; and fo make different impreffions upon them. What makes a Dog, by the meet ragacity of his note, find out his Matter, in the dark, in a whole hoot of men? but this ; that thole fubtle Effurvia, or Expirations, emitted infenfibly from the body of his Matter, are of a different Contexture from thole of all others, and fo make a different impreffion upon the maxillary proceffes, or finelling Nerves of the Dog. The like may alto, with equal reafori, be demanded concerning those waves of Difriminiation, whereby all Anilmils agnize their own from others young; and Beats of prey, in their difficult venations, fingle out the embofled and chafed; though blended together with numerous Herds of the fame fipecies:

Nor doth the Verifimility hereof hold only in objects of the fight and fmelling; but diffufeth to thofe of the Hearing, Tafting, and Touching: as may be foon inferred by him, who fhall do us the right, and himfelf the pleafure to defcend to particulars. Thefe things jointly confidered, we are yet to feek, what may interdict our Conception of great Diverfity of Figures in the Principles of Concretions, Atoms.

Concerning the SECOND, vit. Eivay tà xing 'a Tis 'A tipwi

Art. 7. The fecon. Canon,e:xplained and Certified.
 nitas, that the figures of Atoms are fo various, as to be incomprehenfible, though not fimply infinite: this can be nor Problem, nor Paradox. For, though the fpecies of Regular Figures be many, of Irregular more, and of thofe that are producible from both regular and irregular, according to all the poffible wayes of their Commixture and Tranipofition, fo amufingly various; as that the mind of man, though acquainted with all the myfteries of Arithmetique and Algebra, cannot attain to a definite compute, nor precife defcription of themall: yet do they not run up to abfolute Infinity, fo as that there can be no extreme and terminating fpecies. That the variety of Figures competent to Atoms, ought to be held only Incomprehenfible; there Reafons evince (I) Since Atoms are circumfcribed and limitate in Magnitude, that Configurations in diverfity infinite fhould arife from that finite magnitude, is clearly impoffible. For, every diftinct figuration prefuppofeth a diftinct pofition of parts; and the parts of finite Magnitude may be tranfpofed fo many feveral wayes, as no further way of tranfpofition can remain pofible : otherwife there would be nevv and new parts inexhauftibly, and fo magnitude would become infinite. (2) If the Diverfity of figures were infinite, then could not the Qualities arifing to concretions from the various Contexture of their parts, be certain and determinate: fince, allowing an inexhauftible novelty of Configurations, their infenfible particles might be fo variegated, as that a better then the beft, and a worfe then the worft of Configurations might be produced; which is no obfcure abfurdity. (3) All things are determined by Contrary Qualities, which are fo extreme, that they admit many mediate or Inclufive degrees, but none Exclufive, or without their boundaries. (4) That only a Finite variety is fufficient to that incomprehenfible diverfity of figures, obferved in nature.

That the variety of Figures allowable to Atoms, is Incomprehenfible; may be thus familiarized. Thinke we, what great multiplicity of words may be compofed of only a few Letters varioufly tranfpofed. For, if we affume only Two Letters, of them ive can create only two words; if three, 6 ; if four, 24 ; if five, 120 ; if fix, 720 ; if feven, 5040 ; if eight, 40320 ; ifnine, 362880 ; iften, 3628800 : fo that before we fuifil the 24 Letters, the number of words componible of them, according to all the poffible ways of pofitions, will fivell above our computation. This done, let us no more but exchange Letters for Figures, and affuming only Round, Oblong, Oval, Eliptick, Lenticular, Plane, Gibbous, Turbinate, Hamous, Polite, Hifpid, Conical, Obtufe, Tetrahedical, Pentahedrical, Hexahedrical, Heptahedrical, Dodecahedrical, Icofahedrical, Striate or skrewed, Triangular, Cylindrical Atoms: caft up to what an inaffignable number the Figures producible from them, according to the feveral wayes of their Compofition and tranfpofition, may amount. Doubtlefs, we fhall difcover fo great variety, as
to elude our comprehenfion. If fo, how much more incomprehenfible muft that Diverfity be, which is poffible from the affumption, and complication of all the Regular and Irregular figures, that a good Geometrician can conceive, and which it is juftifiable for us to allow exiftent in Nature?

But as for the LAST; viz. that the number of Atoms, retaining to each diffinct. Species of Figures, arifeth to Infinity, i.e. that there are infinite Oval, infinite Pyramidal, infinite Sphærical, \&c. Atoms: from this we muft declare our Diffent. Becaufe, how great a number foever be affigned to Atoms, yet muft the fame be Defined by the Capacity of the World, i.e. of the Univerfe, as hath been formerly intimated. And, therefore, the common Objection, that if fo, the famme of things exiftent in the World, would be Finite; is what we moft willingly admit, there being no neceffity of their Infinity; and a copious fyndrome of reafons, that prefs the Contrary. And as it is unneceffary to Nature: folikewife to her Commentator, the Phyfiologitt ; to whom it fufficeth, having exploded this delirium of Infinity, to fuppofe ( I ) that the material Principles of the Univerfe are effentially Figurate, (2) that the fpecies of their figures are incomprehenfible, as to their Variety, (3) that the Number of indivifible Particles comprehended under each difference of Figures, is alfo incomprehenifle, but not inexhauftible, as Epicurus inconfiderately imagined.

## Sét. IV.

## Concerning the Mutions of Atoms.

$T 0$give the more light to this dark Theorem, we are to prxpoffes our Reader with Two introductory obfervables; (i) that our prxeent infiftence upon only the MOT I O N of Atoms, doth not fuppofe our omiffion of their GR A V I T Y; but duely include the fuli confideration thereof: fince their Motion is the proper Effect of their Gravity, and that which doth chiefly bring it within the Sphere of our Appretienfion. (2) That the genuine Atomift doth worthily diffeow all Motion, but what Plutarch in the name of Epicurus, hath defined to be, Mstabaois aंio toty cis rorop, Migratio de loco in locum, the trannlation of a thing from one place to another. The fufpicion of a Chafme in our Difcourfe, and the Ambiguity imminent from the Æquivocality of the term, Motion, thus maturely prevented: we may more fmoothly progrefs to our flort Animadverfions on the Conceptions of the Ancients, touching the Laft General Propriety of Atoms' their Congenial and inteftine Motion.

Herein we are to recognize their opinions, that concern (I) the Multiplicity, ( 2 ) the Perpetuity of motions effentially competent to Atoms.

As to the FIR S $T$, they have, according to a General Diftinction, affigned to A toms a a Two-fold Motion; ( I Natural, whereby an Atom,accordd R

Art. 8. The Third $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}$ non, explain$\mathrm{cd}, \&$ refured:

Art. I. Two isrodu. tory Obiferrables.

Art. 2.
The Motion of Atoms, according to the General Di flintion of the Ancients, Two. Fold ; ;uiz. Notural, and Accidental: \& each of thefe redivided inco rwo different species.
ing to the tendency of its effential weight, is carried directly downward: (2) Accidental, whereby one Atom juftling or arienating againft another, is diverted fromits perpendicular defcendence, and repercuffed another way. The Former, they called Perpendicular, the other, Reflex. The Natural or Perpendicular Epicurus hath doubled again into yogia cídulus, ad per. pendiculum, or as Cicero (defato) interprets it, ad Lineam: and reato rapeśr-xגiow, at Declinationem. The Accidental, or Reflex hath alio, according to the tradition of Plutarch, ( 1. placit.12.) been by him fub-
 IMffione, or rather, ex Palpitatione. So that, according to this ipecial Diftinction, there mult be four different forts of motions affignable to Atoms.

Art. 3. The fummary of Epicurus Figment, of the Perpenai cular Motion of Aroms, without a common Certre.

Art. 4. His Declinatory natural Moti. on of Atoms, excurfed; nat juffified.

For the perpendicular Motion, we advertife; that Epicuras therein had no relpect to any Centre either of the World, or the Earth; for He conceded nonefuch poffible in the Univere, which He affirmed of infinite extent : but to two contrary Regions allowable therein; the one $\mathcal{U P p}^{2}$ ard, from whence, without any terminus ì quo, Atoms floired; the other Dowivard, toward which, without any terminus ad quem, in a direct line they tended. So that, according to this wild dream, any coaft from whence Atoms ftrean, may be called Above, and any to which they direct their courfe, Belons; infomuch as He conceited the fuperfice of the Earth, on which our feet find the Centre of Gravity in ftandirig or progreffion, to beone continued plane, and the whole Horizon above it likewife a continued plane running on in extent not only to the Firmament, but the intire immenfity of the Infinite Space. According to which Delirament, if feveral weights thould fall down from the firmament, one upon Europe, another upon Afia, a third upon Africa, a fourth upon America; and their motion be fuppofed to continue beyond the exteriors of the terreftrial Globe: they could not meet in the Centre thereof, but would transfix the four quarters in lines exquifitely parallel, and ftill defeend at equal diftañee each from other, untill the determination of their motion in the infinite Space, by the, occurfe and refiftence of other greater W eights.
aiff For the Dealiatory Mption; we obferve, that Epichus, was by a kind of reming necelicy conftrained to the Fiction tbereof; fince otherwife He had left his fundamental Hypothefis maniferty imperfef his Principles deftitute of Caule for their Convention, Conflictation, Cohxrence, and confequently no polibility of the emergency of Concretions from them. And, therefore, to what Cicero (in21. de fin.) objects againit him, viz. that the acquiefced in a fuppofition meerly precarions, fince he could arign no Caufe for this-motion of Declination, but ufurped the indecent liberty of endowing his Atoms with what Faculties he thought rddvantagious to the explanation of Natures Phænomena in Generation and Corruption : we may modefly refpond, by way of excule not juftification, that fuch is the imbecillity of Human underfanding, as that every Author of a phyfiological Fabrick, or mundane Syfteme, is no lefs obnoxious to the fame objection, of prefuming to confign Provinces (for the phrafe of Cicero, is dare provincias principits.) to his Principles, then Epicurus. For, in Concretions "or Complex. Natures', to determine on a reafon for this or that fenfible Affection, is no defferate difficulty; fince the condition
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of praxffumed Principles may afford it: but, concerning the orisinary Cäures of thofe Affections inharent in and congenial to the Principles of thofe Concretions, all we cän fay, to decline a doivnrighe confeffion of our ignorance, is no möre then this, that fuch is the neceffity of their peculiar Nature; the proper and germane - $\mathrm{di}^{\prime}$ oft remaining in the dark to us, and fo our Curiofity put to the filift of fimple Coniecture, unlefs we level our thouights above Principles, and acknowledge no term of acquiefcence. And even the acute and perfpicacious Cicero; notwithflanding his reprehenfion of it in Epictrrus, is forced to avoiv the inevitability of this Exigent, in exprefs words, thus; Ne ompes a Pbyjcis irri=
 dum; i ipfurs Individur banc effe naturam, ut pondere of gravitate moveattor, camque ipfam efec Catufam, citr, ita feratur, dec. Nor is. this Crime of configning provinces to his'Principles, proper oily to Epicurus; but common alfo to the Stoick, Peripatetick, scc. fince none of them hath adventured upon a reaJon of the Heate of Fire, the Cold of Water, the Gravity of Earth, \&cc." Doubtlefs, had Cicero been interrogated, Why all the Starrs are not carried onin a inotion parallel to the 座quator, but fome Iteer their courfe obliquely; why all the Planets travel' not through thé Ecliptick, or at leaft in'a mbotion' parallel thereto, but fome approich it obliquely : the beft anfiwer He couild have thought upon, murt have been only this, ita Naturre leges' ferebamt, which how much befeeming thee perpicacity of a Phyfiologift" more then to have excogitated Fundamentals of his own, endotwed with inherent Ficultes to caure thofe diverfe tendencies, we referr to the eafie arbitration of our Readè.

Concerning the Accidental, or Reflex Motion, all that is worthy our ferious notice, is only this', that when Epicarits fubdivideth this Genus into tivo fpecies, namely xerod minizhes', ex plaga, and reato inu $\lambda$ piv, ex conculf fone, and affirmeth that all thofe Atoms which are (ävo xosspryex ) moved upivard, purfue both forts of this Reflex ten:dency; we are not to underftand him in this fenfe; that both thefe kinds of Reflex motion are oppofite to the Perpendicular, finice it is obviouis to every man, that Atoms refpective to their 'Dirét, or 'Cblique incidencé in-the different points of their fuperfice, minay make, or rather fuffer or direct, or oblique refilitions;, añd Eprcarris exprefly diftinguifhecth the Motion from Collifion or Afrieation into that which pointeth upwàř̆, and that which pointeth fidenayes; but in this, that he might conflitutee a certain Generical Difference, whereby both the fpecies of Reflex motion might be known from both the fpecies of the Perpendicular. For the furcher illuffration of this obicure Diftinction, and to prevent that conifiderable Demand, which is confequent thereto, viz. Whetber all the poffable forts of Reflex Motion are only two, the one directily $\psi_{\text {pward, }}$ the other directly Lateral: We advertife, that Epicurius feems to have -alluded to the mof renfible of fimple Differences in the Pulfe of Animils. For, as Phyffitians, when the Pulfifick Faculy diftends the Artery fo amply, and allows fo great a fpace to the performance of bothi thofe fucceffive contrary motions, the Diafole and Syftole, as that thé touch doth apprehend each. Atroke fully and diftinctly, denominare thiat kind of Pulfe, $\pi$ mimnin, and on the contrary, when the vibrations of the Artery are. contracted into a very little fipace as well of thé
ambient, as of time, fo as they are narrow and confufecly prefented to the touch, they call it $\pi a \lambda$ mas: fo likewife Epicurus terms that kind of Rebound, or Refilition, which by a ftrong and direct incurfe and ariecation of one Atom againt another, is made to a confiderable diftance, or continued through a notable interval of face, $\sim_{2}^{\pi 1} \pi \lambda r_{2}$ luw; and, on the contrary, thas which is terminated in a fhort or narrow interval (which comes to pars, when the refilient Atom foon falls foul upon a fecond, and is thereby reviberated upon a third, which repercuffech it upon a fourch, whereby it is again bandied againt a fifth, and fo fucceffively agitated, until it endure a perfect Palpitation) he ftyles $x_{y}^{\pi 1}$ aan $\mathrm{g}^{\prime \prime}$. Upon this our Mafter Galen may be thought to have caft an eye, when he faid (lib. de facult.nat.) it was the opinion of Epicurus. ©mnes attractiones per refiltiones atque implexiones Atomorumb fieri that all Ateractions were caufed by the Refilitions and Implexions of Atoms. Whicheminent paffage in Galen, not only affifted, but interpreted by another of Plato (Magnetens non per Attractionem, fed Impulfionem agere, in Tinneo) of the finne import; hath given the hat to Des Cars tes, Regius, Sir K. Digby, and fome other of our late Enquirers, offuppofing the Attractive, rather Impul/ive Virtue of the Loadftone, and all other bodies Electrical, to confift in the Recefs, or Return of thofe continued Effluvia, or invifible filaments of ftreated Atoms, which are unceffantly exhaled from their pores. Nor dorh He much ftrain thefe words of Gilbert [ Effurvia illatenuiora concipiunt of amplectuntur corpora, quibus Vaiuntur, ơ EleEEris, tanquam extenfis brachis, of ad foxtem prope invalefcentibus effluviis, deducuntur ] who hath charged them with the like fignification.

Art: 6. The feveral Conceptions of Epicurus, about the perperual Motiors of Aroms.

Art. 7. The perpictual Inquietude of Acoms, even in compara Concretions, adumbrared in nielte dLeal.

As to the SECOND, viz the Perpetuity of thefe Motions adicribed to Atoms; we think it not a little material to give you to underftand, at leaft to recognize that the conceptions of Epicurus concerning this parucular, are cozen Germans to Chimrras, and but one degree removed from the monftrous abfurdities of Lunacy. For, He dreamt, and then believed, that all Atoms were from all Eternity endowed, by the charter, of their uncreate and independent Effence, with that ingenite Vigour, or internal Energy, called Gravity, whereby they are varioully agitated in the infinite fpace, without refpect to any Centre, or General term of Confiftence: fo as they could never difcontinue that natural motion, unlefs they met and encountred other Atoms, and were by their fhock or impulfe deflected intoanother courfe. That the Diffilient or deflected Atoms, whether rebounding upwards directly, or ad latus obliquely, or in any line intercedent betwixt thofe tivo different regions, would alio indefinently purfue that begun motion, unlefs they were impeded and diverted again by the occurfe and arietation of fome others floating in the fame part of face. And, that becaufe the Revibrations, or Refilitions of Atoms regarding feveral points of the immenfe fpace, like Bees varioufly interweaving in a fwarm, muft be perpetual : therefore alfo muft they never quiefce, but be as varioufly and conitantly exagitated erea in the moft folid or adamantine of Concretions, though the fenfe çannot deprehend the leaft inquiecude or inteftine tumultuation therein; and the rather in refpect of thofe Grotefques or minute Inanities denfely intermixed among their infenfible pa:ticles.

To explicate this Riddle, we muft preient fome certain adumbration of this inteftine xftuation or commotion of Atoms in Concretions; and
this may moft conveniently be done in melted Mettals, as particularly in Lead yet floating in the Fufory veffel. To apparence nothing more quiet and calm : yet really no quickfand more internally tumultuated. For, the infenfible particles of Firehaving penetrated the body of the crucible, or melting pan, and fo permeating the pores of the Lead therein contained; becaufe they cannot return back upon the fubjacent fire, in regard they are unceffantly impelled by other ingeneous particles continually fucceeding on their heels, therefore are they ftill protrided on, untill they difunite all the particles of the Lead, and by the pernicity and continuation of this their ebullition, hinder them from mutual revinction and coalefcence : and thereby make the Lead a fluid, of a compact fubftance, and fo keep it, as long as the fuccuffion of igneous particles is maintained from the fire underneath. During this act of Fufion, think we, with what violence or pernicity the Atoms of Fire are agitated up anid down, from one fide to another, in the fimall inanities interfperfed among the particles of the Lead; otherwife they could not diffolve the compact tenour thereof, and change their pofitions fo as to introduce manifeft Fluidity: and, fince every particle of the Lead; fuffers as many various concuffions, repercuffions, and repeated vi_ brations, as every particle of Fire, how great muft be the Commotion on both fides, notwithftanding the feeming quiet in the furface of the Lead?

But; becaufe our fenfe, as well as our Reafon; may have fome fatisfaction, touching the perpetual Commotion of Atoms, even in Compofitions; we offer to Exemplifie the fame either in the Spirit of Halinitre, or that which Chymifts ufually extract from Crude mercury, Tin, and Sublimate codiffolved in a convenient menftruum : For, either of thefe Liquors being clofe kept in a luted glafs, you may plainly perceive the minute moleculx, oir feminarie conventions of Atoms, of which it doth confift, to be unceffantly moved every way, upward, downnward, tranfverfe, oblique, \&c. in a kind of fierce ertuation, as if goaded on by their inhærent Motor, or internal impulfive Faculty, they attempted fpeedy emergency at all points, moft like a multitude of Flyes imprifoned in a glars Vial.

Now, the Argument that leems to have induced Epicurus to concede this perpetual Inquietude of Atoms, was the ineritable mittation of all Concrete Subftances, caufed by the continual Accefs and Receefs of their infenfible particles. For, indeed, no Concretion is fo compact and folid, as not to contain within it felf the poffrble Caufes of its utter Diffolution; yea, though it were fo immured in Adamant, as to be thought fecure from the hottile invafion of any Extrinfecal Agent whatever. And the ruine of folid bodies (i. e. fuch whofe parts are of the moft compact Contexture allowable to Concretions, ) cannot be fo reafonably adfcribed to any Caufe, as this; that they are compacted of fuch Principles, as are indefinently motive, and in perpecual endeavour of Emergency or Exfilition : fo that never defifting from internal evolutions, circumgyrations, and other changes of pofition; they at length infringe that manner of reciprocal Coaptation, Cohæfion, and Revinction, which determined their folidity, and thereby diffolving the Compofitum, they wholly emancipate themelves, obey their reftefs tendency at randome, and difappear.

Thisfreculent Doctrine of Epicurus, we had occafion to examine and refine all the drofs either of Ablurdity, or Atheifm, in our Chapter con-

Art. 8o The fame more fenfibly exemplified, in the fpirit extracted
from Mercurys Tin, and Subli。 mate:
cerning the Creation of the World ex nihilo, in our Book againt Atheifm. However, we may not difmifs our Reader without this fhort Animadverfion. The Pofitions to be exploded are (1) That Atoms were Eternally exiftent in the infinite (pace, (2) that their enotive Faculty was eternally inharent in them, and not derived by impreffion from any External Principle, (3) that their congenial Gravity affects no Centre, (4) that their Declinatory) motion from a perpendicular, is connatural to them with that of perpendicular defcent, from Gravity. Thofe which we may with good advantage fubftitute in their ftead, are ( I ) That Atoms were produced ex nihilo, or created by God, as the fufficient Materials of the World, in that part of Eternity, which feemed opporture to bis infinite Wifdom; (2) that, at their Creation, God invigorated or impragnated them with an Internal Energy or Faculty Motive, which may be conceived the Firft Canse of all Natural Actions, or Motions, (for they are indiftinguifhable) performed in the World; (3). that their gravity carnot fubfif without a Centre; (4) that their internal Motive 'Virtue nece $\int$ fitates their perpetual Commotion among themfelves, from the moment of its infufion, tothe expiration of Natures leafe. For, by virtue of thefe Corrcitives, the poifonous part of Epicurus opinion, may be converted into one of the moft potent Antidotes againft our Ignorance: the 2uantity of Atoms fufficing to the Materiation of all Concretions; and their various Figures and Motions to the Origination of all their 2rialities and Affections, as our immediately fubfequent Difcourfe doth profeffedly affert.
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Hat the founding Line of Mans Reafon is much too fhort to profound the Depths, or Channels of that immenfe Ocean, Nature, needs no other evictment but this, that it cannot attain'to the bottom of Her Shallows. It being a difcouraging truth, that even thofe things, "which are familiar and within the fphere of our Senfe, and fuch to the clear difcernment whereof we are furnifhed with Organs moft exquifitely acconmodate ; remain yet ignote and above the Moon to our Miderftanding. Thus, what can be more evident to fenfe, then' the Contimuty of a Body: yet what more abftrufe to our reafon, then the Compofition of a Contimum? What more obvioufly fenfible chen Qualities: and yet what problem: hath hiore diltracted the brains of Philofophers, then that concerning their Unde, or original. Who doth not know, that all Senfation is performed by the Mediation of certain Images; or Species : yet where is that He , who hath hit the white, in the undoubted determination of the N -ture of a fpecies, or apodictically declared the manner of its Emanation from the Object to the Senfortum, what kind of infenfible-fenfative impreffion that is, whichit makech thereupon, and how being from thence, in the fame

Ari. $\overline{1}$. An inerroduAory Advertifement; of the obscurity of many things to Reafon, which are ma: nifeff to finfe: and of the Pofibility, not neceifiry of the Elementation of Concte. tions, and tbeir tenfible Ralalities, from the Principies prafumed:
fame inftant tranfmitted to that noble fometbing within us, which we underfand not, it proves a lively Tranfumpt, or type, and informs that ready judge of the Magnitude, Figure, Colour, Motion, and all other apparences of its Antitype or Original? or, what hath ever been more manifeit or beyond dubitation, then the reality of Motiors? and yet we dare demand of Galilao himfelf, what doth yet remain more imperveftigable, or beyond apodictical decifion, then the Nature and Conditions thereof.

Concerning the Firft of thefe 4 renigmatical Quxftions, we have formerly prefented you no fparing account of our Conjectural opinion: which we defire may be candidly accepted in the latitude of Probability only, or how it may be, rather then how it is, or muft be; i. e. that it is, though moft poffible and verifimilous that every Phyfical Concinuum fhould confift of Atoms; yet not abfolutely necef]ary. For, infomuch as the true Idea of Nature is proper only to that Eternal Intellect, which firft conceived it: it cannot but be one of the higheft degrees of madnefs for dull and unequal man to prextend to an exact, or adxquate comprehenfion thereof. We need not advertife, that the Zenith to a fober Phyfrologiftsambition, is only to take the copy of Nature from her fhadow, and from the reflex of her fenfible Operations to defcribe her in fuch a fymmetrical Form, as may appear moft plaufibly fatisfactory to the folution of all her Phrenomena. Becaufe 'tis well known, that the eye of our grand Mafter Ariffotles Curiofity was levelled at no other point, as himfelf folemnly profeffeth (in Meteorolog.

 д $\omega \mu \mathrm{f}$ oupbaydy: i. e. Cum autem de bifce, qua fenfui pervia nos funt, fatis effe juxta rationens demonftratum putemus, fi ad id guod feri poffit ea redsxerrimus, ex bifce que in prafentia dicuntur, exiftimaverit quifpiam de=hifce maximè ad hunc modum ufu venire. And evident it is that Monf. Des Cartes never was more himfelf, that is, profoundly ingenious, then when he crowned his excellent Principles of Philofophy with this advertifement : as quamvis fortè boc pacto intelligatur, quimodo res omnes naturales fieri potuerint; non tamen ideo concludi debet, ipfas reverà fic factas effe: ©o fatic àme prafititum effe putabo, fitantum ea que fcrip $\mathscr{F}_{2}$, talia fint, ut omnibus Nature Phonomenis accorate refpondeant; boc enim ad ufum vite Jufficiet.

And, concerning the other three, which according to the natural order of their dependence, are fucceffively the Arguments of our next enfuing Exercitations; we likewife deprecate the fame favourable interpretation, in the General : that fo, though our attempts perhaps afford not fatisfaction to others, yet they may not occafion the fcandal of Arrogance and Obffixacy in opinion to our felves.

Art. 2.
The Aurhors Definition of a Quality, in general : and $g{ }^{-}$. nuine expofition of Demccritus myfteri. ous Text, concerning the Creation of Rualitios.

By the 2uality of any Concrection, we underfand in the General, no more but that kind of Apparence, or Reprefentation, whereby the Senfe doth diffinctly deprehend, or adtually difcern the fame, in the capacity of its proper object. An Apparenco we term it, becaufe the Quale or Suchnefs of every fenfible thing, receives its peculiar determination from the relation is holds to that fenfe, that peculiarly difcerns it : at leaft from the judgment made in the mind according to the evidence of fenfation. Which doubtlefs
was the genuine intent of Dessocritus in that remarkable and myyterious text, recorded by Galen (in lib. I. de Element. cap. 2.) thus: Nóuw Xeerǹ,


 \&c. Legeenim Color, lege amaror, lege dislcor; revera autem Atomus, \& Inane, inquit Democritus, exiffimans omneis 2walitates fenfbilileis ex Atomorum concury iu gizwi, guatenuss fe baberit ad nos; juwi ip fayum fenfum babemus: Nattrra auttem nibil candidum effe, aut flavum, aut rubrum, ©̛c. The importance of which may be fully and plainly rehdred thus; that fince nothing in the Univerfe fands poffeffed of a Real or True Nature, i.e. doth conftandy and invariately hold the precife Quale, or Suchnefs of their particular Entity, to Eternity; Atoms (underfand thém together with their effential and infeparable Proprieties, lately (pecified.) and the Inane Space only excepted : therefore ought all other things, and more eminently Qualities, in regard they. arife not from, nor fubfift upon any indeclinable necefffty of their Principles, but depend upon various tranfient Accidents for their exiftence, to be reputed not as abfolute and entire Reallities, but fimple and occafional Apparences, whofe fpecification confiftecth ina cerrain modification of the Firft Macter, refpective to that diftinct Affection they introduce into this or that particular fenfe, when thereby actually deprehended. Not that Democritus meant, in a litteral fenfe, that their production was de. terminable ex infitututo hominum, by the opinionative lavs of mans Will; as mont of his Commentators have inconfiderately defcanted: but in a Met a $^{-}$ phorical, that as che juftice, injuffice, decency, turpitude, culpability; laudability of Human actions, are determined by the Conformity or Difformicy they bear to the Conftitutions Civil, or Laws generally admitted, fo likewvife do the whitenefs, blacknefs, fiveetnefs, bitternefs, heat or cold, of all Natural Concretions receive their diftinct effence, or determination from certain pofitions and regular ordinations of Atoms. And this eafily hands us to the

 pia, cestera omnia Lege funciri : as alfo of another in Empiricurs ( I . bypot. 30.)
 pleare to prefer the expofition of Magnenus, that Democritus by that unfrequent and gentilitious phrafe, Nemo effe Qualitales, would have the determinate nature of any Quality to confift in certa quadam lege, ©́p preportione inter agens ©́ patiens, in a certain proportion betwixt the Agent and Patients, or object and fenforium; we have no reafon to proteft iggainft his election. For we fhall not deny, but what is Hoxy to the palate of one man, is $G$ all to another; that the moft delicious and poynant cilihes of Europe, are not ouly infipid but loathfome to the ftomachs of the f.apones, who in health eat their Fiilh boyled, and in ficknefs raw, as Maffers ( $2 n$ libro de faponum mortrass ) reports; that fome have feafted upon Rhubarb, Scammony, and Efula, which moft others are ready to vomit and purge at the fight of; that Serpents are dainties to Deer, Hemlock a perfect Cordial to Goats, Hellebora choyce morfel to Quails, Spiders reftorative to Monkeys, Toads an Ancidote to Ducks, the Excrements of man pure Ambre Grife to Swine, \&c. All which moft evidently declare the neceffity of a cerain proportion or Correfpondence betwixt the object and particulitr organ of fenfe, that is to apprehend and judge it.

But fince the Notion of a Quality is no rarity to common apprehenfion, every Clown well underftanding what is fignified by Colour, Odowr, Sapour, Heat, Cold, dor. fo far as the concernment of his fenfe we are no longer to fufpend our indagation of their poffible ORIGINE, in the general.

Which, were our Atoms identical with the Homoiomerical Principles

Art. 3. The neceflary dedution of Olalitites from Naked or $\mathrm{Un}^{-}$ qualified Principles. of Anaxagoras formerly defcribed, and exploded; might be thought a task of no difficulty at all : in regard thofe Confimilarities are fuppofed actually to contain all Qualities, in the fimplicity of their nature, or beinfomuch, as Atoms, if we except their three Congenial Proprieties, Bur, Magnitude (which by a general interef, retains to the Category of Qualities) Figure, and Motion; are unanimoufly affumed to be Exguales, feu Qualitatis Expertes, abfolutely devoid of all Quality: it may feem, at firft encounter, to threaten our endeavors with infelicity, and damp Curiofity with defpair of fatisfaction. And yet this Giant at diftance, proves a mere Pysmie at hand. For, the Nakedne/s, or Unqualifiedne/s of Atoms, the point wherein the whole Difficulty appears radicated; to a clofer confideration muft declare it felf to be the bafis of our exploration, and indifpenfably neceffary to the Deduction of all fenfible Qualities from them, when difpofed into Concrete Natures. Becaufe, were any Colour, Odour, $\& c$. effentially inhærent in Atoms; that Colour, or Odour muft be no lefs intranfmutable then the fubject of its inherfion : and that Principles are Intranfmutable, is implied in the notion of their being Principles; for it is of the formal reafon of Principles, conftandly to perfever the fame in all the tranfmutations of Concretions. Otherwife, all things would inevitably, by a long fucceffion of Mutations, be reduced to clear Adnihilation. Befides, all things become fo much the more Decoloured, by how much the fmaller the parts are into which they are divided; as may be moft promptly experimented in the pulverization of painted Glafs, and pretious ftones: which is demonftration enough, that their Component Particles, in their Elementary and difcrete capacity, are perfectly deftitute of Colour. Nor is the force of this Argument reftrained only to Colour, as the moft eminent of Qualities fenfible : but extenfible alfo to all others, if examined by an obvious infiftence upon particulars.

Art. 4. The two prit mary Events of Atoms, viz. Order and $P$ offtion, aflociated to their three effential Pro. prieties, viz. Magnilude, $F_{i}$. gure, and
tion; ;utficiene to the Origination of all Qualities.

Now, having taken footing on the neceffary Incompetence of any fenfible Quality to the Material Principles of Concretions: we may fately advance to our Inveftigation of the Reafon, or Manner how Colour, and all other ©ualities may be educed from fuch naked and unqualified Principles. And firft we muft have recourfe to fome few of the moft confiderable EVENTS confignable to Atoms, as well as to their 3 infeparable Proprieties. The primary, and to this fcope, moft directly pertinent Events of
 TIO N. That Leucippus and Democritus, befides thofe two eminent events, Cúrxerois x̀ diáx euris, Concretion, and Secretion, from which the Generation and Corruption of all things are derived; have alfo attributed unto A toms; two other as requifite to all Alteration, i. e. the procreation of various Qualities, namely order and Pofition: is juftifiable upon the teftimony

Chap. I.

## 7 be Origine of Oualities.

mony of Arifetle (inlib. de ortu \& interitu) however He was pleafed (iss 8. Met aphy. cap. 2.) interpreting the Abderitane terms of Dermocritus, to adnumerate to nñus, Figure, unto them, and thereupon inferr that $^{2}$
 riyñ, "" "̈st rásils, i. e. aut Rby mo, quod eft Figur,r; aut Trope, quod eft Jitus: aut Diathege, guo.d eft ordo: \& (in Metaphyf. I . cap.4.) to exemplifie this difference in Letters of the Alphabet; faying that A and N differ in Figure; $\mathrm{A} N$, and N A , in order, and Z N , in fituation. Which is the fame with what Empiricus (2.adverl.phyf.) reports to have been delivered by Epicurus. True it is, his Difciple Lucretius, exceeded him in the number of Events affignable to Atoms, in order to the emergency of all fenfible Qualities from them; for he compofing this Diftich

> Intervall,, Vi.e, Connexus, Pondera, Plage, Concurfus, Motus, Ordo, Pofitura, Figure,'

confounds both Events and Conjuncts together : wherein He feems to have had more regard to the fmoothnefs of his Verfes, then the Methodical traction of his Subject. For, Motion, Concurfe, and Percuffion are the natural Confequents of Gravity : and Diftanceand Connexion are included in Pofition; and Wayes or Regions belong to Order, as may be exemplified in the former Letters, which refpective to their remote or Vicine Pofition, and their Change from the right to the left hand, exhibite to the fenfe various faces or apparences.

That thofe two Conjuncts, Magnitwde and Motion, are neceffarily to be affociated to Order and Pofition; is evident from hence, that if it be enquired, why there is in Light fo great a fubtility of parts, as that in an inftant it penetrates the thickeft Glafs; but fo litele in Water, as that it is terminated in the fuperfice thereof: what more verifimilous reãon can bealledged to explain the Caufe of that difference in two fluid bodies, then this, that the Component Particles of Light are more minute, or have leif of Magnitude, then thofe of Water? And if it be enquired, why the Aer, when agitated by the wind, or a fan, appears Colder, then when quiet: what folution can be more fatisfactory, then this, that by reafon of its motion it doth more deeply penetrate the pores of the skin, and fo more vigoroully affect the fenfe? However, if we confine our affumption only to thefe three Heads, Figure, Order, and Pofition; we fhall yet be able, without much difficulty, to make it out, how from them, either fingle, or diverly commixt, an infinite Multiplicity of Qualities may be created; as may be moft appofitely explained by the Analogy which Letters hold to Atoms. For as Letters are the Elements of Writing, and from themarife by gradation, Syllables, Words, Sentences, Orations, Books : To proportionately are Atoms the Elements of Things, and from them arife by gradation, moft exile Moleculx, or the Seminaries of Concretions, then greater and greatei.Maffes fucceflively, until we arrive at the higheft round in the fcale
of Magnitude. -. But sve are reftrained to an infiftence only upon our 3 Heads affumed. As Letters of divers Figures, $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{O}$, when prefented to the eye, carry 3 different (pecies, or alpects; and when pronounced, affect the Ear with as many diftinet founds: exactly fo do Atoms, refpectively to the va-

Art. 50 The neceffity of affuming the Magnitude and Motion of Atoms, together with their Order and Situation, as to their prod 4 Aion of Qualities, evitited by a double inftance.

Art. 6.
The Figure, Order and Pop. tion f P Pares int Concretions, alone fufficient to the Cauffation of an indefinite variety of Qualities, from the
riety of their Figures, and determinate Contexture into this or that fpecies, occurring to the Organs of Sight, Hearing, Smelling, Tarting, Touching, make divers impreffions thereupon, or prefent themfelves in divers Apparences, or (what is tantamount) make divers Qualities. (2) As one and the fame Letter diverfly pofited, is divers to the Sight, and Hearing, as may be inftanced in $\mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{y}, \lambda, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}:$ fo likewife doth one and the fame Atom, according to its various pofitions, or faces, produce various affections in the Organs of Senfe. For inftance, if the Atome affumed be Pyramidal : when the Cone is obverted to the fenfory Organ, it muft make a different impreffion upon it, from that which the Bafe, when obverted and applyed, will caufe. (3) As the fame two three or more Letters, according to their mutation of Site, or Anteceffion and Confequation, impart divers words to the eye, divers founds to the ear, and divers things to the mind; as ET, TE, IS, SI, SUM, MUS, ROMA, AMOR, MARO, RAMO, ORAM, MORA, ARMO, \&c. fo alfo may two three, or more Atoms, according to their various pofitions and tranfpofitions, affect the fenfe with various Apparences, or Qualities. (4) And as Letters, whofe variety of Figures exceeds not thofe of the Alphabet, are fufficient only by the variety of order, to compofe fo great diverfity of words, as are contained in this, or all the Books in the World : fo likewife, if there were but 24 diverfe Figures competent to Atoms, they alone by variety of Order, or tranfpofition, would fuffice to the conftitution of as incomprehenfible a diverfity of Qualities. But, when the diverfity of their Figures is incomparably greater: how infinitely more incomprehenfible muft that variety of Qualities be, which the poffible changes of their Order may produce?

Art. 7. The fame Ex emplified in rite arife of White Froth, on the Waves of the Sea.

Art. 8.
The Nativisy of Colours in General, explained by reveral obvious Examples.

Thus in the Water of the Sea, when agitated into a white froth, no other mutation is made, fave only the fituation and differing contexture of the parts thereof difpofed by the included aer into many fmall bubbles; from which the incident rayes of Light (which otherwife would not have been
reflected in reflected in united ; and direct freams to the eye, and fo creat a whitenefs diately upon the diffot paler, or'weaker light, which muft diflappear immewater to their natural conftitution of fluidity.
And fince we are fallen upon that eminent Quality, Colour ; we fhall illuftrate the obfcure nativity thereof, in the general, by a moft pregnant example. Immerge into a Glass Vial of clean fountain Water, fet upon warm eimbers, half an ounce (more or lefs, according the quantity of Water.) of the a few drops of the and after a mall interval of time, inftill into the infufion perceive the whole of Tartar made per Deliquium, which done, you fhall the three ingredients, in their fimple Red. Now, feeing that no one of cies of Colour, in the remoteft degree of dided fate, do retain to that fpewe derive this emergent Rednefs? Doublef from what original can Water doth fo penetrate, by a kind of Difcuffion feparate, and educe the fimaller particles of that fubstance, whereof the leaves of Senna are compofed; as that the particles of the oyl of Tartar fubtily permeating the infufion, totally after the Contexture thereof, and fo commove and convert its minute diffolved particles, as that the rayes of Light from without falling upon fices, and prafent themfelves to the eye in the apparence of that particular Colour. And to confirm you herein; you need only inftead of oyl of Tartar, infufe the like proportion of oyl of Vitriol into the fame Tincture of Senna: for, thereupon no fuch rednefs at all will arife to the compofition. Which can be folved by no better a reafon than this; that the oyl of Vitriol wants that virtue of commoving and converting the educed particles of the Senna into fuch pofitions and order, as are determinately requifite to the incidence, refraction, and reflection of the rayes of Light to the eye, neceflary to the creation of that Colour. On the Cőntrary, inftead of Senna, infure Rofe leaves in the Water, and fuperaffure thereto a few drops of the Spirit of Vitriol: and then the infufion flhall inftantly acquire a purple tincture, or deep fcarlet; when from the like or greater quantity of oyl of Tartar inftilled, no fuch event fhall enfue. Both which Experiments collated are Demonftration fufficient, that a Red may be produced from fimples abfolutely deftitute of that glofs, only by a determinate Commixture, and pofition of their infenfible particles: no otherwife then as the fame Feathers in the neck of a Dove, or train of a Peacock; upon a various pofition of their parts boch among themfelves, and toward the incident Light, prefent various Colours to the eye; or as a peice of Changeable Taffaty, according as it is extended, or plicated, appears of two different dyes. The fame may alfo be conceived of the Carule Tincture caufed in White Wine by Lignum Nephriticum infufed when the Decoction thereof fhall remain turbid and fubnigricant.

Moreover, left we leave you deftitute of Examples in the other 4 orders of Qualities, refpondent to the 4 remaining fenfes, to illuftrate the fufficiency of Figure, Crder and Situation, to their production; be pleafed to oblerve.

Firft, that Lead calcined with the Jpirit of the moft eager Vinegre, fo foon as it hath imbibed the moyfure of the ambient ter; or be irrigated with a feiw drops of Water, will inftantly conceive fo intenfe a heat, as to burn his finger that flall touch it. Now, fince both the Calcined Lead and Water are actually Cold, and no third Nature is admixt, and nothing. more can be faid to be in them when commixt, that was in them during their ftate of feparation; whence can we deduce that intenfe Heat, that fo powerfully affecteth, indeed, mifaffecteth the fenfe of Touching? Quaftionlefs, only from this our triple foumtain, i.e. from hence, that upon the acceffion of humidity, the acute or pointed particle of the Ypirit of Vinegre, (whereby the fixed falt of the Lead was, by potential Calcination, diffolved; and the Sulphur liquated) change their order and fituation, and after various convolutions, or the motions of Fermentations, obvert their points unto, and penerrate the skin, and fo caure a dolorous Compunction, or difcover themfelves to the Organ of Touching in that fpecies of Quality, which men call Heat. The reafon of this Phænomenon is clearly the fame with that of a heap of Needles; which when confured in oblique, tranfiverfe, \&cc. irregular pofitions, on every fide prick the hand
that grafpech them : but if difpofed into uniform order, like ficks in a Fagot, they may be laterally handled without any alperity or puncture : or that of the Briftles of an Urchine, whicli when depreffed, or ported, may be ftroked from head to tayle, without offence to the hand; but when erected or advanced, become intractable.

By the fame reafon alfo may we comprehend, why Aqua Fortis, whofe Ingredients in their fimple natures are all gentle and innoxious, is fo fiery and almoft invincible a poyfon to all that take it : why the Spirit of Vitriol, frelhly extracted, kindles into a fire, if confufed with the Salt of Tartar: why the Filings of Steel when irrigated with Spirit of salt, fuffer an xftuation, ebullition, and diffolution into a kind of Gelly, or Pafte : with all other matations fenfible, obferved by Apothecaries and Chymifts, in their Compofitions of Diffimilar natures, from which fome third or neutral Quality doth refult.

Art. 10. The Generati. on of all kinds of fenfible Qualities in one and the Same Conctetion, from the variegated pofitions of its particles: evidenced in the Example of a putrid Apple.

Secondly, that in the parts of an Apple, whofe one half is rotten, the other found, what ftrange difparity there is in the points of $\mathrm{Co}_{3}$ lour, Odour, Sapour, Softnefs, \&c. Qualities. The found half is fiveet in tafte, freh and fragrant in finell, white in Colour, and hard to the touch : the Corrupt, bitter, earchy or cadaverous, duskifh, or inclinining to black, and foft. Now to what Caufe can we adfrribe this manifeft diffimilitude, but only this: that the Particles of the Putrid half, by occafion either of.Contufion, or C.orrofion, as the Procatarttick Caufe, have fuffered a change of pofition among themfelves, and admitted almoft a Contrary Contexture, fo as to" exhibite themfelves to the feveral Organs of Senfe in the fpecies of Qualities alnoft contrary to thofe refulting from the found half; which upon a farther incroachment of putrefaction, muft alfo be deturbed from their natural Order', and Situations in like manner, and confequently put on the fame Apparences, or Qualities. For, can it be admitted, that the found moity, when it fhall have undergone Corruption, doth confift of other Particles then before? if it be anfwered, that fome particles thereof are exhaled, and others of the aer fucceeded into their rooms; ouraffertion: will be rather ratified, then impugned : becaufe it prefumes, that from the egreffion of fome particles, the fubingreffion of others of aer, and the total tranfpofition of the remaining, Corruption is introduced thereupon; and thereby that general change of Qualities, mentioned.

Art.II. The aflenting fuffrage of Ерісития.

There Inflances, and the infufficiency of any other Dihoties, to the rational explanation of them, with due attention and impartiality perpended; we cannot but highly, applaud the perfpicacity of Epicurus, who
 that the Motion of Mutation was a Species of Local Tranfition: and


 tatur, omnino muratur Locali of trangitivo mote corum corporam, ratione intelligibilium, que in ipfum concreverint. Which Empiricus (2, adivers.

## Chap. I. Tbe Origine of Qualities.

adverf. Pbyf.) defcanting upon, faith thus; Exempli cauf $\hat{a}$, ut ex dulci fut aliquid ansarum, aut ex albo nigrum; oportet moleculas, feris Corpufcula que itfum conflitutant, tranfponi, \& alium, vice alterius, ordinem fufcipcre: Hoc autem non contzgerit, nije ip $\int$ a molecule, motione trangitus, moveantur. Et rur fus, ut ex molli fiat quid Durum, © ex duro molle; oportet eas, que illud conffituunt, particulas fecundums locuns moveri: quippe earum extenfone mollitur, coitione vero of condenfatione durefcit, \&́c. All which is moft adxquately exemplified in a rotten Apple.

And this, we conceive, may fuffice in the General for our Enquiury into the poffible Origine of fenfible Qualities.

# CHAP. II. <br> That Species Vijbble <br> are <br> SUBSTANTIAL EMANATIONS.? 

## Sect.I.

Art. 1. The vifible Images of objects, fubfantial: and either corporeal Ema. nations from the fuperficial parts of Concretions; or Light it felf, difpofed into contextures, confimilar to the figure of the object.

Art. 2.
The pofition of their being Effuvises, derived from Epi. chtus ; and paferred to the comition d Efrine of the Schools of the intrate itio Tity of Species Vifible.


Enfus non fufcipere SUBST ANTIAS; though the conftant affertion of $A$ rifotle, and admitted into his Definition of Senfe, äañis ${ }^{\circ}$ Gat ro סexTlxóv Tip ǎqänt ú $\lambda n \mathrm{n}$, Senfus eft id, quod eft capax Senfibilium (pecierum fine thateria; (lib. 2. de Anima, cap.ultim.) and fwallowed as an Axiome by moft of his Commentators: is yet fo far from being indifputable, that an intent examination of it by reafon may not only fufpect, but convict it of manifent $a b$ furdity. Witnefs only one, and the nobleft of Senfes, the S IGHT: which difcerns the exterior Forms of Objects, by the reception either of certain Sub. ftantial, or Corporeal Emanations, by the follicitation of Light incident upon, and reflected from them, as it were Direpted from their fuperficial parts, and trajected through a diaphanous Medium, in a direct line to the eye: or, of Light it Jelf, proceeding in Atreight lines from Lucid bodies, or in reflex fromopace, in fuch contextures, as exactly refpond in order and pofition of parts, to the fuperficial Figure of the object, obverted to the eye.

For the FIRST of thefe Pofitions, Epicur us hath left us fo rational a Ground, that deferves, befides our admiration of His Perficicity, if not our plenary Adhwerence, yet at leaft our calm Allowance of its Verifimility, and due prelation to that jejune and frothy Doctrine of the Schools; that Species $\begin{aligned} \text { jibible are Forms without Matter, and immaterial not only in their }\end{aligned}$ admiffion into the Retira Tunica, or proper and immediate Organ of fight; but even in their Trajections through the Medium interjacent betwixt the object
object and the eyc. Which Argument, fince too weighty, to be entrufted to the fupport of a Gratis, or fimple Affirmation; we fhall endeavour to prop up with more then one folid Reafon.

And this that we may, with method requifite to perfpicuity, effect: we are to begin at the faithful recital of Epicurus Text, and then proceed to the Explanation, and Examination of it.

Art. 3. Ерісатия Texc concerning the (ame.

Reputandum eft, effe in mundo quafdam Effigies, ad vifionemi infervienteis, que corporibus folidis delineatione confimiles, Juperant longè fua tenuitate quicquid eft rerum conpicabilitum. Neq; enim formari repugnat etiam in medio aere circumfulove Jpatio, bujus modi quafdam Contexturas: uti neque repugaat, effe quafdam in ipjos rebus, \& maximè in Atomis, difpofitiones, ad oper andıs $\mathbf{e j u f}$ modi pecira, que funt quafíquedams meric inanefq̌; Cavitates, $\mathcal{\&}$ fuperficiales, Soliditatif wie expertes tenuitates. Neq; praterea repugnat, fieri ex Corporilus extimis Effluxiones qua/dam Atomorum continenter à volantium in qu:bus idem pofitus, idereq; ordo, qui fuerit in Solidis, fuperficiebufvè ipforum, lervetur: ut tales proinde Effluxiones fint quafi Forme, five Effigies, ơ Imasines Corporum, iquibus dimanant. Tales autem Forma five Effigies \& Imazines funt, quas moriore eft nobis, ut Idola, feuf fimulachra appellitemus. Exlib.10. Diogen. Laertï. \& verfione Gaffendi.

The importance of which, and the remainder of his judgment, concerning the fame theorem, may be thus concifely rendred. Without repugnancy to reafon, it may be conceived (I) That in the Univerfity of Nature are certain moft tenuious Concretions, or fubtle Contextures, holding an exquifite analogy to folid bodies. (2) That by thefe, occurring to the fenfe, and thence to the Mind, all Vifion, and Intellection is made : for they are the
 Imagines, Spertra, Simulachra, Effigies, and mort frequently Species Intentionales. (3) That among all the fundry poffible wayes of the generation of thefe Species Vifible, the two primary and moft confiderable are (I) by their Direption from the fuperficial parts of Compound bodies, (2) by their Spontaneous Emanation, and Concretion in the aer; and therefore thofe
 (4) That thofe Images, which are direpted from the extreams of folid bodies, do conferve in their feparated ftate the fame order and pofition of parts, that they had during their united. (5) That the ineffable or infuperable Pernicity, whereby thefe Images are transferred through a free fpace, depends upon both the Pernicity of the Motion of Atoms, and their Tenaity or Exility. For, the motion of Atoms, while continued through the Inane Space, and impeded by no retundent, is fuppofed to be inexcogitably fivift : nor are we to admit, that when an Atom is repercuffed by another directly arietating againft it, and afterward varioully bandied up and down by the recufion of others encountring it; thefe partial or retufe motions are lefs fivift, i.e. are performed in a fpace of time more affignable or diftinguifhable by thought, then if they were extended into one direct, fimple, or uninterrupted motion. And for the fecond Fundament, the extreme Tenuity of A . toms : infomuch as thefe Images are prafumed to be no more but certain fuperficial Contextures of Atoms: it cannot feem inconfequent, that their Pernicity can know no remora. And thus much of Epicurus $\mathcal{Y}_{\text {ext }}$; and the competent Expofition thereof.

Art. 5.
The Conitents thereof reduced to + Fieals

It fucceeds that we examine the relation it bears to Probabi'ity; refering the confideration of his /pontaneous and /yfatical Images, to the Laft Section: and reducing our thoughts concerning the Direpted and Apoftatical (which are, indeed, the proper fubject of our preent difquifition) to four capital points, viz. (1) their $A n f$ int, or Exiftence; (2) their Quid fint, or proper Nature; (3) their Unde, or Production; (4) their Celerity of Tranfmiffion.
Art. 6. The Exiffence of thages vifble, cernfied by autoplical Demonftration.

Of the FIRST, namely the EXISTENCE of Species Vifible; this is fufficiently certified by the obvious experience of Looking-glaffes, Water, and all ocher Catoptrick or Speculary bodies: which autoptically demonftrate the Emiffion of Images from things objected. For, if the object be removed, or eclipfed by the interpofition of any opace body, fufficiently denfe and crafs to terminate them, the Images thereof immediately difappear; if the object be moved, inverted, expanfed, contracted, the Image likewife is inftantly moved, inverted, expanfed, contracted; in all poftures conforming to, and fo undeniably proclaiming its neceffary dependence upon its Antitype. Thus alfo, when in Summer we flade our felves from the intenfe fervor of the Sun, in green Arbours, or under Trees; we cannot but obferve all our cloaths tincted with a thin Verdure, or fhaḍy Green : and this from no other Caufe, but that the Images or Species of the Leaves, being as it were ftript off by the incident light, and diffufed into the vicine Aer, are terminated upon us, and fo difcolour our veftiments. Not, as Magirus would folve it, qualitate, i.e. immateriali forma, qua aer, corpus dıa qavès, à folijs arborum viridibus imbuitur, tingitur, pingitur, (Comment.in Phylologiam Peripat. lib.6.cap.6.num.27.) And thus are the bodies of men fitting, or walking in a large room, infected with the Colours of the Curtains or Hangings, when the Sun Atrikes upon them : Of which Lucretius thus,

> Namjacier certè, at $\dot{g}_{3}$ emergere multa videmas, Non Solum exalto, penitug que, ut diximus ante; Verum de fummis ipfum quoq; Sepe Colorem. Et vulgo faciunt id lutea, ruflaq; vela, Et ferrusinea, cum magnis intenta theatris Per malos volgata, trabeifq; frementia fuutunt. Namq; ibi conceffum caveai fubter, \&o omnem Scendi 乃peciempatrum, matrumqwe, Deorumque, Inficiunt, coguntq; fuo fluitarc Colore. Ergo lintea de fummo cums Corpore fucum Mittunt, Effigias quog; debent mittere tenucis Res queque, ex fummo quoniam jaculantur utraq; ć̛c. Lib.4.

Upon which Reafon alfo the admirable Kircher hinted his paraftatical Experiment, of Gloffing the infide of a Chamber, and all things as well Furniture as Perfons therein contained, with a pleafant difguife of grais Green, Azure, Crimfon, or any other light Colour (for Black cannot confift in any Liquor, without fo much denfity, as muft terminate the Light: ) only by difpofing a capacious Vial of Glars, filled with the Tincture of Verdegreafe, Lignum Nephriticum, or Vermilion, \&c. in fome aperture of the Window refpecting the incident beams of the Sun. (Art. Magn. Lucis, \& \& Umbre, lib. io. part.2. Magie, paraftatica Experimento 5.)

Concerning

Concerning the SECOND, viz the NATURE of Images Art. 7. Vifible; we obferve Firft, that Epicurus feems only to have revived and Epicurus opiimproved the notion of Plato, and Empedocle; who pofitively declared the fenfible Forms, or Vifible fpecies of things, to be 'A tupedix, Effluxiones quedam fubfantiaies: in that He denominates them Aporrbea, and defines them to be moft thin and only fuperficial Contextures of Atoms efflused from the fuperficial parts of Bodies, and jusifluore, by a connion, of the fubfantiality of Imades Vifible, combinant to the judsment tinued ftream emaning from them into all the circumfufed face.

Secondly, that the Common Opinion, moft pertinacioully patronized by Alexander the Peripatetick, and Scaliger, with the numerous herd of Ariffotelcans (whom it is as eafie to convert, as nominate) is, that vifible Ipecies are mera Accidentia, fimple pure Accidents, that neither poffefs, nor carry with them any thing of Matter, or Subftance, and yet being tranfmitted through a diaphanous Medium from folid objects, they affect the organ of Sight, are reflected from polite and feeculary bodies, \&cc. Here we are arrefted with wonder, either how thefe great Manters of Learning could derive this wild conceit from their Oracle, Aristotle; when introth all they could ground upon his Authority of this kind, is defumable only from thefe words of his, Colorem rei vifibilis movere per $\int$ picuum actu, quod deinceps oculum moveat: or how they could judge it confentaneous to reafon, that thofe Affections fhould be atrributed to meer Accidents, which are manifertly Competent only to meer Substances. For, to be moved or to be the fubject of Local Motion, to be impinged againft, and reflected from, or permeate a body; to be dilated, contracted, inverted, \&cc. cannot confift, nor indeed by a fober man be conceived, without abfolute fubstantiality. Some there are, we confers, who tell us, that they kindled this Conceit from fundry fcattered fparks blended both in his general Difcourfes of Motion and Alteration, and particular Enquiries into the nature of Dreams, and Sounds, in his Probleins : and thefe, thereupon, moft confidently ftate the whole matter; thus. That the Vifible Object doth firft Generate a Confimilar Species in the parts of the aer next adjacent; that this Embryon fpecies doth inftantly Generate a fecond in the parts of the aer next to it, that generates a third, that third a fourth, and fo they generate or fpaivn each other fucceifively in all points of the Medium, untill the laft fpecies produced in the aer contiguous to the Horny membrane of the eye, doth therein produce another; which prefents to the Optick Nerve the exact delineations and pourtraiture of the Protoplaft, or Object. To Cure the Schools of this Delirium,our advice is, that they firt purge off that freculent humor of Pædantifin, and implicite adhærence to Authority; and then with clean ftomachs take this effectual Alterative:

If the vijale Species of Objects be, as they define; meer Accidents, i. e. immaterial: we Demand (r) What doth Creat them? Not the object; fince that hath neither power, nor art, nor inftruments, to pourtray its own Counterfeit on the table of the contiguous aer. (2) Whit doth Conferve and Stsport them when pourtray'd? Not the Aer, fince that is varioully agitated, and difpelled by the wind, and commored every way by Light pervading it: and yet the Species of objects are alwayes tranfinitted in a direct line to the eye. (3) What can Tran/port them? Neither Aer, nor Light: fince it is of the formal reafon of an Accident, not to be removed or traninited but in the arms of it Subject. Nor can the fame numerical

Art. 8. The Arifituele. ans Thefis, hat 1 mages opticat are meer Accidents, secired: and

Art. 9. Convited of Sundry Imporfibilitites, Incon. fifiences, and Absarditie s.
fpecies be extended through the whole fpace of the Medium; becaule it is repugnant to their fuppofition: and themfelves affirm the tranfmigration of an Accident from one fubject to another, impoffible. (4) Is the fpecies changed and multiplied by Propagation? That's if not an impoffibility abfolute, yet a Difficulty inexplicable; firt becaufe no man ever hath, nor can explain the eModus Propagationis, the manner of their Propagation: Secondly, fince.the parts of fpace intermediate betwixt the Object and the Eye, though butat a finall diftance removed, are innumerable; and a frefh propagation muft be fucceffively in each of thofe parts; and the fpace of Time required to each fingle propagation is a moment ; certainly it muft be long before the propagation could attain to fo fmall a part of fpace, as is $x$ qual to one Digit. If fo; how many hours would run by, after the Suns Emergency out ofan Eclipfe, before the light of it would arrive at our eye? fince, as the moments, or points of fpace betwixt it and us are more then innumerable; fo likewife muft the moments, or points of Time, while a frefh fpecies is generated in each point of that vaft fpace, be more then innumerable : and yet we have the Demonftration of the moft Scientifick of our fenfes, that the light of the Sun is darted through that immenfe face, in one fingle moment. (5) What is the material of thefe fpecies, or Whether is the Adam or Firft fpecies educed out of Nothing? That's manifeftly abfurd; becaufe above the power of Nature: and to recur to any other power fuperior to Hers, is downright madnefs. (6) Or, ex chateric Potentia, our of fome fecret Energie of the matter of the Medium? That's Unconceivable ; for we dare the whole world to define, what kind of Power that is, fuppofed inhærent in the Medium (Aer, Water, Glafs, or any other ro siapavis) that can be actuated fo expeditely into the production of infinite feveral feecies, in amoment. From one and the fame part of Aer, in one and the fame moment, how can be educed the different fpecies not only of the Sun and a Stone, of a Man and a Stock, of a Head and a Foot; but even of two abfolute Contraries, Snow and Pich ? (7) If Vifible Species contain nothing of Matter; how can they with fuch infuperable Velocity be projected on a fpeculary body, and recoyl back from it to fo great a diftance, as is commonly obferved, even in the Repercuffion, or rather Reflection of a Species froma Concave Glafs: How confift of Various Parts, and conferve the order and pofition of them invariate, and the Colours of each clearly inconfufed, through the interval ofthe Medium? How bereally ampliated, contracted, deflected, inverted, \&c. All which are properly and folely Congruent to Bodies or Entities confifting of Matter? (8) But all thefe and many more as manifeft Incongruities and open Abfurdities may be prevented by the affumption of the more durable and fatisfactory Hypothefis of Epicurus: for conceding the Vifible Species of Objects to be Substantial Effluxes, it can be no difficulty to folve their Trajection, Impaction, Refraction, Reflexion, Contraction, Diduction, Inverfion, sxc.

Art. 10.
The grand $O b$ jection of Alexander, that a continual Ef. Hux of fubfance muft minorate the Quantity of the moft colid Vuble.

Nor is it oppugnable by the objection of any Diffoulty more confiderable, then that fo infultingly urged by Alexander the Periparetick: quanam ratione fieri poffit, wtex tot, tantilque effuentibus particulis, unumquodque ad pectabilium non celeriter abfismatur? How can it confift with reilfon, fince the Vifible Species are præfumed to be fubftantial Effluviaes, that any the moft folid and large adfpectable body fhould not in a fhort time be minorated, nay wholly exhaufted by the continual deperdition of fo
(hap. II. Of Species Vifule.
many particles! (in Comment. inlib. de senfu for senfli, cap. j. © Epiff. s6. ad Diofcor.)

Which yet is not fo ponderous, as not to be counterpoyfed by thefe
 Etabilibus adveraientia ex oppofito corpufiula alia; that the decay is praxvented by the appofition and accretion of other minute particles fucceeding into the rooms of the effluxed; fo that liow much of fubftarice decedes from the fuperficial paits of one body towards others, as inuch accedes to it by the advent of the like Emanations from others, and thereupon enfues a plenary Compenfation. Nor can it diminifh one grain of the weight of this folution, to tejoyn; that the Figures of adfpectables muft thien be changed: becaufe the fubftantial Effluxes which Accede, cainnot be in point of Figure, Order, and Pofition of parts exactly confunilar to thofe which Recede. For, though thete be a diffimilitude in Figure, betwixt thé Deceding and Acceding particles; yet, in fo great a tenuity of particles, ats twe fuppofe in our fubftantial fpecies, that can produce no inutition of trigure in the object deprehenfible by the fenfe: for many things remain invariate to the eye, which are yet very much changed as to Figure, in the judginent of the underfanding; as may mofteminently be exemplified in the Change that every Age infenfibly ftealeth upon the face of man, (2) $\lambda \in \pi$ Topipg effe omnem modum excedention, the Tenuity of thefe Emanant Images is Extreme; and therefore the uninterrupted Emiffion of them, even for many hundreds of years, can introduce no fenfible either mutation of Figure; or minoration of Quantity in the fuperficies of the Emittent. Which Averrhoes (at leaft the Author of that Book, Destructionis Destructionuin, fathered upon him) had refpect unto, when He faid; Neminem agniturum decrementum in Solo factum, tametf ab eo circum deperierit quantitás podmi, awt ettiam major.

To approach fome degrees nearer in our Comprehenfion to the almofr Incomprehenfible TENLITTY of thefe fubftantial Emanations, that effence the Vifible Images of Objects; Lēt us Firft, conceive them, with Lucrétius, to be, 2 Luafi Membrana fummo de Corpore rerim Deriepte, Cërtain Excortications, or a kind of moft thin Films, by the fubtle fingers of Light, Itript off from the fuperficial Extremes of Bodies; for Alexander himfelf
 uvire . becaufe as the fough or fpoil of a Snake, is but a thin integiment blancht off the new skin, and yet reprefenting the various Spots, Scales, Magnitude, Figure Src. thereof: fo likewife do the Vifible Species, being meer Decortications, or Sloughs blancht off from Bodies, carry. an exatt refemblance of all Lineaments and Colours in the Exteriours thereof.

Secondly, affume the fimalleft of things Vifible, the Foot of an Handworm, for the Object. For conceding the fpecies Emanant from it, which is deprehenfible by a Microfcope, to confift only of thofe Atoms, which
 forrdiy, conftitute the fuperficies: and then we cannot deny, that this fpe- Hot of a cies mult be by many Myriads of Myriads of Atoms thinner then the Foot, or Object it felf.

## Art. 14

 By Exempuity. ing in the nulmerous round fitrns of Wax, fuccelfively derepred from a Wax tapour by tle Hame thereof, in the pace of an hour: andArt. 15. In the innumerable Films of Oyl, likewife fuccerIively delibrared, by the flame of an Ellychnium, or March, perpendicularly Hoaring in a veffel of equal capacity with Solomons Brazen Sea, in the face of $4^{8}$ hours.

Art. 16. By the Ana. lngy beruixt an Cdorable \& Vifible Species.

Thirdly, Exemplifie the ineffable Tenuity of there Excortications, in thofe round Films of Wax that are fucceffively lickt off by the Flame of a Tapour accended. For, having fuppofed, that one inch of a Wax Can. die may fuffice to maintain its flame, for the fpace of an hour : let us thus reafon. Since the Diminution of that inch, perpendicularly erected, is unceffant, i. e. that there is no diftinguifhable moment of time, wherein there is not a diftinct round of Wax taken off the upper part thereof, by the depredarory activity of che flame : how many muft the Round Films of Wax be, that are fucceffively direpted! Certainly, as many as there are diiftinguifhable points, or parts in the 24 part of the Æquator, or ambite of the Primum Mobile, fucceffively interjacent toward the Meridian. And if, in fread of that valt Heaven, the Primum Mobile, you think it more convenient to affume the Terreftrial Globe (whofe Magnitude, in comparifon of the other, amounts not above a point ) obferve what may be thence inferred. Since, according to the fupputation of Snellius and $G$ afjendus, the ambite of the Earch is commenfurable by 26255 Italian miles; and the 24 part thereof makes 1094 miles, and fo 1094000 paces, and fo 5470000 feet, each whereof is again fubdivifible into 1000 fenfible parts: it follows, that as the product, or whole number of thefe parts in the 24 part of the Circumference of the Globe Terreftrial arifech to 5470000000 ; fo likewife muft the diftinct membranules of Wax fucceffive derepred from the inch of Candle in the fpace of an hour fulfil the fame high number of 5470000000 . And if fo, pray how incomprehenfible thin muft each of them be?

If this Example feem too grofs to adumbrate the extreme Tenuity of our fpecies; be pleared to exchange the Wax Tapour of an inch diameter, for Solomons Brafen Sea, filled with oyl, and an inch of Cotten Weeck perpendiculharly immerfed, and at the upper extreme accenfed, in the middle thereof. For, infomuch as the Decrement of the oyl in altitude muft be unceffant, as is the exhaunting activity of the flame, there being no inftant of time, wherein its diminution is interrupted; and that, fhould the flame conftantly adhare to the Weeck for 48 hours, without extinction, the fpace of the oyls defcent from the margin of the veffel could not in craffitude equal that of a piece of Lawn, or a :Spiders Web: certainly the number of Rounds of oyl fucceffively delibrated by the flame, in that conftitute time, muft require a far greacer number of Cyphers to its Calculation. Which would you definitely know; 'tis but computing the diftinguifhable points of time in 48 hours, during which the flame is fuppofed to live, and you have your defire; and we ours, as to the conjectural apprehenfion of the Tenuity of each of them.
Laftly, let us arguie à fimili, and guefs at the Tenuity of a Vifible, from that of an odorable Species. How many Aromaticks are there, that for many years together, emit fragrant exhalacions, that replenilh a confiderable fpace of the ambient aer; and gratefully affect the noftrils of all perfons, within the orb of projection: and yet canno, upon the exacteft ftatick ex-periment, or trutination of che Scate, be found to have amitted one grain of Quantity? Now if we confider, how Crafs the Emanation of an Aromatick, or an odorous Anathymiafis, is comparatively to the fubftance of a Vi fible Species (for no meaner a Philofopher then $G$ affendus, whofe name founds all the Liberall Sciences, hath conceived; that the Vififible Images effluxing from an Apple in a whole year, if all caft inso one bulk, would nor exceed
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exceed that of the odorous vapour exhaled from it in one moment) we fliall not gannfiy, but a folid Body may conftantly maintain an Emanation of its Images Vifible, for many hundreds of years, from its fuperficial parts,without any fenfible abatement of Quantity, or variation of Figure. To which we fhall fuperadd only this; that fhould we allow thefe fubtantial Efflikes, that are fuppofed to conftitute the Vifible Species, to amount in many hundred years, to a mafs deprehenfible by fenfe, in care the collection of them all into one were poffible : yet would it be fo fmall, as to elude the exacteft obfervation of man; for, who that hath perchance weighed a piece of Marble, or Gold, and fet down the praciife gravity thereof in his life time, can obtain a parrol from the grave and return to complete his experiment; after the defux of fo many Ages, as are required to fulfill the fenfibility of its minoration?

Concerning the THIRD, wiz the PRODUCTION of Species Vifible; Epicurrus Text may be fully illuftrated by this Expofition. That a folid Body, fo long as environed with a rare or permeable fpace, may be conceived without Alogie, freely to emit its Images: becaure it hath Atoms ready in the fuperfice, that being actuated by their coeffential motive Faculty, unceffintly attempt their Emancipation, or Abduction; and thofe fo exile, that the Ambient cannot impede their Emanation. (2) That in regard they conferve the Delineations both of the Depreffed and Eminent parts in the fuperfice of the Antitype, or Object, after their Efflux therefrom: therefore do the Images deceding from it become Configurate of Atoms cohrerently exhaling in the fame Order and Pofition that they held among themfelves, during their Contiguity, or Adhaffion. Which alfor faisfies for the prafumed meer fuperficilitity, i. e. Improfundity of the fpecies : becaule it is derided only from the Extremities of the Object. (3) That, forafmuch as no Canfe can be alledged, why the particles of the Image flhould, in their progrefs through a pervious medium to confiderable diftance, be deturbed or diffompofed from that Contexture, or order and fituation, which they obrained from the Cortex or outward Film of their folid original: therefore do they invariately hold the fame Configuration, untill their arival at the eye. Which to familiarize, we are to reflect upon a pofition or two formerly conceded, viz. that Atoms are, by the impulfe of their ingenite Motion, variounly agitated even in Concretions moft compact; and yet cannot without difficulty expede themfelves from the Interior or Cencral parts, becaufe of their mutual Revinction, or Complication : but for thofe in the Exterior or fuperficial parts, they may, upon the leaft evolution difingage chemfelves, having no A toms without to deprefs, but many within to exprefs or impel them. (4) That, fince the Motion of all Atoms, when at liberty to purfue the Tendency of their Motive Faculty, is Aquivecox: hence is it, that thofe Atoms which exhale from the Cavities or Depreft parts of the fuperficies of any Concretion, and thofe which ex= hale from the Prominencies, or Eminent Parts, are transferred together in that order, that they touch not, nor crowd each ocher, but obferve the fame diftance and decorum, that they had in their Contiguity to, and immediate feparation from the fuperficies. So that the Antecedent Atoms cannot be overtaken, or prevented by the Confequent: nor thofe farther ourftrip thefe, then at the firt fart. (5) That the Emanation of Vifible Images is Continent, i. e. that one fucceeds on the heels of another, jugr quodam Fluo$r e$, in a continued ftream more fiviftly thea that thought can diftinguifh any interme-

Art. 7. The Manner and Reafon of the Production of vifible 1 ma ges; according to the hypothefis of Efiситеs.
intermediate diftance. So that, as in the Exfilition of Water from "the Cock of a Ciftern perpecually fupplied by a Fountain, the parts thereof fo clofely fuccede each other, as to make one Continued ftream, without any interruption obfervable: are we to conceive the Efflux of Images to be fo Continent, that the Confequent prefs upon the neck of the Antecedent fo contiguoufly, as the Eye can deprehend no Difcontinuity, nor the Mind difcern any Intertice in their Flux. And this ufhers us to the reafon, why Apulcius, difcourfing in the Dialect of Epicurus, faich, Profectas à nobis Imagines, velut quaddam exuvias jugifuore manare. (6) And laftly, that a Vifible Image doth not fo conftandly retain its Figure, and Colours, as not to be fubject to Mutilation and Confufion, if the interval betwixt its original and the eye be immoderately large: as may be exemplified in the fpecies of a fquare Tower, which by a long trajection through the aer, hath its Angles retufed, fo that it enters the eye in a Cylindrical Figure.
 farm interdum evadere imazinem. Which ought to be interpreted not only of the detriment fuftained in its long progrefs through the Medium, butalfo of that which may arife from fome perturbation caufed in the fuperfice of the Exhalant.

Art. 18. The Celerity of the Morizn of vifible Images, reafoned; and compared to that of the Light of the Sun.

Art. 19. The Tranlls. tion of a moveable from place to place, in an in divifible point of time, impolfible: and why?
Art. 20. The Facility of the AbduStion, or Avo lation of Images Vifible, from folid Concretions; folved by the Spoataneouss Exflition of their fuperficia! Atoms: and the Sollicitatiar of Light, incident upon them.

Concerning the FOURTH, wit the CELERITY of their Motion; this will Epicurus have to be"AvuTrp$¢ \lambda \lambda n T v$, Inexfuperabilem, fivift in the higheft degree: and his Reafon is, becaufe fuch is the Pernicity of Atoms, when enfranchifed from Concretions, and upon the Wings of their Gravity. Lucretius moft appofitely compares the Celerity of Images in their Trajection, to that of the beams of the Sun, which from the body thereof are darted to the fuperfice of the Earth in an inftant, or fo fmall a part of time, as none can be fuppofed lefs. And this we may clearly comprehend, if we obferve that moment when the Sun begins its Emergency from the Difcufs of the Moon, in an Eclipfe; for in the fame moment, we may difcern the Image of its cleared limbus, appearing in a veffel of Water, refpectively fituate.

And yet we fay, the Celerity of their Trajection, not, with the Vulgar, the Inftantaneous Motion: becaufe we conceive it impoffible, that any Move, able fhould be transferred to a diftant place, in an indivifible moment, but in fome fpace of time, though fo fhort as to be imperceptible; becaufe the Medium hath parts fo fucceffively ranged, that the remote cannot be pervaded before the vicine.

And thus have we concifely Commented upon the 4 Confider ables comprehended in the Text of Epicurus, touching Apoftatical Images Vifible; and thereupon accumulated thofe Reafons, which juftifie our prelation of this His Opinion, to that not only lefs probable, but manifeftly impoffible one of the Ariftoteleans: fo that there feems to us only one Confideration more requirable to complete its Verifimility, and that is touching the FACILITY of the ABDUCTION of Vifible Images from folids.

We confefs, that Epictrrus fuppofition, of the fpontaneous Evolution and confequent Avolation of Atoms from the extremes of folid Concretions; is not alone extenfible to the folution of this Difficulty : and therefore
we muft lengthen it out with that confentaneous Pofition of Gaffendus (de apparente magnitudine Solis bumilis of (ublimis, Epif.2.pag.24.) Luccm follicitare (pecies, that Light doth follicite and more then excite the Vifible fpecies of Objects, as well by agitating the fuperficial Atoms of Concretions, as by Carrying them off in the arms of it's reflected rayes. For, that Light is intinged not only with Colours, which it pervades, but alfo with thofe, which it only fuperficially toucheth upon', provided the Colorate body be compact enough to repercufs it ; all opace and fecculary bodies, on which its beams are either trajectly, or reflextly impinged, fenfiblyder mónftrate. And though it may be objected; that the follicitation of Light. is not neceffary to the Dereption, or Abduction of Images-Vifible; be caufe it is generally prefumed, that they continually Emane from Objects, and fo as well in the thickeft Darknefs, as in the Meridian light : it muft notsis withiftanding be confeft, that they are unprofitable to Vifion, unlefs! when they proceed froman object Illuftrate; and confequently that they flow hand in hand with the particles of Light reflected from it fuperfice. Which ruly is the reafon why the Eye that is pofited in the dark doth well difcern Objects pofited in the Light ; but that which is in the light hath no percéption at all of objects in the dark.

And therefore whofo fhall affirme, that Vifible Species are not Enitted: from bodies, unlefs Light ftrike upon them, and being repercuffed, carry: their fuperficial Atoms, which conftitute the Vifible Species, off from them, in "direct lines towards, the eye : though He may perhaps want a Demon' Itration, yet not the evidence of Experience and probability, to credit his paradox. Nor is there, why we fhould opinion, that only the Primary, or firt incident Light is reflected; becaufe Light emaneth from the Lucid, in a continued Fluor, fo that the precedent particles are fill contiguoufly purfued by the confequent : and hence is it that Light is capable of repercafi frons even to infinity, if folid and impervious bodies could be fo difpofed; as that the firft oppofed might repercufs it on the fecond, the fecond reflect it to the third, the third to the fourth, \&ac. fucceffively, fo long as the Fluor fhould be continued, and no Eclipfe intervene. For; the reafon, why Light, formerly diffufed, doth immediately difappear, upon the intervention of any body, that interfects it Itream; is really the fame with that, wherefore Water exfilient from the Tube of a Ciftern, in an arched Atream, doth immediately droop and fall perpendicularly; upon the fhutting of the Cock: the fucceffive flux of thofe parts of Water, which, by a clofe and forceable preffure on the back of the pracedent, maintained the Arcuation of the ftream, being thereby prixvented, and the effluxed committed to the tendency of their Gravity. "And the reafon, why by the mediation of a fmall remainder of light, after the interfection of its fluor from the Lucid fountain, we have an imperfect and obfcure difcernment of objects; is no more then this : that only a few rayes, here and there one, are incident upon and fo reflected from the fuperfice thereof, having touched upon only a few fcattered particles, and left the greater number untoucht; which therefore remain unperceived by the eye, becaufe there wanted Light fuffi-cient to the illuftration of the whole, and fo to the Excitement and Emiffion of a perfeé fpecies.

## Seet. II.

Art.I. Vifible Iniages Syfatical, delcribed; and diftinguifhe from Apofati. c.llones.

Art. 2. Their Exiffence affured, by the teftimony of Diodorns Sicu. lus: and

THere is y et a fecond for of Images Vifible, which though confiftent of , the fame Materials with the Former; are yet different in the reafon of their production, according to the theory of Epicurus. For, as the former are perfectly fubftantial, being Corporeal Effluviaes, by a kind of Dereption as it were blancht from the Extremes of Concretions: fo likewife are thefe of the fecond Genus, perfectly fubftantial, being certain Concrements or Coagmentacions of Atoms in the aer, reprefenting the fhapes of Men;; Beafts, Trees, Caftles, Armies, \&c. not caufed by an immediate Dereption from fuch folid Prototypes, buta SPONTANEOUS convention and cohæfion of convenient particles. So that if we only call them, spontaneous Syftatical Reprefentations; we hall not only import the Difparity of their Creation to that of the Derepted Apoftatigal ones, but alfo afford a glimple of their abftrufe Nature. Of thefe, all that can be brought to lye in lines parallel to our prefent Theorem, doth concern only their Exiffence: and that may be evicted by the confiring teftimonies of many Authors, whofe pens were not dipt in the fading ink of meer Tradition, nor their minds deluded with the affectation of Fabulous Wonders. Among which our leafure will extend to the quotation of only $\tau_{w o,}$ moft pertinent and fignificant.
Diodorus Siculus (lib.3.) fpeaking of certain Spectraes; fpontaneoufly
conceited, and at fet feafons of the year exhibiting themfelves to Travell in the regions of Africa, beyond the Quick-fands and Cyrene; fairth thus

 tranquillitate aeris, conpiciuntur per aerems Concrementaquadana, formas Animalium omnis generis referentia. Ip foram nonnulla quietè $\int e$ habent, noxmulla verò motionem fubeunt. Quinetiam interdum infequentesfugiant, ixiterdum fugientes infequuntur, doc.

Art. 3. Dainaficiu, $\mathrm{co}^{-}$ gether with the Auropfy of Rircher.

- And Damafcius (in Vita Ifidori Pbilofophi, apwd Photium) declaring the cominon report about that memorable riegtor, or Prodious Aereal Reprefentation, annually beheld in the lower region of the aer, imminent upon that arm of the Adriatick Sea, that runs up betwixt Meffana in Sicily, and Rhegium Julium in Calabria; delivers it thus: Noftra tempeftate narrarunt bomines bona fidei, juxta Siciliam in campo nominato Tetrapyrgio, of in aliis non pascis locis, videri Equitum pugnantiums fin ulacra; idq; maximè affatis tempore, cum ardenti $\int$ Impus eft meridies; \& $c$. Concerning the verity of this report, the moft Curious Athanafius Kircherus having fome doubt; purpofely takes a long journey from Rome to Meffana and thence croffeth over to Rhegium, at the opportune time for its obfervation. Where what He beheld, and by what Phyfical reafons he folved the wonderment; we have thought worthy your patient notice, to extract from his excellent difcourle thereupon (in cap.I. Magic Paraftatic.i, paraftafi 1. Natura.)


## MORGANARHEGINORUM.

In the middt of Summer, when the Sun boyls the Tyrrhene Ocean with moft fervent rayes, then is it, that wanton Nature entertains the wondring eyes of the inhabitants of Rhegium, a Town in Calabria moft ancient and no lefs famous for having been the feat of many Philofophers, with a prodigious fpectacle in the aer. There may yous whether with more delight, or wonder, is not foon determined, behold a facious Theatre in the vaporous aer, adorned with great variety of Scenes, and Catoptrick reprefentations; the Images of Caftles, Palaces, and other Buildings of excellent architeeture, with fundry ranges of Pillars, prefented according to the rules of Ferfpective. This Scene withdrawn, upon the fayling by of the Cloud, there fucceeds inother, wherein, by way of exquifite Landskip, were exhibited fpacious Woods, Groves of Cyprefs, Orchards with variety of trees, but thofe artificially planted in Uniform rows like a perfect Phalanx, large Meadows, with companies of men, and herds of beafts walking, feeding, and couching upon them: and all thefe with fo great variety of refpondent Colours, foadmirable a commixture of Light and Darknefs, and all their motions and geftures counterfeited fo to the life, that to draw a Landskip of equal perfection feems impoffible to human induftry.

It may well be conceived, though not eafily expreft, how much this Paraftatical Phantafm (which the Inhabitants of Rhegium call Morgana) hath excruciated the greateft Wits of Italy, while they laboured to explore a reafon for the apparence of fuch things in the Cloud, as were not found either on the fhore, or adjacent fields. This much encreafed the ardor of Curiofity in me, fo that croffing over from Meffana to Rhegium, at the ufual time of the Apparition, I examined all the Circumftances thereof, together with the fituation of the place, the nature and propriety of the foyl, and the conftitution of the vapours arifing from the Sea: and examining my oblervations by Phyfical and Optical reafons, I foon detected the Caufes of the whole Phænomenon. Firft I obferved the Mountain called Tinna, on the Sicilian fide, directly confronting Rhegium, to run along in a duskifh obfcure tract upon Pelorus; and the fhores fubjacent, as alfo the bottom of the Sea, to be covered with hhining fand, being the fragments of Selenites, Antimony, and other pellucid Concretions, devolved from the eminent parts of the land, the contiguous Hills, that are richly fraught with veins of thofe Minerals. Then I obferved that thefe tranflucid fands, being, together with vapors from the Sea and Shore, exhaled into the aer, by the intenfe fervor of the Sun; did coalefce into a Cloud, in all points refpondent to a perfect Polyedrical, or Multangular Looking-glais: the various fuperficies of the refplendent Granules, making a multiplication of the fpecies; and that thefe, being opacated behind by crafs and impervious vapours, directly facing the Mountains, did make reflection of the various Images of objeets refpective to their various pofitions to the eye. The feveral Rows of Pillars in the aereal Scene are caufed by one fingle Pillar, erected on the Shore; for being by a manifold reflection from the various fuperficies of the tralu cent particles, opacated on the hinder part by denfe Vapours, in the feeculary Meteor, it is multiplyed even to infinity. No otherwife then as one fingle Image, pofited betwixt two polyedrical Looking-glaffes, confront-

Art.4: Kirchers Defeription of that faminus Appariton at Rhegium, called Margana kb:gingrum: \&c

Ari. 5. Moft ingenious Inveftigz. tion of the Caxfes chereqef.
ingly difpofed, is fo often repercuffed or reflected from fuperfice tollfuperfice, that it exhibiteth to the eye almoft an infinite multitude of Images exaetly confinilar. Thus alfo doth one man ftanding on the fhore, become a whole Army in the Cloud, one Beaft, 2 whole Herd, and one Tree a thick-fet Grove. As for the vanihing of this firt Scene, and the fucceffion of a fecond, adorned with the reprefentations of Caftles, and other magnificent ftructures; the Caure hereof is this: fince the eye of the Spectator hath its fight varioully terminated in the feveral fpeculary fuperficies of the Cloud, that is in perpetual motion according to the impulfe of the Wind; it comes to parf, that according to the rules of the Angles of Incidence and Reffection, divers Species are beheld under the fame countitute Angle, and as the fpeculary Vapour doth reflect them toward the eye, which divers frecies are projected from objects conveniently fituate; and parcicularly from the Cafte on the arcent towards Rhegium from the place of our pro* fpect.
Some, perhaps, may judge our affirmation, of the Elevation of thofe fhining Grains of Vitreous Minerals into the aer, by the meer attraction of the Sun; and the Coalition of them there with the Cloud of Vapours : to be too large a morfel, to be fwallowed by any throat, but that Cormorant one of Credulity. If fo, all we equire of them, is only to confider ; that Hairs, Straws, grains of Sand, fragments of Wood, and fuch like Feftucous Bodies, are frequently found immured in Hailfones : which doubtlefs, are fufficiens arguments, that thofe things were firft elevated by the beams of the Sun, recoyling from the earth, into the middle region of the aer, and there coagmentated with the vapours condenfed into a Cloud, and frozen in its defcento.

## Art. 6.

 His admirable Artifice, for the exhibition of the like aereal Reprefentation, in Imitation of Nature.Now this folution of the Morgana, acquires the more of Certitude and Auctority from hence ; that in imitation of this Natural Prodigious Oftent, or Aereal Reprefentation, Kircher invented a way of exhibiting an Artificial one, by the Fragments of Glafs, Selenites, Antimony, \&c. Itewed in an iron trough, and vapours afcending from Water fuperaffufed, and terminated by a black Curtain fuperextended. The full defcription of which Artifice, He hath made the Subject of his 2. paraftafis in Magia Paraftat. cap.i.
Chap. III. $\quad 149$


## CHAP. III.

CONCERNING THE MANNER and REASON VISION
SECT, I.


Mong the many different Conceptions of Philofophers; both Ancient and Modern, touching the Manner and Reafon of the Difcernment of the Magnitude, Figure, \&c. of Vifible Objects by the Vifive Faculty in the Eye; the moft Confiderable are thefe.
(I) The STOICKS affirmed, that certain Vifory Rayes deradiated from the brain, through the flender perforations of the Optick Nerves, into the eye, and from thence in a continued fluor to the oblect ; do, by a kind of Procufion, and Compreffion, difpofe the whole Aer intermediate in a direct line, into a Cone, whofe Point confiftech in the faperfice of the Eye, and Bale in the fuperfice of the Object. And that, as the Hand by the mediation of a ftaff, impofed on a body, doth, according to the degrees of refiftence made thereby either directly, or laterally; deprehend the Tactile Qualities thereof, i.e. whether it be Hard, or Soft, Smooth or Rough, whecher it be Clay, or Wood, Iron, or Stone, Cloth, or Leather, \&rc. So likevvife doth the Eye, by the mediation of this Aereal ftaff, difcern whether the Adfpectable Object, on which the Bafis of it refteth, be White or Biack, Green or Red, Symetrical or Afymetrical in the Figure of its parts, and confequently Beautiful or Deformed,

Art. і̀. The Reafon ef vifion, accor. ding to the opinion of the Stoicks.

Art. 2. Cf Atripule.
(2) A R IS T OTLE, though his judgment never acquiefced in any one.point, as to this particular; doth yet feem to have moft conftantly inclined to this; that the Colour of the Vifible doth move the Per/picuams actu, i. e. that Illuftrate Nature in the Aer, Water, or any other ri siaqaués, Tranfparent body; and that, by reafon of its Continuity from the extremes of the Object to the Eye, doth move the Eye, and by the mediation thereof the Inter:al Senforismm or Vifive Faculty, and fo inform it of the vifible Qualities thereof. So that, according to the Defcant of thofe, who pretend to be his moft faichful Interpreters, we may underftand Him, to have imagined the Colour of the object to be as it were the Hand; the diaphanous Medium as it were the Staff; and the Eye as it were the Body on which it is impofed and impreft : è diametro oppofite to the conceit of the Stoicks, who fuppofe the Eye to fupply the place of the Hand; the Aer to analogize the Staff; and the Objecit to refpond to the Body on which it is impofed and impreft.

Art. 3.
Oithe Pythan gereans.
(3) The PYTHAGOREANS determined the reafon of Vifion on the Reflexion of the Vifive Rayes, in a continued ftream emitted from the internal Eye, to the vifible, back again into the eye; or, more plainly, that the radious Emanations from the Eye, arriving at the fuperfice of the object, are thereby immediately Repercuffed in an uninterrupted fream home again to the eye, in their return bringing along with them a perfect reprefentation thereof, as to Colour, Figure and Magnitude.

Art. 4. of Empecactes:

Art. 5. Of Plato.
(4) EMPEDOCLES, though admitting (as we hinted in the nexc praceding Chapter) fubftantial Effluxes, from the Vifible to the Organ of Sight; doth alfo affume the Emiffion of certain Igneous or Lucid Spirits from the Organ to the Object : fuppofing the Eye to be a kind of Glass Lantern, illuftrate, and illuftratıng the Vifible, by its own Light.
(5) PLATO, though He likewife avouched the Emanation of Cor: poreal Effluvizes from the Object; doth not yet allow them to arrive quite home at the Eye : but will have them to be met half way by rayes of Light extramitted from the Eye : and that thefe two ftreams of External and Internal Light encountring with fome Renitency reciprocal, do recoyl each from other, and the ftream of Internal Light refilient back into the eye, doth communicate unto it that particular kind of Impreffion, which ic received from the ftream of Extradvenient Light, in the encounter; and fo the Sentient Faculty comes to perceive the adipectable Form of the object, at which the Radius of Internal Light is levelled. This we judge to be fenfe of his words (in Timeo, circa finem tertie partis) Simulachrorum, qua vel in Specislis oboriuntur, vel in perfpicua, lavióp, cernustur Iuperficie; facilis affecutio eff. Nam ex utriufg; ignis, tam intimi, quam extra pofiti Communione, ejufq; rurfus confenfu, \& congruentia, qui pafsim ter $\int$, laviq; corpori accomprodatus est; necef $\int$ ario bec omnia orinntwr, quans ignis oculorum cum co igne, qui est è conjpecto effufus, circa lave nitidumq; Corpus fefe confundit.

Art. 6. - Epicurm.
(6) EPICURUS, tacitely fubverting all thefe, foundeth the Reafon of Vifion, not in any Action of the intermediate Aer, as the Stoicks and Arifotle; nor in any Radious Emanation from the Eye to or toward the

Object,
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Object, as the Pythagoreans, Empedocles, and Plato : but, in the Derivation of a fubitantial Efflux from the Object to the Eye.
(7) And as for the opinion of the' excellent Monfieur Des Cartes, which with a kind of pleafant violence, hath foravifht the affent of moft of the Students of Phyfiology, in the prefent Age, efpecially fuch as affect the accommodation of Mechanick Maxims to the fenfible operations of Nature; that their minds abhior the embraces of any other: thofe, who have not heedfully perufed his Dioptricks, may fully comprehend it in fummary; thus.

For Seinfation in Common, He defines it to be a fimple Perception, whereby acertain Motion, derived from a body conveniently objected, communicated, by Impreffion, to the fmall Fibres; or Capillary Filaments of a Nerve; and by thofe, in regard of their Continuity, rranfinitted to the Tribunal, or Judicatory Seat of the Soul; or Mind (which He fuppofech to be the Glandula Pinealis, in the centre of the Brain ) and there diftinctly apprehended, or judged of. So that the Divers Motions impreft upon the flender threads of any Nerve, are fufficient to the Caufation of divers perceptions; or, that we may not eclipfe his notion by the obfcurity of our Expreffion, that the Impulfe, or ftroke given to the Nerye, doth, by reafon of the Continuity of its parts, caufe another Motion, in all points anfwerable to the firft received by the External Organ, to be carried quite home to the Throne of the Mind, which inftantly makes a refpective judginent concerning the Na ture of the Object, from whence that particular Motion was derived. In a word, that only by the Variety of Strokes given to the External Organ, thence to the filaments of the Nerve annexed thereto, thence to the Profence Chamber of the Soul : we are informed of the particular Qualities, and Conditions of every Senfible; the variety of thefe fenfory Motions being dependent on the variety of Qualities in the Object, and the variety of judgments dependent on the variety of Motions communicate.

And for the fenfe of Secing, in Jpecial; He conceives it to be made, not by the mediation of Images, but of certain Motions (whereof the Images are compofed) tranfmitted through the Eye and Optick Nerve to the Centrals of the Brain : prefuming the Vifible Image of an Object to be only an exact reprefentation of the motions thereby impreffed upon the External Senforium ; and accordingly determining the Reafon of the Minds actual Difcernment of the Colour, Situation, Diftance, Magnitude, and Figure of a Vifible, by the Inftruments of Sight; to be chis. (I) The Light defilient from the adffectable Body, in a direct line, called by the Mafters of the Opticks, the Axe of Vifion, percuffeth the diaphanous fluid Medium, the Ether, or moft fubtile fubftance (by Him affumed to extend in a Continuate Fluor through the Univerfe, and fo to maintain an abfolute Plenitude, and Continuity of Parts therein.) (2) The Æther thus percuffed by the Illuminant, ferving as a Medium becwixt the Object and the Eye; conveyeth the impreffion through the outward Membranes and Humors, deftined to Refraction, to the Oprick Nerve moft delicately expanfed into the Retina Tinsica, beyond the Chryftalline. (3) The Motion thus impreft on the outward Extreme of the Optick Nerve, runs along the body of it to the inward Extreme, determined in the fubftance of the Brairy. (4) The Brain receiving the impreffion, immediately gives notice thereof to its Noble Te-
nent, the Soul; which by the Quality of the ftroke judgeth of the Quality of the Striker, or Object. In fome proportion like an Exquifite Mufitian, who by the tone of the found thereby created, doth judge what Cord in a Virg inal was ftrook, what jack ftrook that ftring, and what force the jack was moved withall, whether great, mean, or fmall, flow or quick, equal or unequal, tenfe or lax, \&c.

Ayt. 8.
The ingenuity of Des Cartes Conceit, acknowledged: but the folidity indubitated

This you'l fay, is a Conceit of fingular Plaufibility, invented by a Wit tranfcendently. acute, adorned with the elegant drefs of moft proper and fignificant Termes, illuftrate with appofite fimiles and prægnant Examples, and difpofed into a Method moft advantageous for perfuafion; and we fhould betray our felves into the Cenfure of being exceedingly either ftupid, or malicious, flould we not fay fo too: but yet we dare not (fo facred is the intereft of Truth) allow it to be more then fingularly Plaufible; fince thofe Arguments, wherewith the fage Digby (in the 32. chap. of His Treatife of Bodies ) hath long fince impugned it, are fo exceedingly preponderant, as to over-ballance it by more then many moments of Reafon; nor could Des Cartes himfelf, were He now Unglorified, fatisfie for his Non-Retractation of this Error; after his examination of their Validity, by any more hopeful Excufe, then this; that no other opinion could have been confiftent to His Cardinal Scope of Solving all the Operations of Senfe by Mechanick Principles.

Now, of all thefe Opinions recited, we can find, after mature and requi-

Art. 9. The Opinion of Epicurus, more farisfaCtory, then any other: be caule moreRational, and lefs obnoxious to inexplicable Difficulties. table examination, none that feems, either grounded on fo much Reafon, or attended with fo few Difficulties, or fo fufficient to the verifimilous Explanation of all the Problems, concerning the Manner of Vifion, as that of Epicurus; which ftateth the Reafon of Vifion in the INCURSION of fubftantial Images into the Eye. We fay

FIRST, Grounded on fo much Reafon. For, infomuch as it is indifputable, that in the act of Vifion there is a certain Sigillation of the figure and colour of the object, made upon that part of the Eye, wherein the Perception is; and this figillation cannot be conceived to be effected otherwife then by an-Impreffion; nor that Impreffion be conceived to be made, but by way of Incurfion of the Image, or Type: it is a clear Confequence, that to admita Sigillation without Impreffion, and an Impreffion without Incurfion of the Image, is a manifert Alogy; an open Inconfiftence. And upon this confideration is it, that we have judged Epicurus to have fhot neareft the White, in
 chrorsms Incurfionem, five Incidentiam: which Agellius (lib.5.cap.16.) defcanting upon, faith exprefly, Epicurus affuere femper ex ommibus corparibus fimulachra quedam ipforum, eaque fefe in oculos inferre, atque ita fieri fenfum videndi patat.

SECONDLY, Encumbred with fo few Difficulties. For, of all that have been hitherto, either by Alexander (2. de Anima 34.) Macrobius (7.Saturnal. 14.) Galen (lib.7. de Confenfu in Platonicis, Hippocraticifque Decretis) or any other Author, whofe leaves we have revolved, objected againft it; we find only $T w o$, that require a profound exercife of the Intellect to their Solution : and they are thefe.
(1) Obrious
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> ( 1 ) obvious it is even to fenfe, that every species Viffble is wholly in the whole facce of the Medium, and wholly in every part thereof; fince in what part foever of the Medium, the Eyef hall be admoved, in apofition convenient', it fhall bebold the whole object, reprefented by the Jpecies: and manifeft it is, that to be toralin the total Space, and total in every part thereof, is an affeEtion proper only to Incorporeals; therefore camnot T fijon be made by Corporeal Images incurrent into the Eye.
(2) In the intermediate Aer are coexiffent the Images of many, nay innmsmerable objects; which feems impoffible, unlefs thofe Images are prafumed to be Incorporeal: becaufe many Bodies camnot coexiff in one and the fame place, withoustreciprocal penetration of Dimenfoons, Ergo, éc.

## Sect. II.

Tdifpel there Clouds, that have fo long eclipfed the fplendor of Epicurrus Affertion, of the Incidence of Images Vifible into the Eye (for we fhall not here difpute, whether he intended the figillation to be made in that Convex Specullum, the Chryffalline Humour; or that Concave one, the Retina Tunica) and explicate the abftrufe nature of Vifion: we ask leave to poffers you with certain neceflary Prop ofitions: We affume therefore,

## Affumption the Firft.

That the fuperfice of no vifible is fo exquifitely smooth, polite, or equal; as not to contain various Inequalities, i.e. Protuberant and Depreff parts, or certain (Monticulli and Vallcculé) fmall Rifngs and Fallings: which in fome bodies being either larger, or more, are difcoverable by the naked intuition of the Eye; and in others, either finaller, or fewer, require the detection of the Microfcope.

This is neicher Pracarious, nor Conjectural: but warranted by Reafon, and autoptical Demonftration. For, if the object affumed be polifht Marble; fince that apparent Terfnefs in the furface thereof is introduced by the detrition of its groffer inxqualities by Sand, and that Sand is nothing but a multitude of Polyedrical folid Grains, by the acutenefs and hardnels of their Angles cutting and derating the more friable particles of the Marble : it mult follow, that each of the grains of Sand muft leave an impreffion of its edge, and fo that the whole fuperfice muft become fcarified by iunurnerable fmall incifions, varioully deceuffating and interfecting each öther. If Steel of a fpeculary finoothnefs, fuch as our common Chalybeat Mirrours; fince the Terfnefs thereof is artificial caufed by the affriction of Files, which cut only by the acutenefs of their teech, or lineal inxqualities : it is not eafie to admit, that they leave no fratches, or exarations on the furface thereof; and where are miany Incifions, eäch whereof muft in Latitude felpond to the thicknels of the Tooth in the File, that made it, there alfo muift
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be as many Eminences or fmall Ridges intercepred among them. Andif Gla/s, whofe fmoothnefs feems fuperlative; fince it is compofed of Sand and Salts, not fo perfectly diffolved by liquation, as not to retain various Angles: it cannot be unreafonable to inferr, that thofe remaining points or angular parts muft render the Compofition in its exteriors full of Afperities. And, as for Autoptical Evidence; that Marble, Steel, and Glafs are unequal in their fuperfice, is undeniable noc only from hence, that a good Engylcope, in a convenient light, doth difcover innumerable rugofities and Cavities in the moft polifht fuperfice of either : but alfo from hence, that Spiders and Flyes do ordinarily run up and down perpendicularly on Venice Glafs, which they could not do, if there were not in the furface thereof many fmall Cavities, or Faftnings for the reception of the Uncinulx, or Hooks of their Feet. To which may alfo be added, the Humectation of Glafs by any Liquor affufed; for, if there were no Foffes and Prominences in the fuperfice thereof, whereon the Hamous particles of the Liquid might be faftned, it would inftantly run off without leaving the leaft of moifture behind. And hence

## Affumption the Second.

Art. 2.
That the vifible Image doth confift of fo many Rayes as there are Points defigno able in the whole fuperfice of the objeat: and that each Ray hath irs line of Tendency dired, refpective to the face of that particle in the fuperfice, from which it is e . mitted.

That as the whole vijible Image doth emane from the whole fuperfice of the object; So do all the parts thereof emane from all the parts of the object: i.e. that look how many Atoms are defignable in the fupeffice, from fo many points thereof do Atoms exhale, which being contiguoufly purfued by others and others fucceffively deceding, make continued Rayes, in direct lines tending thitherward, whither the faces of the particles point, from which they are deradiated.

For, infomuch as in the fuperfice no particle can be fo minute to the fenfe, as, in refpect to the Afperity, or Inæquality of its furface, not to have vari-ous Faces, by which to refpect various parts of the Medium : it muft inevitably follow, that all the rayes effluxed from an object, do not tend one and the fame way, but are varioufly trajected through the Medium, fome upward, others downward, fome to the right, others to the left, fome obverly or toward, others averfly or fromward, \&<c. So that there is no region or point of the compals defignable, to which fome rayes are not direct. And from this branch fhoots forth our ${ }_{i}$

## Third Affumption.

Atr. 3. That the Denfity and Union of the Rayes, compofing the vifible Image, is greater or lefs; according to their lefs, or greaier Elongation from the Ob jeqt.

## Chap. IV. <br> The Reafon of Lijfion.

Thus alfo mult the rayes of the Vifible Image, in their progrefs mutually recede each from other, and according to the more or lefs of their Elongation from the point of abduction, become more or lefs Rare and faatered, into the amplitude of the Medium. However, we deny not the neceffity of their innumerable Decwffations, and Inter fections; in refpect to the various Faces, and Confrontings of the parts of the fuperfice, from which they are emitted. And hence we extracted our

## Fourth Affumption.

That the vifible Image, though really diffufed throught the Spacc of the medium within the ßphear of Projection; is notwithfanding neither total in the total Jpace, nor total in every pare thereof, as is fuppofed in the Firft objection: but To Manifold, as there axe parts of the Medium, from which the Object is adpectable.
Here may weintroduce a Parados, which yet doth not want a confiderable proportion of V erifimilitude to juftifie the fobriety and acutenefs of his Wit, that firff farted it ; which is, That of divers men, at the fame time, Jpechlating the fame object, no one doth bebold the fame parts thereof, that are bebeld by another: may more, that no man can fee the fame parts of an objeett, with both eyes at once, nay more, not the fame parts with the fame eye, if he remove it never fo little, becaure the level of the Vifive Axxe is varied. This may be verified by a fingle refection on the Caufe hereof, which is the Inequality, or Afperity of the fuperfice of Bodies, feemingly moft polite : for, in refpect of that, it is of neceffity, that various Rayes, proceeding from the various parts thereof, varioully convene in the parts of the Medium;and infomuch as each of thofer rayes doth reprefent that particle only,from which it was effured, and no other, in their concurfe they cainnot but reprefent other and other parts, according to the refpective places or regions of the Medium, in which the Eye is pofited, that receives them. However, we fhali faniliarize it by Example. Let two men at once behold a Third, one before, the other behind : and both may be faid to behold the fame man, but, truly; not the fame parts of him; becaufe the eyes of one are obverted to his Anterior, and thofe of the other to his Pofterior parts. Take it yet one note higher. Let the Face of a man be the Object, on which though divers perfons gaze at the fame time, one on the right a fecond on the left fide, athird confroncingly, a fourth and a fifth obliquely betwist the other three; and all miny be faid to have an equal profpect of the face: yet can it not be afferted, that they do all fee the fame parts thereof, but each a particular part. Whence it may be inferred, that albeit we rhay allow them all to behiold his Fore-head, Eyes, Nofe, Cheeks, Mouth, \&c. yet can we not allow them all to fee the fame parts of Forehead, Eyes, Nofe, Cheeks, \&c. becaufe of their unequial fituation, which Caureth that the whiole fpecies prodienc from the face; doth not tend into the whole me lium, butinto various parts of it, refpective to the various faces of the deradiant parts. Möreover, becaufe this prefumed Inxquiality is not competent only to the greater parts of the face, fuch as the Eyes, Nofe, Mouth, Chin', \&rc. bui as jufly confiderable in the very skim, which hath no defiginable place; wherein are not many fimaller and frmaller Eminencies and Depreffions, deprehenfible (if not by the Opticks of the body, yet) by the acies of the

Art. 4. That the vifio ble Image is neither toral in the total medium; nor total in every part thereof: but fomanifold as are the paris of the medium from which the obje $\mathcal{A}$ is difcerr. able. Contrasy tothe Arifoteleans.

Ari. 5. paradox. That no man can fee the fame particle of an object with both Eyes at once: nay, not with the fame Eye, if the level of is $V$ ifive axe be changed.

Mind: hence is, that having imagined the Eyes of the Five Spectators to move their vifive Axes from part to part fucceffively, and as flowly as the fliadow of the Gnomon fteals over the parts of a Dial, untill they have ranged over the whole face; we may comprehend the neceffity, of the difcovery of a frefl part by every new aime or levell of each eye, and the baulking of others; as if in Particles of devex Figure, no Particels can be detected a new, but as many of thofe formerly difcerned muft be loft, and as many, nay more remain concealed.

Art. 6. CONSECTA RY:
That the Medium is not poffeffed wish one fimple 1. mage; but by an Aggregate of innumera. ble Images,deradiate from the fame object : all which notwithftanding conftiture bur one entire lmage.
Art. 7. CONSECTA. RY 2.
That Myriads of different Images, emanant from different object?, may be Coexiftent in the Aer; without reciprocal pe. netration of $D$. menfions, or Confufion of particles: con. erary to the Peripatetichs.

## And this Confideration fmoothly ufhers in two Confectaries

(1) That to fay, one fimple Species doth replenih. the whole Mediurm, is not, in the ftrict Dialect of Reafon, Co proper, as to fay, the Medium is poffefed by an Aggeries, or Convention of innumerable Jpecies: which being divers in refpect to the divers parts of the Object, from which they were deradiated, muft alfo be divers in their Exiftence, and Diffufion through the feveral parts of the Perficuum. And yet muft they be allowed to conftitute but one entire fpecies; and this in refpect to their Emanation from one ObjeCt : becaufe as the fingle parts of the feecies reprefent the fingle pairts of the object, fo doth the whole of the fpecies reprefent the whole of the Object.
(2) That many, nay Myriads of different Speciesmay be Coexiftent in the Common Medium, the Aer; and yet no neeeffity of the Coexiffence of many Bodies in one and the fame place; it being as juftifiable to affirm, that they reciprocally penetrate each others dimenfions, as that the Warp and Woof, or interfecting threads in a Cloth, do mutually penetrate each other: becaufe the Aer is varioufly interfperfed with İnanities, or finall empty Roads, convenient to the inconfufed tranfiniffion of all thofe fwarms of Rayes, of which the fpecies confift. Have you not frequently obferved, when many Candles were burning together in the fameroom, how, according to the various interpofition of opace bodies, various degrees of Shadows and Light have been diffufed into the feveral quarters of the fame? and can you give any better reafon of thofe various Interfections and Decuffations of the feveral Lights, then this; that the rayes of Light ftreaming from the diverfe Flames, are directly and inconfufedly trajected through the feveral inane Receptaries of the Aer, refpective to the pofition of each Candle, without reciprocal impediment; the rayes of one, that are projected to the right hand, in no vile impeding the paffage of thofe of another, that are projected to the left, in the fame fenfible part of the Aer. Exactly fo do the rayes of divers Species Vifible, in their progrefs through the aer, pals on in direct and uninterrupted lines, without Confufion: and though they may feem to poffefs the fame. fenfible part of the medium, yet will not reafon allow them to poffers the fame Infenfible particles thereof; in regard the diftunct tranfinifion of each clearly demonftrateth, that each poffeffeth a diftinct place. Nor doth this their Fuxta-pofition, or extreme Nearnefs neceffitate their Coizfufon; fince we daily obferve that Water and Wine may be fo Commixt in a Vial, as therein can be affigned no Cenfible part, wherein are not fome parts of both Liquors : and yet moft certain it is, that the particles of Wine poffefs not the fame Invifible Loculaments, or Receptaries, that are replete with the particles of Water, but others abfolutely diftinct; becaufe otherwife there would be as much of Water, or Wine alone, in the Vial, as there is lof both Water and Wine, which in that Con-
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tinent is impoffible. And hereupon we Conclude, that to admit every diftinct fpecies to replenifh the whole medium; is no lefs dangerous, then to admit, that each of two Liquors confufed doth fingly replenifh the whole Capacity or the Continent: the parity of reafons juftifying the Parallelifm.

## Affumption the Fifth.

That the vifible Image, being trajected throigh the Pupil, and having fuffered its ultimate refraction in that Convex Miryor, the Chryftalline Humor; is reccived and determined in that principal feat of vijor, (which holds no remote anilogy to a Concave Mirror) the Retina Tunica, or Expanfion of the optick Nerve in the bottom of the eye: and therein reprefents the object from whence it was der adiated, in all particulars to the lifo, i.e. with the fanse Colour, Figure, and Situation of parts, which it really beareth; provided the Diftance be not exceffive.

Ari. 8. That the place of the vifible Images ultimare Re. ception, and complete Perception'; is the Concave of the Retina Tunica.

The Firft part of this eminent Propofition, that excellent Mathematician, Chriftopher scheinerus, hath fo evicted by Phyfical Reafons, Oprical Demonftrations, and fingular Experiments; as no truth can feem capable of greater illuftration, and lefs oppofition: and therefore the greateft right we can do our felves, or you, in this point, is to remir you to the obfervant lecture of his whole Third Book, de Fundament. opticis; which we dare commend with this juft Elogie, that it is the moft Elaborate and Satisfying inveftigation of the Principal Seat of Vifion, that ever the World was enriched with, and He who fhall defire a more accomplifht Difcourfe on that (formerly) abftrufe Theorem, muft encounter the cenfure of being either farce Ingenious enough to comprehend, or farce Ingenuous enough to acknowledge the convincing Energy of the Arguments and Demonftrations therein alledged, for the confirmation of his Thefis, Radij formaliter viforï mativam fedem effe tunicam retinam.

And the other is fufficiently evincible even from hence; That the Sight, or (if you pleafe) the Interior Faculty doth alwayes judge of the adjpectable form of an object, according to the Condition of. the Image emanant from it, at leaft, according as it is reprefented by the Imaige, at the imprefsion thereof on the principal vifory part. Which is a pofition of Eminent. Certitude: For', no other Caufe can be affignied, why the Vifive Faculty doth deprehend and pronounge m object to be of this, or that particular Colour: but only this, that the Image impreft on the Net-work Coat doth reprefent it in that particular Colour, and no other. Why; when half of the Object is eclipfed, by fome opace body interpofed, the eye can fpeculate, nor the faculty judge of no more then the unobfcured half: but only this, that the Image is mutilated, and fo confifteth of onely thofe radii, that are emitted from the unobfcured half, and confequently can inferr the fimilitude of no more.

Why an Object, of whatever Colour, appeareth Red, when fpeculated through Glafs of that Tincture : but only becaufe the Innage, inits trajection through that Medium, being infected with rednefs, retains the fame even to its figillation on the Expanfion of the Optick Nerve. Why the fight, in fome cafes, efpecially in that of immoderate diftance, and when the object is beheld through a Reverfing Glafs, deprehends the object under a falfe figure : but becaufe the Image reprefents it under that diffimilar figure, having either its angles retufed, by reafon of a too long trajection through the Medium, or the fituation of its parts inverted, by decuffation of its rayes in the Glafs.

## Consectary the Firft.

Art. 10. CONSECTA. RY. That the Image is the Caffe of Ob . jects apparence of this or that determinate Magnitute.

Now, it being nolefs Evident, then Certain, that the Image is the fole caufe of the Objects apparence under fuch or fuch a determinate Colour; and of this or that determinate Figure : it is of pure Confequence, that the Inage muft alfo be the Caufe of the Objects appearance in this or that determinate Magnitude; efpecially fince Figure is effenced in the Termina* tion of Magnitude, ac cording to Euclid. (lib.1.def. I4.) Figura eft, que fub aliquo, vel aliquibus terminis comprehenditur. For, why doth the object ap pear to be of great; finall, or: mean dimenfions; if not becaufe the Image arriving at the fentient, is great, fmall, or mean? Why doth the whole object appear greater then a part of it felf; unlefs becaule the whole Image is greater then a part of it felf? To fpeak more profoundly, and as men not altogether ignorant of the Myfteries in Opticks; demonftrable it is, that the Magnitude of athing fpeculated may be commenfurated by the proportion of the Image deradiated from it, to the diftance of the Common Interfection. For as the Diametre of the Image, projected through a perfpective, or Aftronomical Tube, on a fheet of white paper, is in proportion to the Axis of the Pyramid Everfed; fo is the dameter of the bafis of the Object to the Axis of the Pyramid Direct. And hereby alfo come we to apprehend the Diftance of the Object from the Eye; for having obtained the Latitude of the object, we cannot want the knowledge of its Diftance : and by converfion, the knowledge of its diftance both affifts and facilitates the comprehenfion of its Magnitude. Which comes not much fhort of abfolute neceffity ; fince as Des Cartes (Dioptrices cap.6.) hath excellently obferved, in thefe words: Qroniama autem longitudo bongius decurrentiams radiorum non exquifite falis ex modo impulfuscognofci poteft, precedens Diftantic (cientia bic in auxilium bst vocanda. Sic, ex Gr. It distantia cognofcatur effemagna, of Angulus vifionis fit parvus; res objecta longius distans judicatur magna: finverodistantiafciatur effe parva, or angulus vifionis fit magnus; objectum judicatur effe parvum, $\mathfrak{j}$ verò diftantia objecti longius difsiti fit in cognita; mibil certi de ejus magnitudine decernipotest: if the Diftance of an object far removed be unknown, the judgment concerning the magnitude thereof muft be uncertain.
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## Consectary the Second.

Again, infomuch as the Receptary of the Vifible Image, is that Concave Mirrour, the Retina tunnica (we call it a Concave Mirrour, not only in refpect of its Figure and Ule, but alfo in imitation of that grand Mafter of the Opticks, AlbaZen, who (in lib.I. cap. 2.) faith thus; Et fequitur ex hoc, at corpus Sentiens, quod eft in Concavo Nervi (retina nimirum) fit aliquantivlim Diaphanum, ut appareant in eo forme lucis \&o coloris, \& cc.). Hence is it, that in Image cantotally fill that Receptary, unlefsir be derived froman object of an almoft Hemijpherical ambite, or Compars'; fo that the rayes, tending from it to the eye, may bear the form of a Cone, whofe Bafe is the Hemifphere, and point (fomewhat retufed) the fuperfice of the Pupil. This perfectly accords to Keplers Canon; Vifionem fieri, cum totius HemiJpheriy mundani, quod eft ante oculum, \& amplius paulo, idolum flatuitur ad album fubrufum Retine cave fiperficiei parietem. (in Paralipomen. ad Vitellion. cap. 5 . de modo vifon. num. I. ) Not that either He, or we, by the Optical Hemifphere, intend only the Arch of the Firmament; butany Ambite whatever, including a variety of things obverted to the open eye, partly directly, partly obliquely, or laterally, and Circumquaq; in all points about.

And chis being conceded, we need not long hunt for a reafon, why, when the eye is open, therealwayes is pourtraied in the bottom of the eye fome one Total Image; whore various parts may be called the special Images of the diverfe thingsat once objected. For, as the whole Hemifphere Vifive includes the reafon of the whole Vifible: fo do the parts thereof include the reafon of the fpecial Vifibles, though fituate at unequal diftance. And, fince, the Hemifphere may be, in refpect either of its whole, or parts, more Remote, and more Vicine ; hence comes it, that no more Rayes arrive at the Eye from the Remote, than the Vicine: becaufe in the Vicine, indeed, are lefs or feiver bodies, than in the Remote, but yet the Particles, or Faces of the particles of bodies, that are directly obverted to the Pupil, are more. Which certainly is the Caufe, why of two bodies, the one Great, the other Small, the Dimenfions feem equal ; provided the Great be fo remote, as to take up no greater a part of the Vifive Hemifphere, than the finall: becaufe, in that cafe, the rayes emanant from it, and in direct lines incident into the pupill of the Eye, are no more then thofe deradiate from the fmall, and confequently cannot reprefent more parts thereof, or exhibit it in larger Dimenfions. Whereupon sve may conclude that the Vifive Faculty doth judge of the Magnitude of Objects, by the proportion that the Image of each holds to the amplitude of the Concave of the Retina Tunica: or, that by how much every fpecial Image fhall make a greater part of the General Image, that fills the whole Hemifphere Vifive, and fo poffefs a greater part of the Concave of the Recina Tunica; by fo much the greater doth the Faculty judge the quantity thereof to be: and è Contra. And, becaule a ahing, when near, doth poffefs a greater part of the Vifive Hemifphere, thari when remote: cherefore doth the fpecial Image thereof alfo poffers a greater part of the Concave in the Retina Tunica, and fo exhibit in greater Dimenfions; and it decreafeth, or becometh fo much the lefs, by how much the farther it is abduced from the eye; For it then makes room

Are. It. consectaRY 2. That no Image can replenifh the C)ncave of the Retina Tunica, unlefs it be deradiated from an objea of an almoft Hemifpherical ambite.

Art. $\mathrm{t}_{0}$ Why, when the Eye is open there is $a^{\prime}$. wayes pourtrayed in the botrom thereof, fome cne Total Image; whofe various Parts, are the Special Images of the feveral things included in the vi: fual Hemi. pphere.
for another Image of another thing, that is detected by the abduction of the former, and enters the fpace of the Hemifphere obverted. And hereupon may we grounda

## PARADOX.

Art. 13. paradox. That the profreet of a flilling or object of a fmall diametre is as great, as the Profpeat of the Firmament.

That the Eye fees no more at one propect then at another: or, that the Eye beholds as much when it looks on a fhilling, or any other olject of as fmall diameter, as when it Jpeculates a Mountain, nay the whole Heaven.

Which though obfcure and defpicable at firf planting, will yet require no more time to grow up to a firm and fpreading truth, than while we inveftigate the Reafons of Two Cozen-German optical Phenomena's.
(I) Why an Object appears not only greater in dimenfions, but more diftinct in parts, when lookt upon near at hand; than afarr off ?
(2) Why an Object, fpeculated through a Convex Glafs, appears both larger and more diffint; than when beheld only with eye : but through a Concave, both Smaller, and more confufed?

Art. 14. why an object appears both greater in Dimenfions and more Diflinet in parcs, near ac hand, than far offf,

To the folution of the Firft, we are to reflect on fome of the precedent Affumptions. For, fince every Vifible diffufeth rayes from all points of it fuperfice, into all regions of the medium, according to the fecond AJJumption; and fince the fuperfice of the mof feemingly fmooth and polite body, is varioufly interfperfed with Afperities, from the various faces whereof, innumerable rayes are emitted, tending according their lines of Direction, into all points of medium circularly; according to the firf Afrimption; and fince thofe fwarms of Emanations muft be fo much the more Denfe and Congregate, by how much the lefs they are elongated from their fountain, or body exhalant; and è Contra, fo much the more Rare and Difgregate, by how much farther they are deduced, according to the third Ajumption: Therefore, by how much nearer the eye flall be to the object, by fo much a greater number of Rayes fhall it receive from the various parts thereof, and the particles of thofe parts; and ¿Contra: and Confequently by how much a greater number of rayes are received into the pupill of the eye, by fo much greater do the dimenfions of the object, and fo much the more diftinct do the parts of it fuperfice appear. For it is axiomatical among the Mafters of the Opticks, and moft perfectly demonftrated by Scheinerus (inlib. 2 . Fundament. Optic. part.1. cap. I 3.) that the Vifive Axe confifteth not of one fingle raye, but of many concurring in the point of the pyramid, terminated in the concave of the Retina Tunica: and as demonftrable, that thofe rayes only concurr in that conglomerated ftream, which enters the Pupil, that are emitted from the parts of the object directly obverted unto it ; all others tending into other quarters of the medium. And hence is it, that the image of a remote object,confifting of rayes (which though ftreaming from diftant parts of the fuperfice thereof, do yet, by reafon of their concurfe in the retufed point of the vifive Pyramid, reprefent thofe parts as Conjoyned ) thin and lefs united, comparatively; thofe parts muft appear as Contiguous in the vififical Reprefentation, or Image, whichare really Incontiguous or feperate in the object : and upon confequence, the object

## Chap．III．

 The Reafon of Vifion．muft be apprehended as Contracted，or Lefs，as confifting of fewer parts； andalfo Confured，as confifting of parts not well diftinguifht．This may be truly，though fomewhat grofly，Exemplified in our proffeet of two or three Hills fituate at large diftance from our eye，and all included in the fame Vifive Hemifphere；for，their Elongation from the Eye makes them ap－ pear Contiguous，nay one and the fame Hill，though perhaps they are，by more then fingle miles，diftant each from other：or，when from a place of eminence we behold a facious Campania beneath，and apprehend it to be an intire Plane ；the Non－apparence of thofe innumerable interiacent Fof－ fes，Pits，Rivers，\＆c．depreft places，impofing upon the fenfe，and exhi－ biting it in a finooth continued plane，

And to the folution of the fecond Problem，a concife enquiry into the Caufes of the different Effects of Concave and Convex Perficils，in the reprefentation of Images Vifitble，is onily neceffary．A Concave Lens， whether Plano－concave，or Concave on both fides，whether it be the fegment of a great，or fmall Circle，projects the Image of an Object，on a paper fet at convenient diftance from the tubie that holds it，Confured and infincere；becaure it refracts the rayes there－ of even to Difgregation，fo that never uniting again，they are tranf－ mitted in divided ftreams and caufe a chaos，or perpetual confufi－ on．On the Contrary，a Convex Lens refracts the rayes before divided，even to a Concurfe and Union，and fo makes that Image Diftinct and Ordinate，which at its incidence thereon was confured and inordinate．And fo much the more perfect muft every Convex Lens be，by how much greater．the Sphere is，of which it is a Secti－ on．For，as Kircher well obferves（in Magia paraffatica．）if the Lens be not only a portion of a great fphere，V．Gr．fuch a one，whofe diamerte contains twenty or thirty Roman Palms；but hath its own diamerre confifting of one，or two palmes：it will reprefent objects of very large dimenfions，with fo admirable fimilitude，as to inform the Vifive Faculty of all its Colours，Parts，and other difcoverables in it fuperfice．Of which fort are thofe excellent Glaffes，made by that famous Artift，Euftachio Divini，at Rome；by the help whereof the Painters of Italy ufe to draw the moft exquifite Choro－ graphical，Topographical，and Profopographical Tables，in the World： This Difference betwixt Concave and Convex Perfpicils is thus fated by Kircher（Art．© Xagne Lucis of Umbre lib．so．Magie part． 2．Sect．5．）Hinc patet differentia lestis Convexa of Concavie；
欠－optimè orclinat：illa verò eandem perpetsio confundit；unde officiumm lentis Convexse est，cafdem confusè acceptes，in debita dijfantia， fecundum fuam potentiam，diffinguere of ordinare．And by Scheise－ rus（ in Fundam．optic．lib．3．part．1．cap．1I．）thus；Licet in vitro quocunquie refractio ad perpendicularem Semper accidat，quia ta－ men ipfsum fuperfcie cava terminatur，radiy in aerem egreff potisus dif－ pergunnur，quim colliguntitur：©ujus contrarism evenit vitro Convexo， ob contrariam extremitatem．Rationes fumusuur ì Refractionibus in di－ ver $\int_{\text {a tendextibus，vitri Convexi }}$ \＆Concavi，ob contrarias Extremitatums configurationes．Concavitas enimradios Semper mag is divergit：ficut Con－ vexitts amplius colligit，érc．

Art． 1 §。 Why an olo－ iect，fpecula－ red througin i Comver Leis． appears both greater and more diftines； bue through i Concave，lejs and more Con－ fufed：than when fpecula： red only wath the Eye．

Now, to draw thefe lines home to the Centre of our problem; fince the Rayes of a Vifible Image trajected through a Convex Perficil, are fo refracted, as to concurr in the Vifive Axe : it is a clear confequence, that therefore an object appears both larger in dimenfions, and more diftinct in parts, when fpeculated through a Convex Glafs, than when lookt upon only with the Eye; becaufe more of the rayes are, by reafon of the Convexity of its extreme obverted to the object, conducted into the Pupil of the Eye, than otherwife would have been. For, whereas fome rayes proceeding from thofe points of the object, which make the Centre of the Bare of the Vifive Pyramid, according to the line of Direction, incurr into the Pupil; others emanant from other parts circumvicine to thofe central ones, fall into the Tris; others from other parts circumvicine fall upon the eyelids; and others from others more remote, or nearer to the circumference of the Bare of the Pyramid, ftrike upon the Eyebrows, Nofe, Forehead, and other parts of the face: the Convexity of the Glafs cuufert, that all thofe rayes, which otherwife would have been terminated on the Iris, eyelids, brows, nofe, forehead, \&c. are Refracted, and by refraction deflected from the lines of Direction, fo that concurring in the Vifive Axe, they enter the Pupil of the Eye in one united ftream, and fo render the Image impreft on the Retina Tunica, more lively and diftinet, and encreafed by to many parts, as are the rayes fuperadded to thofe, which proceed from the parts directly confronting the Pupil. On the Contrary ; becaure an Image trajected through a Concave Perfpicill, hath its rayes fo reffracted, that they become more rare and Difgregate: the object murt therefore feem lefs in dimenfions, and more confured in pats; becaufe many of thofe rayes, which according to direct tendency would have infinuated into the Pupill, are diverted upon the Iris, Eyelids, and other circumvicine parts of the face.

Art. I6. DIGRESSION.
What Figur'd Perficicis are convenient for old: and what for Purblind perfons.

Here opportunity enjoyns us to remember the duty of our Profeffion, nor would Charity difpenfe, Thould we, in this place, omit to prefribe fome General Directions for the Melioration of fight, or natively, or accidentally imperfect. The moft common Diminutions of Sight; and thofe that may beft expect relief from Dioptrical Aphorifins, and the ufe of Glaffes; are only Two: Presbytid, and Myopis. The Firft, as the word imports, being moft faniliar to old men, is (Vijus in perpjiciendis objectis proo pinguit of ofuritas; in remotis verò integrum acumen) an imperfection of the fight, by reafon whereof objects near hand appear obfcure and confured, but at more diftance, fufficiently clear and diftinct. The Caufe hereof generally, is the defect of due Convexity on the ouffide of the Chryftalline Humor; arifing either from an Error of the Conformative Faculty in the Contexture of the parts of the Eye, or (and that mofly) from a Confumption of part of the Chryftalline Humour by that Marafmus, Old Age: which makes the common Bafe of the Image Vifible to be trajected fo far invards, as not to be determined preciflely in the Centre of the concave of the Retina T unica. And therefore, according to the law of Contrariety, the Cure of this frequent fymptome is chiefly, if not only to be hoped from the ufe of Convex Spectacles. which determine the point of Concurfe exactly in the Centre of the Retiua Tunica; the rayes, by reafon of the double Convexity, viz. of the $\Psi$. chs and Chryfalline Humor, being fooner and more vigoroully united, in the due place.

The other, being Contrary to the firt, and alwayes Native, commonly named
Chap. III. The Reafon of Vifion. $16_{3}$
named Purblindnefs, Phyfitians define to be objcuritus vifus in cerneendis rebus diftantibus; in propinquis verò integrum acumen: a Dimnefs of the fight in the difcernmeit of Objects, unlefs they be appropinquate to the Eye. The Caufes hereof generally are either the too (pherical Figure of the Chryftalline Humor ; or, in the Ductus Ciliares, or fmall Filaments of the Aranea Tunica (the proper inveftment of the Chryftalline) a certain inepritude to that contraation, requifite to the adduction of the Chryftalline invards towards the retuna tunica, which is neceffary to the difcernment of objects at diftance : either of there Caures making the common Bare of the Image to be determined in the Vitrious Humor, and confequently the Image to arrive at the retina tunica, perturbed and confufed. And therefore our advice is to all Purblind Perfons, that they ufe Concave Spectacles: for, fuch prolong the point of concurfe, uncillit be convenient, i.e. to the concave of the retina tunica.

## Affumption the Sixth and laft.

Since all objects fipeculated under the fame Angle, feem of equal Masnitude (according to that of Scheinerus, ficut oculus rem per fe parvam, magnam arbitratur, quiafub magno angulo, refractionis bexefficio, illam apprebendit : \& magnam contrario parvam; fundamest. optic. lib. 2. part. 2. cap. 5.) and are accordingly judged, unlefs there intervene an Opinion of their unequal Diftance, which makes the Spectator praf(ume, that that Object is in it felf the Greater, which is the more Remote, and that the Lefs, which is the lefs Remote: : therefore, to the appehenfion and Dijudication of one of two objects, apparently equal, to be really the greater, is not required a greater Image, than to the apprehenfion and dijudication of an object to be really the lefs; but only an opinion of its greater Diftance.

This may receive boch Illuftration and Confirmation from this eafie $E x$ periment. Having placed horizontally, in a valley, a plane Looking Glars, of no more then one foot diametre; you may behold therein, at one intuition the Images of the firmament, of the invironing Hills, and all other things circumfituate, and thofe holding the fame magnitude, as when fpeculated directly, and with the naked eye: and this only becaure, though the Image in Dimeifions exceed not the Area of the Glafs, yet is it fuch, as that together with the things feen, it doth alfo exhibit the Diftance of each from other. Exactly like a good Landskip, wherein the ingenious Painter doth artificially delude the eye by a proportionate diminution and decurtation of the things prafented, infinuating an opinion of their Diftance. And therefore, the Reafon, why the Images of many things, as of fpacious Fields, embroydered with rowes of Trees, numerous Herds of Catdle, Flocks of Sheep, \&c. may at once be received into that narrow window, the Pupill of the eye, of a man ftanding on an Hill, Tower, or other eminent place, advanaggeous for profpect: is only this, that to the Speculation of the Hemifphere comprehending all thofe things, in that determinate magnitude, is required no greater an Image, than to the Speculation of an Hemiiphere, whofe diametre is commenfurable

Art. 17. Thas to the Dijudication of one of two obiects, apparently Equal, to be really the Greater; is not required a grearer 1. mage : buton: ly an Opinion of its grearer Diffance.
only by an inch. Since neither more rayes are derived from the one to the Pupil of the Eye, than from the other: nor to the judication of the one to be fo much Greater than the other, is ought required, befide an Opinion that one is fo much more Diftant than the other. And this we conceive a fufficient Demonftration of the Verity of our laft Paradox, viz. that the Eye fees as much, when it looks on a hilling, or other object of as fmall diametre ; as when it looks on the greateft Ocean.

Here moft opportunely occurs to our Confideration that notorious PROBLEM, 2uomodo objecti diftantia deprehendatur ab oculo? How the Diftance of the Object from the eye is perceived in the act of Vifion?

Art. 18.
Des Cartes 0pinion, concerning the Reafor of the Sights apprehending the Diffance of an object :

Art. 19. Unfaisfactory; and that for $r$ wo Con. fiderations.

Art. 20.
And that more folid one of Gafendus (viz. that the Caufe of our appreben. ding the Diflance of an objest, confiftetb in the Compara. tion of the ficefal things inter. jacent lesinixt the objest and the Eye, by the Betional 'acu!. ty) embraced and corrobo. rates'

This would Des Cartes have folved (I) By the various Figuration of the Eye. Becaufe in the Confpection of Objects remote, the Pupil of the Eye is expanded circularly, for the admiffion of more Rayes; and the Chryftalline Humor fomewhat retracted toward the Retina Tunica, for the Determination of the point of Concurfe in the fame, which otherwife would be fomewhat too remote : and on the contrary, in the confpection of objects vicine, the Pupil is contracted circularly, and the Chryftalline Lens protruded fomewhat outwardly, for the contrary refpects. (2) By the DiJtinct, or Confufed reprefentation of the object; as allo the Fortitude, or Imbecillity of Light illuftrating the fame. Becaufe things reprefented confufedly, or illuftrated with a weak lighe alwayes appear Remote : and on the contrary, things prefented diftinctly or illuftrate with a ftrong light, feem vicine.

But all this we conceive unfatisfactory. (I) Becaufe, unlefs the variation of the Figure of the Eye were Gradwal, refpective to the feveral degrees of diftance intercedent betwixt it and the object ; it is impoffible the fight thould judge an object to be at this or that Determinate remotion: and that the variation of the Figure of the Eye is not Gradual refpective to the degree of diftance, is evident even from hence; that the Pupil of the Eye is as much Expanded, and the Lens of the Chryftalline Humor as much Retracted toward the Retina Tunica, in the confpection of an object fituate at one miles diftance, as ofone at $2,3,4$, or more miles; there being a certain Term of the Expanfion of the one part, and Retraction of the other. (2) Becaufe though Vifion be Diftinct, or Confufed, both according to the more or less illuftration of the object by light, and to the greater or lefs Diftance thereof from the Eye; yet doth this Reafon hold only in mean, not large diftance: fince the orbs of the Sun and Moon appear greater at their rifing immediately above the Horizon, that is, when they are more Remote from the Eye, than when they are in the Zenith of their gyre, that is, when they are more Vicine to the Eye; and fince allobjects illuftrate with a weak light, do not appear Remote, nor ì contr a, as common obfervation demonftrateth.

And therefore allowing the Acutenefs of Des Cartes Conceit, we think it more fafe, becaufe more reafonable to acquiefce in the judgment of the grave Gafferdus; who (in Epifl. 2. de Mpparente Magnitud. Solis hxmilis \& fublimis) moft profoundly folves the Problem, by defuning the Caufe of our apprehending the diftunce of an Object, in the act of Vifion, from is the connexion thereof to the fenfe, neceffary to the making a right judgment, concerning the Diftance of the Vifible. And, moft certianly, therefore do two things at diftance feem to be Coutinued, becaufe they ftrike the Eye with cohærent, or contiguous Rayes. Thus doth the top of a Tower, though fituate fome miles beyond a Hill, yet feem Contiguous to the fame, nay to the vifible Horizon; and this only becaufe it is fpeculated by the Mediation of Contiguous Rayes : and the Sun and Moon, both orient and occident, feem to cohære to the Horizon becaufe though the faces are immenfe, that intercede betwixt their Orbs and the Horizon, yet from thofe fpaces doth not fo much as one fingle Raye arrive at the Eye, and thofe which come to it from the Sun and Moon are contiguous to thofe which come from the Horizon. And hence is it, that the Tower, Hill, and Horizon feem to the fight to be equidiftant from the Eye; becaufe no other things are interpofed, at leaft, feen interpofed, by the comparifon of which, the one may be deprehended more than the other. Befides, the diftance of the Horizon it felf is not apprehended by any other reafon, but the diverfity of things interjacent betwixt it and the Eye: for, look how much of Space is poffeffed valleys and lower grounds interjacent, fo much of Space is defalcated from the diftance; the fight apprehending all thofe things to be Contiguous, or Continued, whofe Rayes are received into the Eye, as Contiguous, or Continued, none of the fpaces interjacent affording one raye. Of which truth Des Cartes feems to have had a glimple, when (in Dioptrices cap. 6. Sect. 15.) He conceds; objectorum, que intuemur, pracedaneam cognitionem, ipforums diftantia melius dignofoende infervire : that a certain pracognition of the object doth much conduce to the more certain dignotion of its Diftance.

And on this bramen may we ingraft a PARADOX; that one and the fame object, Jpeculatied by the fame man, in the fame degree of light, doth alwayes dppear greutcr to one Eye, than to the other. The trutio of this is evincible by the point teftimony of thiofe incorruptible Witneffes of Certitude, Experience and Reafon. (i).Of Experience, becaufe no man can make the vifior of both his eyes equally perfect; but beholding a thing firft with one eye, the other being clofed, or eclipfed, and then with the other, the former being clofed or eclipfed; fhall conftantly difcover it to be greater in dimentions in the apprehenfion of one Eye, than of the other: and Gaffendus, making a perfect and ftrict Experiment hereof, teftifies of himfelf, (in Epift. 2. de Apparent. Magnitud. Solis, ©oc. Sect. 17.) that the Characters of his Book appeared to his right Eye, by a fifth part, greater in dimenfions, though fomewhat more obfcure, than to his left. (2) Of Reafon; becaufe of all Twin Parts in the body, as Ears, Hands, Leggs, Tefticles, \&c. one is alwayes more vigorous and perfect, in the performance of its action, than the other. Which Inæquality of Vigour, if it be not the Baftard of Cuftom, may rightfully be Fathered upon either this; that one part is invigorated with a more liberal aftux of Spirits, than the other: or this, that the Orgaganical Confitution of one Part is more perfect and firm, than that of the other. And, therefore, one Eye having its Pupill wider ; or the figure of the Chryftalline more Convex, or the Retina Tunica more concave, than the other ; muft apprehend an objeft to be either larger in Dimenfions, of more Distinct in Parts, than the other, whofe parts are of a different confi-
guration: either of thefe Caufes neceffitating a refpective Difparity in the Action.

If this found ftrange in the ears of any man, how will he fartle at the

Art. 22. A fecond PARADOX. That all men fee (diftinet ly) but with (ne Eye at once: contra. ry to that e. minent Optical $\Lambda x i o m^{4}$, that the Vifive Axes of both eyes concurr and unite in the $0^{-}$ject. mention of that much more Paradoxical Thefis of Fob. Baptijta Porta (lib. 6. de Refraition. cap. Y.) That no mancan fee (diftinctly) but with ore eys at once? Which though feemingly repugnant not only to common perfuafion, but alfo to that high and mighty Axiom of Albazen, Vitellio, Franc. Bacon. Niceron, and other the moft eminent Profeffors of the Optiques, That the Vifine Axes of both eyes concurr and unite in the object. Jpeoulated: is yet a verity, well worthy our admiffion, and affertion. For, the Axes of the Eyes are fo ordained by Nature, that when one is intended, the other is relaxed, when one is imployed, the other is idle and unconcerned; nor can they be both intended at once, or imployed, though both may be at once relaxed, or unimployed: as is Experimenter, when with both eyes open we look on the leafofa Book; for we then perceive the lines and print thereof, but do not diftinctly difcern the Characters, fo as to read one word, till we fix the Axe of one eye thereon; and at that inftant we feel a certain fuddain fubfultation, or gentle impulfe in the Centre of that eye, arifing doubtlefs from the rufling in of more firits through the Optick Nerve, for the more efficacious performance of its action. The Cawfe of the impoffibility of the intention of both Vifive Axes at one object, may be defumed from the Paralleli/ $m$ of the Motion of the Eyes; which being moft evident to fenfe, gives us juft.ground to admire, how fo many fubtle Mathematicians, and exquifite Oculifts have not difcovered the Coition and Union of the Vifive Axes in the object fpeculated, which they fo confidently build upon, to be an abfolute Impoffibility. For, though man hath two Eyes; yet doth he ufe but one at once, in the care of Diftinct infpection, the right eye to difcern objects on the right fide, and the left to view objects on the left: nor is there more neceffity, why he fhould ufe both Eyes at once, than both Arms, or Leggs, or Tefticles, at once. And for an Experiment to affift this Reafon; we thall defire you only to look at the top of your own Nofe, and you fhall foon be convicted, that you cannot difcern it with both eyes at once; but the right fide with the right eye, and afterward the left fide with the left eye: and at the inftant of changing the Axe of the firt eye, you fhall be fenfible of that impulfe of Spirits, newly mentioned. Nor, indeed, is it poffible, that while your right eye is levelled at the right fide of your nofe, your left fhould be levelled at the left fide, but on the contrary averted quite fromit : becaufe, the motion of the eyes being Conjugate, or Parallel, when the Axe of the right eye is converted to the right fide of the nofe, the Axe of the left muft be converted toward the left Ear. And, therefore, fince the Vifive Axes of both. Eyes cannot Concurr and Unite in the Tipp of the Nofe; what can remain to perfuade, that they muft Concurr and unite in the fame Letter, or Word in a book, which is not many inches more remote than the Nofe? And, that you may fatisfie your felf, that the Vifive Axes doe never meet, but run on in a perpetual ParalLelifin, i. e. in direct lines, as far diftant each from other, as are the Eyes themfelves; having fixed aftaff or launce upright in the ground, and retreated from it to the diftance of 10 or 20 paces, more or lefs: look as earneftly as you can, on it, with your right eye, clofing your left, and you hall perceive it to eclipre a certain part of the wall, tree, or other body fituate beyond it. Then look on it again with your left eye, clofing your right; and you
fhall obferve it to eclipfe another part of the wall : that face being intercepted, which is called the Parallaxe. This done, look on it with both eyes open; and if the Axes of both did meet and unite in the flaff, as is generally fuppofed, then of neceffity would you obferve the ftaff to eclipfe either both parts of the Wall togecher, or the middle of the Parallaxe: but you fhall obferve it to do neither, for the middle fhall never be eclipfed; but only one of the parts, and that on which you thall fix one of your eyes more intently than the other. This confidered, we dare fecond Gafendus in his promife to Gunners, that they fhall fhoot as right with both eyes open, as only with one : for levelling the mouth of the Peece directly at the mark, with one eye, their other muft be wholly unconcerned therein, nor is it ought but the tyrannie of Cuftome, that can make it difficult.

Here, to prevent the moft formidable Exception, that lyes againft this Paradox, we are to advertife you of two Conliderables. Firft, that as well Philofophers, as Oculift unanimounly admit three Degrees, or gradual Differences of fight. (r) Vifus Perfectiffimus, when we fee the fimalleft (vifible) particles of an object, moft diftinclly : (2) Perfectus, when we fee an object diftinctly enough, in the whole or parts, but apprehend not the particles, or minima vifibilia thereof: (3) Imperfectus, when befides the object directly obverted to the Pupil of the eye, we alfo have a glimmering and imperfect perception of other things placed ad latera, on the right and left fide of it. Secondly, that the verity of this Paradox, that we fee but with one eye at once, is reftrained only to the Firft and second degrees of Sight, and extends not to the Laff. For, Experience affures, that, as many things circumvicine to the principal object, on which we look only with one eyeopen, prafent themfelves together with it, in a confuifed and obrcure manner : fo likewife, when both eyes are open, many things, obliquely incident into each eye, are confufedly, and indiftinctly apprehended. So that in confured and Imperfect Vifion, it may be truly faid, that a man doth fee with both eyes at once : but not in Diftinct and Perfect.

## Sect. III.

Tentertain Curiofity with a fecond Courfe, we fhall here attempt the Conjectural Solution of thofe fo much admired Effects of Convex and Concave Glaffes ; that is, Why the Rayes of Light, and together with them thofe fubftantial Effluxes, that effence the Vifible Images of Objects, being trajected through a Convex Glars, or reflected from a Concave, are Congregated into a perpendicalar ftream : and likewife, why the Rayes of Light, being trajected through a Concave, or reflesed from a Convex, are Difgregated from a perpendicular radius.
Firf, infomuch as Glafs, of the moft polite and equal fuperfice is full of infenfible Pores, or Perforations, and folid impervious Cranule's, alternately interfperfed; we may upon confequence conceive, that each of thofe folid Gramules is as it were a certain Monticle, or fmall Hillock, having a finall top, and fmall fides circularly declining toward thofe little Valleys, the Pores. Sun, fince the fimall Pores thereof tend from one fuperfice to the other in direct and parallel lines, for the moft part; it murt be, that all the Rayes incident into the Pores, pafs through in direct and parallel lines, into the Aer beyond it: and fo can be neither Congregated, nor Difgregated, but muft conftantly purfue the fame direct courle, which they continued from the body of the Sun, to their incidence on the furface of the Glars. But if the Extream of the Glafs, refpecting the Sun, be of a Corvex figure; then, becaufe one Pore (conceive it to be the Central one) is directly obverted to the Sun, and all the others have their apertures more oblique and, pointing another way; therefore it comes to pals, that one ray, falling into the directly obverted pore, is directly trajected through the fame, and paffeth on into the aer beyond it in a direct line; but another ray, falling on the fide of the Hillock next adjacent to the right pore, is thereby Refracted and Deflected, fo that it progreffeth not forward in a line parallel to the directly trajected ray, but being conjoined to it, paffeth on in an united Atream with it. And neceffary it is, that the Angle of irs Refiaction be by fo much the more obtufe, by how much nearer the point of the Hillock, from which it was refracted, is to the direct or perpendicularly tranfmitted ray; and, on the contrary, by fo much the more Acute, by how mach the more remote: becaufe There the ray falls more deeply into the obvious pore, and ftrikes lower on the adjacent Hillock, whofe Protuberancy therefore doth lefs Deflect it; but Here the ray falls higher on the fide of the Hillock, and fo by the Protuberancie, or Devexity thereof is more deflected. But if the Extreme of the Glafs confronting the Sun, be of a Concare figure; in that cafe, becaufe one pore being directly open, others have their apertures more obliquely refpecting the Sun, it comes to pais, that the ray falling intothe direct pore, is directly trajected, and paffeth through the aer in a perpendicular; but another ray falling on the fide of the next adjacent Hillock, is thereby refracted and deflected, fo that it doth not continue its progrefs in a line parallel to the directly-tranfient ray; but is abduced from it, and that fo much the more, by how much the farther it paffeth beyond the Glafs. And neceffary it is, that the Angle of its Refraction be alfo fo much the more obtufe, by how much nearer the point of its incidence on the fide of the Hillock, is to the Aperture of the Direct pore; becaufe it falls deeper into it, and frikes lower on the devex fide of the Hillock: and on the contrary, fo much the more Acute, by how much more remote its point of incidence is to the Apertu:e of the Direct pore; for the contrary refpect. And this is the fumm of our Conjecture, touching the reafons of the different Trajection of Rayes through Converand Concaye Glaffes.

As for the other part of our Conception, concerning Reficxed Rayes; if the Glafs obverted to the Sun be Plane in it fuperfice, then, becaufe all the Topps of the folid and impervious Hillocks, are directly obverted to the Sun, therefore muft it be, that all the rayes incident upon them become Reflected back again toward the Sun, if not in the fame, yet at leat in Contiguous lines. But if the face of the Glafs obverted to the Sun, be Convex; then, becaufe the topp of one Hillock is directly obverted, and thofe of others obliquely refpecting the Sun; it comes to pafs, that one ray being directly.Reflected, the others are reflected obliquely in lines quite different: and this in an Angle by fo much more Acuse, by how much
nearer the Topps of the obliquely refpecting Hillocks are to that of the directly refpecting one; and by fo much the more obtufe, by how much the more Remote. And, if the fide of the Glafs turned toward the Sun, be Concave; becaufe the Top, of one Hillock is directly, and thofe of ochers obliquely obverted to the Sun; hence comes it, that the Ray incident on the directly-obverted one, is directly Reffected, and thofe that fall on the topps of the obliquely-obverted ones, are accordingly reflected obliquely, toward the Directly reflected; fo that at a certain diftance they all Concurr and Unice withit in that point, called the Term of Concurfe: and chis in an Angle fo much more Acute, by how much nearer the Topps of the obliquely-refecting Hillocks are to that of the Directly-reflecting one ; and écontr a.


Tylut: fag. 1 . 6 .


Art. 2. A COROL. LARIE. Hinting the Caules, why an Elliptical Concaverefledts the incidentrayes, in a more Acure angle, than a Parabolical: and a Parabolical than a spherical.

Thefe things clearly underftood, we need not want a perfect Demonftration of the Caufes, why a Concave Glafs, whiofe Concavity confifterh of the fegment of an Ellipfis, reflecteth the rayes ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{r}$ the Sun in a more Acute Angle, and confequently burneth both mos vigoroufly, and at greater Diftance, then one whofe Concavity is the fegment of a Parabola: and why a Parabolical Section reflecteth themin an Angle more Acute, and fo burneth both at greater diftance, and more vigoroufly, than the Section of Circle. Efpecally if we familiarize this theory by the accommodation of thefe Figures.


$$
\text { Figur pag. } 1 \text { go. }
$$



Thus have we, in a fhort Difcourfe, not exceeding the narrow limits of a fingle Arricle, intelligibly explicated the Caufe of that fo much admired Difparity in the Effects of Plane, Convex, and Concave, Glaffes; as well Dioptrical, or Trajecting the rayes of Light into the Aer beyond them, as Catopt, cal, or Reflecting them back again from their obverted fuperfice. And we ask leave to encreafe our Digreffion only with this CONSECTARY. Becaufe the Rayes of Light, and the rayes of vifible Images are Analogical in their nature, and flow hand in hand together into the Eye, in the act of Vifion; therefore is it, that to a man ufing a Plane Perpicii, an object alwayes appears the $\int$ ame, i. e. equal in dimenfrons, and dittinction of parts, as it doch to his naked Eye: by reafon the Angle of its Extreams is the fame in the Plane Glafs, as in the Eye. But, to a man ufing a Convex Perpicil, an object appears Greater; becaufe the Angle of its Extreams is amplified: and through a Concave, Lefs; becaufe the Angle is diminimed. In like manner, the Image of an object reflected from a Plane Mirrour, appears the Came to the Spectator, as if Deradiated immediately, or without reflexion, from the object it felf; becaufe the Reflex Angle is equal to the Direct : but the Image of an Object Reflected froma Convex Mirrour appears Lefs; becaufe the Angle of its Reflection is lefs than that of its Direction: and from a Concave, Greater; becaufe the Reflex Angle is greater than the Direct: This may be autoptically Demonftrated thus. If youadmit the Image of a man, or any thing elfe, through a finall perforation of the wall, into an obfcure chamber, and fix a Convex Lens in the perforation, with the Convex fide toward the Light; you fhall, admoving your eye thereto, at Convenient diftance, obferve the tranfmitted Image to be Amplified : but, receiving the Image on a fheet of white Paper, pofited where your Eye was, you fhall perceive it to be Minorated: the Contrary Effect arifing from a Concave Lens, pofited in the hole, with its Concave fide toward the Light. And this, becaufe the Convex Congregating the rayes into the Pupill of the Eye ( and fo making the qciols, or Apparition Greater, for the caufe formerly expofited) doth alfo Congregate them on the Paper; and therefore the Innage cannot but appear Contracted, or Minorated: but on the contrary, the Concave Difgregating the rayes from the Pupil (and fo making the quiots, or Apparition lefs in the Retina of the Optick Nerve) doth .alfo Difgregate, or diffufe them largely on all parts of the Paper, and fo the Image thereon received cannot but appear much Amplified.

Art. 3o A CONsec. TARY.
Why a Plane Perficil exhibirs an obi i in genuine Dimentions; but a Conerx, in Amplified and a concaze, in minorated.
$\qquad$

Sест. IV.

HItherto we have in fome degree of fatisfaction, explicated the Manner, how, by the Incurfion of fubftantial Images, deradiated from the object to the Eye, the Vifive Faculty comes to apprehend the Coloir, Figure, Magnitude, Number, and Diftance of objects: and therefore it remains only, to the Complement of our prefent Defignation, that we explore the Reafons of the Perception of the Situation, 2uiet, and Motion of objects, by the fight. To our more perficuous folution of which notable Difficulty; and to the illuftration of many paffages precedent in the two laft Sections : it muft beconfeft not only ornamental, or advantageous, but fimply neceffary, that we here Anatomize the whole Eye, and confider the proper ufes of the feveral parts thereof; thofe efpecially, that are either immediately and primarily inftrumental, or only fecundarily infervient to Vifion.


In the Conformation of the Eye, or minor Microcofm, as Cafferius Placentinus calls it, in refpect to the admirable Conftructure thereof; the Firft obfervable is, that it is compofed of many Diaphanous, or Tranfparent Parts, as the Horny Membrane ( BCB ) the Aqueous $H u_{-}$ mour (EFKFE) the Chryfalline (L) and the Vitreous (MGHMIN): and the intention of that Unimitable Miftrefs of the Optiques, Nature, herein was, that the Vifive Rayes might not be Reflected from, but eafily Trajected through them, into the Amphibleftroides, or Net-work Coas, The Second is it Convex Figure; wherein the Providence of Nature had refpect to the neceffary Congregation and Unition of moft of the rayes incident on the Area of the Eye, fo that the Vifive Axe might confift of many more rayes, than othervife, i.e. had the figure of the Eye been Plane, or Concave, it would have done: for, being by this Convexity refracted, they convene in a Cone determined in the Centre of the Amphibleftroides. For the Convexity is fo exactly proportionate to the Diftance of the Retina. Tunica from the Chryftalline, that moft of the Vifive Rayes, emanant from the feveral points of the object, and incident upon the feveral points of the Horny Membrane, may, after various Refractions, have their Rendezvouz, or point of Concurfe exactly in the middle of the Retina Tunica: becaufe, fhould their point of Concurle

Art. 1. A Recapitula. rion of the principal Ar. guments precedent: and fummary of the fubfequent.

Art. 2. The Eye Anaromized: and the proper $u /{ }^{6}$ of each Pare thereof, either abfolutely Ne . ceffary, or only Advantagious to Vifion, concifely demonftrated. viz. of 1. The Diathanity of the Horny Membrane, and the three Humors, Aqucous, Chysfalitines and vitreous.

## 4.

 The Pupilla.5. 

The Blacknefs of the infide of the Vrea Tunica.
curfebe either fhort of, or beyond the Retina Tunica; ofneceffity the Image could not be at all, or, at moft, but very obfcurely prefented therein, as confifting of Difperfed, and mutually Interfecting rayes. The Third is the Hvea 7uxica, or anterior part of the Choroides, whofe exterior fuperfice ( E F, FE ) being Diverficolor, or of various Colours, is called the Iris or Rainbow : which Galen, Cafferiws Placentinus, and Riolanus will have to confift of a fix-fold Circle, but Plempius only of a Three-fold, the Two outmoft at the white of the Eye being more narrow in latitude, and the Third refpecting the Pupil of the Eye more ample, and illuftrate with the conftant colour on the Limbus of the Uvea Tunica, which in fome bears Sables, in others Azure, in others Sables and Argent confufed: whence the Difference of Black, Blewinh, and Grey Eyes. In the middle of this Coat is a Perforation, called the Pupil, (F K F) and by the vulgar, the Apple of the eye; of fuch a Conftitution, that by Dilatation and Confriction, as of it ivere a Sphinctre Mufcle, it might be made wider, or narrower : and this for the Moderation of the incurrent rayes, which being fometimes more, fometimes fewer, and fometimes ftrong, fometimes weak, require a certain Moderation proportionate to the Faculty of therecipient and terminating fenfory. For, infomuch as an excefs of Light is deftructive, and the Defect of it infufficient to diftinct Vifion; therefore did the Eternal Wifdome in the Entrance into the Chryftalline, contrive thus Window capable of Dilatation and Conftriction: in Dilatation toadmit fo much of the weaker Light as is required to perfect and diftinct Vifion; in Contraction to exclude fo much of the Exceffive, as would offend, if not perifh the Organ. Yet in many the Amplitude of the Pupil varies, and thofe who have it very narrow, are ftrong and acute fighted; but thofe, who have it more dilated conftantly, fee but weakly and obtufely. The interior fuperfice of this Membrane is obduced, or lined with a certain Fuligincus fubftance that gives it the Colour of a blackifh Grape, fully ripe : but to what end Nature provided this opacating Tincture, hath been a quæftion, that, even from Galens dayes to ours, hath made the Schools both of Anatomifts and Profeffors of the Optiques, ring again with Controverfies. Some affirming the defign of Nature therein to be, that the Chryftalline being veyled over with this obfcure parget, might have its own fplendor more intenfe by Congregation: becaufe, according to the pofition of $\operatorname{Albazen}(l i b .1$. propof. 33.) as a fmall lightina dark obfcure place is better perceptible, as diffufing a brighter luftre, than in a wide, luminous place; and confequently makes the circumjacent parts more vifible: fo doth the internal fplendour of the Chryftalline become more illultrious, becaufe the inner circumference of the whole Ulvea Tunica is lined with this footy matter, the rayes deradiating from it by reflection from the oppofite opacity of the Membrane, becoming reaffembled and united in a more vigorous luftre. Others conceiving the intention of it to be, the Recreation or Refection of the Vifive Spirits; becaufe when ever the Chryftalline is offended, or rather the Amphibleftroides, with too vehement a Light, we ufe, for prefent remedy, to clofe our eyes, and the fpirits recoyling upon the Chryftalline from the natural darknefs of this Coat, are reaffembled, and fo refrefled. And others contefting that the only ufe of it is, the Interception of Light; for, fince the Pupil, or anterior perforation of the Ulvea Tunica, is the only Aperture, or portal framed for the incromiffion of the Vifible Images, and there ought to be no other paffage, whereat Light might intrude it felf into the concave of the Eye: what could
wife Nature have thought on more convenient to the Exclufion of unneceffary light, than the interjection of this fable Curtain? Experience evincing that nothing intercepts and fhuts out Light, than opace Bodies interpofed. Thefe, indeed, are ingenious and plaufible Conceits, but if truth be to be preferred to Acutenels; we may determine, that the only and proper ufe of this Atramentous or footy Blacknefs is, that the Rayes of Light, incident on the Concave of the Amphibleftroides, ( GHI ) and thence refilient back to the Concave of the. Ulvea Tunica, might by the Blacknels of its lining be extinguifht, i. e. abfolutely terminated: left thence again Reflected to the Amphibleftroides, they might perturb the Vifible Image, and confequently the fight. The Fourth obfervable, is the Tunica Arachnoides, in its middle containing the moft pretious of Gemms, the Cbryfadline Humor, whofe Figure alfo is Convex (but whether of a Parabolical, Elliptical, or Spharical Section, is a noble problem, becaufe not yet determined. ) on both fides, though fomewhat more on that fide refpecting the Recina Tunica, and manifeftly oblong, or inclining to an oval. This Coat, by the Mediation of the Ciliary Proceffes, or flender Filaments ( $\mathrm{B} N, \mathrm{NB}$ ) difperfed from the Tunica Arachnoides, doth move the Chry ${ }^{2}$ ftalline either nearer to, or farther from the Retina Tunica, as the greater or lefs Diftance of the object requires. For, in the Chryftalline, by rearon of its greater both Denfity and Convexity, the rays of the fpecies are more ftrongly Refracted and more clofely United, than in any other part of the Eye : which juftifieth their opinion who make it the Primary Medium of Vifion. Becaufe, as a Convex Lens pofited in a hole of the wall, admits the fpecies into anobfcure room and alfo collect the rayes of it: fo doth the Chryftalline both admit and congregate them. And becaufe it is Diaphanous, therefore are not the fpecies terminated therein, as Galen, and after himmoft Anatomifts have dreamt : fincęotherwife no reafon can be alledged, why the fpecies fhould not be as well terminated in the Horny Membrane, the Vitreous, or Aqueous Humour. Wherefore, Vifion is not made in the Chryftalline but the Retina Tunica: becaufe the fpecies are therein Terminated, as in an opace body. Scheinerus opinioned, that the fpecies, which otherwife, by reafon of feveral refractions before their arrival at the Chryftalline, would have been exhibited in Reverfe pofitions, are theeein refracted, and Rectified. But, from the Obfervation of Franc. syluius, Franc. Vander Schazen, Foh. Walleus, and Athanas Kircherus, the twnica Choroides being fublated from the hinder part of the Eye, and then the Sclirotica, and laftly the Amphibleftroides; all objects appear inverfed in the Chryftalline: and in a fmaller form by much in the Eye of an Oxe, than in the Eye of a Man. The fame hath Plempius demonftrated by the Experiment of an Artificial Glafs Eye, placedin the fmall Aperture of a Window: all things externally objected appearing therein Inverft, as alfo on a theet of paper pofited before the decuffation of the rayes. And, doubtlefs, it is neceffary, that the fpecies be inverted, at their termination on the Retina Tunica; fince otherwife we fhould have apprehended the object as inverf : which Kepler demonftrates from hence, that (in paffone Patientia Agentibus è regione effe oppofita debere ) in Paffion the Patients mult be on the contrary region to the Agents. Some, we confefs would have it, that the judicatory Faculty dorh correct the depraved Figure of the fpecies: becaufe (forfooth) it difcerns the juft magnitude of objects and their fituation, by moft fmall Images; as a good Geometrician doth judge of the dimenfions of Herciles whole body, by commenfurating thofe of his Heel. And others confign
that office to the Common Senfe, which looking (retro \& defuper) on the inverted fpecies, apprehends them in aright pofition. And laftly, others defume the right judgment, from the recititude of the line, by which the fpecies are impreft. And thus poor man aggravates the Difficulcies in Na fure, though to his own greater difquiet and perplexity. The Laft of Parts in the Eye, immediately neceffary to Vifion, is the Retina Tunica, or Net-work Coat (GHI) in the bottom of the Eye; contexed of an innumerable multitude of Filaments, or thread-like Expanfions of the Optick Nerve: and this is that noble fenfory, formed for the Laft Reception and Sigillation of the Image, which from hence by the Continuity of the Optick Nerve, is communicated $\tilde{m}$ " $\mathrm{H} \gamma \mathrm{qugrin}_{n}$, to the Principal Faculty, refiding in the Brain.
10.

The tix Nu . fcles; viz.

But, becaufe the Axe of the Vifive Pyramid is a perpendicular line, beginning in the Extrems of the object, and ending in the Amphibleftroides; had the Eye been nailed or fixt in its orbita, we muft have been neceffitated to traverfe the whole Machine of the body, for a pofition thereof convenient to Vifion, fince it can diftinctly apprehend no object, but what lyes $\grave{e} d i$ recto oppofite ; or have had this femi-rational fenfe, whofe glory builds on Variety, reftrained to the fpeculation of fo few things, that we fhould have received more Difcomfort from their Paucity, than either Information, or Delight from their Difcernment : therefore, that we might enjoy a more enlarged Profpect, and read the whole Hemifphere over in one momentany act of Vifion, Nature hath furnifhed the Eyes with Mufcles, or Organs of agility; that fo they may accommodate themfelves to every vifible, and hold a voluntary verlifity to the intended object;

## - Parvulajic magnum pervifit Pupula Colum.

And of thefe ocular Mufcles there are in Man, juft fo many, as there are kinds of Motion, 4 Direct, and 2 oblique or Circular ; all fituate within the Crbita, and affociated to the Optick Nerve, and conjoining their Tendons, at the Horny Membrane, they conftituce the Tunica Innomitata, fo named by Columbus, who arrogates the invention thereof to himfelf, though Galen (lib. 10. de ufiu part. cap. 2.) makes exprefs mention of it.

The Firft of the four Direct Mulcles, implanted in the fuperiour part of the Eye, draweth it Upward; whence it is denominated Atollens, the Lifter up, and Superbus, the Proud: beciaufe this is that we ufe in Haughty and fublime looks.

Depriment, The Second, fituate in the inferiour part of the Eye, and Antagonift to the former, ftoops the Eye Downivard; and thence is called De. primens, the Depreffor, and Humilis, the Humble: for this pofition of the eye fpeaks the DejeEtion, and Humility of the Mind.

Addactnt,
The Third, faftned in the Major Canthus, or great angle of the Eye, and converting it toward the Nofe; is therefore named Adducens, the Adducent, and Bibitorius, for in large draughts we frequendy

## The
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The Fourth, oppofite both in fituation and office to the former, abduceth the Eye laterally toward the Ear; and is therefore named Abducens, and Indignaiorius, the fcoming mufcle: for, when we would caft a glance of fcorn, contempt, or indignation, we contract the Eye towards the outiward angle, by the help of this mufcle.

If all thefe Four work together, the Eye is retracted inward, fixt, and immote: which kind of Mosion Phyfitians call Chotus Tonicus, and in our language, the Sett, or Wijt Look.

Of the c blique Mufcles, the Firft, running betwixt the Eye, and the tendons of the Second and Third Mufcles, by the outward angle afcends to the fuperior part of the Eye, and inferted near to the Rainbow, circumgyrates the Eye downward.
2. And Oblique, as the 2 Cir cumaflors, or Lovers Mu. fcles.

The Sccond, and fmalleft, twifted into a long tendon, circumrotates the Eye toward the interior angle, and is called the Trochlea, or Pully. Thefe two Circumactors are firnamed Amatoriy, the Lovers Mulcles; for there are they that roul about the eye in wanton or amorous Glances.

And thus much of the Conformation of the Eye.
Now, as to the Solution of our Problem, viz. How the S IT UA ATION of an object is perceived by the fight? Since it is an indifputable Canon, omnem fenfum deprebendere remad eam regionem, è qua ultimo directa motione feritur, that every fenfe doth apprehend its proper object to be fituate in that part of Space, from whence, by direct motion, it $\cdot$ was thereby affected: we may fafely inferr, that the Vifible Object alwayes appears fituate in that part of fpace, from whence the Image thereof in a direct line invadeth the Eye, and enters the Pupil thereof. Which is true and manifeft not only in the intuition of an object by immediate or Direct rayes; but alfo in the infpection of Looking-Glaffes, that reprefent the object by Reflex: and a pure Confequence, that a Vifible Object, by impreffion of its rayes proceeding from a certain place, or region, muft of neceffity be perceived by the fight, in its genuine pofition, or Erect Form; though we have the teftimony both of Reafon and Autopfie, that the Image of every Vifible is pourtraid in the Amphibleftroides, in an unnatural pofition, or Everfe Form.

Art. 3. Why the Situation of an object is perceived by the fight.

Art. 4. As for that of Reafon, it is thus Demonftrated. Suppofe the Eye The Rearon of to be C D; the bottome the Evedfifon of thereof to be $E$; the obthe Image, in the AmphibleBroides. jeet illuftrate by the Sun A B. wiz. 2 Crofs painted on a Wall; the Pupil of the Eye, G H; and the Centre of the Pupill, $I_{\text {; }}$ Now, the Image of this Crofs emanant therefrom, and entering the Pupill, in the lines AT, BS, muft arrive at the bottome of the Eye, S T, in an Everfe, or prapofterous Form : becaufe the narrownefs of the Pupil, rogether with the prxvious Refraction, makes the rayes concurrent at the point $I$, to Decuffate, or mutually interfect each other; fo that the raye proceeding
 from $A$, falls upon the part of the Retina Tunica, $T$, and the ray B falls upon S . Which makes it of abfolute neceffity, that the upper part or Head of the Crofs, $A$, be depicted in the lower patt of the Concave of the Retina Tunica, $T$ : and the lower part, or Foot, $B$, in the upper part of the Concave of the Retina Tunica, S.

Art. 5 : The fame ilJuntrate by an Expcriment.

And, as for that of Autopfie, or Ocular Experiment; Take the Eye of an Oxe, or (if the Anatomick Theatre be open) of a man, for in that the fpecies are reprefented more to the life, than in the Eye of any other Animal, as Des Cartes (is dioptrices cap. 5. Sect. II.) and having gently Atripr off the three Coats in the bottome, in that part directly behind the Chryftalline, fo that the Pellucidity thereof become vifible, place it in a hole of proportionate magnitude, in the wall of your Clofer, made obfcure by excluding all other light, fo that the Anterior part theazeof may refpect the light. This done, admoving your Eye towards the denudated part of the Chryftalline; you may behold the Species of any thing obverted to the outfide of the Eye, to enter through the Chryftalline to the bottom thereof, and there reprefented in a mont lively figure, as if pourtrayed by the exquifite Pencil of Apelles; but whoily Everfed: as in this following Iconifme.
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- Finally; an object appears either in Motion; or Quiet; according as the Image thereof, reprefented on the Retina Tunica, is moved: or Quiet : only becaufe, according to the Canon, in the præadent Article, touching the reafon of the perception of the fituation of an object, the Vifible is alwayes judged to be in that part of Space, from which, in a direct line, the laft impreffion is made upon the Senforium.

And this Reafon is of extent fufficient to include the full Solution alfo of that PROBLEM, by Alexander (2. de Anima 34.) fo infulting propofed to the Defendants of Epicurus Material Actinobolifine Vifive, or the Emanation of fubitantial Images from the Object to the Eye: vix. Why doth the Image of a man move, when $r$ flected from a Mirrour, according as the man moves? For, this Pharnomenon we are to referr to the Variation of the parts of the Mirrou:, from each of which it is neceffary that a frefh Reflexion of the Speciess be made into the Eye: and confequently, that the Image appear mo-

$$
A a_{2}
$$

ved $_{5}$

Art. 6.
Why the Mo. tion and Quit: of obiects are difcerned by the fighr.
ved, according to the various motions of the object. The neceffity of this is evident from hence; if you fand beholding your face in a Glats, and there be divers others ftanding by, one at your right hand, another at your left, a third looking over your head in the fame Glats; they fhall all behold your image, but each in a diftinct part of the Glafs. Whence you may alfo underfand, that in the Look-ing-glafs is not only that Image, which you behold, but alfo innumerable others; and thofe fo mutually communicant, that in the fame place, where you behold your nofe, another fhall fee your chin, a third your forehead, a fourth your mouth, a fifth your Eyes, \&c. and yet doth no one fee other then a fimple and diftinct Image. Moreover you may hence inferr, that in the medium is no point of Space, in which there is not formed a perfect Image of the rayes concurring therein, and advenient from the fame object; though not from the fame parts, or particles thereof : and confequently that in the whole Medium there are no two Images perfectly alike; as alfo, that what the Vulgar Philofophers teach, that the whole Inage is in the whole Space or Medium, and whole in every part thereot, is a manifert Falfity. For, though it may be faid juftly enough, that the whole Image, i. e. the Aggregate of all the Images, is in the whole Space: yet is there no part of that Space, in which the whole Image can be.

Art. 8. Why the right fide of a Caroperical 1mage reipects the Left of its Exemplar. And why two Catoptrick Glinfes, confrontingly pofired, caufe a Roffitution of the pares of the Image to the natural Form.

To this place belongs aro that PR OBLEM; why doth not the right hand of the Image refpard to the right of the object: but constrariwife, the left to the right, andright to the left?

The Caufe whereof confifteth onely in the Images Confronting the Object: or, as Plato (in Tinueo) moft perficicuoully expreffeth it, quia contrarijs vifus partibus ad contrarias partes fit contactus. Underftand it by fuppofing a fecond perfon pofited in the place of the Mirrour, and confronting the firft: for, his right hand muft be oppofed to the othersleft.

Nor is the reafon of the Inverfion of the parts of the Image other than this; that the rayes emitted from the right fide of the object, are reflected on the left, and é Contric. Juft as in all Impreffions, or Sigillations, the right fide of the Antitype refponds to the left of the type. Confule Aquilonium, lib. 1. opt. propofit: 46. And, 縕 for the reafon of the Reftitution of the parts of the Image to the right: pofition of the parts of the object ; by two Mirrours' confrontingly pofited: it may moft eafily and fatisfactorily be explained by the Deculfation of the reflected rayes.

To Conclude. We need not advertife, that the optical Problems seferrible to this place, are, (if not infinite.) fo numerous, as to require alarger Volume to their orderly Propofition and Solution; thank what we have defigned to the whole of this our Phyfology. Nor remember you, that our principal Scope in this Chapter, was only to exince the Præeminence of Epicurus Hypothefis above all others, concerning the Reafon and Manner of Vifion; and this by accommodazing it to the Verifimilous Explanation of the moft Capital Diffle cultics, occurring to a profound inqueft into that aboftrufe fubject.
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All therefore that remains unpaid of our prefent Debr, is modeftly to referr it to your equitable Arbicration; Whether we have deferted the Doctrine of the Arifoteleans, touching this theorem, and addicted ourfelves to the Sect of the Epicureans, on any other Intereft; but that facred one of Verity : which once to decline, or neglect, upon the finifter prextext of vindicating any Human Auctority; is an unpardonable Profanation of Reafon, and high treaton againft the ftate of Learning.



## N A TURE OF

Sect. I.

Art.i.
The Argurent duely acknowledged to be fipperlatively Diffcult, if not abrolurely Acataleptical.


He Rabbins, whenever they encaunter any Ptoblem; that feems too ftrong for their Reafon; to excufe their defpair of conquering it, they inftantly recurr to that proverbial Sanctuary, Refervatur in adventwns Elia, it belongs to the Catalogue of fecrets, that are referved for the revealment of Elias. And, ingenoufly, if any Abfrufity in Nature be fo imperveftigable, as to juftifie our open profeffion of Incapacity, and neceffitate our oppreft Underftanding to retreat to the fame common Refuge; it muft be this of the NATURE OF COLOURS, to the confideration whereof the Clue of our Method hath now brought us. For, though all Philooophers unanimoufly embrace, as an indubitable verity, thar. the object of Sight in General, is to 'oeariv, Vifible, whatever is deprehenfibie by that Senfe; and that, in Particular, the Proper and Adequate object thereof, is To 天owng, Colour, becaule nothing is viliblebut under the glofs or vernifh of Colour, nor doth Light it felf fubmit to the difcernment of the eye, quatenus Lutx, in the capacity ofits Form, or meerly as Light, but inftar Albedinis, as it retains to Whitenefs; all which Mer femus (optica part. 2.theorem. I.) hath judicioully contracted into this one Theorem,, , bjest, vifus precipurm oft Lux \& Color, vel Lux colorata, ant Color lucidus: we fay, notwithtanding this their Ground-work be laid in the rock of manifert. Certitude, yet when they attempt to crect thereon an eftablint and permanent Theory of the Effence of Colours, either in their fimple and firtt

Noures,

Natures, or complex and fecondary Removes; they find the eye of their Curiofity fo obnubilated with denfe and impervious Difficulties, that all of cerrainty they can difcover, is only this; that their mof fubcle indagations were no more but anxious Gropings in the dark, after that, whofe Exiftence is evidenced only by, and Effence confiftech chiefly in Light. But, this Infelicity of our Intellectuals will be more fully commonftrated by our abridged rehearfal of the moft memorable Opinions of others, and the declarement of our own, concerning this Magnale.

The Deffot of the Schools (in lib. de eenfu $\Leftarrow$ Senflii, cap.3.) defines Co -
 phanum, or tranffarent body terminated: fubjoining that Colour appertains to all things, ratione Perpicuitatio, and confequently, that the extremity of a peripicuous body terninated is the Subject of Colour. Which that we maxy clearly underftand, let us confult the great. Scaliger, who (in Exercit. 325.) thus concifely Comments thereupon. If the Perfpicuum (faith He ) fuffer condenfation Io far as to the amiffion of its Tranfparency, and fo prohibit the traje:tion of the Vifible Species; it inftandly becomes Colorate, and ought to be accounted Terminate, becaure it bounds or limits the Vifive rayes. Wherefore, the law of Confequence injoineth, that we explore the Effence of Colours, in the Gradual Termination of the Diaphanum; and derive that Termination (I) from meer Conden/ation, without the admixture of any orher thing to the Diaphanum; as may be inftanced in the Starrs, for they become vifible, though of a Lucid nature, only becaure they are of a Compact or Denfe contexture. (2) From the Admis sion of an opace with a Tranfucid body; as is exemplified in our Culunary Fire, which thơugh in the fimplicity of its moft perficicuous, doth yet appear Red, becaure commixt and in fome degree obnubilated widh fuliginous Exhalacions, from the pabulum or Fewel thereof, or compound body in combuftion. The fame likewife is to be underfood of Aer and Water; for; thofe three Elements are all perfpicuous, though in divers degrees: Fire being noof perfpicuous, Aer poffeffing the next degree, and Water coming behind themboth, as feeming to bea Medium betwixt Perficuity and Opacity. And, therefore, from the admiffion of the parts of that Opace Element, Earth, to any other of the three Diaphanous; one or other Colour among the many muff arife. But, the Perpicuum pafferh firft into Whitenefs, and therefore is it that Perficicuity, Light and Whitenefs, are of the fame nature, cozen Germans once removed, and difrimininate only by Degrees: as, on che contrary, an Opacum, Darknefs, and Blacknefs are alfo cognate. 1 his being the original of the Two Father, or Ground Colours : it can be no Difficulcy to attain the fpecifical Caufes of all others, fince they are only Intermediate, i. e. they arife from the various Complexion or Contemperation of the two Extrems. And this is che fenfe of Arifotles Text, if we admit the interpretation of Scaliger.

Plato, being either unable, or unwilling to erafe out of the table of his mind fome of the ingravements of Democritus; underfands Colour to be Flammulla quedam, five Fulgor, è fingulis corporibus emicans, partes babens vifui accommodatas (in Timeo). For, having held, as Diogenes Laertius (lib. 3.) hach well obferved, and we may eafily collect from that difcourfe of his, in the name of Timears Locrus; that the world confiiteth of the four Elements, of Fire, as it is Vifible, of.Earth, as Tangible, of Aer and Water:

Art.2. The fentence of Ariftotle, concerning the Nature of Colours: and he Commentary of Scalige thercupon.

## cupon.

Water, ut proportione non vacet : left he Thould apoftate from his Fundamentals, He affirmed, Corpora videri propter Ignem, \& propter Terram tangi, that the Vifibility of all things was radicated in their participation of Fire, and their Tangibility in their thare of Earth; and confequently that the Colour of bodies was nothing but an 'Exiauнus, or Emicancy of their internal Fulgor, and the variety of its 'Species dependent meerly on the various degrees, or more or lefs of that inhærent lufter.

Art. 4. Of the Pythagrean and Sto. uk.

Art. 5. of the Spagyrical Philofo. phers.

Ari. 6. The reaton of the Authors deferti $n$ of all thefe; and eleation of Dermocrrius and Epicurus judg ment, tuwhing the Gencration of Co . lours.

As for the Pythagorean and Stoick; the Former, with inexcufable incogitancy, confounded the Tinctures of things with their Extrems, allowing no real difference betwixt the Superfice, and the Colour it bears. Pythagor es Colorem e eie extimam corporis fuperficiem cenfuit, hanc ob Caulfam; quod Color Sectilem natur am babet, nontamen fit Corpiu, aut Linea: as Plutarch (de Placit. pbilofoph.) and out of him, Bershard. Cafius (de Mineral. lib. 2. csp. 2. Seet. 2. art.12.). The Later, with unfatisfactory fubtility, (as if, indeed, He meant rather to blanch over the "Axala, $\lambda \varepsilon \psi_{i} x_{3}$, or incomprehenfibility of the Subject, with ambiguous and Sophiftical Terms, than confefs, or remove it. ) makes Colour to be 'Етиquréa, a certain Efflorefcence, arifing from a determinate Figuration of the Firft Matter; as we have collected from the memorials of Plutarch (iib. 1 de Placit. Philofoph. cap. 15.)

Laftly, the illuminated Sons of Hermes, who boalt to have, if not attained to the bottom of the myftery, yet out done the endeavours of all other Sects of Philofophers, in profounding it; confidently lead our curiofity to their general Afylum, the three Univerfal Principles, Sal, Sulphur and Mercury, and tell us, that the Elemental Salts carry the mighty hand, or moft potent Energy in the production of Colours. For, fuppofing three kinds of Salt in all natural Concretions; the firft a Fixt and Terreftrial, the fecond a Sal Nitre, allied to Sulphur, the I hird a Volatile or Armoniac, referrible to Mercury; and that all bodies receive degrees of Pcrßicuity, or Opacity,refpondent to the degrees of Volatility, or Terreftriety in the Salts, that amafs them: they thereupon deduce their various Colours, or vifible Gloffes, from the various Commition of Volatile or Tralucent Salts, with Fixt or obfcure.

Now, notwithfanding all thefe Sects are as remote each from other, as the Zenith from the Nadir, in their opinions touching the Nature and Caufes of Colours, as to all other refpects; yet do they generally Concur inthis one particular, ब̂va sai xpaimatg, oupquĩ rois ouamaow, Colores effe Cobirentes corporibus, that Colours are CO N GEN I T E, or COHÆRENT to bodies. Which being manifeftly repugnant to reafon, as may be clearly evinced as well from the Arguments alledged by Plutarch (r.adverf. Colot.) to that purpofe, as from the refult of our whole fublequent difcourfe, concerning this theorem : we need no other juftification of our Defertion of them, and Adhærence to that more verifimilous Doctrine of Democritus and Epicurus, т ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ cpe $x$ poitus ēvvo, Colorem Le. ge effe, or more plainly in the words of Epicurus, таi храं $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ हो roîs
 bus gigni, juxta quofdam, refpectu vifus, ordines pofitu gig. $^{\text {g }}$. The Probability of which opinion, that we may with due frictnefs and $x$ quanimity examine; and enlarge what we formerly delivered, in our Origine of Qualities, touching the poffible Caules of an inffignable Variety of Colours:
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We are brielly to advertife,
Firft, That by the word, $\sigma \omega$ 'uact, Bodies, we are not to underftand Atoms, or fimple bodies, for thofe are generally praffuned to be devoyd of all Colour ; but rid oufxeipa'k, Concretions, or Compounds. Secondly, that Epicurrus, in this text, according to the liteteral inportance thereof, and the Expofition of $G_{a j J e n d u s, ~ h i s ~ m o f t ~ j u d i c i o u s ~ a n d ~ c o p i o u s ~ I n t e r p r e t e r, ~}^{\text {a }}$ had this and no other ineaning. That in the Extrems, or fuperficies of all Conctetions, there are fuch certain Coordinations and Difpofitions of their component particles (which, according to our Firft Affumption in the immediately pracedent Chapter, borrowed from the incomparable Bullialt: dus, are never contexed without more or lefs of Inxquality.) as char, upon the incidence of Light, they do and muft exhibit fome certain Colour, or other, relpective to their determinate Reflection and Refraction, or Modification of the rayes thereof, and the pofition of the Eye, that recieives them. That from thefe fuperficial Extancies and and Cavities of bodies are emitted thofe fubftantial Eflluviaes, conftituting the vifible Image; which friking upon the primary Crgan of Vifion, in a certain Order and Poficion of particles, caufeth therein a fenfation, or Perception of that partticular Colour. But, that thefe Colours are not really Cohxrent to thofe fuperficial particles, fo as not to be ątually feparated from them, upon the abicedence of Light : and, confequently that Colours have no Exiftence in the Dark. Moreover, that the fubttance of Light, or the minute particles, of which its beams confift, are neceffarily to be fuperadded to the fuperficial particles of bodies, as the Complement, nay the Principal part of Colour: as may be inferred from thefe words of Epicurus, regiftred by Plutarch ( ( . adverf. Colot.) 2uixetiam bic parte (Luce, viz.) ) ecclufa, non videc, qui diccere liceat, corporaquue in tensbris in conjpicura aunt, colorem habere. Of which perfuafion was alfo that admirable Mathematician, Samius Ariffarchus; who pofitively affirmed (apud Stobeum, in Ecl. Phy. 19.) Incidentem in fubjectas res Lucem, Coloremeffe; ideoque confituta in tenebris corpora colore prorssiss destitui. To which, doubtlefs $V$ virgilingenioufly alluded in his

## And Lacretius in his

And, laftly, that Light doth create and vary Colours, according to the various condition of the minute Faces, or fides of the Particles in the fuperfice, which receive and refelect the incident rayes thereof, in various Angles, toward the Eye.

$$
\overline{\text { Fupiter, cu rebus nox abjfuldit atra Colorem. }} \text { Ubi Colum }
$$

- 2 Hualis enim cacis poterit Color effe enebris,
Luminequi mutatur in ipfo; proptereaquod
Recta ault obliqua percufus luce refulget? ớc.

Art. 7. The Text of Epicurss, fully and faithfully expounded.

Sect. II.

 Aving thus recited, explicated, aind efpoured the Conceptions of Epicurus, of the Creation of Colours; it behoves us to advance That there are no Colours in the Dark. to the Examination of its Confiftency with right reafon, not only in its Ceneral capacity, but deduction and accommodation to Particulars.

But, Firft, to prevent the excefs of your wonder, at that fo Paradoxi-cal affertion of his, That there are no Colours in the dark, or that all colours vanifh upon the Amotion or defection of Light; we are to obferve that it is one thing to be Actually Colorate, and another to be only Potentially, or to have a Difpofition to exhibit this or that particular Colour, upon the accefs of the Producent, Lighe. For, as the feveral pipes in an Organ, though in themflves all requally Infonorous, or deftitute of found, have yet an equal Difpofition, in refpect of their Figuration, to yield a found, upon the inflation of Wind from the Bellows; and as the feeds of Tulips, in Winter, are all equally Exflorous, or deftitute of Flowers, but yet contain, in their feminal Virtues, a Capacity or Difpofition to emit various coloured flowers, upon the accefs of fructifying heat and moyfture, in the Spring : fo likewife may all Bodies, though we allow them to be actually Excolor, in the Dark, yet retain a Capacity, whereby each one, upon the accefs and follicitation of Light, may appear clad in this or that particular Colour, refeective to the determinate Ordination and Pofition of its fuperficial particles.

## Art. 2.

 A familiar $E x$. periment, atree fting the Verity thereof.To inculcate this yet farther, we defire you to take a yard of Scarlet Cloth, and having extended it in an uniform light, oblerve moft exactly the Colour, which in all parts it bears. Then extend one half thereof in a primary light, i.e. the immediately incident, or direct rayes of the Sun; and the other in a fecondary, or once reflected light : and then, though perhaps, through the prixoccupation of your judg: ment, you may apprehend it to be all of one colour; yet if you engage a skilful Painter to pourtray it to the life, as it is then pofited, He muft reprefent the Directly illuminate half, with one Colour, viz. a bright and lightfome Red, and the Reflexly illuminate half; with another, i.e. with a Duskifh or more obfcure Red; or thamefully betray his ignorance of Albert Darers excellent Rules of madowing, and fall much fhort of your Expectation. This done, gently move the extended Cloth through various degrees of Light and fhadow: and you fhall confefs the Colour thereof to be varied upon each remove., refpondent to the degree of Light ftriking thereupon. Afterward, fold the Cloth, as Boyes do paper for Lanterns, or lay it in waves or pleights of different magnitude; and you thall admire the variety of Colours apparent thereon: the Eminent and directly illuftrate parts projecting a lively Carmation, the Lateral and averted yeilding an obfure fanguine, clouded sith Murrey, and the Profound or unilluftrate putting on fo perfect
Char. IV. The Nature of Colours. 187
fables, as no colour drawn on a pifture can counterfeit it to the life, but the deadeft Black. Your Senfo thus fatisfied, be pleafed to exercife your Reafon a while with the fame Example; and demand of your felf; Whether any one of all thofe different Colours can be reilly inhberent in the Cloth? If you pitch upon the Scarlet, as the moft likely and proper; then muft you eithier confers that. Colour not to be really inharent, fince it may, in lefs than a moment, be varied into fables, only by ant interception of Light : or admit that all the other Colours exhibited ; are æquilly inh $x$ rent; which is more, we prefume, then you will eafilys allow. And, therefore, you may attain more of fatisfiction, by concluding, that indeed no one of all thofe Colours' is really fo. inherenit in the cloth, as to remain the fame in the abience of Light ; but, that the fuperficial particles of the Cloth have inhexent in themi (ratione Figur a, Coordinationis \& Pofitus) fuch a Difpofition, as that in one degree of Light it mult prefent to the eye fuch a particular colour, in ano= ther degree, a fecond gradually different from that ; in another, a third difcriminate from both, until it arrive at perfect obfcurity, or Black.

And, if your Affent hereto be obftructed by this DOUBT, why that Cleth doth most conftantly appear Red, rather then Green, Blew, Willow, \&cc. you may eafily expede it, by admitting, that the Reafon confiftech only herein, that the Cloth is tincted in a certain Liquor, whofe minute Particles are, by reafon of their Figure, Ordination and Difpofition, comparate or adapted to Refract and Reffect the incident rayes of Light, in fuch a manner, temperation, or modification, as muft prefent to the eye, the fpecies of fuch a Colour, viz. Scarler, rather then a Green, Blew, Willow, or any other. For, every man well knows, that in the Liquor, or Tincture, wherein the Cloth was dyed, there were feveral ingredients diffolved into minute particles; and that there is no one Hair, or rather no fenfible part in the fuperfice thereof, whereunto Myriads of thore diffolved particles do not conftantly adhere, being agglutinated by thofe Fixative Salts, fuch as Sal Gernmx, Alum, calcined Talk, Alabiafter, Sal Armoniack, \&c. wherewith Dyers ufe to graduate and engrain their Tinctures. And, therefore of pure neceffity it muft be, that, according to the determinate Figures and Contexture of thofe adharent Granules, to the villous particles in the fuperfice of the Cloth, fuch a determinate Refraction and Refiection of the rayes of Light fhould be caufed; and confequently fuch a determinate fpecies of Colour, and no other, refult therefrom.

Now, infomuch, as it is demonftrated by Senfe that one and the fame fuperfice doth flift it felf into various Colours, according to its pofition in various degrees of Light and Shadow, and the various Angles, in which it reflecteth the incident rayes of Light, refpective to the Eye of the Spectator; and juftly inferrible from thence by Reafon, that no one of thofe Colours can be faid to be more really inh xrent than other therein, all being equally produced by Light and Shidow gradually intermixt, and each one by a determinate Modification thereof: What can remain to interdict our total Explofion of that Diffinction of Colours into Real or Inherent, and Falfe, or only Apparent, fo much celebrated by the Schools? For, fince it is the Genuine and Infeparable Propriety of Colours, in General,
to be Apparent; to fuppofe that any Colour Apparent can be Falfe, or lefs Real than other, is an open Contradiction, not to be diffembled by the moft fpecious Sophiftry ; as Des Cartes hath well obferved (in Meteor. cap.8. art.8.). Befides, as for thofe Evanid Colours, which they call "r rupalixoi, meerly Apparent ones, fuch as thofe in the Rainbow, Parheliaes, Parafelens, the trains of Peacocks, necks of Doves, Mallards, \&cc. we are not to account them Evanid, becaufe they are not True : but, becaufe the Dijpofition of thofe fuperficial particles in the Clouds, and Feathers, that is neceffary to the Caufation of them, is not Conftant, but moft eafily mutable; in refpect whereof thofe Colours are no more permanent in them, than thofe in the Scarlet cloth, upon the various pofition, extenfion, plication thereof. And Charity would not difpenfe, fhould we fuppofe any man fo obnoxious to abfurdity, as to admit, that the greater or lefs Duration of a thing doth alter the Nature of it. Grant we, for Example, that the particles of Water conftituting the rorid Cloud, wherein the Rainbow fhews it felf, were fo conftant in that determinate pofition and mutuall coordination, as conftantly to refract and reflect the incident beams of the Sun, in one and the fame manner; and then we muft alfo grant, that they would as conftantly exhibite the fame Species of Colours, as a Rainbow painted on a table: but, becaufe they are not, and fo cannot conftantly refract and reflect the irradiating light, in one and the fame manner; it is repugnant to reafon, thereupon to conclude, that the Inftability of the Colours doth detract from the Verity, or Reality of their Nature. For, it is only Accidental, or Uneffertial to them either to be varied, or totally difappear. So that, if you admit that Sea Green obferved in the Rainbow, to be lefs True, than the Green of an Herb, becaufe its Duration is fcarce momentany in comparifon of that in the Herb; you muft alfo admit that Green in the Herb, which in a hort progrefs of time degenerates into an obfcure yellow, to belefs true, than that of an Emrauld, becaufe its Duration is fcarce momentany, in comparifon of that of the Emrauld.

Art. 5. But, perhaps, Prejudice makes you yet inflexible, and therefore you'l The Emphatio farther urge; that the Difficulty doth cheifly concern thofe Evanid Colours, creafed by Priims; no lefs Rial \& Inbsrent, than the moft Durable Tinctures. Colours, which are appinged on Bodies, reflecting light, by Prifms or: Triangular Clafes, vulgarly called Fools Paradifes: becaufe thefe feem to have the leaft of Reality, among all other reputed meerly Apparent. And, in cafe you affault us with this your laft Referve; we ihall not defert our ftation, for want of ftrength to maintain it. For, that thofe Colours are as Real, as any other the moft Durable, is evident. even from hence; that they have the very fame Materials with all other, i.e. they are the fubftance of Light it felf reflected from thofe objected Bodies, and (what happens not to thofe eyes, that fpeculate them without a Prifin) twice refracted.

Experience demonftrates, that if a man look intently upon a polite Globe, in that part of it fuperfice, from which the incident Light is reflected, in direct lines toward his eye; He thall perceive it to appear clad in another Colour, than when He looks upon it from any other part of the Medium, toward which the Light is not reflected: and yet can He have no reafon, why He fhould not account both thofe Different Colours to be Irue; the Reflection of light,

## Chap. IV. I be Nature of Colours.

which varieth the Apparition according to the various Pofition of the eye in feveral parts of the Medium, nothing diminifhing their Veritife If fo, why thould not thofe Colours created by the Prifin, be alfo reputed Real; the Refraction of Light, which exhibiteth other Colours in the objected Bodies , than appear in them without that Refraction, nothing diminifhing their Reality?

By way of COROLLARY, let us here obferve; that the Colours created by Light, reflected from objects on the Prifin, and therein twice Corolla. refracted, are Geminated on both fides thereof. For, infomuch as RY. thofe Colours are not appinged but on the Extremes of the Object, of The Rearons where the fuperfice is unequal (for if that be Plane and Smooth, it admits only an Lniform Colour, and the fame that appears thereon, when beheld without the Prifin): therefore are two Colours alwayes obferved in that Extreme of the Object, which refpecteth the Bafe of the Triangle in the Glafs, and thofe are a Vermillion and a rellow; and two other. Colours in that extreme, which refpecteth the Top of the Triangle, and thofe are a Violet blew, and a Grafs green. And hence comes it, "that if the Latitude of the Superfice be fo fmall, as that the extremes approach each other fufficiently near; then are the two innermof Colours, the Yellow and Green connected in the middle of the Superfice, and all the four Colours conftantly obferve this order, beginning from the Bafe of the Triangle; a Vermillion, Yellow, Green, and Violet, befide the inaffignable variety of other Intermediate Colours, about the Borders and Commiffures. We fay; Beginning from the Bafe of the Triangle; becaule, which way foever you convert the Prifm, whether upward or downward, to the right or to the left, yet ftill fhall the four Colours diftinguifhably fucceed each other in the fame method, from the Bare: however all the rayes of Light reflected from the object on the Prifm, and trajected through it, are carried on in lines parallel to the Bafe, after their incidence on one fide thereof, with the obliquity or inclination of near upon thirty degrees, and Refraction therein to an Angle of the fame dimenfions; that iffuing forth on the other fide, they are again Refracted in an Angle of near upon 30 degrees, and with the like obliquity, or inclination.

There Reafons equitably valued, it is purely. Confequent, that no other Difference ought to be allowed between thefe Emphatick, or (as the Peripatetick.) Falfe Colours, and the Durable or True ones, than only this; that the 1 pparent deduce their Creation, for the molt part, from Light Refracted in Diaphanous Bodies, refpectively Figurated, and Difpofed, and fometimes from light only reflected: but, the Inherent, or True (as they call them ) deduce theirs from Light varioully Reflexed in opace bodies, whofe fuperficial particles, or Extancies and Cavities are of this or that Figure, Ordination, and Difpofition:

Not that we admit the Durable 'Colours, no more than the Evanid, to be Formally (as the Schools affirm) Inharent in Opace bodies, whofe fuperficial Particles are determinately configurate and difpofed to the production of this or that particular fpecies of colouir, and no other: but only Materially, or Effectively. For, the feveral fpecies of Colours depend on the feveral Manners, in which the minute particles
of Light ftrike upon and affect the Retina Tinnica; and therefore are we to conceive, that opace Bodies, reflecting Light, do create Colours only by a certain Modification or Temperation of the reflected light, and refpondent Insprefsion thereofon the Senfory: no otherwife than as a Needle which though it contain not in it felf the Formal Realon of Paim, doth yet cMaterially, or Effectively produce it, when thruft into the skin of an Animal; for, by reafon of its Motion, Hardnefs, and Acutenefs, it caufeth a dolorous fenfation in the part perforated.

## Art.g.

The lavie farther vindica. ted from Difin culty, by the rempeftive Recognition of fome pracedent Affumptions of the Atomiffts.

To diminifh the Difficulty yet more, we are to recognize; that the Firf Matter, or Catholique Principles of all Marerial Natures, areabfolutely devoyd of all sinfible Qualities; and that the Qualities of Concretions, fuch as Colour, Sound, Odour, Sapor, Heat, Cold, Humidity, Siccity, Alperity, Smioothne $f$ s, Hardne $\int$ s, Softne $f$, oic. are really nothing elfe but various MODIFICATIONS of the infenfible particles of the Firft Matter, relative to the various Organs of the Senfes. For, fince the Organs of the Sight, Hearing, Tafting, Smelling, and Touching, have each a peculiar Contexture of the infenfible particles that compofe them; requifite it is, that in Concretions there fhould be various forts of Atoms, fome of fuch a fecial Magnitude, Figure and Motion, as that falling into the Eye, they may conveniently move or affect the Principal Senfory, and therein produce a Cenfation of themfelves; and that either Grateful or Ingratefull, according as they are Commodious or Incommodious to the fimall Receptaries thereof (for the Gratefulnefs or Ingratefulne/s of Colours arifeth from the Congruity or'Incongruity of the particles of the Vifible Species, to the Receptaries or fmall Pores in the Retina Tunica ): Some, in like manner, that may be convenient to the Organ of Hearing; Cthers to that of fmelling, \&cc. So that, though Atoms of all forts of Magnitude, Figure and Motion contexed into moft minute Maffes, arrive at all the Organs of Senfe; yet may the Eye only be fenfible of Colour, the Ear of Sound, the Noftrils of Odour, \&cc. Again, that Colour, Sound, Odour, and all other fenfible Qualities, are varied according to the various fituation, order, addition, detraction, tranfpofition of Atoms; in the fame manner as Words, whereof an almoft infinite variety may be compofed of no more then 24 Letters, by their various fituation, order, addition, detraction, tranfpofition; as we have more copioufly difcourfed, in our precedent Original of Qualities,
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Sect. III.

TO defend to Particulars. It being more than probable, that the various Species of Colours have their Origine from only the various Caners, in which the incident particles of Light; reflected from the exteriours of Objects, ftrike and affect the principal fenfory; it cannot be improbable, that the fenfe of a White Colour is caused in the Uptick Nerve, when fuch Atoms of light, or raves confifting of them, frize upon the Retina Tunica; as come Directly from the Lucid Fountain, the Sun, or pure Flame; or Reflexedly from a body, whole fuperficial particles are Polite and Spherical, fuck as we have formerly conjectured in the fmalleft and hardly diftinguifhable Bubbles of Froth, and the minute particles of Snow.

And, as for the perception of its Contrary, Black; generally; though farce warrantably reputed a Colour ; we have very ground for our conjecture, that it arifeth rather from a meet Privation of Light, than any Material Imprefsion on the fenfory. For, Blackness feems identical, or coeffential with Shadow: and all of it that is pofitively per ceptible, confifterh in its participation of Light, which alone causfath it not to be absolutely Invifible. And hence is it, that we have feveral Degrees, or gradual Differences of Black, comparative to the feveral degrees of fhadow, progrefling till we arrive at perfect Darkness: and that we can behold nothing fo black, which may not admit of deeper and deeper blackness, according to its greater and greater recess from light, and nearer and nearer access to abfolute Opacity. To reafon, therefore ${ }_{3}$ is it confonant that all Bodies, whole natural Hew is Black, are compofed of fuck infenfible particles, whole furfaces are Scabrous, rough, or craggy, and their Contexture fo Rare, or loose, as that they rather imbibe, or fallow up the incident raves of light, than reflect them outwardly toward the eye of the Spectator. Of this fort, the mort memorable, yet difcovered, is the obsidian foxe, fo much admired and celebrated among the Romans; whore fubitance being conflated of fcabrous and loofely contexed Atoms, caufeth it to appear a perfect Negro, though held in the Meridian Sun-hine : because the rays invading it are for the moot part, as it were abforpt and rifled in the foal and numerous Ca verns and Meanders variounly interfered among its component particles. Which common and illiterate eyes beholding, delude their curiofity with this refuge; that it hath an Antipathy to Light, and doth therefore reflect it converted into flaidows.

The Generation of the Two Extreme and Ground Colours, White and Black, being attained by this kind of inqueft into the Rolls of reafon; the Former deriving it elf from Light; either immediately and in direct lines profluent frown its fountain; or by reflection from bodies, whole fuperficial particles are fphrricaland polite; the Later from the Negation of Light : there can be no great difficulty remaining concerning the $\mathrm{Ge}-$ nealogy of all other: IN TERMEDIATE ones, fince they are but

Art. 1 :
The Nativity of White; or the reason of irs perception by the fight.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

the off-fpring of the Extreme, arifing from the intermiffion of Light and fladow, in various proportions; or, more plainly, that the fenfe of them is cauled in the Retina Tunica, according to the variety of Reflections and Refractions, that the incident Light fuffers from the fuperficial particles of objects, in manner exactly analogous to that of the Evanid Colours, obferved in fphærical Glaffes, replete with Water, in Prifines interpofed betwixt the object and eye, in angular Diamonds, Opalls, \&zc. For, even our fenfe demonftrates, that they are nothing, but certain Perturbations, or Modifications of Light, interferfed with Umbrelliaes, or fmall Ihadows.

Att. 4. The Caules of the Sympathy \& Antiparhy of rome Colours.

The Verifimility of this may be evinced from the Sympathy and 1 antipathy of thefe intermediate Colours, among theinfelves. For, the Reafon, why rellow holds a fympathy, or fymbolical relation with Vermillion and Green, and Green with Sky-colour and Yellow, (as the experience of Painters teftifieth, who educe a yellow Pigment out of Vermillion and Green, in due proportions commixt, upon their Palatts: and reciprocally, Green out of Yellow and Sky-colour, in unæqual but determinate quantities contempered ) is no other but the Affinity of their re(pective Canfes, or only gradually different manners of Light reflected and refracted, and intermixt with minute and fingly imperceptible fhadows. And, on the contrary, the Realon of the Antipathy, or'Afymbolical relation betwixt a afffron Yellow and a Crrule, betwixt a Green and a Rofe colour, into which a faffron yellow degenerates, and betwixt a Yellow and Purple, into which a Carule degenerates: can be nothing elfe, but the Difsimilitude or Remotenefs of their refpective Caufes; fince things fo remotely Difcrepant, are Incapable of Conciliation into 2 Third, or Neutral, or (rather) Amphidectical Nature, but by the mediation of forsething, that is participant of both. This the Philofopher glanced at in his; Coloresmifceri videntur, quemudmedum foni; ita enim qui eximium quoddam proportionis genus fervant, hi Confonantiarum more, omsium fuavifsimi funt, ces purpareus \& puñiceus, of. (defenf. \& fenfil.cap.3.)

Arb.). rhe intermihion of fimall fadows, aming the lines of Light; abfolutely necerfary to the Generation of a. ny Intermediare Colour.

We fay, that all the fe Intermediate Colours eanerge from the various intermifion of Light, and fmall Shadows; becaule, to the production of each of them from reflected, or refracted Light, or both, the interpofition of minute, and feparately invifible /hadons, is indifpenfably Neceffary. Which may be evidenced even from hence, that Colois are not by Prifines appinged on bodies, but in their Margines or Extremes, there where is not only the general Commiffure of Light and Shadows; but alfo an Inequality of fuperfice: which, by how much the more fcabrous or rough, by fo much the more are the Colours apparent thereon, ampliated in Latitude. For, fince there is no fuperfice, however fmooth and equal to the fenfe, devoid of many Extancies and Cavities; as we have more then once profeftly declared: it is of neceffity, that betwixt the confronting fides of the Extancies, reflecting the rays of light hither and thither, there foould be intercedent fmall fhadows, in the interjacent Cavities, from which no light is reflected. And hence is it, that in an object fpeculated through a Prilim, the Carule colour appears fo much the more Denfe and lively, by how much the nearer to the limbus, or Extreme of the Object it is appinged; becaufe, in that place, is the greater proportion of finall fhadows: and e contra, fo much more Dilute and Pale, by how much farther it recedech from the

Margin, infomuch that it degenerates, odr divindles at laft into ireak SeaGreen, or Willow, in its inmoft part; beciufe, in that place is the greater proportion of Light. Conformable to that rule of Athanaf. Kircher. (Art. Magn. Lucis \& Umbre. lib. 1. parti2. cap. 1.) Differunt autems \& Unbrád Fullgores, majore \& minore vel candore, \& nigrore, prout vel Fonti lucis, aut tenebranum propriores fuerint, val ì fonte longius recefferint, in quo luce of obfouritate fumma funt utraque. Unde patet, quanto Fulgores a luce mazis recefferint, tanto plus Nigredinis, "dicuantò à tenebris mag is recefferint UMbbre, diminuto nigrore, tanio plus albedinis acquirere : que omnia $V_{1}$ fus judicare poteft. The farie, proportionately, we conceive to hold good alfo in all Bodies, whore Colours are Genuine, or apparent to the naked Eye: chiefly becaufe we may lawfully conceive, that every particle of every hair in a Scarlet, or Violet coloured Cloth, is confimilar in difpofition to the particles in the extremes of ans Object fpeculated through a Prifin: arld hold it purely Confequential thereupon, that light may arrive at the Eye from them, with the like Reflections and Intermiftion with fhadows, as from the extremes of the Reflectent Body, through the Glafs, which advanceth its commixture with finall Thadows. And what we affirm of Scarlet and Violet, may alfo, with no lefs Congruity, be accommodated to Yellow and Sea-Green; allowing the fame proportion and modification of Light and Shadows in them as in that part of the fuperfice of any other body, on which the Prifin doth appinge them: and in like manner to all other Colorate objects; whofe Tinctures bear any Affinity to either of thefe four fpecified, or arife from the Complexion of any two or more of chem.

But here we are arrefted by Two notable, and to our prxcedent theory feemingly inconfiftent PROBLEMS: which though of Difficulty enough to deferve the wealthy fpeculations of Archimedes, do yet require from us at leaft a plaufible Solution, on the prenalty of no lefs than the lofs of reputation, and the pofting up a Writ of Bankrupt againft our reafon, by that auftere Creditor, Curiofity.
(1) How comes it, that thofe two fo difcrepant and aflymboical Colours, created by "Prifm, Vermillion and Carule, arife from Caufes So Cognate; the former only from the Commiftion of a greater proportion of Light with a lefs of Shadows; the Later fromis a lefs proportion of Light with a greater of Shadows?
(2) Why, when thofe two Colours Eimphatical, Vermillion and Carule are by a Prifm intermediate, projected on aWall or fheet of white paper beyond it, from the light of a Candle; if you put your eye in that place, on which either of the two Colours is appinged, fo. that another perfon, convexiently pofited in the fame room, may behold the fame dififinctly fhining on the pupil. of your eye; yet /hall you plainly and diftinctily perceive the other Colour in the Glafs? For Example; if the Vermillion appear on your eye, you fhall neverthelefs clearly fee a Carule in the Glafs: and tranfpolitively, though your eye be manifeftly and totally tincted mith a Cerrule, yet flall'yous fee a Vernillion.

Art. 7. The Solution of the Former: with a rationalConje\&ure of the Caufe of the Blew, apparent in the Concave of the Hea. rens.

Touching the Former, we fhall adventure to defume the Solution thereof meerly from the Figure of the Prifme, and determine the Reafon on this only; that the Rayes of Light arriving at the Bafe of the Triangle, are trajected through it by a longer tract or way, than thofe arriving at or nearer to the Top thereof: and therefore, the Glafs being in that part moft crals, there muft be more impervious particles obfiftent to the Rayes of Light; eaxh one whereof repercuffing its raye back again into the medium from the Glas, caufeth that the number of fhadowes is multiplyed in that part of the object, which the Bafe of the Triangle directly refpecteth; and confequently produceth a Crerule Tincture thereon. Such as that, not only by vulgar, but many tranfcendently learned Heads adfcribed to the Firmament : which yet belongs rather to that vaft (many have faid infinite) Space betwixt it and our Terreftrial Globe, being caufed by the rayes of the Cocleftial Lamps, from fwarms of minute bodies interpofed, thinly reflected toward our eyes: For, each of thofe impervious particles fwarming in that immenfe fpace, muft repercufe a ray of Light deradiated from above, and fo by multiplying the number of fhadows, make the Firmament (which otherwife, according to probability, would wear the mourning livery of Midnight ) appear totally invefted in an 1 arure mandle.

This, though meer Conjecture (and, indeed, the fubject is too fublime to admit of other than conjefture, fince St. Paul hath left us no obfervation concerning it, in his rapture up into the third Heaven, and the defign of the Ganzaes is defperate ) hath in it fomervhat more of reafon, then that confident conceipt of Athanaf. Kircherus (Art. Magn. lucis \&' umbra, lib. 1.part. 3. cap.3. de Chromatifmis rerum naturalium.) Medium inter utrumque Carulerm, proximum, vit. in nigro, feu tenebrofo, colorem ad jucundifsima illa Calorums Spatia, inoffenfo vifu contemplarda, Natura providentifsima mando contulit, ©oc. "that the "Providence of the Creator chofe this Azure Tincture to invelt the "Firmament withal, as the middle colour between the two Extreams, "White and Black, that fo our fight might not, when we feeculate " that univerfal Canopy, be either perftringed with the exceffive luftre of "the one, nor terminated by the abfolute opacity of the other. Becaufe, if the natural Colour of the Firmament were $A z u r e$, as He profumes; then would it, by reafon of the vaft Space betwixt it and our fight, and the repercuffion of the greatef part of the rayes of Light, from our eye, by thofe Myriads of Myriads of Myriads of fimall bodies replenifhing that intermediate Space, neceffarily appear of fome other colour : the experience of Sea-men affuring, that all Colours, (White and that of pure Flame, retaining to Whitenefs; only excepted.) lofe themfelves in long trajection through the medium, and that even Land, which is but few degrees removed from Opacity, appears to the firft difoovery like a blewih Cloud lying level to the Horizon. It being certain, therefore, that by how much the farther any Colour recedeth from Whitenefs, by fo much the lefs way it is vifible (which the Gracian intimates in the word, $\lambda$ otixos, Albus, rose ro $\lambda$ obarw, guod procul videatur.) and that even the Earth, an Opace body, to Sea-men firft Kenning it, at large diftance, appears clad in a kind of obfcure blewifh Mantle : it cannot bee diffonant
diffonant to reafon to conceive, that the natural Colour of the Firmament cannot be Azure, fince it fo appears to us; and that it is racher Opace, becaule it apperrs Azure, when illuftrate by the reflected Light of the Cocleftial Luminaries.

Again, becaule the rayes of Light; incident on the Top of the Prifni; are trajected through it-by a fhorter cut, or paffage, than thofe incident on the Bafe; and to meet with fewer impervious and retundent particles; the Glafs being in that part thinneft : therefore is the number of fhadows much lefs in that part of the object, which refpecteth the Cone or Top of the Triangle, than in that, which confronts the Bare; and thofe few fhat dows which remain undiminifht, being commixt with a greater number of lines of light; are transformed into the fpecies of a Vermillion Red. Such as that daily obferved in the impure Flame of our Culinary Fires; which having many particles of Fuligenous Exhalations commixt with its pure luminous particles, that continuedly afcending, avertas many rayes of light from the eye of the Spectator, and fo in fome degree obnubulate it throughout : doth therefore put on the femblance of Rednefs. Or fuch as the Sitn and Moon, commonly wear at their riling ; when the minor part, though many of their rayes are retufed, and averted from our fight, by the particles of denfe vapours diffured through the fpatious Medium.

However this may be difputed, yet is it warrantable to conceive, that the fuperficial Particles of all Bodies, clad in either of thefe Liveries, Vermillion and Carule, may have in their Contexture obtained fuch a Difpoficion, as to reflect Light permixt with finall fhadows, in that definite Temperation, or Modification, in which it ufually arrives at the eye, after its Trajection through a Prifm; when it thereupon impreffeth the fenfe of a Vermillion, or Cærule

As for the Enodation of the Later Difficulty; it is comprehended in the Reafons of the Former. For, it being certuin, that the Vermillion projected by a Prifme, doth confift of a greater proportion of Light mingled with a lefs of Shadows, and the Cxrule, on the contrary, of a greater proportion of fhadows interfperfed among the lines of a lefs Light; and as certain, that the Vermillion appeareth on that fide of the Prifme; where the Light is more copious, as therein meeting with fewer retundent impervious particles; in the fubftance of the Glafs; and the Carule in that part, where the Light is diminifhed, as meeting with more impervious particles, and being by them repercuffed: it muft inevitably follow thereupon, that, if an opacous body be pofited within the bounds of this light, fo that the light may fall on each fide thereof, and as it were fringe it; a fymptome quite contrary to the former thall evene, i. e. the Vermillion will appear on that fide of the fpecies, which is over againft the Carule, and the Carule will be tranfpofed to that fide of the fpecies, which confronteth the Vermillion. This is eafily Experimerated with a piece of narrow black Ribbon affixt longwife to either fide of the Prifme. For, in that cafe, the light is bipartited into two Borders, or Fringes, the opace part veyled by the Ribbon on each fide environed with light, and each border of light . environed with two fhadows; or, more plainly; between each border of flasdows conterminate to each extreme. of Light, trajected through the
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Art. 8.
The Solution of the Later.
unopacate parts of the Glafs: and, therefore, in the commiffure of each of the two lights with each of the conterminous fhadows, there mult be Vermillion on one fide, and Cærule on the other.

Now to drive this home to the head, the folution of the prefent Problem; the Reafon why, when the light of a Candle is trajected through a Prifm, on a White paper or Wall, pofited at convenient diftance beyond it, and there transformed into thele two luminous Colours, Vermillion and Cærule, if you put your eye in that place of the Paper or Wall, whereon the Vermillion flines, you fhall perceive only the Cærule in the Glafs, and è contra: we fay, the Reafon of this alteration of fite in the Colours feems to be only this, that the circumftant Aer about the flame of the Candle being opacous, and fo ferving in ftead of two Blacks to environ the borders of light, caufeth that fide of the Candle, which is feen through the thicker part of the Glafs, to appear Blew ; and that which is feen through the thinner, to appear Red; according to the conftant Phænomenon in Prifmes. But, if the fpecies bebeheld by Reflection from any illuftrate and repercuffing Body, fuch as the paper, or wall, then muft the feries or method of the borders of light and thadow be inverted, for the reafon immediately precedent, and confequently, the fituation of the Colours, emergent from their various contemperations, be alfo inverted.

Art. 9.
The Reatons, why the Author proceeds not to inveftigare the Cau . les of Compound Colours in Parcicular.

Art.IO.
He confeflerh the Erection of this whole Difcourf, on fimple Conjesfare: and enumeraces the Difficulties to be fabdued by him, who hopes to attain an Apodistical Knowledge of the Effence \& Caufes of Co . lours.

And chus have we, by the cwilight of Rational Conjecture, given you a glimpfe of the abiftrufe Original of the Extreme and Simple Colours; and fhould now continue our Attempt to the difcovery of the Reafons of each of thofe many COMPOUND ones, wherewith both Nature and Art fo delightfully imbellifh mof of their peices: but, fince they are as Generally, as rightly prefumed to be only the multiplied removes of Light and Darknefs, i. e. to be educed from the various Commixtures of the Extreme, or Simple, or both; and fo it cannot require but a fhort exercife of the Intellect to inveftigate the determinate proportions of any two, or more of the Simple ones, neceffary to the creation of any Compound Colour affigned (efpecially when thofe excellent Rules of that Modern Apelles, Albertus Durerus, prefrcribed in his Art of Limning; and the common Experience of Painters, in the Confection of their feveral Pigments, afford fo clear a light toward the remove of their remaining obfcurity, and the fingling out their particular Natures ): we cannot but fuppofe, that any greater fuperflructure on this Foundation, would be lookt upon rather as Ornamental and Superfluous, than Necefflary to the entertainment of moderate Curiofity. Efpecially when we defign it only as a decent Refigge, for the fheter of ingenious Heads from the Whirlwind of $A$ dmiration: and not as a conftant Manfoun for Belief.

For, as we cautioufly pramonifled, in the Fir/t Article, the Foundation of it is not layed in the rock of abflute Demonftration, or defumed i Priori, but in the fofter mould of meer Conjecture, and that no deeper than ì Pofferiori. And this we judge expedient to profefs, becaufe we would not leave it in the mercy of Cenfure to deterinine, whether or no we pretend to under fand, What are the proper Figures and other effential Qualities of the infenfible Particles of Light; wirh what kind of Vibration, or Evolution they are deradiated from their Fountain; What are the determinate Ordinations, Pofitions, and Figures of thofe Refectent and Refringent particles

Chap.IV.
particles in the extreams of Bodies, Diaphanous and Opace, which modifie the Light into this or that \{pecies of Colour; What fort of Reflection or Refraction, whether fimple or multiplyed, is required to the creation of this or that Colour; What are the præcife proportions of hadows, interwoven with Light, which difguife it into this or that colour. Befides, had we a clearand apodictical theory of all thefe niceties; yet would it be a fuperlative Difficulty for us to advance to the genuine Reafons, Why Light, in fuch a manner ftriking on the fuperfice of fuch a body, therein; fuffering fuch a Reflection or Refraction, or both, and commixt with fuch a proportion of fhadows in the medium, fhould be transformed into a Vermillion, rather then a Blew, Green, or any other Colour. Again, were our Underftanding arrived at this fublimity, yet would it come much fhort of the top of the myftery, and it might hazard a dangerous Vertigo in our brains to afpire to the Caufes, Why by the appulfe of Light fo or fo modified, there is caufed in the Eye fo fair and delightful a Senfation; as that of Vifion; and why the fentient Faculty, or foul therein operating, becomes fenfible not only of the particular ftroak of the fpecies, but alfo of the Colour of it.
For, where is that Oedipus, that can difcover any Analogy betwixt the Retina Tunica, Optick Nerve, Brain, or Soul therein refident, and any one Colour? and yet no man can deny that there is fome certain Analogy betwixt the Species and Senfory: fince otherwife there could be no Patibility on the one part, nor Agency on the other.

We are not ignorant, that the afpiring Wit of Des Cartes hath made a towring flight at all thefe fublime Abftrulities, and boldly faftned the hooks of his. Mechanick Principles upon them, thinking to ftoop them down to the familiar view of our reafon. But fuppofing that all Colours arife from the various proportions of the process and circumvolutions of the particles of Light in bodies, refpective to warious Difpofitions of their fuperficial particles, which accordingly more or lefs Accelerate, or Retard them; as He hath copioufly declared (in Dioptric. cap. I. \& Meteor. cap. 8.): and erecting this upon his corner ftone, or grand Hyporhefis, that Light is nothing but ans Appulfe or Motion of the Ether; or moft fubtile, and fo moft agile matter in the Univerfe; which is meerly pracarious, and never to be conceded by any, who fears to enfnare himfelf in many inextricable Difficulties, Incongruities, and Contradictions, in the deducement of it through all the Phænomena of Light, Colours, and Vifion : all that we can allow him, as to this particular, befides our thanks for his laborious Endeavours, is that clofe of Phaetons Epitaph, Magnis tamen excidit aufis.

Art.II.
Des Cartes attemprto diffolve the chief of thore Difficulties; иnfuso fésful: becaufe grounded on an unftable Hypotheffrs


# THE <br>  OF LI G H T. 

Art. ${ }^{\text {B }}$ The clafp, or Ligament of this, to the pracedent Chapter.

$N$ our three immediately precedent Chapters, we have often mentioned the RAYES OF LIGHT, as the Material Principle both of all vijible species, and Colours; and that we may not leave our Reader unfatisfied in any particular, the communication whereof feems neceffary, or advantageous to His full comprehenfion of all our Conceptions relating to thofe Arguments, or any other of Affinity to them, that may hereafter occurr: we judge it our Duty, here to let him clearly know, What Notion we have of the Nature of that fo admirably glorious and univerfally comfortable an Entitie, Light.

Art. 2.
The Authors Notion of the Rays of Light.

Art. 3. A Parallelifon betwixt a ftreain of Water exfilient from the Cock of a Ciftern, and a Ray of Light emanant from its Lucid Eountain.

By the Rayes of Liglt, we underfand, certain moft tenuious Streams of Igneous Particles, in a continued fluor, and with ineffable pernicity fucceding eachother in direct lines, either immediately from their Lacid Fountain, or mediately from folid bodies reflecting them, towards the eye, and ferfibly affecting the farne.

This Defcription may receitre fomewhat more both of perficuity and credit, if we confider the parallelifin, or analogy, that each diftinct Ray of Light holds to aftream of water, exfilient from the Cock of a Ciftern, or tube of an Artificial Fountain. For, the reafon why a ftream of vater iffues froma tube in a kind of arch, and flows to fome diftance from its fource through
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through theaer; is only this, that the particles of Water firf exflient, upon the remove of the ftopple or obftacle, are fo clofely and contiguoully purfued by other particles immediately following, and thofe again by others indefinently emanant, that they are impelled forward and driven on with fuchrapidity, as overcomes their natural propenfity to direct defcent, by reafon of their Gravity, and carries them in a tenfe line from the vent fo long as their impulfe is fuperior to that of their Gravity; which encreafing more and more in each degree of diftance, doth at length become victor over the force of the Motion, and prxcipitate them downright. And as this gradual Tenfity, or Rigidity of a fream of Water arifeth to it only from the Preffure or impulfe of the Antecedent particles by the Confequent, in an uninterrupted fucceffion: fo may we conceeve, that a Ray of Light, or Wand (many of our Modern and moft difcovering Philofophers call a ftream of Light, Virgula Lwcis; and that by an unftrained Metaphor. ) confifting of many rayes feemingly united, fuchas we obferve fhining in a room through fome hole in the Window, or other inlet; doth therefore become in a manner Tenfe, or Direct, only becaufe the particles firft emanant from the Lucid Fountain are fo urged and preft on by the fubfequent, and thofe again by others, with equal pernicity, that they cannot deflect from a direct line, or obey the inclination of their Gravity, until fome folid Body, interpofed, cut off the fluor, by interrupting the fucceifion, and then the Tenfity, or Preffure ceafing, the Particles become incontiguous and difappear: as is obfervable, uponclofing the inlet, through which a ftream of Light is admitted into an otherwife opace room. For, immediately the fucceffive fupply of luminous particles being intercepted, the Antecedent droop, fail, and furrender that part of fpace, which they poffeft with fplendour fufficient to affect the fenfe, to the horrid encroachment of Darknelfo

This full Comparifon premifed, we fhall comply with opportunity, and here concifely obferve

PRECONSİderables.
(1) That Aquilonius, and moft other opticomathematicians do excellently diftinguifh Light into fo many, gradual Differences, as are the Reflections of which it is capable; denominating that Light, Primary, whereby a Body is immediately, or in direct lines from the Lucid Fountain, illuftrated; char secondary, which reflected from one folid body, illuminates another; that a Third Light, which illuminatech a body, after two Reflections fromothers: and fo forward up to the Centenary, and Millenary light, if, at leaft, it be capable of fo many reflections, from bodies moft folid and polite.
(2) That Light at Second hand is more weak than at Firft; at Third than at Second; at Fourth than at Third, \&xc. or, that Light becomes fo much Weaker, by how many more Reflections it hath fuffered. Not (as is vulgarly concluded) that a Reflex ray is lefs Tenife, or the fucceffive preffure of its particles lefs violent or rapid, than thofe of a Direct; for, the motion of Light, however frequendly seflected, is incomprehenfibly fyift : but, that every reflection doth much diminifh it, fome rayes being always diverted and fattered into other parts of the medium, by reafori of the Afperity, or Inxquality of the particles in every fuperfice; and fo there being no fuperfice that remits in a direct line the full number of rayés"
(fome have adventured to fay, fcarce half. fo many as ) it received, and confequently the eye receiving fewer and fewer rayes fucceffively from every Reflectent, muft be more weakly affected and moved by the thin remainder. For, if all the rayes of the Sun directly incident on a Wall, were thence reflected on another wall fituate at aright angle; the Second wall would be fully as luminous as the Firft; and confequently, the Secondary light would be as ftrong and refplendent as the Primary: but, fince the fuperfice of the Firft Wall is unequal and fabrous, it muft of neceffity come to pals, that though many of the rayes incident thereon are from thence projected on the Second, yet as many are repercuffed into other regions of the Medium, fome upward, others downward; fome to the right hand, others to the left, \&c. according to the various faces, or fides of the fmall particles, with afperity contexed in the fuperfice of each ftone therein. So that one half, if not the major part of the directly incident rayes being diverted from the Second Wall, the Light thereon appearing muft be proportionately lefs ftrong and fulgent, than that, which illuminates the Firft. By the fame realon, if the Second Wall by reverberation derive the Light to a Third; it muft likewife play the Publican, and remit but half fo many rayes, as it received from the Firft: and fo muft the Third tranfmit a thinner fock of light to a Fourth, and a Fourth to a Fifth, \&c.

Art. 6 An Example, fenfobly de. monftrating the fame.

If this Example feem fcarce pregnant enough, let us defcend into a deep Pit, or with the Trogludires creep into the bowels of fome fubterraneous Cavern, and there our fenfe will demonftrate, that multiplied Reflections of Light gradually diminifh it even to abfolute infenfibility. For, the rayes of the Sun falling into the aperture of either Mine, or long Cave, are by oblique repercuffions from their fides conveyed inwards, and fo often bandied from fide to fide, that few or none attain to the bottom to diminifh the opacity thereof : every reflection remitting fome rayes, more or lefs, toward the mouth of the pit, or cave. And this ufhers in our Third obfervable.

Art. 7. That light is in perpectual Morion; according to Arif.
(3) That Arifotles affertion, Lumen offe in continuo motu, that Lighe is in perpetual motion, or reverberated to infinity; is profound and orthodox. For, notwithftanding the illufion of our fenfe perfuades us, that all things in the aer about us, and within our houfes, are calm and unmoved: yet doth that beteer Criterion, our Reafon, affure that the Light diffufed through the aer is in perpetual inquietude, and confifteth of nothing elfe but a moft tenuious Contexture of innumerable rayes, fivarming from and to all regions unceffantly, fo long as the Lucidum ceafeth not to maintain the fucceffion of frefh rayes, that may be reflected from all obvious bodies. So that in what ever part of the medium the eye is pofited; it thall ever have fomeobject or other prefented: and particularly thar, from whence fome rayes are more directly reflected into its Pupil. Not that ive conceive the Light diffufed through the whole aer to be Continued, or United in all points, as are the parts of Water in the Sea: but, that, as a Spiders Web appears to be one entire and usited body, though it confift of diftinct Filaments, varioufly intricate, and mutually decuffating each other; fo alfo is Light, Non unum quid Simplici Simum, Sed Compofitifsimum, fome one thing not moft Simple or confifting of parts continuedly united, but moft Compound, or confifting of parts fo interwoven as to exclude all fenfible difon-
(4) That, though Light be ever debilitated by Reflection, yet is it many time Corroborated by Refrattion; as that itranfmitted through Convex Glaffes, and Glafs Vials replete with limpid water : and then only debilitated, when it is Refracted by a Concave fuperficies of a pellucid body; or after refraction on a Plane fuperfice, is lookt upon obliquely. For as no reafon can be given for the Debilitation of Light by Reflection; but the Attenuation or Diminution of the number of its Rayes: fo can none be affigned for the Corroboration of it by Refraction in a Convex Glafs, or Vial filled with clear water; but the multiplication of its Rayes, in fome part of the Medium. Nor is there, on the contrary, why we fhould conceive Light to be made weaker by̆ fome Refraction, unlefs in this refpect only; that if it had not fallen foul of a Refringent body, a greater number of rayes would have continued their direct progrefs in a clofer order, or more united ftream : and fo their Debility depends meerly on their Difgregation; not Diminution of Pernicity. Certainly, that Light which is corroborated by refraction in a Convex Glars, would be yet more ftrong and energytical, if all thore Rayes, that ftrike upon the obverted fide of the Glats, were forefracted, as to permeate and unite in the aer beyond the averted fide thereof: and thofe rayes which are trajected through thie bottome of a Glars Vial filled with water, arrive at the eye fo much the more Difgregate, by how much the more obliquely the eye is pofited; becaufe the water being in the bottom more copious, and fo containing more retundent particles, doth divert the greateft number of them into the ambient. And hence we inferr, that if the beams of the Sun be conceded more weak in the Morn and Evening than at Noon, only becaule of agreater RefraEtion by more vapours then interpofed; that effect muft chiefly arife from hence, that the Rayes come unto us obliquely, after their trajection through thofe fiwarms of denfer vapours, and confequently more Diffipated, the major part of them being diverted into other regions of the Medium. Moreover, infomuch as all cMafters in the optiques clearly demonftrate that the Image of an illuftrate object, fpeculated through water in the bottome of a veffel indiaphanous, doth appear lefs lively to thofe; that look on it obliquely, than to thofe that behold it in direct lines refpective to the tendency of the Light refracted by the Water; and that the fuperfice of every object hath fo much the fewer parts difcernable, by how much more obliquely it is fpeculated : therefore is it purely neceffary, that the Image of an object appear more Contracted, when fpeculated by a Vertical line, than when exhibited to the eye in a direct, and Irrefracted one. And this alfo we judge to be in fome part the Caufe, why the Sun when neareft to our Horizon, either Orient or Occident, appears in a Figure more Elliptical or Oval, than Sphærical: for therr do we behold it per lineam Verticalern. We fay, in part; becaufe the fame Effect may alro be induced by the Form of the Vaporous Sphære. However this may be controverted, yet moft certain it is, that the Lucid Image of the Sun is alwayes more V tiated, when it arrives at our fight from an Humble pofition, than a fublime or Meridional: Non qued pauciores quidena radï Directimane, quàm meridie, Sed ; eflexi tamen pausiores, qui cum illis mifce.rrutur, ipforum $\dot{g}_{3}$ Vim

Art. 8. Lighr, why. Corroborated, in forme cafer, and Debilitated, in others, by Refration.

akgeant. 2wia Directifupraliberam horitontis planitiem pratereant, nec redeant; cum fub meridiem in serram impacti non refilire regredique non valeant; as Gaffendus, is Epift. ad Bullialdum, de Apparent. Magnitud. Solis Humilis \& Jublimis. And this hath a near relation to our fifth obfervable.

Art. 9. PARADOX. That the pro portion of So. lary Rayes reflected by the fuperiour Aer, or AEtker, toward the Earth, is fo fmall, as not so be fenfible.
(5) That the Body from which the rayes of a Lucid object more eminently the Sun, are repercuffed $f o$ as to diminifh the fhadow round abour it, feems not to be the Conterminous Aer, but rather fome opacum conftitute beyond bothit and the Aer. Not that we deny the neceffary reflection of many of the Luminous rayes proceeding from the Sun, by thofe myriads of myriads of particles floating in the Atmofphere; and fo the remiffion of them back again toward their fource, and the confequent diminution of the fhadow invironing the fame: but that we conceive the proportion of rayes fo diverted, to be fo fmall, as to be much below the obfervation of our fenfe. For, He that is in the bottom of a deep Mine, hath his fight fo little advantaged by the Aer illuminated by the meridian beams of the Sun, that though he can clearly behold the Starrs in the Firmament, immenfely beyond that vaft tract of Aer then illuftrate; yet can he hardly perceive his own hand, or ought elfe about him, fince all the rayes of Light, which affect his eyes, are only thofe few that have efcaped repercuffion upward, by thofe many oblique refractions in the fides of the Mine. Thus alfo in the night are we no whit relieved by the aer, or Æther furrunding our Horizon, or more properly, our Hemifphere beyond that region, to which the Cone of the Earths fhadow extends: though the Sun doth as freely and copioufly diffure its light through all that vaft Ocean of Aer, or Æther beyond the extent of the Earths fhadow, at our Midnight, or when it is Vertical to the Antipodes, as at our noon when it is Vertical to us: which could not be, if any fenfible proportion of light were reflected toward us by the particles of the Aer, or Æther, replenifhing the fubcrleftial fpace. Hence comes it, that what Light remains to our Hemifphere in the night, ought to be referred, not to any Reflection of the Suns rayes from the fublime aer, or Æther; but to the Stars, or Moon, or both. And this is alfo no contemptible argument, that the Concave of the Firmament is opace, and not azure, as moft fuppofe.
(6) That every Lucid Bodie is confiderable in a double capacity; (1) Qua Lucidum, as fhining with either native, or borrowed light, it illuminateth other bodies: (2) 2uavifibile, as it emits the vifible Image of it felf. In the Firfl Refpect, we may conceive it to be the Center, from which all its luminous Rayes are emitted by Diffufion Spharical, according to that eftablifht maxime of Alhazen, omne punctum luminofum radiare jpheralitier: in the Second, we may underftand it to emit rayes in a diffufion Pyramidal, the bafe whereof is in it felf, and cone in the eye of the Spectator. For, particularizing in the Sun, which being both a Lucid Body and a Vifible object, falls under each acceptation; we muft admit the Rayes thereof illuminating that vaft ocean of Space circumfrribed by the concave of the Heavens, to be deradiated from it fphærically, as fo many lines drawn from one common Centre; becaufe they are diffufed throughout a region far greater than the Sun it felf : and thofe rayes, that Conftitute the Vifible Images of it, ftream from it in Cones or pyramids; becaufe they are terminated in the pupil of the beholders eye, a body by almoft infinite degrees
lefs than it felf. This is fully demonftrated by the Forms of Eclipfes, which no man can defcribe but by affuming the Sun as the Bafe, from whofe Extremes myriads of Rayes emanant, and in their progrefs circularly environing the Margin of the Earth, or Moon, pafs on beyond them till they end in a perfect Cone; the Orbs of the Earth and Moon being by many degrees leis in circumference, than that of the Sun. This confirms us, that thofe optico-mathematicians are in the centre of truth; who teach, that the rayes of the Sun, and all other luminous Objects as they conftitute its vifible fpecies, are darted only Pyramidally; infomuch as they are received in the eye of each Spectator, fo much lefs than the Sun, or other Luminary : but that they progrefs in a fpherrical Diffufion, in refpect of the circumambient Aer, in each point whereof the Luminary or Lucidum is Vifible. Since, fhould we allow the Concave of the Firmament to be as thickly fet with eyes, as Joves vigilant Pandars head was imagined by Poets; we could not comprehend how the orb of the Sun could be difcernable by them all, unlefs by conceding this fphærical diffufion of Pyramids to all parts of the fame. And this doth as well illuftrate as confirm a former Antiperipatetical Paradox of ours, that the vifible Species of an object is neither total in the totall Space, nor total in every part thereof; but the General Image is in the whole Medium, and the Partial or Particular Images, whofe Aggregate makes the General Image, in the fingular parts of the Medium : becaufe no fingular cye from any fingular part of the Medium, can perceive the whole of the object, but thofe parts only, which are directly obverted to that part of the Medium, in which the eye is pofited. Which affertion we inferred from hence, that not only the whole, but alfo every fenfible particle of an object doth emit certain moft fubcile rayes, conftituting the fpecies of it felf, in a fphærical diffufion, fo that the various particles emit various rayes, that varioufly decuffate and interfect each other, in all parts of the Medium : and as thefe rayes are emitted fphærically, ex fe, according to that maxime, omne vifibile fui Jpes ciem effurdere $\int \beta$ heraliter; fo do moft of them, ex Accidente, convene in their progrefs, and fo reciprocally interfect, as to fulfill the figure of a Pyramid. Whence it naturally follows, that becaufe fome Rayes muft convene, in all parts of the Medium, in this manner; therefore are Pyramids of rayes made in all points of the Medium, from whence the object diffufing them is vifible. Notwithftanding this, we fhall fo farr comply with the Vulgar doctrine, as toallow ; that in refpect even of one fingle ege, in whatever part of the Medium pofited, the diffufion of rayes from an object may be affirmed to be Spharical: infomuch as no part in the object at confiderable diftance fingly difcernable, can be affigned, which is not lefs than the pupil of the Eye.
(7) That the Light diffufed through the Medium, is not feen by us: but that thing beyond the Medium from which fome rayes are ultimately reflected into the eye. For, if it chiance that we perfuade our felves, that we perceive fomething in the Medium; it is not pure Light it felf; but fome crafs fubftance, the fmall particles of Duft, Vapours, Smoak, or the like, which having received light from fome luminous fource, reflect the fame toward the eye.

Art. II. That Eight is invifible in the pure ine dium.

Sect. II.

Art. 1. The Neceffity of the Authors confirmation of the Firff Praconfiderable

NOw, of all thefe Preconfiderables only the Firft can be judged Pracarious, by thofe whofe Feftination or Inadvertency hath not given them leave to obferve the Certitude thereof infeparably connected to the evidence of all the others, by the linkes of genuine Confequence. And therefore, that we may not be wanting to them, or our felves, in a matter of fo much importance, as the full Confirmation of it by nervous and apodictical Reafons; efpecially when the Determination of that eminent and and long-lived Controverfie concerning the QUIDDITY or Entity of Light, Whether it be an Accident, or Subftance, a meer Quality, or a perfect Body? feems the moft proper and defiderated fubject of our praefent fpeculations, and the whole Theory of all other fenfible Qualities (as Vulgar Philofophy calls them) is dependent on that one cardinal pin, fince Light is the neareft allied to fpiritual natures of all others, and fo the moft likely to be Incorporeal : we muft devote this fhort Section to the perpicuous Eviction of the COR P ORIETY of Light.

Art.2. Not to infift upon the grave Authority either of Empedocles, who, as The corpo: RIETY of Light,demon. frated by its iuft Allibutes: viz.

Locomotion.
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Such are ( 1 ) Locomotion; for manifeft it is, that fome fubftance, though moft tenuious; is deradiated from every Lucidum to the eye of the diftant Spectator: nor is a Bullet fent from the mouth of a full charged Cannon with the millionth part of fuch velocity, as are the arrows fhot from the bow of Apollo; fince the rayes of the Sun are transformed from one end of the heavens to the other, in a far lefs divifion of time, than a Cannon Bullet is flying to its mark.
2. (2) Refilition; for the rayes of light are fenfibly repercuffed from all Rcfilition. folid bodies, on which they are projected; and that with fuch pernicity or: rapid motion, as tranfcends, by inaffignable excefles, the rebound of a Can-: non Ball from a Rock of Adamant. Ariftotle (1. de fenfu eo fenflit: do de Gener. Aximall. 1. cap. 8.) teftifieth, affirmed Light to be"A Aógportr, Effuxionem, a material Emanation, and requiredcertain proportionate Pores, or moft flender paffages in all Diaphanous bodies, for their tranfition; or Plato, who defined Colour, or Light difguifed, to be $\varphi$ rióza ${ }^{\prime \prime} \pi$ тopǵqodu, Effucntem quandam Fiammulam; or of Democritus and Epicurus, both which are well known to have been grand Patrons, if not the Authors of that opinion, that Light is corporeal: we judge it alone fufficient to demonftrate the Corporiety of Light, that the Attributes thereof are fuch, as cannot juftly be adfcribed to any but a Corporeal Entity.
(3) Refraction, for our fenfe confirms, that Light is ever refracted by thofe Bodies, which allow its rayes a paffage, or chrough-fare, but not an abfolute free and direct one.
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(4) Coition, or Union, or Corroboration, from bodies either reflecting, or tranfmitting many rayes to one common point of concurfe, where they become fo violent as to burn any thing applied.
(5) Difgregation and Debilitation, from the didaction of its rayes reflected or trajected: fo that thofe which before during their Union were fo vigorous as to caufe a conflagration, being one diftracted become fo languid as not to warm.
(6) Igniety; fince Light feems to be both the Subject, and Vehicle to Heat, and thofe fpeak incorrigibly, who call Light, Flame attenuated. Which we fhall lefs doubt, if we confider the natural Parallelifm betwixt Flame and Water, Light and a Vapour. For, as Water by Rarefaction, or Attenuacion becomes a Vapour; fo may we conceive Flame by Attenuation to become Light circumfufed in the aer: and as a Vapour is nothing elfe but Water fo rarefied into fmall difcontinued particles, as that it doth fcarce moiften the body on which it is impacted; fo is Light nothing elfe but Flame fo dilated by Rarefaction, that it doth hardly warm the body it toucheth. Laftly, as a Vapour how finely foever rarefied, is ftill fubstantially Water; becaufe only by the Coition of its diffufed particles it returns again to Water, as in all diftillations: fo muft we account Light however rarefied, to be ftill fubftantially Flame; becaufe only by the Coition, or Congregation of its difperfed rayes it is reducible into abfolute Flame, as in all Burning-glaffes.

Thefe Attributes of Light confidered, it is not eafie for the moft prevaricate judgment not to confers, that Light is a Corporeal fubftance, and the Rayes of it moft tenuious ftreams of fubtle Bodies: fince it is impoffible they fhould be deradiated from the Lucid Fountain with fuch ineffable pernicity, tranfmitted through the Diaphanum in a' moment, impacted againft folid bodies, repercuffed, corroborated by Unition, debilitated by Difgregation, \&xc. without effential Corpulency.

Notwithftanding this apodistical evidence of the Corporiety of Light, the refractary Peripatetick will have it to be a meer 2qulity, and objects.
( I) That his mafter Ariflotle, impugning the doctrine of Democritus, Epicurus, and others, who afcribed Materiality to Light, defined it to be meerly "Eveprdfo perfpicui, an aft of the Perßicuum.

To this we anfiver, (I) That though Ariffotle thought it fufficient barely to deny that light is "A troppoloj Cápicilo. zidevós, ullius corporis Effuxum, and to affirm it to be Energian perficui, ut per $/$ ficurm; yet will the judicious difcover it to be rather an ambage to circumvent the incircumfpect, than a demonftration to fatisfie the curious. For, though Philoponus (2.de Anim. 7.) willing to conceal or guild over his Mafters error, interpreteth his Perßicumm aitu, or illuftrate Nature, and to Light to be a kind of Chord, which being continuedly interpofed betwixt the object and the eye, caufeth that the Colour theteof pofited beyond the Medium, doth affect and move the eye to the act of intuition : yet hath He left the Reafon and Manner of this fuppofed Act
of the Perfpicuum on the eye, the chief thing neceffary to fatisfaction, involved in fo many and great Difficulties, as proclaim it to be abfolutely inexplicable. (2) That albeit we deny not Illumination to be meerly Accidental to opace Bodies; yet therefore to allow the Light, wherewith they are illuminate, to be an Accident, and no Subftance, is a manifent Alogie. And to affirm, that the Aer, Water, or any Diaphanous body is the fubject of Inhrefion to Light, is evidently incongruous; becaufe every Medium is fimply Paffive, and remains unmoved while the Light pervades it : and how can Light pervade it, if it be not Corporeal? or how can the rayes thereof conferve their Tenfity and Directnefs in the Aer, while it is varioufly agitated by wind and other caufes, if they were not abfolutely independent thereupon? (3) What Ariftotle faith concerning the Propagation of the fpecies of Light even to infinity in all points of the Medium, befides its incomprehenfibility, is abfolutely inconfiftent to the Pernicity of its motion, which is too rapid and momentany to proceed from a frefh Creation of Light in every point of the medium : fince the multitude of freh productions fucceffively made, would require a far longer time for the tranfmiffion of the light of a candle to the eye of a man at the diftance of but one yard, than our fenfe demonftrates to be neceffary to the tranfmiffion of the light of the Sun from one end of Heaven to the other.

Art. 4. The corporiety of Light imports not the Coexiflence of $t$ wo Bodies in one Place: contra ry to the Peri. particik.
(2) That by allowing Light to be Corporeal, we incurr the abfurdity of admitting two Bodies into one and the fame place.

Which is foon, folved by reflecting on what we have formerly and frequently faid, concerning Inanity interfperfed, and obferving what we fhall (God willing) fay of thofe eminent Qualities, Rarity and Perfpicuity: from either of which it may be collected, how great a Multitude of Pores are in every Rare and Perfpicuous Body, which remain tenantable, or unp offeffed.

Art. 5. Nor the mori on of a Body to be Infiantaneous.

## Art. 6.

The Invifbilisy of Lighe in the limpid me. dium, no ar a gument of irs Immateriality: as the Peripa. tetick prafumes.
(3) That from the Corporiety of Light it muft follow, that a Body may be moved in an Inftant. But he hath not yet proved that the motion of Light is inftantaneous: and we have, that it is not, but only Momentany, i. e. that Light is moved in a certain ipace of time, though imperceptible, yet divifible, and not in one individual point, or Inftant.
(4) That the Rayes of Light are Invifible in pure. Aer, and by confequence Immaterial. Solut. Their Invifibility doth not neceffitate their Immateriality; for the Wind, which no man denies to be Corporeal, is invifible : and as it fufficeth that we feel the Wind in its progrefs through the aer, foalfo is it fufficient that we perceive Light, in the illumination of Opace Bodies, on which it is impinged, and from which it is reflected. Befides, whofo maketh his fenfe the meafure of Corporiety, doth ftrain it to a higher fubtility, than the conftitution of its Organs will bear, and make many more fpiritual Entities, than can be found in the Univerfe, nay, He implicitely fuppofeth an Immaterial Being naturally capable of Incorporation meerly by the Unition of its difperfed particles; fince many rayes of Light congregated into pone ftream become vifible.
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(5) That the cuateriality of Light is repagnant to the Duration of the Art. 7. Sun; which could not bave lafted Solong, but muft bave, like a Tapour ex- The Corporiet bauffed its whole flock of Luminous Matter, and wincked out into perpetual oi Light fully nighr, lons fince, if all its Rayes were fubftantial Emanations, according to our Affumption.

But this Refuge may lie battered with either of thefe two flots. I The fuperlative Tenuity of the Luminous particles continually emitted from the body of the Sun, is fuch as to prevent any fenfible minoration of its orb, in many 1000 yeers. (2) If the Diametre of the Sun were minorated by 100000 miles lefs than it was obferved in the days of Ptoloniy; yet,would not that fo valt Decrement be fenfible to our fight: fince being in its Apogxum, in fummer, it doth not appear one minute lefs in Diameter to the ftricteft aftronomical obfervation, than in winter, in iss Perigæum, and yet Snellius, Bullialdus, and Gaffendus, three Aftronomers of the higheft form, affure us that it is about 300000 miles more remote from us, in its Apogx um, than Perigæum.
(6 and Lafly) That if Light werc Flame, then would all Light warm at leaff : but there are many Lights actually Cold, fuch as that in the Phofpher Mineralis, or Lapis Phenggites, of whofe admirable Faculty of imbibing, retaining and emitcing a confiderable light, the excellent Forturi ius Lictus hath written a fingular Tract, and $\mathcal{A}$ ibanaf. Kircheress a large chapter (in Art. magn. Lucis \& Umbre lib. © . part. I. cap. 8.) in Gloworms, the fales and thells of foome Fifhes, among which the moft eminent are thofe Dactyli mencioned by Kircher (in libri jams citati part. I. cap. 6.) in thefe words, funt ơ Dactyli, offreacci generis, qui vel masibus triti lumenveluti fcintillas quafdam ex fe .Jargunt : quemadinodum Melite, in Sicilia, Calabria, \& Liguffici maris oris non fine admiratione à pifcatoribus \& natutis inftructoribus obfervafe memini; in Roten Wood, \&Co Ergo, \&c.

Anfwer, The Defect of actual Heat in thefe things, doth arile, in part from the abundant commiftion of Grofs and Vifcid Humidity with thole igneous Particles that are Collucent in them; but mofly from the exceeding Rarety of thofe Luminous Sparks: which being fo thin and languid, as to difappear even at the approach of a Secondary Light, cannot be expected vigorous enough to infule an actual warmth into the hand that toucheth them; efpecially when experience atteftech, that the Rayes of the Sun, after two Reflections, become fo languid by Attenuation, as they can hardly affect the tendereft hand with any fenfible Heat. And thereforé; unlefs it can be evinced, that the difgregation of the parts of a Body, doth deftroy the Corporiety of it; and that the fimple Attenuation of Light doth make it to be no Light: weask leave to retain our p. fuafion, that the exiftence of many lights, which are devoyd of Heat, as to the perception of our fenfe, is no good Argument againft the Igniety and Corporiety of Light.

Art. 8.
The infenfibiliry of Hear in many Lucenc Bodies, no valid Argumens againft the pixient The fis, that Light is Flame Arte- with the Dw* ration of the Sun : conerary to the Peripan terick.



# THE <br> N A T U R E <br> OFA <br> S O U N D. 

Art. 1. An Elogy of the fenfe of Hearing: and the Relation of this and the præcedent Chapter.


I was a hypochondriack conceit of Plato, that all our Cognition is but Recognition, and our acquired Intcilection a meer Reminifcence of thofe primitive leffons the Soul had forgotten fince her tranfmiffion ftom the fphere of fupreme Intelligences, and Immerfion into the Opacity of Flefh. For, Proper science is proper only to Omnifience; and not to have knowledge by infufion or acquifition, is the attribute only of the Effence of Wifaom; and a priviledge due to none but the Ancient of Dayes, to have his knowledge derived beyond Antiquity: but Man, poor ignorant Thing, fent to School in the World, on the defign of Sapience, mult fiveat in the exploration and purfuit of each fingle Verity; nor can he ever poffers any fcience, in this dark region of life, but what he hath dearly purchafed with his own anxious difcovery, or holds by inhæritance from the charitable induftry of his Fore-fathers. And, that the naked Mind of man, endowed only with a fimple Capacity of Science, might by degrees adorn it felf with the notions of whatever concerns his well-being either in this ftate of Mortality, or that future one of Immortality; hath the Bounty of his Creator furninhed him with the Senfe of HEARING : a fenfe particularly and eminently ordained for Difcipline. For, though we fing Hymns to the Eye, for the Invention: yet muft we acknowledge a facrifice of gratitude due to the Ear, for the Communication and Diffufion of Arts and Sciences. Quernadmodum ajpectus ad vita dulcedinem, co commada of magis neceffarius;
ita Auditlis ad excipiendam attem, fcientiam. \& fapientiam eft accommoditior: ille ad inventionem, hic ad communicationems aptior off; fuith that accurate and eloquent Anatomift, frulius Caferius Placentinus, (in premio ad libr. de fenfoorgan.). Thus much the antique Agyptians in timated in their Hieroglyphick of Memory, the figure of a mans Ear; and the Pbilofopher expreft in his Character of the Hearing, Auditus oft femo Jus Difcipline; as alfo that Modern Ornament of Germany, Sernertus (in hypomn. Phyf.) in this memorable fentence; Awres in bomiine quafi ijor. ta mentis funt, per quam illi communicantur, que doctrina \&o inftititione de Deo, \& alÿs rebus neceffarïs traduntur, queque nullo alio Senfu addifci poffunt. Now, to bring you home to the fcope of this (not otherwife or unreafonable, or unneceffary) Elogy of the Hearing; fince the Relation betwixt the Sight and Hearing is fo great, as to the point of mans acquifition of Knowledge, as that the one can be no more juftly called the Difcoverer, than the other the Propagator of all Arts and Sciences:' it is evident we have made no undecent Knot in the Clue of our Method, by immediately fubnecting this Enquiry into the Nature of a Sound, the adxquate and proper object of the Hearing, to our pracedent fpeculations of the Nature of Vifion, Colours, and Light.

Befides, as thefe two Senfes are Coufin-Germans, in their Ufes and Ends: fo likewife are they of near Alliance, in their objects; there being no finall, nor obfcure Analogy, betwixt the nature and proprieties of a Vifible species, and the nature and proprieties of an Audible Species, or Sound. For
( I) As it is the property of Light, transfigured into Colouts, to reprefent the different Conditions or Qualities of bodies in their fuperficial parts, according to the different Modification and Direction of its rayes; either fimply or frequently reflexed from them, through the Aer, to the Eye:fo is it the propriety of Sounds to reprefent the different Conditions ot Qualities of Bodies, by the mediation of the Aer percuffedand broken by their violent fuperficial impaction, or collifion, and configurate into fiwarms of fimall confimilar maffes, accommodable to the Ear. So that He fpeaks as Philofophicilly, who faith; that various founds are nomore but the various Percuifions and impreft Motions of the Aer: as He that faith, Colours are no more then the various Immerfions of Light into the fuperficial particles of bodies and refpective Emerfions or Reflections from them, through the diaphanous medium to the Eye Nor can we much diflike the conceipt of Athamaf Kircher. (Mïjurgia Univerfalis l.g. part. 4. praluf. I.) that if it were poffible for a man to fee thofe fubtle motions of the aer, caufed by the ftrings of an inftrument, harmonically playd upon (as we may the Circular Undulations, and Tremblings of water, raifed by a fone thrown into it, in a river or ftanding lake ) the whole Tuine would appear to him like a well drawn Picture, ingenioufly and regu-larly adumbrate with admirable variety of Colours, each one diftinctly reprefenting the particular Condition of that ftring or fonant Body, that created it.
(2) As Light immediately fails and difappears upon the remove or eclipfe: of its lucid fountain; as is manifeft by the fucceffion of darknefs in a room at night, when a candle is either removed out of it or extinguillied,
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Art. ${ }^{2}$ The grear Affo. nity betwixt $V$ Iffble and $A \mu=$ dible fepcies; in their repre: rentation of the fuperficial Condicions of objets.
the fucceffion of its rayes being intercepted: fo doth a Sound inftantly perifh upon the Ceffation of the undulous motion of the Aer, which conduceth not only to the Creation, but Delation of it, as the principal, if not the fole Vehicle. For, the fubfiftence of Sounds is not by way of dependence upon the folid bodies, by which they were produced; according to the 7 Propofit. of Merfennus (Harmon. Lib. 1.pag. 3.) Soni non pendent à corpore, à quo primum producti funt: but upon the Continuation of the motion impreft upon the Aer, fo that the Duration of a Sound is equal to the duration of the Agitation of the aer. And therefore Bapt. Porta, Cornelius Agrippa, Wecherus, Alexius, and others of the fame tribe, that fo highly pretend to Phonocamptical Magick, are worthy more than derifion,for their infolent undertaking to Conferve a voice, or articulate found of many fyllables, by including it in a long Canale of Lead, or other impervious matter; fo that upon unftopping the extreme of the Tube, after many not only hours, but months, the voice fhall iffue out as quick and diftinct as at the firft pronunciation, or infufurration into the cavity thereof. Which (whether more impudent, or ignorant (for both Experience and the Nature of a Sound evidence the contrary) is difputable) Rhodomantade is demonftrated to be abfolutely impoffible, by Athanaf. Kircher. (Mufurgie Univerfal. lib.9. \& Magia Ecbotectonicia cap. I.) whether we remit the unfatisfied.

Art. 4. nobolijim, or Diffufron, both Spharical and Pyramidal.
(3) As the A\&tinobolifm, or Deradiation of Light from the Luminary, is Spherical, in refpect of the circumambient fpace illuminate by it: fo is the Diffurion of a Sound in excentral lines from the fonorous body, through the whole fpace, or medium within the fphere of its vertue; for, otherwife a General, fpeaking in the midft of his Army, could not be heard in round. Here is the only difference betwixt the Actinobolifm of Light and Sounds; that the one is performed in time imperceptible, though not inftantaneous: the other in moments difinguibable, which are more or lefs according to the degrees of diftance betwixt the fonant and audient. Again, as the Deradiation of Light, confidered meerly as Vifible, not as Lufidum, is Conical, or Pyramidal, in refpect to the Eye of the Spectator; as we have profeffedly demonftrated in the 10 . Article of the I. Sect. of our Chapt. conserning the Nature of Light: fo likewife doth every found make a Cone, or Pyramid in the medium, whofe Bafe confifteth in the extreme of the body producing the found, and cone in the ear of him that hears it ; or as fome Mathematicians, as Blancanus and serfennus, whofe Bafe is in the Ear, and Cone in fome one point of the fonorous fubject. Allowing only this Difference; that the Cones or Pyramids of Vifible Species are more Geometrical, i.e. more exactly conform to proportion Geometrical, than thofe of Audible Species; which in regard as well of the grofsnefs of their Particles, as lefs velocity of their motion, are eafily injured and perturbed by Winds. And this, in truth, is the beft ground they have to ftand upon, who opinion Sounds to be no more but fimple Motions of the Aer.

Art. 5.
In their ceriifying the fenfe of the Magnitudest Figurt's and other, Qualities of their Originals.
(4) As Vifible Species, fo do Suunds inform the Senfe, of the Magnitude, Figure, and other Qualities of the Bodies, from which they are emitted. For experience affureth, that Greater Bodies emic a Graves Sound, than fmaller; that Concaves yeild a ftronger and more latting Sound, than Planes; that Hard things found more Acutely than Soft; ftrings
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frings diftended yeild a fharper found; than lax; Empty veffels than full, \&xc. Hence is it, that Goldfmiths, and Coyners diftinguifh good mony from bad, pure Gold from that largely allayed with Copper; and Metallifts judge of fimple and compound Metals, only by their Ring or found. And we have heard of Vintners, who could exactly diftinguifl the Kinds and Goodnefs of Wines, only by the found of the Veffels that contained them: and therefore ufed to choofe them more by their Ear, than Palate. But, what we here fay, that Harder Bodies emit 'a found more Acute thran fofter, we defire may be underfood only of the Plurality, not Generality of Bodies. For the examining Merfennus, having experimented the different founds of Metalls, tells us (in prefat. ad Harmonic.) that He found a Cylindre of Iron to be Unifone to another of fteel, equal in diametre and length; and both in acutenefs to tranfcend a Cylindre of Brafs of equal dimenfions, by a whole Diateffaron : nay more, that a Cylindre of Firr Wood yeilded, upon equal percuifion, a found more acute by a whole Ditone, than a Cylindre of Brafs, which yet yeilds a found more ftrong, laiting and grateful than any of the reft. Each of which obfervations is fufficient to cut off the general intaile of that Canon, Soros io acutiores, qui duriora fuerint corpora. Legendus eft Athanaf. Kircher. Art. Magn. Confo: ni ©o Diffonilib. I. appendice de Phonognomia.
(5) As a Greater Light alvayes obumbrates a Lefs, fo a Great Sound alivayes drowns a Lefs: for it is manifeft, that the found of a Trumpet conjoyned to the low or fubmiffive voice of a man, makes it wholly unaudible, and the loud clanours of Mariners are fcarce heard in a tempeft.
(6) As a too great Light offends alwayes, and often deftroyes the fight, as is eminently exemplified in the tyranny of Dionyfins, the Sicilian: fo, too great founds injure and lacerate the Hearing. For, many men have been ftrucken deaffor ever, by great Thunder-claps, and as many by the reports of grand Artillery.
(7) As Light, meerly by the Condenfation of it tayes, produceth Heat in the aer: fo Sounds meerly by their Multiplication. For, it is obferved, that in all Battails, and chiefly in Naval fights, where many Cannons are frequiently difcharged, the aer becomes foultry and hot ; not fo much from the many fulphureous or igneous particles of the Gunpowder commixt with, as the violent concuffions, and almof continued agitation of the Aer. So that even in this particular, that Axiom, that Motion is the Mother of Heat, holds exactly found.
(8) The Effects of Audible Species, as well as of Vifible, are fübject to variation, according to the divers Condition of the Medium. For, as Flame, beheld through fmoak, feems to tremble : fo do founds, trajected through aer varioufly waved by Winds, rife and fall betwixt every Guft; as fis obfervable moft eafily in the ringing of Bells, whether the wind be favourable, or adverfe.
(9) And what moft conduceth to our comprehenfion of the Nature of a Sound; For, as Light, fo is a Sound capable of Locomotion, Exfilition, Impaction, Refilition, Difgregation, Congregation; all which are the proper Ee 2 and

Art. 8. $^{\circ}$ In their pro: duction of Heat by Multio plication.
and incommunicable Atrributes of Corporiety. Only we muft confefs them difcrepant in this, (I) That Sounds are delated from their Original not only in direct lines, but circular, elliptical, parabolical, and all others; for a found heard on the other fide of a high Wall, comes not to the ear in a direct line through the Wall, as Kircherus contends (in Mufurgice Univer $\int a l$. lib. 1.) with tædious arguments, but in an Arch, as the incomparable St. Alban hath firmly evinced (in Cern. 3. Natural. Hiff. ): whereas Light conftantly progrefs through the Medium to the Eye', in Direct lines, whether primary, reflex, or refracted. (2) A Sound is diffufed through it fphere of activity in a longer fpace of time, by much, than Light, as is fenfibly demonftrated by this, that the flafh of a Cannon arrives much fooner at the Eye, than the report at the Ear : and the immediate Reafon hereof is the lefs velocity of motion in the found, which confifting of groffer particles than thofe of Light, muft be proportionately flower in its Delation. For, a Sound feems to be nought bur the Aer, at leaft the fubtler or more $x$ thereal part of aer, extrite and formed into many fmall (Molecule) maffes, or innumerable minute Contextures, exackly confimilar in Figure, and capable of affecting the Organ of Hearing in one and the fame manner: which configurated fmall maffes of aer fly off from bodies compulfed or knockt each againft other, with fome violence; and progrefs by Diffufion in round. For, becaufe upon preffure they mutually recede, each particle going off in that point where it finds the freef egrefs : therefore muft fome tend upward, others downward, fome to the right, others to the left, fome obliquely, others traniverlly, \&c. butall more flowly than the particles of Light, whofe Tenuity being far greater, caufeth them not to be fubject to retardment by the like tumultuous Convolution. But, as the greater Corporiety of Sounds makes them flower in their Diffufion; fo doth it make them more impetwous and forcible in their Impaction, than the Species of Light: it being obvious to obfervation, that Violent Sounds, fuch as great Thunders, Volleys of Cannon fhot, the breaking of Granades, \& c. ufually thake the largeft Buildings, and fliver Glafs windows at a mile diftance and more. And yet are Sounds far eafilier impeded, perturbed, and flatted, than the rayes of Light; every man knowing, that no found can penetrate Glafs, but in one cale, or exigent of Nature, of which we fhall particularly fpeak, in the laft Section of this Chapter: and fince Sounds are repercuffed more flowly; they are Difgregated more hardly, and Congregated more faintly, than the rayes of Light. Laftly, the Proportion of Retardation in the diffufion of Sounds to the utmoft of their fphere of activity, is fuch even from Winds; that as Mer Jennus hath computed, the diameter of the fphere of a found, heard againft the wind, is by almoft a third part lefs than the diameter of the fphere of the fame found, affifted by a favourable or fecund Wind: but the Diameter of a Lucid Sphere is alwayes equal, which way foever and how violendy foever the wind blows. (3) Bodies of narrow Dimenfions make a fenfible reflection of Light; as is manifeft from a Burning-glafs of an inch diameter: but a Body of far greater dimenfions is required to the fenfible Reflection of a Sound, i. e. to the production of an Eccho; though it is not to be doubted, buta a found may be reflected from every Hard bodie on which it is impinged. This confidered, we cannot but fmile at the Credulity of many great Arifoteleans, who are perfuaded that an Echo is made
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by the meer Repercuffion of the Sound from the particies of the Aer. For, notwithftanding we deny not, but the particles of the aer, withinit thie fphere of the Sounds diffufion, encountring and arietating thofe particles of the found, may in fome fmall meafure repercufs them : yet we think it unfafe, therefore to admit this aereal Repercuffion to arife to Senfibility, or to be obfervable by the Creation of an Echo. And therefore we conceive, that whatever fenfible Reflection or Multiplication of a Sound, feems to proceed from the Aer, is not caufed really by the Aer, but fome Denfe and Hard Bodies, fuch as Rocks, Ædifices, Arches; $\& \mathrm{c}$. whofe Concavities reflect the particles of a Sound for the fame reafon, that Concaves Multiply Light.

## Sect. II.

THE Congruities of $V_{i}$ fble and $\mathcal{C}$ wdible Species being fo many and Effential, and their Imcongruities, or points of Difrepancy fo few, and thofe altogether confifting in the meer Degrees of Velocity, and fome other Circumftances relating to the Medium : we hive afair and direct way opened to our Enquiry into the Quiddity or Effence of a Sound. Wherefore fince to conclude a parity of $E f$ fence, from a parity of $A$ Atributes and Effects, in any two Entities; is warrantable even by the frricteft laws of Reafoning: we fhall adventure to affume a Sound to be a Corporeal Ens. Which before we farther confirm by Arguments, it behovech us to lift that block of contrary Authority out of our Readers way; at which the credulity and incircumfpection of many have made them ftumble and hault ever after in their Opinions concerning this Subject.

True it is, that Pythagoras, Plato, and Ariftotle, according to the Memorials of Plutarch (4. Placit. 20.) unanimoufly held a Sound to be $!n$ corporeal, a meer Accident, or 2 2uality, or Intemional species; contrary to the doctrine of Democritus, Epicurus, and the Stoicks, who, as $\dot{L}$ aertius (in lib. 7.) exprefly records, affirmed it to be Corporeal, or a Material Efflux, the words of Epicurus being $[\tilde{\tau}$ qurwhj Êvou fêvucg ex $x$ -
 num, fiuxsum effe emifum ex. rebuss aut loquentibus, aut fonantibus, ast quomodocunque. Jtrepitum edentibus. But yet we coriceive this repugnancy of Authority infufficient to infirm our Thefis of the CORPORIETY of Sounds; as well becaufe fimple Authority, though never fo reverend, is no demonftration, and fcarce a good argument, in points Phyfiological, where the appeal lies only to Reafon: as for this weighty confideration, that Thefe accepted a found in Concreto, i. e. for the fubtance of the Aer, or its moft tenuious particles, together with their proper Configuration; but Thofe in Abfrideto; or only for the Figure impreft upon the fuperfice of the Aer, which they therefore inferred to be Incorporeal, that is, devoyd of Profundity. For, otherwife I $P /$ la. to (apud Agellium, lib. 5. cap. 15.) defines a found Acris validague aer is percufsio, a fmart and ftrong percuffion of the aer: and Arjfotle (2. de Anim, cap. 8.) calls it downright a Motion of the Aer; as the

Stoicks, Ittus aeris, a ftroke of the aer. So that the Difference feems occafioned only by their diverfe Acceptation of the word Sound. This obftruction removed, we progrefs to the difcharge of our province, viz. the Eviction of the Corporiety of a Sound.

The Firft Argument of the Corporiety of a Sound, is (2uod vim
Art. 3. babet agendi, five efficierdi aliquid) that it is Active or Effective. An argument For, the voice of a man violently emitted, or highly elevated by a kind of the Corporiety of Sounds. of grating offends the vocal organs, and changes their fweetnefs or evennefs into a hoarfnefs; and being long continued, leaves them mifaffected with laffitude: as the experience of Hunters and Orators demonftrates.

Hither are we to referr Lucrettius his

## Preter radit enim vox faices $\int$ ape, facitgue, Ajperiora foras gradiens arteria clamor, \&cc.

Art. 4. A Second Argument.

The second is defumed from its Capacity of Repercuffion, or Reflition from folid bodies; which is the evident caufe of our hearing one found twice, or more often, according to the multiplicity of its Reflections: as in all Echoes, monophone or polyphone. Which Ariftotle fitly compares notonly to a Ball frequently rebounding, buit alfo to Light, which Himfelf confeffeth capable of reflections even to infinity: thereon concluding a found fubjeet to the fame laws of Reflection with either. To which Virgil feems to allude in his

## Saxa Jonant, vocifque offenfa refultat Imago.

Intimating, that an Echo holds a perfect analogy with an Ímage reflected from a Mirrour. For, as befide that Image, which tends in a direct line from the Glafs to the eye, innumerable others are fo transferred from it into all points of the Medium, that divers other eyes varioufly pofited therein fhall behold the fame general Image, each one receiving a particular Image: fo likewife, befide that found or voice, which arrives at your ear, innumerable others are fo difperfed through all paits of the medium or fphere of diffufion, that if there were as many ears therein as the fpace could contain, each one would hear the fame general found or voice 3 and if it chance that any one particular voice be impinged againft folid and lrvigated or fmooth bodies (for folids that are very Spungy or porous, fuffer founds to pals through them, and too fcabrous or rough deftroy them by diffipation ) it may be repulfed in a direct line toward your ear; and you fhall hear it again at fecond hand or Echoed:

## COROLLA. Ry. <br> Art. $5 \cdot$

## The Caufes" of

 Concurrent $E$ choes, where the Audient is equally (al. moft) diftant from the Sonant and Re. percurient.Touching the Reflection of Sounds, we fhall here; by way of Corollary, briefly obferve. That in cafe you ftand fomewhat near to the fmooth folid that reflectech the found, and the Creation of the found be not very remore; then though an Echo thereof be made, yet fliall not you hear it : becaufe the Direct found and the Reflex enter the ear fo continently, i. e. the face of time betwixt their ingrefs is fo irnperceptible, that they feem but one intire found. But, in this care, the found becomes both ftronger and longer; in refpect of their Ulinion; And
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And this comes to pafs chiefly, when the Reflection is made from divers bodies at once; as in all Arches, and Concamerated or vaulted rooms: in which for the inoft part, the found or voyce lofeth its Diftinctnefs, and degenerates into a kind of long confufed Bombe.

And hence, viz: the many Repercuffions of a Sound from divers places together, or with fo fhort intervals of time, as the fenfe cannot diftinguifh them; is it, that che found of Concaves percuffed, lafteth much longer, than the founds of bodies of any other figure whatever : efpecially when the Concave hangs at liberty, in the aer, fo that its Tremulation be not hindred as are all Bells in Churches, and clocks. For, not only the External or ambient aer, but the Internal is agitated by thofe frequent Tremblings in the body of the Concave, and continuedly repercuffed from fide to fide : and therefore, till the trembling ceafech, the Bombination is continued.

Again, if you ftand far from the fonant bodie, and near to the Reflectent; in this cafe alfo will the found appear fingle, and coming only from the Reflectent: becaufe both the Direft and Reflex found invade the ear without any fenfible difference in time ; and yet the Reflex found as it is really the pofterior, fo dothit very much intend or increafe the Direct, and confequently makes the impreffion oblervable only from it felf.

It is obfervable morenver, that by how much nearer the Ear is to the Anacamptick, or Refectent ( yet at fuch diftance, as is required to the difcernment of the Direct voyce from the Reflex.) by fo much the fewer fyllables of a word pronounced are Echoed: andè contra, by how much farther froin the Reflectent (provided the diffance exceed not the fphere of diffufion) fo many more fyllables are repeated. The Reafon being this, that the interval of time betwixt the Ceffation of the Speaker, and the audition of the Reflex voice, is much lefs in the firft cafe, and much greater in the later: and confequently, the lefs interval of time fufficeth to the Diftinction of a fewer fyllables, and the greater for more. This confidered, we can no longer admire the diftinct rehearfal of a whole Hexameter by fome ftrong Echoes; provided the voice pronouncing the verfe be fufficiently frong to drive it to the Reflectent, and thence back again to the Ear, at large diftance, fuch as is neceffary to the allowance of time enough for the fucceffive repercuffion of each fylllable : for otherviife the voice failech by the way.

What hath been hitherto faid, concerns only Echoes Croonophone, that repeat the fame fyllable but once; but there are Echoes Polyphone, fuch as repeat one and the fame note, or fyllable divers times over, and of them the Reafon is far otherwife. For, the frequent rehearfal of the fame fyllable by an Echo, arifech from the multitude of Refectent Bodies, fituate beyond each other in fuch order, that the nearer bodies referr it firft, and the remoter fucceffively : and fometimes from Bodies mutually Confronting each other, and alcernately reflecting the fame found. Of this fort were thofe oberved by Lacretius, in this Triftich.

> Sex etiam, aut feptem loca vidi reddere voces, Unam cum jaceres; ita colles collibus ipfis Verbarequulfantes, iterabant dicfareforre.

Such alfo was that prodigious one that entertained the Curiofity of Gaffendurs at Pont Cbarenton ftanding upon the river Seine, four miles from Paris. For in a fquare old ædifice of free-ftone, uncovered at the top, and having a row of 5 Pillars on each fide, as commonly our Churches, He heard a Monofyllable, which himfelf pronounced, clearly and orderly repeated by feveral Echoes, 17 times over; and when he uttered the Monofyllable in the Centre of the Ædifice, it was brought back to his ear 17 times from each extream (the area being fomewhat oblong) fo diftinctly, as He could eafily numerate the repetitions on his fingers. If fo fileat Miracula Memphis, let the eEgyptian Pyramids no longer boaft their Pentaphone Echoes; nor the Porticus Oiympia challenge the garland from the world for her Heptaphone Refonance, which is highly celebrated by the pens of Plutarch (lib.q. deplacit.PhiloJoph.cap.20.) and Pliny, (lib. 36. cap. 15.). For, this at Pont Charenton, of which our Lord St. Alban was alfo an ear-witnels, and not without fome admiration, as Himfelf hath recorded (in Centur. 3. Nat. Hift.) hath no Rival, but that many tongued Echo in a Village called Simoneta, near Millan in Italy, which at fome feafons, when the aer is ferene, will iterate any Monofyllable, in which is no S . (which being but a kind of fibilation, or interior found, few or no Echoes can reherfe) 30 times over very diftinctly; if credit be due to the teftimony of Blancanus (in Echonsetria, \& in fuo additione ad theorem. 20. de Echopolyphona.)

Art. 10. A Tinird Argu. ment of the Materiality of Scunds:

A Third Argument of the materiality of a Sound, refults to us from the Pleafure and offence, or Gratefulnefs and Ingratefulnefs of Sounds, as they are Concinnous, or Inconcinnous. For it is highly concordant to trath, that the fuavity of a Sound proceeds from hence, that thofe minute Particles, which enter the ear and move the Auditory Nerve, are in their configuration fo accommodate to the Receptaries, or Pores thereof, that they make a gentle, fmooth or equal impreffion on the filaments, of which the Acouftick Nerve confifteth : and on the contrary, the Acerbity, or Harfhnefs of a Sound, only from hence, that the minute particles invading the fenfory, being afper or routh in their configuration, in a manner exulcerate, grate, or dilacerate the flender Filaments thereof.

Art. II. The neceffiry of a certain Configuration in a Sound; inferred from the Diftinction of one found from another, by the Senfe.

Art. 12. The fame confirmed by the Audority of Pythagoras, Plato and Arifotle.

That a certain Configuration of its minute particles, is effentially neceffary to every Sound, may be concluded fafely even from hence; that fogreat variety of Sounds, and chiefly of Words, or Letters, as well Vowels as Confonants, could not be fo exactly diftinguifhed by the Hearing, unlefs the fenfory were varioufly, or in a peculiar manner percelled and affected by each : nor can that variety of Affection be made out, but by a variety of Sigillation, or Impreffion, dependent refpectively on the various Confguration of thofe (molecula) fmall maffes, that compofe the found.

To fweeten the harfhnefs of this Affertion yet more; we alledge the unifon Auctority of no lefs than Pytbagoras (whom all knowing men allow to have lighted the tapour to pofterity, in the inveftigation of the Nature, and caules of proportions among Mufical Sounds ) Plato and Arifotle, all which affirmed the fame, if Plutarco be faithful (in 4. de placit.) while He introduceth them faying, no onñen, Figuram, $^{2}$

vocem, that the Figure made in the aer, and then it fuperfice, by fome certain percuffion, becomes a voice. And, that plutarch hath done no more than juftice to Ariftotle, in this particular; is evident from his own words, (in Problem. 13. © 5 I.) where He exprefly enquires, 2uare Vox, cum
 tur, plerumque, ro ginuc, Figurum amittit, illam tamen dum a folidocorpore repercatitur, incolumem Servet? "Why a voice, which is aer con" figurate, and for the moft part lofeth its Figure; in its [long] tranfmiffi"on, doth yet conferve it intire and unimpaired, when repercuffed from a "folid body, as in all Echoes ?

Nor can it be rightly denied, but that Flux of minute aereal Bodies, or moft rethereal parts of the aer, which are excuffed in round by two bodies arietating, are eafily Capable of Configuration : when as much is fubindicated even by thofe fenfible Vortices, or Whirlings and Eddies of pat Winds, which are frequent in fummer. Under this title fall thofe words
 \&ic. Hunc verofluxum in froftula confimilis Figure comminui: the full fenfe whereof feems to be this. That whem a Voyce is emitted from the mouth, or other found from what body foever; the Contexture of the minute bodies effluent is fo compreft, and confracted into fimaller contextures, that of the Original are made fivarms of Copies, or leffer maffes exactly confimular in their Formation: and that thofeare inftantly difperfed fphærically, or in round through the whole circumfufed fpace, ftill conferving their fimilitude to the Original, or General voyce, or found, till their arrival at the Eare; and for retaining the determinate fignature of their Formation, are diftinguifht accordingly by the fenfory. By this it appears, that Epicurus, in this point, diffented inconciliably from Democritus; who conceived that all founds were delated to the Ear by Propagatien, i.e. that the found being broken into myriads of fmall Fragments, each fragment did form the contiguous Aer into Contextures of the fame Configuration with the Prototype, and thofe again formed the particles of aer next adjacent into the like, and fo fucceffively through all parts of the medium till they came home to the Organ of Hearing; not much unlike the dream of the Ariftoteleans, concerning the Propagation of the fpecies of Light in each point of the medium. Whereas the Conception of Epicurus is this, that the Primitive Configuration of the moft tenuious particles of the Aer, by the percuffion or Collifion, is broken into many fmall maffes; and each of thofe, at farther remove from the fonant into many fmaller, and thofe again into finaller, all exactly refpondent to the Firft in figure : after the fame manner, as we obferve a fpark of Fire exflient from a Firebrand, to be broken into a multitude of lefs fparks, and each of thofe fhivered again into many lefs, until their exility makes them totally difappear.

This Reafon and manner of the Diffufion of a Sound throughout fo great a face of the medium, They may eafily comprehend, who have abferved the Sewers of Princes in Italy foout Orang-flower water, or other Fragrant Liquors, out of their mouths, with fuch dextrous violence, as to difperfe it in a kinde of mift, through the aer of a fpacious room, fo that the aer contained therein becomes impregnate with the Odour, for the more, noble entertainment of the fenfe, For the

Confent betwixt this Exfuffation of Water, and the fpherical Diffufion of a Sound, is very manifeft, the greater Drops of water being in their trajection through the aer, broken, by reafon of the impulfe of the breath, that difcharged them in diftrefs, into fwarms of lefs drops, and thofe again into lefs, fucceffively in the feveral degrees of remove, until they attain fuch exiguity, as we obferve in the parcicles of a mift : and that fmall proportion of Aer, emitted from the mouth of him that fpeaks, being difperied into a dente mift of voyces, replenifhing the whole fphere of Diffufion.

Art. 15. The moff jub. the Particles of the Aer onely, the material of Sounds.

Here we are conftrained to a cautionary advertifement; that when we fay, the Aer is the Material of all voyces, we do not mean all the Breath expired from the Lungs, together with thofe Fuliginous Exhalations, that the Denfation of the aer, in Cold weather, fubjects to the difcernment of our fight ; but onely the moft fubtle part of the Aer infpired, and modulated in the Vocal Artery and other organs of fpeech: becaufe fuch onely can be judged capable of Configuration. Nor can fo fmall a quantity of pureft Aer be thought infufficient upon Difperfion to poffers fo capacious a fphere, as that of every ordinary voice; fo that of a whole Theatre of Auditors, ech one fhall diftinctly hear it: infomuch as onely a mouthful of Water blown from a Fullers mouth, is fo diffufed as to irrigate the aer replenifhing a room of confiderable amplitude. Efpeci:lly, when the Analogy holds quite through. For, as the Drops of Water are fo much both larger and denfer, by how much neerer they are after exfuffation to the mouth of the Fuller: fo alfo are the Vocal maffes of aer fo much more large and denfe or agminous, by how much neerer they are to the mouth of the Speaker; and è contra. Which alone is the reafon, why the Voyce of an Orator in a Thearre is more ftrong and diftinct to thofe of his Auditory, that fit neer at hand, than to thofe far off; provided the place afford no Concurrent Eccho, for in that cale, the Reflex voyce entering the eare united with the Direct or Original, magnifies the impreffion on the fenfory.

PARADOX. Art. 16.
One and the fame numerical voyce, nor heard by two men, nor both ears of one man.

Now, infomuch as it is confentaneous to right reafon, to conceive, that the Voice at its firlt Emiffion from the mouth, its one General Configuration of the moft tenuious particles of the Aer, with fome vehemency efflated from the vocal organs, after frequent collifions and tremulousrepercuffions, and that this General voice, in its diffufion through the medium, is confracted and difperfed into myriads of minute vocal configurations or Particular voyces, fome of which invade the ears of one perfon, others of another, \&cc. Hence is it a clear, though perhaps new and very paradoxical, truth, That the fame numerical voyce of an Orator, is not heard by any two of his Auditors, nay not by the 2 ears of any one; butevery man, and every Eare is affected with a diffinct voyce. And yet he incurrs no Contradiction, that affirms the whole Auditory to receive the fame voyce. For, as all the water exfufflated into a mift from the mouth of an Italian Sewer, or common Fuller, may be faid to be one and the fame Water; though all the minute Drops, diffufed into feveral parts of the aer, and irrigating the feveral parts of the Floor or cloth, on which they are rained down, be not the fame drops : fo likewife may we allow all the Aer efflated from the mouth of the fpeaker, to be one and the fame Aer; though the Particular Voyces, delated to particular

Ears, are not the fame Numerically. Befides, fhould we, with the major part of Scholers, admit a voice to be an Entity meerly Intentional, or fim-3 ple Quality, or Accident, yet fhould we not detratt one grain of weight from this our Paradox: fince, to conceive any one Particular voice to be in divers places, or fúbjects, at once, is manifeftly abfurd.

Here opportunity would prompt us to infift upon the admirable Confor mation of an Articulate Sound, and to enquire how each Vowel and Confonant is created by fuch and fuch motions of the Vocal Iniftruments : but the exceeding Difficulty countermands that inclination. For, though Cafferiurs, Placentinus, (in Aniatom Sirmorin. Organ.) \& Athänafus Kircherus (inlib. Aratomico de natura Sonie \& Vocis, à cap. 10 ad finemlibri.) have attempted laudably in that abftrufe theme: yet the Audit of their diftoveries rifeth no higher than this fingle rule, That the Vocal Artery, and Lungs onely conduce to the Acutenefs and Gravity of the Voicé; they difcharge the infpired aer more Prefly, or Laxly; and Kircher (in cap.10.) ingenuoufly confeffeth, At quomodo voces in guture formentur, qua proportione clifionis aeris nafcantur, tam obfcurium eft, quam voces hujufmodiclar e. fant © manifofte auditui. . The difficulty, indeed, feems to confift.chiefly in this, How from the various motions of one fingle organ, the Tongue (the Author of Diftinction in all Articulate founds, though the Palate, Epiglottis, Uvuliaind.Teeth are in their refpective degrees of affiftance infervient to the Elifion of aer made by the Tongue) and that two-leafd Door of the mouth," the Lips, fuch infinite variety of Letterss. and words doth moft eafily and almoft infenfibly refult:. To folve this, the General anfwer is, that the wonder ought to be no greater, how one Tongue can fuffice to the Articulation or Diftinction of innumerable words, by its various Motions; than that, how one Hand fufficeth to the Diftinction of innumerable Characters. But, the Motions of the Hand requifite to Diftinction of every Character, are obfervable by the fenfe : and thofe of the Tongue and Lipps requifite to the Formation of every word, together with the proportion of the Aers Elifion in every Articulation, is deeply obfcure: and therefore the Difparity being manifeet, the Problem remains untoucht; and our Admiration not fo much as palliated.

This Place might alfo admit another Confiderable, as teirrible to the moft daring Curiofity as the Former; and that is the ineffable Pernicity, whereby the Aer is exploded from the Lungs, that fo it may attain the Form of a voice. For, to the Creation of a voice Confonous, or Unifon to the found of fome one ftring on a Lute; it is neceffary, that the Aer be exploded by the Lungs, with the fame Pernicity, as the other Aer is impelled by the ftring in each of its moft rapid Vibrations, or alternate Recurfes, after its fimart percuffion by the finger; or plectrum. But this Arcanum requires a Galileo or Mer fennus, at leaft, to its due fpeculation.

The obfervable moft proportionate to our Capacity, and Competent to our prxent Defignation, is this; That no Sousd is created without chotion: and confequently, that the Thing Sonant, being endowed with folidity in fome degree or Compactnefs fufficient to Refiftence, ought either to be ftrook againft another, that is folid and refiftent; as when a Hammer is ftrook upon an Anvil; or againft the 'Aer, in 'Flux and not much refifting' and that either by Pulfation of the Aer by a folid, as when the ftring of

Lute percuffeth theaer; or the Pulfe of the folid by the Aer, violently agitated, as in all Pneumatick, or, Wind inftruments, where the ftroke of the aer againft the fides of the Concave caufeth the Sound.

Art. 20.
Rapidity of motion necef fary to the Creation of Sound, not in the Firft Cafe.

Art. 2 I.
Bur, in the Second and Laft.;

Art. 22.
That all Sounds are of equal Velocity in the Delation.

In the Former inftance, it is not neceffary to the Creation of a Sound, that the Collifion be made by a motion rapid; becaufe the Refiftence; on either part equal, caufeth that when the Accefs or Appropinquation of one Solid to the other is Continent, the Aer interpofed is Continently impelled and repelled reciprocally : and as the Aer becomes the more hardly diftreft on each part, by how much neerer the two Solids approach each other; fo proportionately is the motion more rapid. So that, by that time the two folids touch each other fuperficially, the motion is encreafed to the highert rapidity, and the diftreft Aer, no longer able to endure Compreffion, or to go and come alternately between the Solids, now contingent, breaks forth laterally in round, and is diffured in fhivers through all parts of the medium, fo that arriving at the Ear, it puts on the fpecies of a Sound.

But, in the Second and I hird inftances, it is neceffary the motion of Collifion be far more rapid, in order to the Creation of a Sound : becaufe the Refiftence, which is wanting on the part of the Aer, muft be compenfated by the frequent pulfes and repulfes of it, as when the Chord of an Inftrument percuft, doth very frequently impel the aer, by its Vibrations (the Greeks call them, xpadaruoi) or Reciprocations; or, as in Wind inftruments, where the inflated Aer is, by quick reverberacions from the fides of the Concave, very often impulft and repult.

As for the Motion of the Aer, after its Formation into a Sound, from the Sonant to the Ear, therein is one particular worthy the wonder even of Scholars: and that is, Whatever be the vebemence or rensifjness of the Collifion, or force, by which the Acr is exagitated, yet is the Tranflation of the Sound, thencerefulting, through the intermediate Space to the term of it $\int$ phare, always equally $\int$ wift. For Experience demonftrates, that all Sounds fmall and great, excited in one and the fame place, though they differ much. In the extent of their fphears of Audibility, are delated to that place in which they are heard, in equal time. This is eafily obfervable in the reports of a Cannon and a Mufquet, fucceffively difcharged at a mile diftance. For, ftanding on a Tower, or other eminent place, and noting the moment, firft when the Cannon is fired (the report and Flafh being made both at the fame inftant) and numbring how many Pulfes of your artery, or how many Seconds in a Watch denoting them, intercede betwixt your fight of the flame, and hearing the report, and then accounting how many Pulfes, or Seconds intervene betwixt the flafh and report of a Mufquet: you thall finde the number of thefe equal to the number of thofe.

Art. 23. The Realon thereof.

The Reafon of this Æquivelocity of unequal Sounds, the Stoicks (apud Plutarch. 4. placit. 19. ऊ Laertium lib. 7.) well infinuate, while they affirm, that the Aer percuffed, in regard of its Continuity, is formed into many Rounds, fuch as thofe fucceffively rifing and moving on the furface of Water, upon ftriking or throwing a ftone into ir; which Circles made on the furface of Water by a fmall ftone, move in the fame tenor, and fucceffively arrive at the margin of the River, or

Pool, in as frall time, as thofe caured by agreat ftone. And Ariffotle (2. de Anim. cap. 8.) exprefly declares his judgement, that the reaforis of the Delation of a Sound from the Sonant to the Audient, is the Continuiry of the Aer,: though Simplicius and Alexander differently interpret that Text, the one conceiving that he meant that a Sound was tranflated through the medium by reafon of fympathy among the parts thereof; the other, by Propagation of the like Sound in all points of the medium fucceffively, after the manner of fpecies Vifible, according to the dream of Ariffotle. But all one it is to us, whether we conceive the motion of a Sound made by Propagation, or Undulous Promotion; as to our preafent fcope: fince either fufficeth to explicate the Caufe, Why a Sound is longer before it arrive at the Eare, than a Vifible fpecies before it arrive at the Eye; becaufe the Vifible fpecies is tranfmitted from the Objeet, neither by Propagation, nor Undulation, but Directly, and therefore is capable of no Retardment from the Medium.

As for the definite Velocity of Sounds, or determinate fpace of timie, in which all Sounds are delated to the Extremes of their fpheres; we conceive it to be Rhodus and Saltus, in the General, inaffignable : in regard of the vaft difparity in their feveral Extents, fome founds being fcarce audible at the diftance of 20 yards, and others cleer and diftinct at as many, nay twice as many miles diftance. But, if we affume this or that determinate Sound; and attain the prexife diametre of its fphere; it is no difficulty to commenfurate its Velocity. For, CMer ennus (in reflexion. plyficomath. cap. 14. \& Propofit. 39. Balliftica) upon exact Experiment, found the Fragor of feveral Cannons difcharge in the Court of the Bantile at Paris, to arrive at his eare, after the flathes, at fuch a rate, that the found pervaded 233 . Fathoms (each containing fix feet Paris meafure) in the fpace of every Second, or Sixtiech part of a minute : and thereupon rightly concluded, that the Report of a Cannon flyeth at the conftant rate of neer upon 14000 Fathoms every minute, until it attain the extremes of it fphere. If this expedient for the meafure of the Time wherein Sound is delated, feem either too coflly or laborious; you have another moft cheap and eafie prexcribed by the Lord St. Alban (in Cent. 3. Nat. Hift.) which is this. Let one man ftand in a fteeple, having a lighted taper with him, and fome vail put before the flame thereof; and another, confxderate in the tryal, ftand a mile off in the open field : then let him in the fteeple frike the Bell with a weighty hammer, and in the fame inftant withdraw the vail; and fo let him in the field account by his pulfe what diftance of time intervenes betwixt his fight of the Light, and hearing of the Sound. If the ftrokes of the Artery, which are fubject to variation, for many caures, feem lefs certain; the Seconds in a minute watch (which are iow xpover, rquitemporaneous) will be an exact meafure of the interval, and fo of the velocity of a Sound. Plura vid.apud CMer fenmum lib. 2. Harmonic. preppfit. 40 .

Another admirable fecret there is in the Motion of Sound, which is, that no Winde can accelerate, or retard it, but it is delated from the Sonant to the Audient in equal time, whether the wind be high or gencle, fecund or adverfe. For, a Secund of favourable Wind is incomparably flower in motion than a found, as appears by the Rack or drift of clouds, the undulacion of Corn fields, the fucceffive inclination of the topsof trees in woods,

## Art. 24.

 To meafure the Velocity of great Sounds.Art. $25^{\circ}$ Sounds, not fubject to Retardation, from adverle; nor Acceleration, from Secund winds.
the rowling of waves at fea, \&c.but an Adverfe wind, though it may indeed difturb a found, or weaken it by fuppreffing fome of its particles (which is evident from hence, that all founds attaining the eare againit the wind, are not fo clear and diftinct, as when they are heard with the wind; as in Bells, whofe noyfe alternately rifeth and falleth in contrary gufts) yet do all the particles that remain uninterrupted, permeate the medium with equalvelocity. This may be foon Experimented either by Cannons; as Mer M enzius, or a candle and bell, as the Lord Bacon.


Art. 1. That all Sounds, where theAer is percuffed by one folid, are creaied inmed: arely by the Frequency, not the Velocity of motion ; demonftrated.

THe Præmifes duly confidered, it can feem no Paradox, That a Sound is created in the Aer, not fo much by the Velocity, as CREBRITY of motion: and no unnatural Confequence thereupon, that the Difference of an Acute and Grave Sound arifeth not from the greater and lefs $\int$ wiftnefs or rapidity of the motion, as Ariftotle and moft of his Sectators imagined; but from the Frequency and Infrequency thereof, as Galilico, Merjennus, and Gaffendus.

To fecure this by plain Demonftration, take a Lute ftring in your hand, and having fattened one end thereof to fome hook or pin in a wall, diftend is gently; and then percuffing it with your finger, you may perceive the Vibrations, or accurfes and recurfes alternately fucceeding, but you fhall hear no found refulting from it : , becaufe, as every vibration of the ftring is performed in perceptible time, fo doth the aer thereby percuffed arrive at the eare with fuch fenfible intervals betwixt each appulfe, as that it leaves no impreffion therein remaining, which is not expunged and confolidated before the invafion of a fecond appulfe. Then ftretch the ftring fomerwhat ftreighter, fo that the Vibrations thereof may become inobfervable by the eye, in refpect of their Frequency ; and you fhall hear a certain dull ftridor, or kind of fibilation ; becaufe the Appulfes of the aer, percuffed by each Vibration, at the eare, will be almoft Continent, fo that the time interjected betivixt each ftroke on the eare becomes imperceptible, and indiftinguifhable, nor can the firft impreffion on the fenfory be confolidated before a fecond renew it, \&c. This done and obferved, encreafe the diftenfion of the ftring yet more, and percuffing it you thall perceive a clear found to arife; becaufe as the Vibrations, fo are the percuffions of the aer, and their Appulfes to the Eare far more Continent, or more one, in regard the moments of Time intercedent betwixt the fucceffive ftrokes, are more fhort and imperceptible.

Art. 2. And likewife, where the Aer is the Percutient:

And what we here fay of the reafon of a Sound refuiting from a Luteftring, the fame, in proportion, is to be conceived of all other Sounds created in Wind inftruments, where the Aer is the Percutient. For, the breath eafily and gently inflated into a Flute, Cornet, Trumpet, \&rc. yields no found at all; onely becaufe the pulfes and repulfes of the aer from the fides of the Concave are fo infrequent, as to have the intervals of time diftinguifhable : and the aer likewife flowly emitted from the Lungs (the great Exemplar to all Pneumaticks) makes no voice, onely becaufe it is not fre-
quently
quently enough reverberated from the fides and annulary cartilages of the Vocal Artery, and confequently the Appulfes of it to the eare being proportionately infrequent, cannot, by their Coition or Union into one ftronger Appulfe, make any fenfible impreffion on the fenfory. But the Aer then becomes fonant, when it is efflated with vehemency, in refipect of its more frequent Appulfes to the fenfory, refpondent to the more itterated pulfes and repulfes, or reverberations of the fides of the Vocal Artery. Thus allo, when you draw your finger gently along a Table, or put a Hammer on an Anvil eafily, you fhall hear no found; becaule the Repercuffions of the Aer caufed by that gentle motion, are fo far afunder in time, as never to become Continent, or Conjoyned : and confequently, the Appulfes of the percuffions to the eare being alike infrequent, can never make a fenfible impreffion on the Acouftick Nerve. And this we conceive more than fufficient evidence of the Verity of the Firft part of our Thefis; That a Sound is not generated in the Aer by the Velocity, but Crebrity of motion: unlefs in a remote dependence, asVelocity is the Caufe of Crebrity:

As for the Remainder, viz. That an Acute $\operatorname{\text {Oussodari}}$ Seth from more frequent, and a Grave Sound from Lefs frequent percufsions of the Aer: the Certitude hereof may be eafily concluded from this Experiment. Faften a long Lute-ffring at one extreme on a hook nayled to a wall, and fufpend a fmall weight at the other; then ftrike the frring at convenient diftance above the weight : and you fhall obferve the Swings, or Vibrations of it to be fo flow, as that you may meafure the time of each, by the fyftole and diaftole of your Pulfe, or the Seconds in a Minute Watch. Then wind up the Chord exactly to the half, the fame weight continuing appended, and percufs it, as before : and you fhall finde the Vibrations of it to be doubly fivifter than the former, fo that one Vibration fhall be in tume refpondent to two Pulfes. Again, abbreviate the Chord to half, and having percuffed or abduced that half, which is now but a fourth part of the whole; you fhall obferve the Vibrations to be again doubled in Frequency, in refpect of the Second, and quadrupled in refpect of the Firft; fo that now 4 Reciprocations hall be ifochronical to one pulfe. This effected, continue this determinate abbreviation of the Chord,by fubdividing it into halfs fucceffively, until the Reciprocations become fo fiwift and frequent, as to be indiftinguiflable by the fenfe (though ftill you deprehend therr Velocity and Crebrity to be encreafed at a certain rate, i. e. duplicated upon each Dimidiation of the chord) when the Aer is fo frequently percuffed by it, as that it becomes Sonorous, or actuälly fonant. Then again Dimidiate the fonant remainder of the Chord, and upon percuffion you fhall obferve the found thereof to be more Acute by a whole octave, than the Former : and thence you cannor but concede, that the Acutenefs of this half of the fonant chord, above that of the whole fonant chord, is caufed only by the doubly more frequent Percuffions of the Aer, and proportionate ftrokes of the Senfory. And, becaure a Quadruplicate weight produceth the fame Effet, being appended to the whole of the fonorous chord, as a fimple weight doth in the half, as to the Duplication of the Celerity and Frequency of the Vibrations, in the fame moments: hence is it, that if you encreafe the weight, retaining the fame Longitude of the Chord, by degrees, until you advance the found thereof to an Eighth; it is manifeft, that the Reciprocations of it are ftill doubly more fivift and frequent, than thofe caufed by the former weight. Moreover, what we affirm concerning the Half of the fonorous Chord,

Art. 3. Thaz all Acute fumdis arife frcm the more, and Grave from the less Frequent percuilions of the aer, demon? frared. 2 thirdparts of the Chord, in refpect of a Fifth, of the Dodrantal, or 3 quarters, in refpect of a Fourth; and fo of the reft of the mufical Notes.

For, in a very long Chord, if you ftop upon the third part of the half thereof, and percufs the Befflal, ot two thirds of the half remaining at liberty : the proportion of its Reciprocations will not be Duple, but fefquiafteral in refpect to thofe of the whole length; i.e. 2 Vibrations of the Chord will not refpond in time to one pulfe of the Artery, nor 4. to 2. but 3. to 2. And, if you ftop on the fourth part; then will the Reciprocations of the remainder be in proportion fefquitertial, i. e. 4 Vibrations fhall be ifochronical to 3 pulfes. According to the fame method, if you ftop on the 5 th. part of the Chord; the proportion of its Vibrations, to that of the former, will be fefquiquartal : if the 6 th part, fefquiquintal; and fo confequently of all other Notes. So that it feems eafily determinable, by this fcale, What is the proportion of the ftrokes inflicted on the Eare in every Acute found, comparatively to thofe inflicted by every Grave: and this not onely in the founds of a ftring, but all others of the like Original. To inftance; when a Boy fings with a Man, and emits a note more Acute by an Eighth; it is to be conceived, that the Aer efflated from the Vocal Artery of the Boy, is doubly fwifter in its motion; or doubly more frequent in its reverberations from the fides of the Wind-pipe, in refpect of the double narrownefs thereof, than that expired from the Vocal Artery of the man. And, hence we may occafionally advertife, that by how much the more Acutely any man would fing; by fo much more ftreightly or narrowly muft he Comprefs his Wind-pipe : that fo the Aer may iffue forth more diftreft and ftreightned, having fuffered the more Frequent reverberations from the fides and rings of the fame.

Art. 4. The fuavity of mufical Corfonances, deduced from the more frequent; and Infuavity of Difjounces from the leers frequent union of the vi. brations of ffrings, in their Termes.

And this is that noble Fountain from which many of our modern Theorical cunficians have drawn the Reafon of the Suavity of their CONSONANCES, and Acerbity or ingratefulnels of their DISSONANCES: and that not without mature confideration. For, when two Sounds, fynchronical in their creation, arrive at the eare in the fame inftant, and affect it with pleafure, or a kinde of fiveetnefs; the Caufe of that fiweetnefs can be no other but this, that the percuffions of the Aer generating thofe two Sounds, become fo united, as to leave no fenfible difcrepancy, that might grate or exafperate the tender fenfory: and on the other fide, the reafon of the Difcord or Infuavity of two founds, at once emitted, is onely this; that they are not united, fothat the eare deprehends and diflikes their Difcrepancy. Again, the feveral Degrees of this Suavity and Infuavity among mufical founds, cannot be deduced with equal probability from any other original, as from the variety of Coition, and Difcrepancy of the Percuffions creating the Sounds. To exemplifie in the Sounds refulting from ftrings; take two ftrings, equal in their materials, length, and thicknefs, and diftended with equal weights, or force; and when you perculs them with one ftroke, they will emit equal founds, or that Confonance, which is called an Unifon : which will be therefore grateful, becaufe as the Vibrations of the ftrings, fo will the ftrokes inflicted on the fenfory, have the fame proportion each to other, as one hath to one (the proportion of Equality) and confequently will be equal in number and time,

[^4]fo as to affect the fenfory moft equally and Unitedly. But if you abbreviate one of the ftrings exactly to half, becaufe (according to the prexmifes ) the founds refulting from them, at once percuif, muft make an Eighth, or that Confonance, which the Greeks name $J_{z " \prime}$ "Tacōr, and 'we a Diapafon: therefore muft that Eighth be eminently grateful alfo; infomuch sas though after the Coalition of two ftrokes, one refulting from the fhorter ftring be infociate, yet doth the immediately confequent froke thereof perfectly unite with that of the longer ftring, and fo the Unition is made Alternately, or at every other froke; and theretore doth this Confonance invade the fenfe of all others, an Unifon only excepted, moft unitedly and equally, and confequently is the moof pleafant and charrming of all Confonances, after an Unifon. And when you make the proportion of the fhort Atring exactly Sequialteral to that of the long; becaure the founds refulting from them, both at once percuffed, make a Fifth, or Diapente : therefore will that Confonance allo have a confiderable degree of fweetners, though flhort of that of an Eight; infomuch as though two ftrokes pars infociated, yet doch the Union follow in every Third, and fo the Unition is fufficiendy frequent: to pleafe the fenfe, : which is beft delighted with that object, in which is the leaft difference of parts, according to that foirrth Pracoogn. of Des Cartes (in compend. Mufici.e, pag. 6. ) Illud objectumn facilius fenjus perciipiIur, in quo oft minor differentia partiums. Again, if you make the proportion of the fhort itring Sefquitertial to that of the long; beciufe a Fourth, or Diatefliaron, doth refult from the percuffion of them together, therefore will that Confonance be likewife competently grateful : in refpect that after three infociated frokes, the Coicion falls in every fourch. To Contract: the fane holds in proportion exactly true alfo in Sefquiquartal and Sefquiquintal proportions, from which arife Thirds major and minor; and of fuperbiparting Thirds, and fupertriparting Fifths, from which arife Sixths major and minor; and finally, in all Compound Confonances, fuch as Difdiapafon, \&cc. For, alwayes the Confonance is by fo muctio more grateful, by how much more frequently the ftrokes unite in the Senfory : and è contra. Whence is generated the Diffonancy, or ingratefulnefs of Sounds, when ever the ftrokes either too rarely, or never unite : becaure, in thofe cafes, the fenfe is held in a kind of lafting diftraction, and unlefs a reftitution of the diffracted parts of the Senfory be made by fome Coalitions, and thofe fufficiently frequent (which are a kind of Balfam, to cure the gratings and diffolutions ) the fenfory muft be mififaffected with a kind of Laceration, and undergo that dolour unwittingly. This the skifful Mufician foreknowing, endeavours to pravent, by making a Diapafö, or perfect Confonance tread upön the heels of a Diffonance, for varieties fake ufually inferted into Tunes: thereby withadvantage confolidating the ulceration of the fenfory caured by the pracedent Difcord, and making the Harmony the móre grateful; as Health is moft grateful immediately after ficknefs, and a Calme âfrer a Tempef. And this is the reafon, why an Eighth is by many repu'ted a more pleafing Confonance, than an Alnifon; viz. in refpect of the Diftraction, which facceeds alternately from the Diffociated ftrokes of one of two frings together percuft : and not in refpect of its Comprehenfion of all ocher Conlonances, as Des Cartes feems to conclude (in cap. 8. Compend Mufic.)

Art. 5.
The fame Analytically prafented in Scheme.

If this Genealogy of all Mufical Confonances feem either obfcure, or tædious; you may pleafe to accept it in Epitome, thus. The Vibrations of Chords are, according to moft exact pblervation reciprocally proportional
to the $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Length of } \\ \vdots \\ \text { Weight at }\end{array}\right\}$ the ftring, having the fame $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Weight. } \\ \text { Length. }\end{array}\right.$
Whence many have concluded, that all Confonances in Mufick proceed from the feedier Union of thefe Vibrations in their Terms.


Hereupon our Harmonical Authors (whofe Pythagorean fouls feaft them(elves with the ravifhing, though filent Mufick of Numbers) for the moft part account an Eighth the Firft of Confonances, becaufe an Union is made before a fecond Vibration in the Graver Term; a Fifth the fecond Confonance, becaufe an Union is made before a third Vibration in the Gravei Term, \&xc. according to the Scheme.

Art. 6. $A$ juft and un. anfwerable Exception againft the former Harmonical Hypothefis

But this fo univerfally celebrated Melothetical Foundation hath been very lately fhook' by that no lefs Erudite, than Noble Author of the Animadverfions on Des Cartes cruufick Compendium, the Lord Vifocunt Brouncicer; (whofe conftant Friendiflip, and learthed Converfation, I muft profefs to have been one of the cheitef Confolations of my life.) who having, upon profound, and équitable examination, found this great defeét therein, that according to the former Detivation of all Mufical Coinronances, aThird Major muft fucceed a Fourth and Sixth Major, and the proportion of 7 to 5 makes a Confonance as well, and before a Sixth minor; which is manifently repugnant to Experience : hath enriched the world with a new Hypotbefis of his own happy invention, fufficiently extenfible to the full folution of all Mufical Phenomentes. According to which the Conformances arife (phyfically) from the Vibrations of Ctiords, not in refpect of their Union, but Ratio- Harmonical Preporition, as He is pleafed to call it : and this upon very good reafon, finte, the- Vibrations being proportional to the Chords, and the Chords' 'fo profiportionally divided ; it is of meer neceffity, that their Vibrations have the fane proportions. But of this, the Competent Enquiref may unidertand more from his Animadverfions, \&c.
Chap. VI, The Nature of Sounds.

And this fpeculation, touching the Nativity of Mufical Confonances, hath engaged us to touch upon that Quickfand, from which none the Arto 7. moft adventurous Curiofity hach ever yet returned with full refolution; and that is that eminent PROBLEM, Quando Sonus Harmonicus à nervo fieri incipiat? In what inftant an Harmorical sound, created by a Chord of an inftrument percuffed, or abduced from its directnefs, is begun?

For the clear underftanding of this وueftion, we are firt to advertife; that from the percuffion of any Chord diftended, there are made two different Sounds: one arifing from the allifion of the Aer betwixt the finger, or plectrum, and the Chord; which is fo far from being Concinnous, that it frequently diminifheth the integrity or fweetnefs of the Mufick, and alwayes makes a kind of Difcord; where the unskilfull hand ftrikes too hard or foul; the other, from the Chord verberating the Aer in its Vibrations; which is the Concinnous, or Harmonical Sound, by the Grecians, for diftinction fake, called $\varphi \phi_{0} \sigma^{\prime} \mathcal{O}$, and in our language the $\tau_{\text {wang. And this is the }}$ fubject of the præfent Enquiry. Secondly, we are to primmife this
$D 1 A G R A M$.


Wherein AB denotes the Chord, in a ftreight line, either perpendicularly, or horizontally diftended; A B C the fame Chord abduced, or impelled from the direct line to C ; and F the fame in the extreme or term of its fpontaneous Flexion, after fome certain recurfes. And laftly; we are to fate the Quæftion, thus. Whether the Concinnous Sound beginns from the Firft Excurfe, which is made by the Chord from E to C, when it is impelled by the force of the percuffion ; or, when it returns, by fpontaneous reflection, from C to E ; or, when it hath paft beyond E to D ; or, in its whole Recurle from $D$, by $E$, to $C$ ?
(1) Some there are, who obferving that, when a Chord is abduced fromits direct line E to C , and returns it felf from C to E , if a piece of wollen cloth, a mans finger, or ought elfe that may fupprefs its motion, be fo fet as to arreft it at $E$; then is no Harmonical found created, either in its firf Excurfe from $E$ to $C$, nor Recurfe from $C$ to $E$ : have upon this Experience concluded, that the Concinnous Sound is begun in the firft Recurfe of the Chord from $D$ to $E$; becaufe they fuppofe, the Chord then to reverberate the Aer, which purfued it (itergo) from $C$ to $D$, and force it by contrary
violence to fly back again from D to C by E : fo that the Aer at E , being on both fides diftreft by that moving violently from C to E , on one hand, and that laftly impelled from $D$ to $E$, on the other, muft fuffer the higheft Condenfation, of Compreffion, or Percuffion of all the other aer within the fpace $C D$, and confequently be the original of the Sound.
(2) Others have affirmed the original of the Sound to be from $C$ to $E$, the fpace of the firft Recurfe: and their inducement thereto is this Experience. If a Chord of 30 perches length be with fufficient force extended, and then abduced from its line of direction to the diftance of 15 feet, more or lefs; it will yeild a kind of ftridor, or grave fibilation, in its fpontaneous Recurfe from C to E : which found would perhaps be Concinnous, if included in fome Inftrument of fufficient capacity. To which they add, that wands or rodds being fwitcht in the aer, and Gun-fhot in their flight, emitt a finging noyle, though they are impelled only one way, and have no Recurfes, or doublings in the aer. But, to this it may be Anfwered, ( I ) That all thefe Bodies may more juftly be conceived to yeild a found only in this refpect; that the inæqualities in their fuperficies fo diftrefs the aer in their rapid Motion, and by frequent reciprocations in their finall cavities varioully agitate the fame, that it fuffers fuch Circumvolutions as are tantamont to their Recurfes. (2) That no Bullet fhot from a Gun would yeild any found at all, if it were exactly fpharical, polite, and hard, and flew directly without that Volutation, or Circumvolution, which the refiftence and carcular returns of the aer conftantly imprefs upon it. (3) That the Sibilation or Hiffing noife made by the long Chord, in its Recurfe from the 15 feet abduction, is not, nor ever can be Concinnous: and therefore the Experience is impertinent to this Problem.
(3) A Third fort there is, who opinion the Harmonical Sound then to begin, when the Chord is firf impelled from $E$ to $C$; fo that the Chord fhould produce a Sound in the extremity or period of every Flexion, i.e. in C and D, at alternate Recurfes: and confequently, that no fenfible Sound is produced in any part of the whole intermediate fpace betwixt C D. And the Ground Thefe ftand upon, is the Experience of Cloth, which being violently fhook in the aer, for the excuffion of duft, doth only then emit a fmart found, or Rapp, when attaining the extremity of its Flexion, it percuffeth the fuperior aer, and is in the manner of Sails, fwelled up by the inferior aer. But, in this inftance, and that confimilar one of Coach-whips, it is almoft evident even to the eye, that the Rapp is made only by the Doubling of the Cloth, or Chord, at the end of their Flexion: and therefore we are not convinced, that the Concinnous Sound is then begun, as thefe perfuade, in either C or D the period of each Flexion; efpecially, when the Chord in C and D feems rather to quiefce, than move; and fome quiet muft intercede betwixt two contrary motions of the fame thing.
(4) But, infomuch as allfounds are caufed by the Motion of the Aer, and the Sound alwayes is loudeft, where the Motion of the Aer is moft rapid; andiu the whole fonorousline, or fpace betwixt $C$ and $E$, the
motion of the Aer intercluded is moft fivift, when the Chord returns from $C$ to $E$ : therefore doth cuerfennus (to whofe judgment we moft incline, in this nicety) conclude; that the Harmonical found is begun in the beginning of the firft Recurfe of the Chord from C to E : and that it is then of the fame Acutenefs, as are all the fubfequent founds made by the fubfequent Recurfes; becaufe the reafon of the Firft Recurfe feems to be the fame with that of all the confequent.

To this fome have objected; that the found of the Firft Recurfe is too Expedite and fhort, to be perceived by the Ear : fince even the Eye, incomparably more prompt in the difcernment of vifibles, cannot behold an object, whofe Apparence, or Præence exceeds not the Duration of the forefaid Recurfe of the Chord from the extreme of its flexion C to $E$; which doth fcarce endure the 600 part of a minute. But this objection is foon diffolved by Experience, which teftifieth, that if a quill, or other impediment be placed fome fmall fpace beyond E towards D , fo that the Chord may complete its firft Recurfe from $C$ to $E$, without interruption : then will a found be created, and fuch ashath fufficient Acutenefs; though it be fcarce momentany in Duration, becaufe the frequency of its Recurfes is prævented.

Many other Problems there are, concerning the Reafons of Sounds, wherewith the infatiate Curiofity of Naturalifts hath entertained it felf, in all ages : but, among them all we fhall take cognizance of only thofe more eminent ones, which as they feem moft irreconcilably repugnant to our Theory, when propofed; fo muft they much confirm and illuftrate the dignity thereof, when clearly Diffolved by us, without the leaft contradiction to, or apoftacy from our Principles affumed. Since the unftrained Solution of the moft difficult Phrnomenaes, by the vertue of any Hypothefis, is the beft argument of its Verity and excellency above others, that fail in their Deduction to remote Particulars.

## PROBLEM 2.

Whether may a Sound be created in a Vacuum, if any fuch be in Nature?

## soLuT.

To folve this (by many accounted inexplicable) Enigme, we need only to have recurfe to our long fince antecedent Diftinction of a Vacuity Diffeminate, and Coacervate: for, that once entered our judgment, we cannot indubitate that ingenious Experiment of Ga/ßar Berthius, laureat Mathematician at Rome (frequently, and alwayes with honourable Actributes, mentioned by Father Kircher, in fundry of his Phyficomathematical difcourfes ) which fenfibly demonftrateth the actual production of a Sound, in a Diffeminate Vacuity.

The Experiment is thus made. Having prepared a large Concave and almoft iphxrical Glass, æmulating the figure of a Cucurbite or Cupping-glafs; fix a fmall Bell, fuch as is ufual in Atriking Watches of
the largeft fize, on one fide of the concave thereof, and a moveable Hammer, or ftriker, at fit diftance, on the other, fo as the Hammer being elevated may fall upon the skirts of the Bell: and then lute or cœment on the Glafs, firmly and clofely (that all fenfible infinuation of the ambient aer be prevented ) to one extreme of a Glafs Tube, of about an inch diametre in bore, and 8 or to feet in length. Then, reverfing the Tube, pour into it a fufficient quantity of Quickfilver, or Water, to fill both if and the Head exactly. This done, ftop the other extreme of the Tube with your finger, or other ftopple accommodate to the orifice; and after gentle inverfion, immerge the fame to a foot depth in a Veffel of Water, and withdraw your ftopple, that fo much of the Quickfilver contained in the Head and Tube, as is fuperior in Gravity to the Cylindre of Aer, from the fummity of the Atmofphere incumbent on the furface of the Water in the fubjacent Veffel may fall down, leaving a confiderable void Space in the fuperior part of the Tube. Laftly, apply a vigorous Loadftone to the outfide of the Glafs Head, in the part refpecting the moveable extreme of the Hammer; that fo, by its Magnetical Effluxions tranfmitted through the incontiguities or minute pores of the Glafs, and faftned on to its Anfule or fmal Holds, it may elevate the fame : which upon the fubduction of its Attrahent, or Elevator, iwill inftantly relapfe upon the Bell, and by that percuffion produce a clear and fhrill found, not much weaker than that emitted from the fame Bell and Hammer, in open aer.

Now, that there is a certain Vacuity in that fpace of the Headand Tube deferted by the delapfed Quickfilver, is fufficiently confpicuous even from hence; that the ambient Aer feems fo excluded on all hands, that it cannot by its Periofis (to borrow Platoes word) or Circumpulfion, fucceed into the room abandoned by the Quickfilver, and fo redintegrate the folution of Continuity, as in all other motions.

And that this Vacuity is not Total, or Coacervate, but only Gradual or Deffeminate, may be warrantably inferred from hence ; (1) That Nature is uncapable of fo great a wound, as a Coacervate Vacuity of fuch large dimenfions, as we have argued in our Chapter of a Vacuum Praternatural, in the Firf Book: (2) That a Sound is produced therein, for fince a Sound is an Affection of the Aer, or rather, the Aer is the Material Caufe of a Sound, were there no aer in the Defert fpace, there could be no Sound. Wherefore, it is moft probable, that in this fo great diftrefs ingenious Nature doth relieve herfelf by the infenfible tranfmiffion of the moft xthereal or fubtile particles of the Circumpulfed Aer, through the fmall and even with a microfcope invifible Pores of the Glafs, into the Defert Space; which replenifh it to fuch 2 -degree, as to prævent a Total though not a Difperfed Vacuity therein: and though the Groffer Parts of the extremly compreft Aer cannot likewife permeate the fame flender or narrow Inlets; yet is that no impediment to the Creation of a Sound therein, becaufe the moft tenuious and xthereal part of the aer, is not only a fufficient, but the fole material of a Sound, as we have more than intimated in the 15. Art.2. Set7. of the prefent Chapter.

The only Difficulty remaining, therefore, is only this; Why the found made in the diffeminate Vacuity |hould through the Glafs-bead pafs fo eafly and impertsrbed, as to be beardby any in the.circamfiant Space; whien common Experience certifeth, that the Report of a Cannon, at the diftance of only a feno yards, cannot be beardithrowgh a Glass window into a room void of all chinks or crannies?

Nor need any man defpair of expeding it. For, whofo conflders the extraordinary and infcrutable wayes to which Nature frequently recurrs, in cafes of extreme Neceffity; and that the Diftrefs fhe undergoes in the introduction of this violent Vacuity (where her ufual remedy the Periftaltick motion, or Circumpulfion of the Aer, is prævented by the interpofition of a Solid) is much more urgent than that the is put to in the Compreffion of the ambientaer by the explofion of Canons (where the amplitude of uninterrupted fpace affords freedome of range to the motion impreft ) we fay, whofo well confiders thefe things, cannot doubt, bue that it is much eafier to Nature to admit the trajection of the Sound produced in the Diffeminate Vacuity, through the pores of the Glafs-head, than the tranfmiffion of an External Sound into a clofe Chamber, through a Glars window, where is no Concavity for the Corroboration or Multiplication of the Sound, and confequently where the impulfe is far lefs (refpective to the quantity of the aer percuffed) and the refiftence as much greater.

## PROBLEM, 3

Whence is it, that all sounds feem fomewhat more Acute, when heard far off; and more Grave, near at band: when the Contrary Effect is expected frome their Caules, it being demongtrated, that the Gravity of a Sound arifeth (mediately, at leaft) from the Tardity, and Acutene(s from the Velocity of the Exotion that createth it ; and maxy great Clerks bave afformed, that the motion of a Sound is lefs fwift far off from, than near to its origine, according to that General Law of CMotion, omnia corpora ab externomota, tanto tardius moventur, quanto à fuo principioremotior a fuerint?

No Sound is Really, but only Apparenty more acute at great, then at fmall diftance; and the Caufe of that lenblance is meerly this: that every Sound, near its origine, in regard of the more vehement Commotion, and proportionate refiftence of the Aer, dependent on its natural Elater, or Expanfory Faculty, doth fuffer fome Obtufion, or Flatning; which gradually diminifhing in its progrefs or Delation through the remoter parts of the Medium, the Sound becomes more Clean, Even and Exile, and that Exilify counterfeits a kind of Acutenefs.

## PROBLEM 4.

Why doth Cold Water, in its effusfon from a Veffel, make a more full and acate noife, than Hot or Warm?

Art. 9. Why all Sounds appear more Acute,ar large, than at fmall diftance?

Art. 10. Why Cold water falling, makes a fuller noife, than warm.

## soLuT.

The fubftance of Cold Water, being more Denfe and Compact, muft be more weighty, and confequently more fwift in its fall, and fo the noife refulting from its impulfion of the aer, more fharp than that of Hot: which being rarefied by the fire, or made more lax in the contexture of its particles, loofeth fomething of its former weight, and fo hath a flower defcent, and in refpect of that flownefs, produceth a weaker and flatter found. And this is alfo the reafon, why Iron hot yieldech not fo fmart and full a found, as when 'tis cold.

## PROBLEM 5.

Art. 11.
Why the voice of a Calf is more Bafe than that of an OX , \&c.

Why is the Lowing of a Calf much more Deep, or Bafe, than that of an Oxe, Cow, or Bull, at their ftandard of growth: contrary to all other Animals, which have their voices more flrill and acute, when they are young, than whers they are old?

## soLuT.

The Caufe of this fingularity is found only in the peculiar Conftitution of the Larynx of a Calf; which is in amplitude equal to, and in laxity and moyfture much exceeds that of an Oxe, Cow, or Bull full grown; and fo Age doth Contract and Harden, not ampliate the fame, as in allother Animals : and it is well known that the widenefs and laxity of the A/per Artery, is the caufe of all Grave or Bafe Voyces.

## PROBLEM 6.

Art.12.
Why a Diffonance in a Bafe is more deprehenfible by the car, than in a Treble voice.

Why is a Diffonance more eafily difcovered by the ear, in a Barytonoss, or Bafe Voyce, or Tone, than in an Oxytonous or Treble?

## SOLUT.

Becaufe the Barytonous voyce is of a flow Motion, and the Oxytonous of a fwift : and the fence doth ever deprehend that object whofe apparence is more durable, more clearly and diftinctly than that, whole apparence is only inftantaneous, or lés lafting.

## CHAP. VII。
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Hoever is natively deprived of any one fenfe, faich Ariftotle (in Andly: ticis) is much lefs capable of any Science, than He who hath all five Fingers on the left hand of his foul (to ufe the metaphor of Cafferius placentinus, in prefat. ad lib. de Jenf. Organ.) or all the Organs of the fenfitive Faculty complete : and His reafon is that General Canon; Nibil eft in intellectu, quod non prius fuerit in fenfu; the fenfes being the Windows, through which the foul takes in her ideas of the nature of fenfible Objects. If fo,whoever hath any one fenfe lefs perfect than the others, can hardly attain the Knowledge of the nature of objects proper to that fenfe :and upon confequence, the Cognition of the Effence of an ODOURE mult be fomuch more difficult to acquire, than that of Vifibles and Audibles, by how much lefs perfect the fenfe of S M EL I N G is in man, than the fight and Hearing. And, that Man, generally, is not endowed (for, we may not, with our noble Country man Sir Kenielme Digby charge this imperfection altogether upon the Errors of our Diet; becaufe we yet want a Parallel for his Fohn of Liege, who being bred favagely among wild beafts, in the Forreft of Arderna, could wind his purfuers at as great dift:ance, as Vultures do their prey, and after his Cicuration or redu-: ction to converfation with men, retained fo much of the former fagacity of his nofe, that He could hunt out his abfenr friends by the finell of their footIteps, like our Blood-Hounds) we fay, that man is not generally endowed with exquifitenefs of finell; needs no other eviction, but this : that He doth not deprehend or diftinguifh any but the ftronger,' or vehement forss of Odours; and thofe either very offenfive, or very Grateful.

Art. 2. The contrary opinions of Pbylofophers, concerning it

But, albeit this difficulty of acquiring the knowledge of the Effence and iminediate Caufes of Odours, hath its origine in the native Imperfection of our fenfe accommodate to the perception thereof: yet hath it received no fmall advance from the obfcurity of our Intectuals, the Errors of human judgement, and the cominon Effect thereof, the contrary Opinions of Philofophers. For, however they unanimoufly decree, that the proper object of fmelling is an Odour; and the adæquate fenfory, ordained for the apprehenfion of it, the Mammillary Proceffes of the brain, or two nervous productions derived to the hafis of the nofe : yet could they never agree about the chief fubject of their difpute, the Quiddity, or Form of an Odour; or the Commenfuration betwixt the fame, and the odoratory Nerves, the theory whereof feems moft neceffary to the explanation of the Reafon and Manner of its Perception and Diftinction by them.

Art. 3. Some determining an Odour to be a jubfance

Thus, on one fide of the fchools, Heraclitus, cited by Ariftotle (de Jenf 10 denfli, cap.5.) is pofitive, that the finell is not affected with only an Incorporeal Quality, or fpiritual fpecies; but that a certain fubtle fubflance [xatvëdns ävatupiaris] or Corporeal Exhalation, emitted from the odorous objest, doth really and materially invade and affect the fenfory:
(2) And Epicurus (in Epift. ad Herodot. apud Diogen. Laertium, lib. 10.) feconds him with fomewhat a louder voice; Exiftimandum eft, odorem non facturum ullam fui imprefsionem, nijiabodora reulq; deferrentur molecule. Seu Corpufcula quedam, ea ratione Commensurata ipjo olfactus fenforio, ut ipfom moveant afficiant ve, alia quidem perturbate ac difcrepan: ter, ex quo odores Ingrati funt; alia placide f accommsodate, ex quo fucundi funt odores: "men are to conceive, that an Odour could make no fenfi"bleimpreffion of it felf, unlefs there were transferred from the odorous "object certain fubftantial Effluxes, or minute Bodies, fo Commenfurate or "Analogous to the peculiar Contexture of the Organ of fmelling, as to "be capable of affecting the fame; and thofe either perturbdly and difcor"dantly, whence fome Odours are Ingrateful, or amicably and conveni"ently, and thofe Odours are Grateful.
(3) And Galin, attended on by moft of the Efculapian Tribe, fings the fame tune, and in as high a key as either of the Former; faying, (in lib. de inftrum.olfact.cap.3.) Id quod à rerum corporibus exbalat, Odoris fubftaptia eft: though Cafferius Placentinus (de fabric. Nafi, Sect. 2. cap. 3.) hath endeavoured to corrupt the genuine fenfe of thofe words, by converting fubftantia into Jubjectum, as if Galex intended only that the Exhalation froman odorous body was only the fubjecium inhafionis, and the odour it felf meerly the Quality inhærent therein. Contrary to the rules of Fidelity and Ingenuity; becaufe incongruous both the Letter of the Text, and the Syntaxis thereof with his whole Enquiry.
(4) And the Lord St. Alban, though a modern, yet not unworthy to enter the Chorus with the nobleft among the Ancients, though He had too frequently ufed his tongue to the Dialect of Immaterial Qualities,

Qualities, ahd fipiritual Images, in his difcourfes of the other fenfes; doth yet make a perfect unifon with Galen, in this particular, delivering his judgement in moft fulland definite termes, thus : Certain it is, that no Imell $i$ fifiseth from a body, but with emifsion of Some Corpereial Jibffasce; (Sylva fylvar.Cent.g.experim. 834.)

On the other fide, we hear the great Genius of Nature, as his Idolaters mifcall him, ariftotle, and that moft numerous of Sects; the Peripatettick, vehemently contending, that an Odour belongs to the claffis of fimple, or Immaterial 2 walities; and that though it be wafted or cranfported on the wings of an Exhalation, from the Odorate body to the Senfory : yet is the fenfory affected onely with the meer Imaze, or Intentional Jpecies thereof.

Now the moments of Authority being thus equial on both fides; our province is to determine the fales by the prapondium of Reafors i.e, with an even hand to examine the weight of the Arguments on which each of thefe contrary Opinions is grounded

To begin with the Later, as the moit Epidemical and generally entertained ; ' we find the principal Bare of it to be only that common Axiome, Senfus non percipiunnt Jubffantias, fed tantum earum Aecidentia, that no fenfe is invaded and actuated into fenfation by the Real or Material, but onely the intentional fpecies of the Object: which being weak of it felf, and by us frequently fubverted in our precedent Difcourles; the whole fuperttru: cture thereon relying is already ruined, and they who will rexedifie it, mult lay anew foundation.

But, as to the Former, that an odour is a perfect jubffance, by material iimpreffion on the Senfory caufing a fenfation of it felt therein; this feems a Truch fanding upon fuch firm feet of its own, that it contemns the crutches of fophiftry. For
(I) No Academick can be fo obftinaté, as not to acknowledgé, that there is a certain Effluvium, or Corporeal Exhalation from all odorous bodies, diffured and tranfmitted through the aer; as well becaufe his own obfervation doth afcertain him, that all Aromatiques and ocher odorous bodies, in tract of a few years, confefs a fubftantial Contabefcence, or decay of Quantity; which makes our Druggifts and Apothecaries conferve their parcels of Ambre Grife, Musk, Civit, and other rich Perfumes, in bladders, and thofe immured in Glaffes, to pravent the exhauftion of them by fpontaneous emanation : as for this, that the odour doth moft commonly concinue vigorous in the medium, a good while after the remove of the fource, or body from which it was effured. And Arijfotle himfelf, after his peremptory Negative, odorem non effe 'A Aropgoirar, Effuxionem: could not
 Tuv, quod effluit ex corporibus, ipfia eft odorum fubftantia.
(2) Common Experience confirms, that odours are vigorous and po: tent, not only in the production of fundry Affections in the briain, good or evil, according to their vehemency and Gratefulners or Noy-
fornnefs, by the refocillation or pollucion of the fpirits; bor alfo in he fornnefs, by the refocillation or pollution of the fpirits; but alfo in the

Art. 4. Ohters, a meeci Accident or Quality.

Art. 5. The balis of the Latter opinion, infirme and ruinous.

Art. 6. That all odo. rous Bodiese mit corporeal Exhalations.

Ari. \% That odours caurf fundry Affecions in our Bodies, and fuch assatić confignable only to fith. fances:

Vellication and frequently the Corrofion of tender inveftment of the Noftrills. Thus much the reverend Oracle of Couss well obferved in 28 Aphorifme 5 Sect.; Odoramentorum fuffitus muliebria educit, \& ad alia plerumque utijlis effet, nifi gravitatem capitis inferret: and
( 8 de Compof. medic. Jecund. $10-$ $\mathrm{Ca}_{2} \mathrm{cap} .4$.) Galen fupports with his opinion and arguments, that pleafant Odours are a kinde of Nourifhment of the firits. Befides, Plutarch reports, that He obferved Catts grow mad onely by the fmell of certain odoriferous Unguents: and Levinus Lemnius (de Natur. miracul) hath a memorable ftory of certain Travellers, who paffing through large fields of Beans in the Flower, in Holland, become Phrintick meerly with the ftrength of their fimell. And all Phyficians dayly finde, that good fmels, by a recreation of the languid fpirits, fpeedily reftore men from fwooning fits; as evil fcents often induce Vomitings, fyncopes, Vertigoes, and other fuddain fymptomes. Nay, fcarce an Author, who hath written of the Plague andits Caules, but abounds in relations of thofe accurfed mifcreants, who have kindled moft mortal infections, by certain Veneficious practices, and Compofitions of putrid and noyfom Odours: witnefs Petrus Droetus (de pefilientia,cap.IO.) Wierus (de Venificiis lib.3.cap.37.) Horatius Augenius (lib.de pefte,cap.3) Hercules Saxonia (de plica, cap.2. \& II.) Thomas fordanus (de peftis phenomen.tr. I.cap 18) and Sennertus, out of Nich. Polius in Hemerologia Silefire, (inlib. depefte, cap.2.) Which prodigious Effects clearly proclaim the mighty energy of their Caufes, and are manifeftoes fufficient, that Odours juftly challenge to themfelves thofe Attributes; which are proper onely to Corporiety: nor can ought but downright ignorance expect them from the naked Immaterial 2ualities, or imaginary Images of the Peripatetick.

Art. 8
That the Reafon of an O . dours aftict ing the fenfory,confifts only in a cerrain Symbolifme be twixt the Fi . gures and Cor:rexture of its Particles, and the Figures and Cones ture of the Part cies of the Odoratery Nerves.
(3) The Manner of the Odours moving, or Affecting the Senfory can never be explained, but by affuming a certain Commenfuration, or Correfpondency betwixt the Particles amaffing the Odour, and the Contexture of the Olfactory Nerves, or Mammillary Proceffes of the brain delated through the fpongy bone. For ( 1 ) it is Canonical, that no Immaterial can Operate upon a Material, Phyfically; the inexplicable activity of the Rational Soul upon the body by the mediation of the fpirits, and that of Angelical effences excepted. (2) Though an Odour, diffufed through the aer, chance to touch upon the hands, cheeks, lips, tongue, \&cc. yet doth it therein produce no fenfation of it felf; becaufe the Particles of it hold no proportion to either the pores, or particles of which thofe parts are compofed : but arriving at the organ of fmelling, it cannot but inftantly excite the Faculty therein refident to an actual fenfation, or apprehenfion of it; in regard of that correfpondency in Figure and Contexcure, which the particles of it hold to the pores and particles of the Odoratory Nerves. Certainly, as the Contexture of the Odoratory Nerves is altogether different from thatiof the Tongue; and fo the minute bodies of them, as well as the fmall fpaces intercepted among thofe minute bodies, in all points of their fuperficies not contingent, are likewife of a diffimilar configuration from the particles and intercepted vacuola of the Tongue: fo alfo is it neceffary, that the fmall bodies, which commove and affect the Contexture of the Odoratory Nerves, be altogether diffimilar to thofe, which commove and affect the contexture of the Tongue; fince, otherwife all objects would be in common, and the Diftinction of fenfes unneceffary.

Now

Now (left we flould feem to beg the Qurftion) that the fenfation is effected in the Odoratory Nerves, only by the Figures of the particles of an Odour ; and that the variety of Odours depends on the variety of impreffions made on the fenfory, refpective to their various figures and conrextures : this is not obfcurely intimated in thofe formerly recited words of Epicurus, Molecalarum, five Corpufculorum quedam perturbate ac difcrepanter, quedam veró placide ac leniter, feu accommodatè fe habere; 'Ad olfactus fen forium. The fubftance whereot is this, that becaufe the particles and Contexture of fome Odours are fuch, that they ftrike the fenfory roughly and difcordantly to the contexture thereof; therefore are they Ingrateful : and on the contrary, becaufe other Odours have fuch particles and fuch contextures, as being fmooth in Figure, ftrike the fenfo1y gently, evenly and concordantly to the contexture thereof; cherefore are they Grateful and defiderable. We might have introduced Plato himfelf, as lighting the tapor to us, in this particular; infomuch as He faith (in
 rõs a trofıóv] de mulcere, \&o quâ inferitur, amicabiliter fe babere, doth foftly ftroke, and caufe a certain blandifhment in the fenfory: but, that the
 a manner Exafperate and wound it. To this Incongruity or Difproportion betwixt offenfive finells and the compofure of the Odoratory Nerves, the profound Fracaftorius plainly alludeth, in his; proportionaliter autem fe babent of odores, quorum ingratifsimus eft, qui Fetidus appellatur, quique abominabili in faporibus refpondet; nam \& bic ex is pariter reful' tat, que nullam babent digeftionem, sec rationem miftionis, fed confufionem è multis fere ac diverfis, qualia fere funt Putrefcentia, inquibus diffoluta miftione evaporatio diverforum contingit. (de fympath. \& antipath. cap: 14.) importing withal, that the reafon why the ftink of corrupting Carcaffes is of all other moft noyfom, is becaufe the odours effuming from them confint of heterogeneous or divers particles. If you had rather hear chis in Verfe, be pleafed to liften to that Tetraftich of Lucretius; 13

> Non fimile penetrare, putes, primordia form $\hat{A}$
> In nares hominum, cum tetra Cadavera torrent; Et cum Scena Croco Cilici perfafa recens eft, Araq; Pancheos exhalat propter odores.

Upon which we may juftly thus defcant. As the hand touching a lock of wool, is pleafed with the foftnefs of it ; but grafping a Nettle, is injured by that phalanx of villous ftings, wherewith Nature hath guarded the leaves thereof: fo are the Noftrills invaded with the odour of Saffron, delighted therewith, becaufe the particles of it are fmooth in figure, and of equal contexture; - but invaded with the odour of a putrid Carcale, they are highly offended, becaufe the particles thereof are afper in figure and of unequal contexture, and fo prick and dilacerate the tender fenfory.

Moreover, whereas there is fo great variety of individual Temperaments among men, and fome have the Contexture of their odoratory Nerves exceeding diffimilar to that of others; hence may we well derive the Caufe of that fo much admired fecret; Why thefe Odours; whicb are

Art.g. That the Di. verfity of O . dours depend's on the Diverfity of Impref. tions made on the fenfory, refpondent ro the varigus Fi gures and Conrexture of, their Particles.
wot onely grateful, but even bigbly cordial to fome perfons, are moft odious and almoft poylonous to others. Infinite are the Examples recorded by Phyficians, in this kinde; but none more memorable than that remembied by Plutarch (lib. 1. advers. Coloten.) of Berenice and a certain Spartan woman, who meeting each other inftantly difliked and fainted, becaule the one fmelt of Butter, the other of a certain fragrant Ointment. However, the rarity of the Accident will not permit us to pafs over the mention of a Lady of honor and eminent prudence, now living in London, who doth ufually fwoon at the fmell of a Rofe (the Queen of fiveets:) and fometimes feafts her nofe with Affa frtida (the Devils Turd, as fome call it) than which no favour is generally held more abominable; and this out of no Affectation, for her wifdom and modefty exclude that pretence, nor to prevent Fitts of the Mother, for fhe never knew an Hyfterical paffion, but in others, in all her life, as the hath frequently protefted to me, who have ferved her as Phyfician many years.

Art. II.
Why, among Beafis, fome species are offended at thofe feents in which others highly deligh

Again, as this Affumption of the Corporiety of an Odour doth eafily folve the Sympathies and Ancipathies obferved among men, to particular fmells; fo likewife doth it yield a plain and fatisfactory reafon, why fome Bruit Animals are pleafed with thofe Odours; which are extremely hateful to others. Why Doggs abhorr the fmell of Wine, and are fo much delighted with the ftink of Carrion, as they are loath to leave it behind them, and therefore tumble on it to perfume their skins therewith? Why a Cat To much diflikes the fmell of Rue, that fhe will avoid a Moufe that is rubbd with the juice thereof; as Africanus (in Geoponicis) ? Why Mice are poyfoned with the fcent of Rododaphne, or Oleander, commonly named Rofe-bay-tree; as Apuleius, and from him Weckerus (de fecretis Animal.) ? Why Serpents are driven from Gardens by the fmell of Citrons as Galen affirms; when yet they folace themfelves with that of Savin, which our nofe condemns? Why Cocks cannot endure the breath of Garlick; which is foveraign incenfe to Turkeys, and pure Alchermes to their drooping yong ones? Why Moths are deftroyed by the fume of Hopps; which is Ambre Grife to Bees, as Mouffet (de infectis)? For the Caufe hereof wholly confifts in the Similitude or Diffimilitude betwixt the particular Contexture of the Senfory, and the Figures of the particles of the odour.

Art. 12.
The Generation and Diffufion of Odours, due only to Heat.

The Materiality of an Odour being thus firmly commonftrated; the next Confiderable is the Generation, and proxime Efficient Caufe thereof. And herein Ariftotle came neerer the truth, than in his conception of the Effence of it; for that Affertion of his, odorem gigni \& moveri beneficio Caloris, that Heat conduceth both to the Generation and Motion or Diffufion of an Odour, doth well deferve our affent. For, whether thofe minute Maffes, or fmall Concretions, that conftitute the body of an Odour, be contained chiefly in fome fulphurous fubftance, as the Diffolutions and Experiments of Chymiftry feem to conclude; or ambufcadoed in any other confiftence whatever : yet ftill is it manifeft, that they are deduced into att and fequeftred from thofe diffimilar or heterogeneous bodies of Earth and Water that furrund and opprefs them, and fo becoming more at liberty and united, they more vigoroufly affect the fenfe, and all this by the energy of Heat. Hence comes it, that all Fruits are fo much more Fragrant, by how much more Concocted and Maturated by the warmth of the Sun.

That
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That all Aromaticks grow in Hot Climats. That all fmells are ftronger in Summer, than'Winter; as Plutarch obferves (lib. de Caul. Natur. cap.25.) where he enquires, why in Froft wild beafts leave but a cold fcent behind them, when they are hunted. Thatall odoriferous Druggs are Hot; and fuffer a perpetual exhauftion or expence of their halituous fubftance: fo that who fo would conferve their Fragrancy, muft embalin them in Oyl, or incorporate them with Gumms, or other fubitance not eafily evaporable ; according to the common practice of all Perfumers and Confectioners; or immure them in clofe confervatories, and that rather in great lumps; than fmall fragments, and in Cold rather than Hot rooms. Hence it is alfo, that all Botanicks hold it for an unquertionable Axiome, omnia odorata effe calid'a; fo that fome have undertaken to diftenguifh of the degrees of Heat in Plants and other Simples, meerly by the vehemence or languor of their Odour: and that Ariftotle (problem. fect. I2 queft. 12.) affirms that all Odorous feeds are Calefactive, becaufe Heat is the Efficient of an Odour; to which Galen alfo fubfrribes ( 4 de fimpl.medicament. facul.cap.22.)

From the Nature \& Efficient of Odours, we are conducted to their Diffe-
srt. 13. The Differen: ces of Otours. rence, or Diftinct Species; which is an Argument involved not in the leaft Difficulties. For, fince the imperfection of our fenfe of fmelling is fuch, that it is affectable only with the more vehement fort of them, which are but few in comparifon to thofe many, which the fagacity of moft Bruit Animals makes familiar to their deprehenfion, and fo we remain ignorant of the greateft part of them; and did we know them, yet fhould we be to feek for proper Appellatives to exprefs their particular natures: to deliver an exact Table of all their Diftinctions, is not only difficult, but impoffible. Which Naturalifts well underfanding, have been forced to the cleanly fhift of transferring the diftinct names of fapours over to the fpecifical Differences of odours; there being fome nanifett fymbolifmbetwixt the two fenfes, and no obfcure Analogy betwixt the Conditions of their objects: as Arijtotle infinuates in his Affirmation, Nullwm corpus effe odoriferum, quod non pariter faporiferums exiftat (de Jenf of (enfll.cap.5.) that all Odoriferous bodies arealfo laporiferous; and in his definition of an olfactile, or odorable object to be, Q uod fapide ficcitat is diluende ac diffundende vim fortiun. Well may we, therefore, content our felves with the Difcrimination of thofe kinds of Odours, that fall under the Cognizance of our fenfe; and thofe are Sweet, Sower, Auftere, Acerb, and Fatt or Lufcious: as for Putrid or Fxtid Odours, they have relemblance to Bitter Sapowrs, becaule as Bitter things are odious and diftafful to the pallate, and no man fwallows them without fome horror and reluctancy, fo likewife doth the Nofe never admit rotten and cadaverous fmells without loathing and offence. There is alfo another Difference of fmells, whereof one kind is either pleafant or mupleafint by Accident, or upon Circumftance; as the fmell of Meats and Drinks is pleafant to the Hungry, but offenfive to the Full-gordged, and this fort is in common as well to Beafts, as Men : the other is pleafant, or unpleafint of their own Nature, as the fmells of Herbs, Flowers, Perfumes, Sic. which conaluce neither to the Excitement, nor Abatement of Appetite, unlefs they be admixt to meats or drinks; to which Stratis alluded, when taxing Uripides he faid, Cum lens coquitur, unguenti nil infurdato, and this Difference is proper only to man. Laftly, Authors have divided Odours into Natural, and Artificial, or Simple and Compound; the Latter whereof our Luxury and Delicacy have enhanced to fuch immoderate
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 Of Odours.Book III. tates, that the Confection of them is become an Arte, and reduced to certain Difpenfatories and fet Prefcripts, and that Lady is not al-a-mode, who hath not her Manufcript of Recipes for Perfumes, nay every ftreet hath its Myropolies or fhops of fweets, of all forts.

Art. 14. Finally, the Medium infervient to Odoration, is either Aer, or Water: The Medium cf yet neither according to Effence, but Infection, or Impregnation. That odours. the Aer is a convenient Convoy, or Vehicle of an Odour, no man did ever doubt: and that water hath the like Capacity, or perodorable Faculty, though in an inferiour degree; we may, with Arifotlle (de hiftor.Animal. 4.ap.8.) conclude from the vulgar Experiment of betraying Fifhes with perfumed Baites.

## CHAP .



## CHAP. VIII.

# OF <br> S A POURS. 

## Sect. I.



HE Nature of SAPOURS, the proper object of the Tafte, Ariftotle (de fenf. \& fenfl. cap. 4.) concludes to be more eafily Cognofcible, than that of Odours, Vifibles, or the Objects of the other Senfes; becaufe as He prexfumes, the fenfe of Tafting in Man, is more Exquifite, than his Smelling, Sight, \&cc. Whether his Reafon be not prxcarious, we need not determine: but it too nearly concerns us to affirm, that the extreme flendernefs of his doctrine, touching the Effence and Principles, of Sapours as well in General as Particular; erected on that common imaginary bafe of Immaterial Qualities, hath given us juft occafion to fufpect the folidity of his Inference or Conclustion; and left us caufe to account that fentence, much more Canonical, That things moft manifeft to the Senfe, often prove moft obfcure to the Underftanding. For, notwithftanding we have the demonftration of our fenfe, that, as He and all other Philofophers unanimoufly affert, the Object of the Tafting, in General, is to zoisor, Guftabile: yet doe his endeavours afford fo? dimme a light to our profounder inquifitions, as to leave us in the dark of infatisfaction, when We come to explore, What is the Formal Reafon of a Sapour; What are the Principles, or Material and Efficient Caufe thereof; and What Relation it bears unto, or Mamner how it affects the Tongue, the prime and adæquate inftrument of Tafting. Which that we may with due fulners and perficuity declare, it behovech us to invite your attention to a faithful Summary of His Speculations concerning that Subject.

Art. 1 . From the fuperlative $A$ cutenefs of the fenfe of Tafting, Arifotle concludes the cognition of the Nature of Sapours to be more eafily acquirable, than the nature ofany o. ther fenfible object: but refures himfeif by the many Errors of his his own The-

Art. 2. An Abridg. ment of his doatrine, concerning the Efence and Caufes of a Sapour, in General.

Ariftotie, from whofe Text all the Peripateticks have not receded infomuch as in a.title, as to the particular under debate, fixeth the original of a Sapour, in a certain Contemperation of three prime Elemental Qualities; viz. (1) Terreffrious Siccity. (2) Aqueous Humidity: (3) Heat. The two former as the Material Caules, the laft as the Efficient, to which, according to his cuftome, He configns the mafculine and determinative Energy, as in this, fo in all natural productions. The neceffity or the Concurrence of thefe three Firft Qualities to the Generation of a Sapour in any Concretion, He inferrs chiefly from hence; that Water, being in the purity or fimplicity of its effence, abfolutely infipid, if percolated through Siccum terreftre, aduft Earth, doth alwayes acquire a Sapidity, or Savourinefs, proportionate to the intenfe, or remifs aduftion of the terreftrious material diffolved by, and incorporated to it felf: as is commonly obfervable in Fountains, which become imprægnate or tincted with the fapours of thofe veins of Earth, through whofe Meanders and ftreights they have fteered in their long fubterraneous voyages; and in all Lixivial decoctions, or Lees, which obtain a manifeft Saltnefs only by tranfcolation through Afhes, the Earthy and aduft reliques of compound bodies, diffolved by Fire. To which, He moreover addes, that becaufe the Contemperature may be various, according to greater or leffer proportion of either of the three ingredients; and the Aqueous Humidum, united to the Earthy Siccum, hath its confiftence fometimes participant of Craffitude, fometime of Tenuity: therefore are not all Sapours alike, but different according to the feveral Gradualities of their refpective and fpecifical Caufes. And thus much in the General.

Art. 3. And the Diffe. rences of $\mathrm{Sa}_{2}$ pours, with the particular Caufes of each.

To progrefs to the brief furvey of Particulars, it feems requifite that we obferve; that Galen, Avicenna, Averrhoes, and moft Phyfitians after them, have conceived this Theory of Arifotles to firm and imprægnable, as they have thereon founded one of their pillars for the invention of Remedies, and advanced rules for the Conjectural inveftigation of the manifeft Faculties of Medicaments, by the Tafte : to that end conftituting Eight Differences, or Generical Diftinctions of Sapours, viz.
( 1 ) Acer, which affects the mouth and chiefly the Tongue, with a certain acrimony and pungent ardor; fuch as is eminently confpicuous in Pepper, Pellitory, Euphorbium, Caffea lignea, Winterian Bark, \&c. It arifeth from a Compofition of tenuious, dry and hos parts, and cannot fabfift in a fubject of any other conftitution.
(2) Acid, or Sharp, which likewife penetrateth and bitech the tongue, but with fome conftringency, and without any fenfe of heat: fuch as is deprehended in Vinegre, juice of Limons, Citrons, Woodforrel, Berberies, and in fome Malacotones and Quinces. It refults from a Concretion of fubtle and dry parts, either where the innate heat is refolved by fome degree of putrefaction, as in Vinegre : or where the innate heat is fo Imall as to be inferior to Cold, and that affociated with extreme ficcity; as in juice of Limons, \&x.
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(10. (3)) Fat, or Luf foous, which follicites the Gufts neither with hear, nor acrimony; but furrs and daubs the mouth with an unetuous lenttor,, or vifcidity. Such is remarkable in oyle olive, cyle of fweet Almonds, Wallniuts: in CMarrow, Butter, and the Fats of Beafts, which have no rancidity, either acquired by antiquity, or natural, fuch as is perceivable in the Fat of Lions; Wolves, and Tigers: and in all Mucilagnous Plants, as in Althea and White Lilly roots, \&xc., This hath its production from a thin aeteal matter, temperate in heat and cold.
(4) Salt, which doth not much calefie, but with a fharp and peénetring ficcity bite the tongue; as is oblerved in the deguftation of Common Sals, Nitre, and among Vegetables chiefly in Roik Sampier. This Sapour is allo fenfible in all chymical Salts, extracted from Bodies by the lequeftrating activity of Fire, cinefying their dry and terreftrious remains : nor is there any Compound in Nature, from which pyrotechny may not extrakt the Calx or proper Sale thereof, difcernable by the tafte. And therefore it is manifent, that all faltnels fubfifteth in amatter, whofe principal ingredients, Heat and Siccity are equal.
(5) Auftere, which being moderately adfringent, dóth with fome afperity coarctate the particles of the tongue, and therefore according to the, judgment of the pallate, it feems diy and cooling. This is more properly called the Crade Sapour, as being peculiar toall Fruits during their immaturity; as is generally noted in the juice of unripe Grapes, green Apricocks, Pears; Apples; Medlars, Porcellane, ©゚c. The fubftance wherein it confifteth, muft be equally participant of Earth and Wate, , but where Cold hath the upper hand of Heat.

(6) Sweet, which being not offenfive by the unevennels or exuperance of any Quality, affects the fenfe with fuavity or delight. Such every man knows co be in Sugar, Honey, Liquorice, fujubes, Dates, Figgs, and in moft Fruits after their maturity: as alfo in Manna, and in fome degree, in Milk:
(7.) Bitter, the Contrary to Siveet, which offending by the alperity and tenuity of its parts, doth in amanner corrade and divell the fenfory. This fuperlatively difonvers it felf in Aloes, Coloquyntida, Rhubarb, Wormwood, the leffer Centaury, Bitter Almonds, and the Galls of Animals. The mater of it is crafs and terrene, but aduft by immoderate Heat; and hence that Galenical Axione, omne amarum eft calidumio ficcum.
(8) Acerb, or Sower which bordereth upon the Auftere or Ponsick Sapour, being difinguinable from it, only by a greater ingratefulness to the fenfe ${ }_{3}$ for it more conftingeth and exafperateth all parts of the mouth, and fo feems more exficcative and refrigerative. It is prodigally perceived in the rind of Pomegranates, Galls, Sumach, Cyprefs Nixts, the Bark ofoak, the Cups of Aiborms, ơc. Its refidence is alwayes in a Compofition totally terrene and drye, whofe languid hear is fubdued to inactivity by the fuperior force ot its antagonift, Cold.

To thefe fome Modern Phyfitians (to whom that Myftagogus or Prieft of the Arabian Oracles, Fernelius, feems to have been the Coryphrus:) havve fuperadded a ninth Sapour; aroion, the Fatsoins; whicti affecting the fenfe with no impreffion, is indeed no Sapour, bute rather the Privation of all Sapidity. To this Heteroclite are commonly referred the feveral fpecies of Bread Corn, Gourds, Citrals, Cucumbers, \&zc. Whofe materials though crafs, are not yet terrene, dry and adftrictive, "but diluted with a plentiful portion of aqueous moifture, not exquifitely permixt, becaufe of the fmall allowance of heat to their Compofition.

Art. 4 An Examination and brief redargution of the fame Doarine.

Now (ro pifs from the faithful Abridgment to the rquitable Examen of "this 'Doctrine, of fuch facred eftimation in the Schools.") though the Enquifies of molt have fteered this courfe, directed by the Chart of Arifotle, and attempted the deduction of all Sapours from Primitive $2^{\text {ma }}$ lities: yet have they mifled the Cape of truth: For, as Scaliger (in!lib. de Plantio.) excellently argues, we may as fafely derive Life, Senfe, Increment, voluntary Mocion, nay Rifibility and Ineellection (actions Howing from-Fotms more noble and Femi-divine) from Elements impmediately, as Sapours from their Firft Qualities : unlefs it can be firft evinced, that each Element hath fome fapour actually inexiftent; which but barely to fuppofe, is an abfurdity grofs enough to degrade the owner from the dignity of a Phyfiologift forever, and openly repugnant to the Fundaments of the Ariftotelean Philofophy. To which argutient of scaliders, we thall fuperadd this weighty exception of our own; that according to the Hypothefis of Firf Elemental Qualities; it is abfolutely imponfible to Explicate the Caufes of that fo great Diverfity of Tafts not only among Animals of different fpécies, but Indit viduals of the fame fpecies; of which we thall difcouife' more expreny in opportunity.

Ars.5.
The poffpofi. tion thereof 10 the more verifirimious Determination of the fons of Hermes, who adfribe all Sapours to Sale.

## Art. 6.

 Butfar more to that moft profound and fatisfatory Tenent of $D$ e. mocritus and Plato; whicly deduceth the Nativiry of Sapours from the various Figures and contextures of the minute particles of Concretions.Whêreforewe account it both more honourable and fatisfactofy, to incline rathet to that laudable opinion of the Chymirt, whole Flames have fo fafr enlightned our reafon, as to heiv, that the Primary Caule of Sapours doth confift in Salt; becaufe all pyrotechnical Diffolutions feem to eftablifh that, Axiome, sal eft primum sapidum \& Gufabile, \& ommia que Japorem babent, cam propter Jalem babent, - йbiconque enim apor deprehenditur, ibival ff, bubicanque fal, ibi fapor: as the fưdicious senaertus hath oblerved (de Confenfú Chymitorum cum Galknit. Gap. II. ) and Lurius Grillus hath copioufly and folidly declifed in that elaborate treatife of his, de Sapore Amaro \& Duli $\bar{\prime}$, to which we remit the farther Curious.

But, if we would Anatomize the Heart of this Subjeet, and eftablinh a more exact theory of the First Principles of a Sapour; we mutt confult the Oracles of Democritus and Plato, which tell tus in fhore, that all Sapostrs arife froms the minute particles of Bodies, of fuch Heterminate Figures and Contextares, w' being applied to the tongue; they inaturally produce that Affection therein, which we call Guftation, or Tafting. Of Democritus auctority, in this point, no man can juftly doubt while Aryjtotle
 2ธ்s $\left.x^{j} \mu \varepsilon_{s}\right]$ did reterr Sapours to Figures: and Theophraftus, in a more
more ample defcant upon the text, affirms that He defined the particuLar forts of Figures, which conttitute the particular fpecies of Sapours; in thefe words, Rotundas effe, congruaque mole figuras, que. Dulcems faciant; magnì figura, que Acerbum; multangulit minimique orbiculari, que. Acrem; angulatâ difortâ, qua Salfurn; retundà, levi, diftortâ, que. Amarum; tenui, rotund ha, parvà, que Pinguemis And, what was Platoes. perfuafion, concerning the fame Argument, Himfef moft perfpicuoufly explains (in Timeo) where He in fhort adfcribes the production of all
 Atinguifhing all Sappurs into two general orders, the Firft a Pleajant or Sweer fort, the other an Uimplenfant, which runs up into feveral branches (for ass it ftandsoppofed to Sivee, it is either Bitter, or Salt, or Acid, or Acerb or Acer, or Auftere, Sic.) He derives the Firf kind from hence, that the fapid object confifts of particles fo configurate, that effufed upon the organ of Tafting $y_{2}$ and entering the fmall pores' or receptaries thereof, they become Iymbolical or correfpondent to its fmall particles in figure, and contexture, and fo affect it gently, evenly, and concordantly; and the Latter from hence, that the lapid object is compofed of fuch Particles; as have their Figures and Contexture fo difproportionate and incommenfurable to the pores and partiches of the tongue, that invading it and entering its contexture, they exafperate, corrade and offend the fame. And hence was it, that Lucres tius feems to have borrowed his,

## us facilè agnofcas, è levibus atque roundis'.

 Efe ea, que jenfus jucunde tangere poffunt:At conira, que amara, atque a $\int$ per a cunque videntur,
Hec magis hamalis inter fe cumque tenient 5 Proptereaque folere vias refcindere noftris Senfibus, introituque fuoperrampere corpas.

1) And this is the opinion to which we have efpoufed our contant affent; as well upon the obligation of thofe Reafons formerly alledged, in our Original of Qisalities; as upon this mpporant Confideration, that too other Hypothefis can afford a fatisfactory Reafon either of manner of the Sapours moving and affecting the fenfory or why there is fuch infinite Variety of Tafts not only among Avimals of different Species; but evenin individuals of the fame Species, and parcicularly in men, among whom Millions are found, who delight in Wormwood, and abhorr Sugar; fome that feaft their Pallates with Aloes; others that think their mouths quite out of tafte, unlefs they be fuiminating the leaves of Tobacco; nay, we have known a Noble perfon of our own Nation, who had fo fingulat a Pallate, that whenever He took a Purging Potion, would fivallow it down by fpoonfuls, as judging the pleafure too great to be thorned by a hafty draught, and when twas whoilly exhaufted, would wifh himelf a Ruminating Animal, that fo He might tafte itover and over, as if Pbrioxenuis wifh for a Cranes neck were too Shore to reach the height of fo defireable a delight; and another; who would not be peffuaded but the Forbidden Fruit was a Coloquyntida Apple, becaule he thought the tafte of that the moft Ambrofiack of all ochers:

But, conceding with Democritus and Plato, that the Variety of Sapours is caufed meerly by the Diverfity of Impreffions on the fpongy fubstance of the Tongue, refpestive to the various Figures and Contextures of the minute Particles of Bodies applied thereto, and by the falivous moifture thereof fo admitted into the pores, as fenfibly to affect it : we fay, conceding this, we foon may folve this Difsimilitude of Taftes, only by faying, that becaufe the Contexture of the particles of the tongue of one man, is different from that of the particles of another; therefore doth one delight in the favor of one thing, the other of another: every man being of neceffity moft pleafed with the tafte of that, whofe particles in figure and contexture are moft fymbolical. or Correfpondent to the Figures and Contexture of the Particles of his tongue; and eiontra. To which we flall orly add, that the Reafon why to men' in Feavers the fiweeteft things feem bitter, is only this; that the Contexture of the Particles of the Tongue being altered, as well by the intenfe Heat of the Feaver, as the infufion of a Bilious Humour into the pores thereof: thofe things, whole Particles being formerly accommodate, appeared in the fpecies of fweetnefs, are now become afymbolical and inconvenient to the particles of the tongue, and therefore appear Bitter.

Art.8. Nor is Ariffotles reprehenfion of Demorritus, of weight enough to CounThe obiestions ter-encline our judgment; his chief objections being rather Sophiftical, ${ }^{\text {of }}$ Anif. con cirfly, though
folidly folved. than Solid, and fo no fooner urged than diffolved.

His Firft is of this importance; if the particles of Sapid Objects were Figurate, according to Democritu's Affumption, then would the fight, as a Senfe far more acute in perception, deprehend their various Figures rather than the Tafte: but the Sight doth not difcern them; Ergo.

Which is foon expeded, by Anfwering, that it is not in the jurifdiction of one fenfe to judge of objects proper to another; nor is the quaftion about the Figures, as they are in themfelves, i.e. without relation to the fenfe, but as they produce fuch a determinate Effect on the fenfory, of which the Tafting is thie fole and proper Criterion. For Qualities' are to be reputed, not fo much Abfolute and conftant Realities, as fimple and Relative Apparencies, whofe Specification confiftech in a certain Modification of the Firft General Matter, refpective to that diftinct Affection they introduce upon this or that particular Senfe, when thereby actually deprehended.

His Secorid of this. Infomuch as there is a Contrariety anong fenfible objects of all kinds; but none among Figures, according to that-univer fally embraced Canoin, Figuris nibil effe Contrarimm: if the Diverfity of Sapours were derivative from the Diverfity of Figures, then would there be no Contrariety betwixt Sapours ; but Sweet and Bitter are Contraries; Ergo.

Which is foon detected to fublift upon a Principle meerly precarious; for we are yet ignorant of any reafon, why we fhould not account an Acure Figure the Contrary to an Obtufe; a Gibbous the oppofite to a Plane; a Smooth the Antagonift to a Rough; an Angular the Antitheton to a Sphere, \&rc.

His Third, and moft confiderable, of this. Becaule the variety of Figures is infinite, at leaft, inaffignable; therefore would the variety of Sapours, if their diftinct fpecies were dependent on the diftinct fpecies of Figures, be æqually infinite: but all the obfervable Differences of Sapours exceed not the number of Eight, at moft; Ergo.

Anfwer; Thould we allow Ariftotles diftinction of Sapours to be genuine : yet would it not follow, that therefore there are no inore specifical Subdivifions of each Genus; becaule from the various commiftions of thofe Eight Generical Differences one among another, an incomprehenfible variety of Diftinct Sapours may be produced. Befides, is not that Sweetne $s$, which the tongue perceives in Hony; manifefly different from that of Milk? that of Sugar eafily difcernable from both? that of Canary Sack different from that of Malago? that of an Apple diftinguifhable from that of a Plumm? that of Flegh clearly diftinct from all the reft? yet doth that Genus of Sweet comprehend them all. On the other fide, is the Amaritude of Aloes, Cologiyntida, Rhubarb, Wormwood, $\& c$. one and the fame? or the Acerbity of Cherries, Prunes, Medlars, \&cc, identical? no man, certainly, dares affirm it. Why therefore fhould we not write our names in the Catalogue of thore, who conceive as great variety of $\mathcal{T}$ aftes, as there is of sapid objects in Nature. Or, fince the Experiments of Chymiftry have made it probable, that all Sapours derive themfelves from Salts, as from their Primary Caufe; why may we not concede fo many feveral forts of falts, and fo many poffible Commiftions of them, as may fuffice to the production of an incomprehenfible variety of Sapours?

And this gives us occafion to oblerve, that Nature feems to have furnifhed the Tonge with a certain peculiar Moifture, chiefly to this end, that it might have a General Menjtrutm, or Diffolvent of its own, for the eduetion of thofe Salts from hard and drye bodies, and the imbibition of them into its fongy fubfance, that fo it might deprehend and difcern them.

Art. 9.

## Of Rarity, Denfity, Perfpicuity, Opacity.

Art. 1.
This Chapecrs right of fuc ceffion to the former.


SECT.I.
Aving thus fteered through the deepeft Difficulties touching the proper objects of the other Senfes, the Chart of Method directs us in our next courfe to profound the particular natures of all thofe Qualities, which belong to the apprehenfive jurifdiction of the Senfe of TouchING, either immediately, or relatively. But, before we weigh Anchor, that we may avoid the quickfands of too General Apprehenfions, and draw a Map or Scheme of all the Heads of our intended Enquiries; that fo we may prepare the mind of our Reader to accompany us the more eafily and finoothly : it is requifite that we advertife,

Art. 2. The Divers acceptation of the term, $\infty$ Touching.
( 1 ) That the Attribute of Touching is fometimes in Common to all Bodies, as well Inanimate, as Animate, when their fuperficies or extremes are Contingent; according to that Antithefis of Lacretius, Tactus Corporibus cunctis, intactus Inani. Sometimes in Common to all Senfes, infomuch as all Senfation is a kind of Touching, it being neceffary, that either the object it felf immediately, or fome fubftantial Emanation from it, be contingent to the Senfory; as we have apodictically declared in our pracedent confiderations of Vifible, Audible, Odorable, and Guftable Species. Sometimes (and in prefent) Proper tothe Scrfe of Touching in Animals; which, however it extend to the Perception of Objects, in number manifold, in irature various and frequently even repugnant (whereupon fome Philofophers have contumacioufly contended for a Plurality of Animal Touchings; others gone fo high as to conftitute as many diftinct Powers of Touch-
 and Contrarieties of conditions in Tangibles) doth yet apprehend them all under one and the fame common reafon, and determinate qualification, after the fame manner, as the fighr difcernes White,

Black, Red, Green, \&c. all fub communi Coloris ratione, in the common capacity of Colours.

And this is that fertile fenfe, to whofe proper incitement we owe our Generation; for, had not the Eternal Providence endowed the Organs official to the recruit of mankind, with a moft exquifite and delicate fenfe of Touching, the titillation whereof tranfports a man beyond the feverity of his reafon, and charmes him to the act of Carnality; doubtlefs; the Deluge had been fpared; for the Firft age had been the Laft, and Humanity been loft in the grave, as well as innocence in the fall of our firft Parents. 2 2 is enim, per Deum immortalem, concubitum, rem adeo fadam, Solicitaret, amplexaretur, ei indulgeret? quo Vultu Divinum illud Animal plenum rationis \& conflii, quemvocamus Hominem, obfanas muliernmpartes, tot fordibus conjpurcatus attrectaret, nif incredibili voluptatis aftro percita effent Genetalia? And let us but abate the temptation of this fenfe, and libidinous invitement of it prambulous to the act of Congreffion; and we fhall foon confefs that fo magnified delight of fenfuality, to be no other than what the nobleft of Stoicks, cxarcus
 exepotrs, but the attrition of a bafe entrail, and the excretion of a litcle fnivel, with a kind of convulfion, as Hippocrates defrribes it, This is that Fidus Achates, or conftant friend, that conferves us in our firft life, which we fpend in the dark prifon of the womb; uhhers us into this; which our improvidence trifles away for the moft part on the blandinhments of fenfual Appetice; and never forfakes us, till Death hath tranflated us into an Eternalone. For when all our other unconftant fenfes perifh, this faithful one doth not abandon us, but at that moment; which determines our mortality. Whence Ariffotle drew that prognoftick (de Anim. lib. 3.cap. 13.) "that if any Animal be once deprived of the fenfe of "Touching, death muft immediately enfue; for neither is it poflible "(faith He) that any living Creature flould want this fenfe, nor to the be"ing of it is it neceffary that it. have any other fenfe befide this. In a word, this is that perfuafive fenfe, and whofe teftimony the wary Apoftle chofe to part with his infidelity, and to conclude the prefence of his revived Lord. That painful fenfe, on the victory of whofe torments the patient fouls of Martyrs have afcended above their faith. That Virtual and Medical fenfe, by which the Great Phyfician of difeafed 3 zature, was pleafed to reftore fight to the blind, àgility to the lame, hearing to the deaf; to extinguifh the Feaver in Peters Mother-in-Law, ftop the inveterate iffue in his Hæmorhoidal Client; unlock the adamantine gates of deach, and reftore the widows fon from the total privacion, to the perfect habit of life.
(2) That fome Qualities are fenfible to the Touch, which yetare common to the perception of other fenfes alfo; for no fcholler can be ignorant of that Divifion of fenfibles into Common and Proper; and that among the Cormmon are reckoned Motion, Quiet; Number, Figure, and Magnitwde, according to the lift of Ariftotle fo. (2 de Anim.cap.6.)

Art. $3^{\circ}$ A pertinent (though fhort) Panegrick on the fenfe of Touching.

## 250

Of Rarity, Denfity, Perfpicuity, and Opacity, Воок III.
Art. 5. (3 and principally) That the Qualities of Concretions, either ComA scheme of all monly or Properly appertaining to the fenfe of Touching, are to be con-

Qualities, or Commonly, or Properly ap. pertaining to the Senfe of Touching; as they fland in their feveral Relations to, or Dependencies on the U niverfalMaterer, Aroms: and fo, of all the fubrequent Capital Arguments co be treated of, in this Book. fidered in their feveral Relations to the Principles on which they depend. Firft, fome refult from the Univerfal matter, Atomes, in this refpect, that they intercept Inanity, or fpace betwixt them; and of this original are Rarity and Denjity, with their Confequents, Per $\beta$ icuity and opacity. Secondly, Some depend on the Common Materials, in this refpect, that they are endowed with their three effential Proprieties,Magnitude, Figure, Motion : and that either Singly, or Conjunctly. (I) Singly, and either from their Magnitude alone; of which order is the Magritude or Quantity of any Concretion; and the Confequents thereof, Subtility and Hebetude: or from their Figure alone, of which fort is the Figure of every thing; and the Confequents thereof, Smoothnefs and $A$ perity, \&xc. or only from their Motive Virtue, of which kind is the Motive Force inhærent in all things in the General, and that which affifteth and perfecteth the fame in moft things, the Habit of Motion, and particularly Gravity and Levity. (2) Conjunctly, from them all; of which production are thofe commonly called the Four Firft Qualities, Heat, Cold, Dryne $/ s$, Moyfture; as alfo thofe which are deduced from them, as Hardne Ss, Softnefs, Flexility, DuEtility: and all others of which Ariftotle fo copioufly (but farce pertinently) treateth in his fourth book of Meteors: and laftly, thofe by vulgar Phyfiologifts named occult 2 ualities, which are alfo derivative from Atoms, in refpect of their three effential Proprieties; and among thefe the moft eminent and generally celebrated, is the Attractive Virtue of the Loadftone.

Art. 6. Now on each of thefe we intend to beftowe particular fpeculation, alThe right of Rarity and $D_{\text {en- }}$ fitt, to the Priority of conf: deration.
lowing it the fame order, which it holds in this fcheme, which feems to be only a faithful Tranflumpt of the method of Nature: and we fhall begin at Rarity and Denfity. (I) Becaufe nothing can be generated but of Atoms commixt, and that Commixture cannot be without more or lefs of the Inane face intercepted among their finall maffes; fo that if much of the Inane fpace be intercepted among them, the Concretion muft be Rare, if little, Denfe, of meer neceffity: (2) Becaufe, the Four Firft reputed Qualities, Heat, Cold, Drynefs, Moyfture, are pofterior to Rarity and Denfity, as appears by that of Ariftotle (phyjic 8.cap.16.) where, according to the interpretation of pacius, He intimates, that Heat and Cold, Hardnefs and Softnefs are certain kindes of Rarity and Denfity; and therefore we are to fet forth from them, as the more Common in Nature, and confequently the more neceffary to be known, à Generalioribus enim, tanguam notioribus ad minus Generalia procedendum, is the advice of Arifo. (phyjc.1.cap.2.)

Sect.

SECT. II.

COncerning the immediate Caifes of Rarity and Denfity in Bodies, divers Conceptions are delivered by Philofophers. (I) Some, obferving that Rare bodies generally are lefs, and Denfe more Ponderous, and that the Divifion of a body into fmall parts, doch ufually make it lefs fwift in its defcent through aer or water, than while it was intire; have thereupon determined the Reafon of karity to confift in the aitual divifion of a body into many fmall parts : and, on the contrary, that of Denfity to confift in the Coadunafion or Compaction of many fmall parts into one great continued mafs. But, Thefe confidered not, that Chryftal is not more rare, though lefs weighty (proportionately) than a Diamond: nor that the Velocity of bodies defcending, doth not encreafe in proportion to the difference of their feveral Denfities; as their inadvertency made them prafume; there being fundry other Caules, befides the Denfity of a body, affignable to its greater Velocity of motion in defcent, as the Heroical pen of Galileo hath clearly demonftrated (in I . Dialog. de motho) and our felves fhall profeffedly evince in convenient place.
(2) Others, indecently leaping from Phyfical to Metaphyfical fpeculations, and imagining the fubtance of a body to be a thing really diftinet from the Quantity thereof; have derived Rarity and Denfity from the feveral proportions, which Quantity hath to its fubftance; as if in Rarefaction a Body did receive no mutation of Figure, büt an Augmentation, and in Condenfation a Diminution of its Quantity. But the exceffive fubtility, or rather abfolute incomprehenfibility of this Diftinction, doth evidently confefs it to be meerly Chimerical, as we have formerly intimated, in our difcourfe concerning the proper and genaine notions of Corporiety and Inanity.
(3) A Ihird fort there are, who having detected the incompetency of the firft opinion, and abfolute unintelligibility of the Second; judiciounty defume the more or lefs of Rarity in any body, from the more or lefs of Vacrity intercepted among the parts thereof;, and on the contraty, the more or lefs of Denfity from the greater br lefs exclufion of Inanity, by the reduction of the parts of a body to mutual Contingency. And this is that opinion, which only hath fubjugated our judgement, and which feems worthy our beft patronage : inregard not only of its fuifficiency to explicate all the various Apparences annong bodies, refulting from their feve= ral Differences in Rarity and Denfity; but alfo of its exuperance of reiafon above the Firft, and of intelligibility above the fecond; it being the duety of a Philofopher, always to prefer Perfpicuity to Obfcurity; plain and genuine notions to fuch as are abftracted not farther from matter, thạn all poffibility of Comprehenfion.

Art. 4 : The Definitions of a Rare, and of a Denle body; according to the affumprion of aVacuity Diferminate.

## Art. 5.

 The Congruily of thore Definitions, de. monftrated.According to this Hypothefis, therefore, of Vacuities interfperfed (of which Epecurus feems to have been the Author) we underftand, and dare define a Rare Body to be fuch, as obtaining little of Matter, poffeffeth much of Place; and on the contrary, a Denfe one to be that, which obtaining much of Matter, poffeffethlittle of Place: intending by Place, all that fpace circumfcribed by the fuperfice of the Ambient, fuch as is the fpace included betwixt the fides, or in the concave of a veffel.
For, fuppofing any determinate fpace to be one while poffeffed by Aer alone, another while by Water alone; the Aer therein contained cannot be faid to be Rare, but only becaufe though it hath much lefs of matter, or fubftance, yet it takes up as much of fpace, or room as the Water : nor the Water to be Denfe, but only becaufe though it hath much more of matter, yet doth it take up no more of fpace, than the Aer. Whence it is purely Confequent, that if we conceive that Water to be rarified into Aer, and that Aer tobecondenfed into Water; the Aer made of the Water ravified, muft replenifh a veffel of capacity not only ten-fold, as Ariftotle inconfiderately conjectured, but a hundred-fold greater, as Mer/ennus by ftalick experiments hath demonftrated : and tranfpofitively, the Water made by the Aer condenfed, muft be received in a Veffel of capacity an hundred-fold lefs; when yet in that greater mafs of Aer, there can be no more of Matter, or Quantity, than was in that fmaller mafs of Water, before its Rarefaction; nor in chat fmaller mals of Water lefs of Matter, or Quantity, than was in that greater mafs of Aer, before its Condenfation. Evident it is, therefore, that by thofe, contraly motions of Rarifaction and Cordenfation, a Body doth fuffer no more than the meer Mutation of its Figure, or the Diffusion and Contraction of its parts: its Quantity admitting no Augmentation in the one, nor Diminution of the other.

Art. 6.
That Labyrinih of Difficulties, wherein the thoughts of Phyfiologifts have fo long wandered; iso duced to a -point, the ge. nuine fare of the Rusfion.

This being Apodictical, the fole Difficulty that requires our Enodation, is only this; Whether a Rare Body poffeffing a greater fpace, than a Denfe, proportionately to its Quantity, doth fo poffefs all that face circumfcribed by its fuperfice, as to replenih all and every the leaft particle thereof, not leaving any fpace or fpaces, however exile, unreplenifht with fome adxquate particle of its matter? Or whether there are not fome fmall parts of fpace, intermixt among its diffufed or mutually incontingent particles, in which no particles of its matter are included, and fo there remain fmall Vacuola, or Empty fpaces, fuch as we have formerly more than twice defcribed, in our Chapter of a Diffeminate Vacuity in Nature?

And this defends into another Doubt, whofe clear folution is of fo much importance, as richly to compenfate our moft anxious Enquirie; viz. Whether Rarity be caufed from the interception of much Inanity, when the parts of a Body, formerly Adunate, are feparated each from other (at leaft, in fome points of their fuperfices) and fo the Body become fo much more Rare, by how much the more, or more ample empty faces are intercepted among its incontingent particles: or Whether Denfity and Rarity depend on any other poffible Caufes befides this, i. e. without the intermiftion of inane fpaces among the particles of Bodies? And this we conceive to be the whole and
and true ftate of that Controverfie, which hath fo perplexed the minds of many the moft eminent Philofophers in the world.

That the Rarity and Denfity of Bodies can arife from no other Art. 7 . Caufe immediately, but the more or lefs of Inanity intercepted among and Denfity can their particles; may be thus Demonftrated.

If in a Rare body there be admitted no Vacuola, or fmall empty fpaces, but it be affumed, that the particles of Matter are adxquate both in Number and Dimenfions to the particles of face, wherein it is contained; then muft it neceffarily follow, that in Condenfation many particles of Matter muft be reduced into one particle fpace, which before Condenfation was adxquate onely to one particlê of Matter: and, on the contrary; in Rarefaction, one and the fame particle of matter muft poffels many of fpace, each whereof, before Rarefaction, was in dimenfions fully refpondent thereto. For Example; in Aer condeñfed into Water, an hundred particles of Aer muft be reduced into one particle of fpace :' and in Water rarified into Aer, one particle of the matter of Water muft poffers an hundred particles of fpace. Again, according to the Affumption of no Vacuity, fince in a Veffel replete with Aer, the parts of Aer muft be equal in number and dimenfions to the parts of fpace, thereby circumfcribed, none the leaft particle of fpace being admitted to be Inane; if you fill the fame Veffel with Water, or Lead, or Gold, it muft follow, that the parts of the matter of Aer, and the parts of the matter of Water, Lead, or Gold, fhall be equal in number, becaúfe $2^{4 \mu e}$ funt umi tertio equalia; aqualia funt etiam inster $\int$ e: and if fo , needs muft Aer be xqually Denfe with Water, Lead, or Gold, which all men allow to be the moft denfe and compaet body in Nature in regard it tranfcends all others in weight and difficulty of Solution, or Divifion;
(2) All bodies in the Univerfe muft be equally Denfe, or equally Rare;
(3) And fonothing can be capable of Condenfation or Rarefaction. The leaft of which unconcealable $A b$ firrditics, (not to enumerate any others of thofe many that depend on the fame Conceffion of an abfolute Plenitude, or no Vacuity) is great enough to render thofe Heads, which have laboured to deftroy the Vacuola of Epicurus, ftrongly fufpected of Incogitancy, if not of Ptupidity.

Twere good manners in us to prafume, that no man can be fo Facile; as to conceive, that Ariftotle hath prevented thefe Exceptions, by that Diftinction of his, de Actu \& Potentia : but, becaufe Projudice may do much, we judge it expedient a while to infift upon the Examination of the importance and congruity thereot. He ratiocinates (4 phyfic.cap 9.) that the matter of Contraries, E. G. of Heat and Cold, Rarity and Denfity is one and the fame; So that as the fame matter is one while Actually Hot another while Actuslly Cold, becaufe it is both Hot and Cold Potentially : fo is one and the fame matter now Actually Rare, now Actually Denfe, besaisfe it is both Rare and Denfe Potertially. But, in ftrictneif of Logick, all that this Argument enforceth, is only that the fame matter
is Capable of Rarefaction and Condenfation; which no man ever difputed. The Quxftion is, Whether the fame Matter, when Actually Rare, hath its parts diffociated and diffufed into a greater fpace, than what they poffeffed while it was onely Potentially Rare, and that without the intermixture of Inanity among them? And all that can be collected from his difcourfes touching that, is no more than this; that as a matter or fubftance actually Hot, doth become more Hot, without the Emerfion, or Accefsion of any new part, which was not actually Hot before: So likewife doth the fame matter actually Extenfe, become more Extense, without the Emerfion, or Accefsion of any nein part, which was not actually Extense before. But this Arrow was Thot at random, not directly to the mark, nor hath it attained the Difficulty; For the Quæftion again is not, Whether in Rarefaction, any part of the matter were not formerly Extenfe: but, Whether that matter, which was formerly Extenfe, can be made more Extenfe withour the Diffociation of its particles; and whether the particles of it can be actually Diffociated, without the interception of Inanity anong them? Befides, His Comparifon is as incongruous, as his Argument is weak; for (I) His Affumption concerning Heat is not only Precarious, but falle, as fhall be demonftrated, in Juoloco: (2) were it true, yet doth that part of matter, which is actually Hot, remain indivulfe or indiftracted; otherwife than a part of matter, which being actually Extenfe, becomes more Extenfe, and therefore the Analogy faileth.

In conclufion, to mend the matter, He recurrs to that fimilitude of a Circle, which though contracted into a lefs, bath yet none of its parts more incurvate than they were before: But, alas the Quxftion fill remains untoucht, and (that we may not ftay to impeach him of indecorum, in making an indecent tranfition from a Phyfical to a Mathematical fubject; contrary to his own Dialectical inftitutes) his fimilitude will bear no more of inference but only this, that a thing may be made more Denfe, which is Rare and Lax; which is impertinently difputed; when all men concede it.

Art. 9. The Hyporthefis of a cerrain Athbereal fub. fance, ro re. plenifh the pores of Bo. dies, in Rari. fation ; demonfrated infufficient, to folve the Difficulty, or demo lifh the Epicurean Thefi: ©f frallVacuities

The Adrocates of Ariftotle generally alleage in his Defence, that He fuppofed a certain Ethereal, or as fome have called it, Animal fubftance, which inexiftent in all Bodies, doth replenifh their pores, and more efpecially if their Contexture be Rare; and that when a Denfe Bodie is rarified, there are no finall Inane faces intercepted among its Diffociated particles, but that the fpaces betwixt them are immediately pofferfed by that fubcile Æthereal fubftance : and that when a Rare Body is Condenfed, that Æthereal fubstance, which did replenifh its pores, is excluded.

But this fuppofition, though it come neerer to the curftion, or center of the Difficulty, is yet far fhort of folring it. For, take we (for Example) a Cubical foot of Aer, and infomuch as the fubftance of the Aer is more grofs, or lefs exile, than the fubftance of the fuppofed Æther, therefore muft it confift of fewer particles, than the $\notin$ ther: and upon confequence, in the whole Cubical foot of Aer there are not more particles of Matter, the Aereal and Ethereal ones being conjoyned, than if it confifted only of Aereal particles. Now we enquire of Arifotles Cham-
pions, Whether or no in that Cubical foot confifting of the Aggregate of both fors of particles, there are is many particles of Matter, as are in a Cubical foot of Water, Lead, or Gold? The fffrmative is more thun they dare own; nor can they deny, but thatche fpace poffeifed by one foot containeth as many frall parts of frace, relipondent to the particles of matter, as the other: andif fo, maft not there be in the Foor of Aer, many parricles of fpace, which are poffeffed neithe by the Aereal nor Ethereal pratichs, and are not thofe unpoffeffed particles of ipace ablolacely Empty? If you underake the Negative, you infinare your felf in this Ablerdity, that the particles of a Cubical Foot of Aer and Fcther conjoyned, muft be equal in number to the particles of a Cubical foot of Water, Lead, or Gold.

The Difficulty of undertanding the Formal and Immediate Realon of Rarity and Denfity in Bodies, by thar fo popularly applacded Hypothefis of an . Esheres! yebffsmec (imegined to maintain an abfoluce Plenituie, and foa Continatry through the whole vaft Body of Nesture) being thus evinced: let us a while conlider, how edfily even the meaneft Capacity maty compretend the full Nizure of thole Primary and Eminent Affecitons, fom the conceflion of fmaE tracuities. We have formerly explicated the matter, by the convenient fimilizude of an Haap of Corn, or Sand; which being lighty and gencly powed into a Veffel, takes up more room then when preft cown: and we fhell yee more faciliate the Conception thereof by another fimile, fomewhat more pragnant, becare miore Analogous. When a Flece, or Lock of Wool Is deduced, or diffended, we fey, it is made more Rare; and when Compreffed, more Denle: now the Rarity thereof confifeth only in this, thit the Huis, which were formerly more Confocize, United, or at clofer Order among chemielves, ze Diflociaced, Dillunited, or reduced to more open Order, and the fraces betwixt them, become either more, or larger, in which ro particle of Woo! is contained: and on the contraty, the Denliey thereof confifieth onely in this, that the Parricles or Hair, which were before more Difiocisced, ocat open order, are by Comprefion brought to more Vicinity, or to cloler order, and the fpaces betwixt them become fewer and leflier. And thas tre we to conceive, how the fame Mater, without Augmentation or Diminution of Quantity, may be now Rarified into Aer, and snon Condenfed into Water; for, inftead of the Hairs in the Fleece of Wool, we need only pue the Parcicles of the mater, which in Raritation are Diffociared, in Condentition Cosdunated. And this Conception mey be extendedalio to a Spange, Flaxe, or any other Porous and Lax bodie ; becaufe they are capable of Expanfion and Contration onely in this refrect, that the frumll ferces iniectepted in the incontiguities or diffances af their particles, tre now enlarged, now concraced. We confels, this fimilitude is not adxquate in all points, there being this Diferexs? thar when a Flecte of Wool is expanied, the ambient Aer doth infandy infinaze into the fmell fasees intercepted betwist the diffociuted particles of it, and fo poliefs them; bur, nothing of Aer, or. Ether, or ocher fubfinte whiterer doch infinaze it felt info the foull foces infetcepted betwixt the diffociated partiles of Aer, of iWater, when either of them is Raified: we liy, notrithftanding this Dilparity,

Difparity, yet doth it hold thus far good and quadrant, that as nothing of Wool poffeffeth thofe fpaces, which would therefore remain abfolutely Empty, in cafe the fociable Aer did not inftantly fucceed in pofferfion of them; fo, fince the parts of the matter of Water are Expinfed or Diffociated after the fame manner, as are the Hairs of Wool, and after the fame manner Contractedor United; and certain fmall Loculaments are likewife intercepted betwixt the particles of that matter, in which nothing of Water can be contained, during the ftate of Rarifaction, and which no other fubftance can be proved to poffels; it muft thence follow, that thofe deferted fmall fpaces, or Loculaments remain abfolutely Empty; And more than that, our. fimilitude is not concerned to impart.

Art. 11. PARADOY: That the Matter of a Body, when Rarificd, doth poffers no more of true Place, than when Condenfed, and the Conciliation thereof to the prepofed Definitions of aRace and of a Denfe Body.

## Art. 12.

PROBLEM. whether Aer be capable of Condenfation to fo high a rate as it is of Rarifaction : and the Apodictical folution thereof.

But, that we may make fome farther advantage thereof, we obferve; that as when a Fleece of Wooll is expanfed, it is of a greater circumference, and fo includes a greater Capacity therein, than when it is compreffed; not that the fingle Hairs thereof take up a greater fpace in that capacity, for no Haire can poffers more fpace, than its proper bulk requires, but becaufe the inane faces or Loculaments intercepted betwixt their divifions are enlarged : exactly fo, when the fame Matter is now Rarified into Aer, anon Condenfed into Water, the Circumference thereof becomes greater and lefs, and the Capacity included in that circumference is augmented and diminifhed accordingly ; not that the fingle Particles of the Matter poffers a greater part of that capacity in the fate of Rarifaction, than in that of Condenfation, becaufe no particle can poffels more of fpace than what is adxquate to its dimenfions; but only becaufe the Inane fpaces intercepted betwixt their divifions are more ample in one cafe, than in the other. And hence it is purely confequent, that the matter of a Body Rarified can not be juflly affirmed to poflefs more of true or proper Place, than the matter of the fame body Condenfed; though, when we fpeak according to the cuftomary Dialect of the Vulgar, we fay, that a Body Rarified doth poffefs more of fpace, than when Condenfed: infomuch as under the terme Place is comprehended all that Capacity circumfribed by the extremes or fuperfice of a Body; and to the Matter, or Body it felf are attributed not onely the fmall fpaces poffeffed by the particles thereof, but alfo all thofe inane fpaces interjacent among them, juft as by the word City, every man underftands not only the dwelling Houfes, Churches, Caftles, and other ædifices, but alfo all the ftreets, Piazzaes, Church-yards, Gardens, and other void places contained within the Walls of it. And in this fenfe onely are our precedent Definitions of a Rare, and Denfe Body to be accepted.

The Reafons of Rarity and Denfity thus evidently Commonftrated, the pleafantnefs of Contemplation would invite us to advance to the examination of the feveral Proportions of Gravity and Levity among Bodies, refpective to their particular Differences in Denfity and Rarity; the feveral ways of Rarifying and Condenfing Aer and Water; and the means of attaining the certain weights of each, in the feveral rates, or liegrees of their Rarifaction and Condenfatioa:

Char. X. Subtility, Hebetrde, Smoutbnefs, Alperity.
fation; according to the evidence of Aerofatick and Hydrofatatick Expériments: but in tegard thefe things are not directly pertinent to our prefent fcope and inftitution, and that Galileuss and cxerefennus have enriched the World with excellent Difquifitions upon each of thofe fublime Theorems; we conceive ourfelves more excufable for the Omiffion, than we fhould have been for the Confideration of them, in this place. However, we ask leave to make a flhort Excurfion upon that PROBLEM, of fo great importance to thofe, who exercife their Ingenuity in either Hydraulick, or Pneumatick (Mecha: nicks: viた.

## Whether may Aer be Rarified as much as Condenfeds or whether it be capable of Rarifaction and Condendition to the Jame rate, or in the fame proportion?

That common Oracle, for the Solution of Problems of this abtrufe nature, Experience hath affured', that Aer, may be Rarified to fo great a height, in red-hot Æolipiles, or Hermetical Bellows; that the 70 part of Aer formerly contained therein, before rarifaction, will totally fill an Æolipile uponextreme Rarifaction thereof. For, Merfennus, ufing an Æolipile, which being Cold, would receive exactly 13 ounces, one Drachm and an half; and when Hot, would fuck in only 13 ounces: found, that the whole quantity of Aer ignified, and replenifhing the fame Æolipile; when glowing Hot, being reduced to its natural ftate, did poffers only the 70. part of the whole Capacity, which was due to the Drachm and half of Water. We fay, upon Extreme Rarifaction; becaufe this feems to be the higheft rate, to which any Rarifaction can attain, in regard the Metal of the Æolipile can endure no more violence of the Fire, without Fufion.

As for the Tax, or Rate of its utmoft Condenfation; though many are perfuaded, that Aer cannot be reduced, by Condenfation, to more than a Third part of that Space, which it poffeffech in its natural ftate; becaufe they have obferved, that Water infufed into a Veffel of three Heminte, doth not exceed two Hemin $\mathfrak{X}$, in regard of the Aer remaining within : yet certain it is, that Aer may be Condenfed to a far higher proportion. For, Experience alfo confirms, that into the Chamber of a Wind-Gun (of ufual Dimenfions) Aer may be intruded, to the weight of a Drachm, or fixty Grains : and that in that Capacity, which contains only an ounce of Water, it may be fo included, as that yet a greater proportion of Aer may be injected into if. Now; therefore, infomuch as the Aer in Merfennus his Æolipile amounts to four Grains (at leaft) or fixe (at moft) which number is ten times multiplied in fixty ; and that the Concave of the Æolipile is to the Concave of the Pipe of the Wind-Gun, in proportion fefquialteral : by Computation it appears, that the Aer condenfed in the Chamber of the Wind-Gun muft be fufficient to fill the Æolipile ten times ovier, or the fame Chamber is times over, if reftored to its natural tenour. And hereupon we may fafely Conclude, that Aer may be Compreffed in a Wind-Gun, to fuch a rate, as to be contained in a fpace 15 times lefs, than what it poffeffed during its natural Laxity; and that by the force only of a Mans hand, ramming down the Embolus; or

Charging Iron : which Force being capable of Quadruplication, the Aer may be reduced into a fpace fubquadruple to the former. If fo, the rate of the poffible Condenfation of Aer, will not come much fhort of that of its extreme Rarefaction: at leaft, ifa Quadruple Force be fufficient to a Quadruple Condenfation; and Aer be capable of a Quadruple Compreffion: both which are Difficulties not eafily determinable.

## Sect. III.

Art. I.
The opportunity of the prefent fecculation, concerning the Caufes of Perfpicuity and opacity.

Art. 2. The rrue No. tions of a Perfpicuum and ора:~um.

PERSPICLITTY and OPACITY we well know to be Qualities not pracifely conformable to the Laws of Rarity and Denfity; yet, infomuch as it is for the moft part found true (ceteris paribus) that every Concretion is fo much more Perfpicuous, by how much the more Rare; and è contra, fo much the more Opace, by how much more Denfe; and that the Reafon of Perfpicuity can hardly be underftood, but by affuming certain finall Vacuities in the Body interpofed betwixt the object and the eye, fuch as may give free paffage to the vifible Species; nor that of Opacity, but by conceding a certain Corpulency to the pace or thing therein interpofed, fuch as may terminate the fight : therefore cannot this place be judged incompetent, to the Confideration of their feverall originals.

By a Perpicuum [ to suxpduès] we fuppofe, that every man undertands that Body, or Space, which though interpofed betwixt the Eye and a Lucid, or Colorate Object, doth neverthelefs not hinder the Tranfition of the Vifible feccies from it to the Eye : and by an opacum; that whichobftructing the paffage of the Vifible Species, terminates the fight in it felf.

We fuppofe alfo, that (according to our precedent Theory) the Species Vifible confift of certain Corporeal Rayes emitted from the Object, in direct lines toward the Eye; and that where the Medium, or interjacent fpace is free, thofe Rayes are delated through it without impediment; but, where the fpace is prepoffeffed by any folid or Impervious fubftance, they are repercuffed from it toward their Original, the Object. And hence we inferr, that becaufe the total Freedom of their Trinfmiffion depends only upon the total Inanity of the Space intermediate; and fo the more or lefs of freedome trajective depends upon the more or lefs of Inanity in the Space intermediate : therefore mult every Concretion be fo much more Perficicuous, by how much the more, and more ample Inane Spaces it hath intercepted among its Component particles; which permit the Rayes freely to continue on their progrefs home to the Eye.

This we affirm not Univerfally, but under the diue limitation of a Cateris Paribus, as we have formerly hunted. Becaufe, notwithftanding a piece of Lawn is more or lets Perficuous, according as the Contexture of its Threads is more or lefs Rare ; and the Aer in like manner is more or lefs pellucid, according as it is perfuled with more or fewer Vapours : yet do we not want Bodies, as Paper, Sponges, \&xc. Which though more then meanly Rare, are neverthelefs Indiaphanous; and on the contrary,
contrary, we fee minny Bodies, fufficiently Denfe, as Horn, Mulforvy-ghids sornmong glafs, \&\&c. which are yet confiderably Diaphanous.

Now, that you may clearly comprehend the Caufe of this Difjerence, be pleafed to hold your rightit hand before your eye, with your fingers fomewhat diftant each from ocher; and then looking at fome object, you may behold it through the chinks or intervals of your fingers : this done, put your left hand aito over your right, fo as the fingers of it may be in the fame pofition with the former; and then may you perceive the objeet, at leart as many parts of it as before. But, if you difipofe the fingers of your left hand fo as to fill up the fpaces or intervals betwixe thofe of your right ; the object thall be wholly eclipfed. Thus allo, if you look at an object through a Lavn, or Hair Sieve, and then put another Sieve over that, fo as the holes or pores of the fecond be parallel to thofe of the firft, you may as plainly difcern it tnrough both as one: but, if the twifts of the fecond fieve be objected to the pores of the firft, then fhall you perceive no part of the object, at leart fo much the fewer parts, by how much greater a number of pores in the firft are confronted by threads in the fecond. And hence you cannot but acknowledge that the Liberty of infpection doth depend immediately and neceffirily upon the Inanity of the pores; the Impediment of it upon the Bodies that hinder the trajection of the Rayes emitted from the Object : and yet that to Diaphanity is required a certain order'y and allernate Pofition of the Pores and Bodies, or Particles. This confidered, it is manifeft, that the Reafon why $G l a f f_{s}$ thougg much more Denfe, is yet much more perfpicuous thass Paper, is only chis; that the Contexture of the fimall filaments, compofing the fubtance of Paper, is fo confufed, as that the Pores that are open on one fide or fuperfice thereof, are not continued through to the other, but variouly intercepted with crofsrumning filaments: as is more fenfible in the Cohtexture of a spunge, whofe holes are not continued quite thorow, but determined at half way, ( fome more, fome lefs 3 fo that frequently the bottome of one hole is the cover of another, as the Cells in a Hony-comb: but, Glafs, in regard of the uniforms and regular Contexture of its particles, which are ranged as it were in diftinct ranks and files, with pores or intervals orderly and directly remaining betwixx them; hath its pores not fo foon determined by particles oppofitely difpofed, but continued to a greater depth in its fubftance.
Though this make the whole matter fufficiently intelligible, yet may it receive a degree more of illuftration, if we admit the fame Conditions to be in the fubftance of Glafs, that are in a Mif, or Cloud; through which we may behold and object, fo long as the fimall paffiges or intervals betwixt the particles of the Vapours, through which the rayes of the vifible fpecies may be trajected, remain unobftructed: but yet perceive the fane fo much the more obicurely, by how much the more remote it is; becaufe, in that caafe, more impervious particles are varioully oppofed to thofe finall thorow fanes, that obftruct them, and fo impede the progrefs of moft of the rayes. For, thus allo Glafs, if thin, doth hinder the fight of an objeit very litele, or nothing at all, but if very thick, it wholly terminates the progrefs of the fecies: and, by how much the chicker it is, by fo much the more it obfcures the object. And this, only becaure Glafs, confifting of finall folid Parricles, or Granules, and infenfible Pores alternately fituate, laxt many of its pores rumning on in direct lines through its fub-

Art. $4:$ Why Glifs, rhough mucti more Denje, is $y$ emuch inore Diaplanems, han Pafect.

## -

ftance to fome certain diftance; but fometimes thefe; fometimes thofe are obturated by fmall folid particles fuccedent, when at fuch a determinate Crafsitude, it becomes wholly opace.

Art. 6. An Apodiati. cal Confuration of ciat po. pular Error, that Glafs is to. tally, or in every particle, Dia. phinow.

And this gives us an opportunity to refure that vulgar Error, That the Subftance of Glafs is totally Diaphasous, or that all and every Ray of the the vijive Species is trajected through it, without impediment. To demonAtrate the contrary, therefore, we advife you to hold a piece of the fineft and thinneft Venice Glafs againft the Sun, with two fheets of white paper, one betwixt the Sun and the Glafs, the other betwixt the Glafs and your Eye: for, then fhall all the Trajected Rayes be received on the paper on this fide of the glafs, and the Reflected ones be received on that beyond it. Now, infomuch as that paper, which is betwixt your eye and the glafs, doth receive the Trajected rayes, with a certain apparence of many finall /badows intercepted among them; and that paper beyond the glars, doth receive the Reflected rayes with an apparence of many fmall lights: therefore we demand (I) from whence can that fpecies of fmall fhadows arife, if not from the Defect of thoferayes, that are not tranfmitted through the Glafs, but averted from it? (2) Whence comes it, that in neither paper the Brightnefs or Splendour is fo great, as when no Glafs is interpofed betwixt them; if not from hence, that the reflected rayes are wanting to the neareft, the trajected ones to the farcheft? (3). Whence comes it that fome rayes are reflected, others trajected; if not from hence, that as a Lawn fieve tranfmits thofe rayes, which fall into its pores, and repercuffeth others that fall upon its threads: fo doth Glafs permit thofe rayes to pals through, that fall into its pores; and reverberate thofe, that Atrike upon its folid particles? And what we here fay of Glafs, holds true alfo (in proportion) of Aer, Water, Horn, Vernijh, Mufcovy-glafs, and all other Diaphanous Bodies.
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## SECT. I.



He MAGNITUDE and FIGURE of Concretions, in regard our Reafon doth beft derive them from the Two Firf Proprieties, or Effential Attributes of the Univerfal Matter, Atoms ; are the Qualities which juftly challenge our next Meditation. Concerning their Origination, therefore, we adveruife

Firft; that alchough it be not neceffary, that a Body made up of greater Atoms hhould therefore be greater, nor contrariwife, that a Body compofed of leffer Atoms, fhould therefore be leffer; nor that a Body confifting of Atoms of this, or that determinate Figure, fhould conftantly retain that Figure, without capacity of determination to any other: yet doth it feem univerfally true, that every Concretion therefore hath Magnitude, becaufe its Material Principles, or Component Particles have their certain Magnitudes, or are effentially endowed with real Dimenfions; and as true, that every Concretion is therefore determined to this or that particular Figure, becaufe the Component Particles thereof are not immenfe, or devoyd of circumfcription, bur terminated by fome Figure or other.

Art. 1. The Contexture of this Chapter, with the pracedens

Ari. 2. That the Mag: nitude of Concretions, arifech from the Magnitude of thicir Material Printciples.

Secondly, that the term Magritude here ufed, is not to be accepted in a Comparative intention, or as if ftands in oppofition to Parvity; in which
$\square$ importing the fame thing with Quantity, or Extenfion. For, as every Atom, or that ultimate and indivifible portion of Matter, fo called, is no Mathematical point, but poffeffeth its own fimple Magnitude, or Quantity, without refpect or comparifon to Greater or Lefs. So muft every Concretion be confidered, as it ftands poffeffed of its own compound Magnitude, or Quantity, without refpect to any other Body, in comparifon whereof it may be faid to be Greater or Lefs. Becaufe without the relative conception of any other Body, the Mind doth moft clearly and dictinctly apprehend the Magnitude of a Concretion by a Pofitive notion; infomuch it conceives it, to have various parts, whereof none are included within other, but all. fituate in order, and each in its proper place : fo that from thence muft follow the Diffufion of them, and confequently the Extenfion of the whole confifting of them. And well known it is, that the Magnitude, or Quantity of a Body, is nothing but that kind of Extenfion, which amounts from the aggregate of the fingular Extenfions of its component particles: of which if any be conceived to be Detracted, or Appofed; fo much is inftantly underftood to be Detracted from, or Appofed to the Extenfion of the whole Body. To this alludes that Diftich of Lucretius,

> Propterea, quia que decedunt Corpora quoique, Unde abeunt, minuunt; quo venere, augmine donant.

Art. 4. That the ouatio tity of a thing. is meerly the Matter of it.

Art. 5. The Quanity of a ching, neither augmenced by is Rarefallion : nor diminiffed by its Condenjati. on: conerary to the Arilloteleans, who diffnguifl the Quanity of a Eody, fr mits subforte.

This duely perpended, no man need hereafter fear the drilling of his ears by thofe clamorous and confufed litigations in the Schools, about the Formal reafon of Quantity; for nothing can be more evident than this, that the Extenfion or Quantity of a thing is meerly Modus Materia, or (rather) the Matter it felf compofing that thing; infomuch as it confifteth not in a Point, but hath parts pofited without parts, in refpect whereof it is Diffufe: and purely confequent from thence, that every. Body hath fo much of Extenfion, as it hath of Matter, extenfion being the proper and infeparable Affection of Matter or Subftance. Hence alfo may we detect and refute the extreme abfurdity of thofe high-flying Wits, who imagine that a Body, when Rarified, though it hath no more of Matter, hath yet more of Quantity or Extenfion, than when Condenfed: becaufe from the præmifes it is an apodictical verity, that the Extenfion attributed to a Body Rarified, is not an Extenfion of the Matter of it alone, but of the Matter and fmall Inane Spaces, intercepted among its diffociated particles; together; fo that if you fuppofe the Extenfion of thofe fmall Vacuities to be excluded from the Aggregate, you cannot but confefs, that the Matter hath no more of Extenfion in its parts Diffociated, than it had in the fame parts Coadunated.

Moreover, this fufficiently inftructs us to give a decifive Refponfe to that fo long debated Queftion, An per Rarifactionem acquiratur, per Condenjationem deperdatur Quantitas? Whether the Quantity of a Body is Augmented in Rarifaction, and Diminifhed in Condenfation, or no? For,as nothing of Matter is conceived to be added to a body, while it is Rarified; nothing of Matter detracted fromit while Condenfed: fo is it undenia. ble, at lealt unrefutable, that nothing of Quantiry is acquired by Rarifaction,
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or amitted by Condenfation; but only that thofe empry fpaces are adriitted, or excluded, which being in a Rarified body conjoined to the fmall fpaces, that the particles of its matter poffers, make it appear to be Greater, or to replenifh a greater place, than before; and in a Condenfed body, detracted from the finall ipaces, that the particles of its matter do poffers, make it appear Lefs, or to fill a lefs place than before. If fo, it may be caufe of wonder even to the wifeft and moft charitable Confideration, that the Defendants of Ariftotles doctrine of Quantity, have with fo much labour and anxiety of mind betrayed themfelves into fundry not only inextricable Difficulties, butopen Repugnances; while on the one fide they affirm, that as well Quantity as Matter, is Ingenerable and Incorruptible: and on the other admit, that the fame Matter may be one while Extended to the occupation of all and every part of a greater fpace; and another while again fo contracted, as to be wholly comprehended in the hundreth part of the former fpace (as in the Condenfation of Aer into Water) than which no Contradiction can be or more open, or more irreconcileable. And yet we fee thofe, who have eafily fivallowed it, and upon digeftion become fo tranfcendencly exalted to fublimities, as to imagine the Quantity of a thing to be abfolutely diftinct from the matter, or fubftance of it : and thereupon to conclude, that Rarity and Denfity doe confift only in the feveral proportions, which fubftance hath to Quantity.

Much more plaufible were their Explication, had they derived the Extenfion of a thing, meerly from space, or Place; becaufe, whenever any thing is faid to be Extenfe, the mind inftantly layes hold of forme determinate part of fpace, referring the Extenfion of it fimply and entirely to the Place, wherein it is, or may be contained, and which is exæquate to its Dimenfions : nor is it, indeed, eafie to wean the Underfanding from this habitual manner of Conception. Whereof if we be urged to render a fatisfactory Reafon, we confelf, we know no better than this; that by the Law of Niature, every Body in the Univerfe is configned to its peculiar Place, i. e. fuch a canton of face, as is exactly refpondent to its Dimenfions: fo that whether a Body quiefce, or be moved, we alwayes underftand the Place wherein it is Extenfe, to be one and the fame, i.e. equal to its Dimenfions.

We fay, By the Lay of Nature; becaufe, if we convert to the Omnipotence of its Author, and confider that the Creator did not circumfcribe his own Energy by thofe fundamental Conftitutions, which his Wifedom impofed upon the Creature: we muft wind up the nerves of our Mind to a higher key of Conception, and let our Reafon learn of our Faith to admit the poffibility of a Body exiftent without Extenfion, and the Extenfion of a Body confiftent without the Body it felf; as in the facred myftery of our Saviours Apparition to his Apoftles, after his Refurrection [ $\tau^{p} \Gamma$ supãv
 manner of either, i.e. the Exiftence of a Body without Extenfion, and of Extenfion without a Body; for our narrow intellectuals, which cannot take the alticude of the fmalleft effect in Nature, mult be confeft an incompetent meafure of fapernaturals : but that, whoever allowes the power of God to have formed a Body out of no prexexiftent matter; cannot deny the fane powe: to extend to the reduction of the fame Body to nothing of matter again. Which the moft pious S. Auguft. (Epift. 3.)

264 Of Magnitude, Figure, and their Confequents, Bоок III.
had regard unto, in his excellent Adhortation, Ut demus Deums aliquid pofce, quod nos fateamur inveftigare non poffe, \& in quotota ratio facti fit ipsa potentia facientis.

Art. 8. Corolla RY That the primary Caufe, why Nature admits no Pr. nerration of Dimenfines, is rather the Solidity, than the Extenfion of a Body.

And here we have opportunity to obferve, by way of Corollary, that infomuch as every Philofopher confiders in a Body as well its Solidity, or Corpulency, as Extenfion, or Quantity (though not as things really diftinct, yet the fame under a twofold acceptation) we fay, we therefore obferve, that the primary Caufe, why Nature cannot endure a Penetration of Dimenfions, or that two Bodies cannot be admitted into the fame place at once, feems not to be the Extenfion or Quantity of it, procifely accepted, as the Difciples of Ariftotle commonly conceive; but its Corpulency, or Solidity. For from this, rather than that, may be underfood that Oppofition, which is betwixt a Vacuam and a Body: and the Renitency which is in one Body againft the admittance of another into it felf.

Art. 9 Concerning the Contimuity of a Body we alfo obferve; that a Body is The reafons of Quantity Con. timued and Difcrete, or Mag-
niude and nitude and Multitude. to be reputed Continued, in refpect its Parts are Copulate, Cohærent and Indivulfeamong themfelves, fo that notwithftanding they are in reality no more then mutually Contiguous, yet are their Commiffures or conjoinings fo exile, as not to be deprehenfible by the fenfe. And thus may we underfand Magnitude, or 2Hantity Continued (as the Schools phrale it ) to be diftinguifhed from Q2antity Difcrete, or Multitude, only by this; that the parts of Magnitude may, indeed, be feparated each from other, but are not actually feparate: but the parts of Multicude are actually fepairate. Not that the parts of Multitude may not be mutually contingent (as many ftones lying in one heap together) but that they do not reciprocally take hold of, or bind in each other, fo as to make a fenfible Continuity; and yet it is manifeft, that an heap of Hairs dextroufly twifted into fmall threads and woven into a clofe webb, makes a Continued body, though the Hairs do not penetrate each other, but are meerly contingent in their extremes. Thus mudd is likewvife a Continued Body, though it be only a compofition of Granules of Earth and Water reciprocally contingent; as appears by the feparation of them, upon the eafie evaporation of the watery particles by fire. Thus alfo, in a word, all Bodies, which are diffoluble by fire, or otherwife, have their parts only mutually contingent ; all that the Diffolvent effects upon them, being only to divorce them from reciprocal Contact, and fo deftroy their apparens Continuity.

Art. 10 . That no Bidy is perfectly Continued, befide an $A$. tome.

This confidered, if any man enquire, what Body is fo perfectly Continued, as not to confift of only Contingent, and confequently of feparable particles; it is evident, that the whole vaft ftock, or Magazine of Nature can afford him none fuch, an Atome only excepted : and therefore of an Atome alone are we to underftand that xnigmatical fentence of Democritus, recorded by Arifootle (7. Metaphyf. 13.) Neque ex mno duo, negne ex duobus nnum fieri poffe; becaule the In ecctility of an Atome makes the emergency of two out of one, clearly impoffible, and its Solidity interdicts the mutual penetration of two, neceffary to their perfect Coalition into one. So that it is abfolutely neceffary, that all Atoms remain fingle and inconfufed:
and yet this hinders not, but a Body, which is not actually divided into parts, may be faid to be Continued; infomuch as it fo appears to the fenfe, which cannot difcern the feveral Commiffures of its particles:

Again, forafmuch as Ariftotle defines a Continuim to be that, whofe Parts are conjoyned by fome Common mean, or Term; it is requifite weob: ferve how far forth his definition is confiftent with right reafon, We allow it to be true $P$ by fically fo far forth, as there are no two parts affignable, which are conjoyned by fome third intermediate part, either' enfible (as in a inagnitude of three feet, the two extreme feet are copulated together by the ithird intermediate) or Inferifible (asith the magnitude of two feet? which are joyned together by fome interjacent particle, fo finall as to evade the detection of fenfe): But, if with Him we accept that Common Mean, or Terme, for a Mathematical Point, or individual (for He exprefly affirms, that the parts of a Line are copulated by a Point; the parts of a Superfice, by a Line; the parts of a Budy, by a Line, or Superfice) tis plain, that our Conceptions muft be inconfiftent with Phyfical verity; becaufe fuch Infectiles, or Individuals are not real, but only Imaginary, as we have copioully afferted in our Difcourfe concerning the Impofsible Divifion of a Continuum into.parts infinitely jubaivijible. Befides, who can conceive that to be a Cament or Glew to unite two parts into one Continued fubftance, which hath it felf no parrs defignable either by fenfe or reafon? Nor can any thing be rightly admitted to conjoyn two Bodies, unléfs it hath two fides, Extremes, or faces; one whereof may adhære to one of the two Bodies; theother to the other, fo as to make a fenfible Continuity.

Concerning the Quality of a Body called FIGURE, that which is chiefly worthy our prefent adverfion, is onely this; that if Figure be confidered Phyfically, it is nothing but the fuperficies, or terminant Extreames of a Body. We fay, Pbyfically; becaure Geometricians diftinguifh Figures into Superficial, or Plane, and Profornd, or Solid: but the Phyfiologift knows no other Figure properly, but the Superficial; becaule, in ftrict truth, the Profound or Solid one feems to Him, to be rather the Magnitude, or Corpulency of a thing circumfcribed or terminated by its Figure, than the Figure it felf abftractedly intended. Nay, if we infift upon the rigour of verity, the Figure of a Body is really nothing but the Body it felf; at leaft, the meer Manner of its Extreme parts, according to which our fenfe deprehends it to be finooth or rough, elated or depreffed. This may be moft fully evinced by only one Example, viz. the figure made upon Wax by the impreffion of a Seal. For, that Figure really is nothing but the very fubftance of the Wax, in fome parts made more Eminent, in others more depreft, or profound, according to the Reverfe of its Type ingraven in fome hard fubftance; and that without Adjection, or Detractis on of any Entity whatever. And what we affirm of the Figure made in Wax by Sigillation, is of equal truth (proportionately) if accommodated to any other Figure whatever : nor doth it imply a Difference, whether the Figure be Natural, fuchas in Animals, Vegetables, Minerals; or Artificial, fuch as in Aedifices, Statues, Characters, \&c.
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## Sect. Il.

Art. 1. The Continuiry of this, 00 the firlt Section.

Art. 2. Subtility and Hebetude, how the Confequents of Mag. mitude.

THe Caufes of Magnitude and Figure in Concretions, being thus inveftigated ; it follows, that we explore their Effects, i. e. the 2 2ualities which feem fo immediately cohærent to the Magnitude and Figure of Bodies, as that reafon cannot configne them to more likely and probable Principles, than the two Firf Proprieties of the Univerfal Matter, Atoms.

The Confequents, therefore, of Magnitude, are SUBTILITY and its contrary, HEBETUDE. Not that the Emergency of a Great Body from Atoms the moft Exile; or of a fmall body from great Atoms, is impoffible; as we have formerly intimated: but, that a Body confifting of more Exile, or fubtile Atoms, hath a greater fubtility, or obtains a Faculty of penetrating the contexture of another body, by fubingreffion into the pores, or inane fpaces thereof; and a body confifting of groffer Atoms, mult have more of Groffnefs or Hebetude, and fo hath not the like Faculty of penetrating the Contextures of other bodies, by fubingreffion into the inane fpaces, or intervals betwixt their particles. This may be Exemplified in Fire. and Water; Wine and Oyle; Aqua Fortis and Milk, \&c.

Art. 3. A confiderable Exception of the Chymiffs (viz. thar fome Bodies are dif. folved in liquors of groffer particles, which yet conrerve theirCon. tinuity in li. quorstof mont fubtile and cor rofive parti. cles) preven. etd.

We are not now to learn the truth of that Chymical Canon, Cuique fermè rei 0 olvende, vel extrabenda eligendum effe idoneum menftruum, quod ejus natura refpondeat : experience having frequently afcertained us, that Aqua Regis, which foon diffolves the moft compact of bodies, Gold, will not at all impair Refine, Pitch, Wax, and many other Unctuous and Refinous Concretions; which yeild almoft at firft touch to the feparatory faculty of oyle: that Mercurial Waters expeditely infinuate into the fubftance of Gold, diffolve the Continuity of its ftiffly cohrerent particles, and convert it from a moft folid into an oyly fubftance; not fo much by Corrofion, as fymbolifme or Affinity of nature :that Salt, Nitre, and Sulphur, which being added to Sand, Flints, and many Metals, promote the folution, in a reverberatory fire; have yet no accelerating, but a retarding energy upon Turpentine, Ballome, Myrrh, \&cc. in the extraction of their Oyls, or Spirits: that all Waters, or Spirits extracted from Saline and Metalline natures are moft convenient Menftruaes for the folution of Metals $\& \mathrm{Mi}$ nerals; not fo much in refpect of their Corrofion, as fimilitude of pores and particles: and confequently that every Concretion requires to its diffolution fome peculiar diffolvent, that holds fome refpondency or analogy to its contexture. But, yet have we no reafon, therefore to abandon our $A[J \mathrm{ump} / \mathrm{i}$ on, that the diffolution of one body, by the fubingreffion or infinuation of the particles of another, muft arife from the greater fubtility of particles in the Diffolvent; until it be commonftrated to us, that a Body, whofe Particles are lefs exile, can penetrate another Body, whofe Pores are more exile, the contrary whereto is demonftrated to us by the frequent Experiments of Chymiftry.
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And, therefore, the Reafon, Why oyle olive doth pervade fone Bodies, which yet are inpenetrable even by fpirit of wine (by Raimundus Lullius, and after him by Libavius and Ratercetan, accounted the true Sulphur and Mercury of Hermetical Philofophers, extracted from a Vegetable, for the folution of Gold into a Potable fubftance, and the Confection of the Great Elixir; and as General a Diffolvent, as that admired (but hardly underitood) Liquor Alkaheft of Paracelfus, if not the fame) can be no other but this: that in the fubftanice of Oyle are fome Particles much more fubcile and penetrative, than any contained in the fubstance of Wine; though thofe fubrile particles are thinly interfperfed among a far greater number of Hamous, or Hooked particles, which retard their penerration. Thus alfo in that affrighting and Atheift-converting Meteor, Lightning, feem to be contained many particles much more exile and fearching than thofe of ourCulinary Fires: becaufe it fometimes diffiolves the hardeft of Metals in a moment, which preferves its integrity for fome hours in our fierceft reverberatory'furnaces. Which Lucretius well exprefleth in this Tetraftich;

> Dicere enimporsis, ceileftem Fulminis ignem Subtilem magis, è parvis conftare Figuris; Atque ideo tranfire foramisa, quid nequit ignis Nofter hic è lignis ortus tedaque creatus.

Secondly, the Qualities Confequent to Figure, are SMOOTHNESSE, and its contrary, ASPERITY. Not that, if we appeal to the judgement of the fenfe, the fuperfice of a Body may not be finoorh, though it confift of angulous Atoms; or rough, though compofed of plain and polite Atoms: for, all Atoms, as well as their Figures, are fo Exile, as that many of them that are angular, may cohxre into a mafs, without any inequality in the fuperfice deprehenifle by the fenfe; and on the contrary, many of thofe that are plane and polite, may be convened and concreted into fuch maffes, as to make angles, edges, and other inequalities fufficiently fenfible. But, that if we refer the mater partly to the judicature of Reafon, partly to the evidence of our fenfes in $\mathrm{Ge}=$ neral; we cannot but determine it to arife from the Figuration of Atoms alone. Firft, to the judicature of Reafon; for, as the mind admits nothing to be perfectly continued, befides an Atom : fo can it adnit nothing to be exquifitely fmooth, befides either the whole fuperfice of an Atom, if the fame be orbicular, oval, or of the like Figure; or fom parts of it, if the fame be terrahedical, hexahedrical, or of fome fuch poligone figure. Becaufe, look by what reafon the mind doth conclude the fuperfice of no Concretion in nature to be perfectly continued: by the fame reafon doth it conclude the fuperfice of every thing; feemingly moft equal and polite, to be varioufly interrupted with afperities, or eminent, and depreft particles ; and this it refers immediately and folely to many fmall maffes of Atoms, in the Contexture coadunated, like as it referrs the interruptions in the fuperfice of a piece of Lawne, or Cambrique, which to the eye and touch appears moft finooth and united, to the fmall maffes of Filaments interwoven in the webb. And here the Experiment of a Microlcope is opportune; for, when a man looks through it upon a fheet of the fineft and finoocheft Venice Faper, which feems to the naked eye, and moft exquifite touch, to be equal and terfe in all parts of it fuperfice; He fhall difcern it to be fo full Mm 2

Art. 4. Why oyle diffociates the parts of fome Bodies, which renain inviolare in Spi, it of Wine:and why Ligbrning is more penerrative;than Fire.

Art. 5. Smoothness and AfperityinConcretions, the Con'refuents of $F$ igure in huir Materia! Principles.
of Eminences and Cavities, or fimall Hills and Valleys, as the moft pragnant and prepared Imagination cannot fuppofe any thing more unequal and impolite. Secondly, to the Evidence of our fenfes in General; becaufe, the very Affection of Pleafure or Pain, arifing to the fenfory from the contact of the fenfible object, doth fufficiently demonftrate, that $\int$ moothne $\int s$ is a Quality refulting either from fuch Atoms, or fuch fmall maffes of Atoms contexed, as are finooth and pleafant to the fenfe, by reafon of their correfpondence to the pores and particles of the Organ : and contrariwife, thar Afperity is a Quality, refulting either from fuch fingle Atoms, or fuch moft minute maffes of Atoms concreted, as dilacerate, or exafperate the fenfe, by reafon of their incongruity or Difproportion to the Contexture of the Organ; as we have, even to redundancy, Exemplified in the Grateful and Ungrateful Objects of each fenfe.

## CHAP. XI.

OF THE

## Motive, Vertue, Habit, Gravity, and Levity

# OF <br> CONCRETIONS. 

## Sect. I.



He Third Propriety of the Univerfal Matter, Atoms, is Mobility, or Gravity : and from that fountain is it that all Concretions derive their Virtue Motive. For, though our deceptable fense informus, that the minute Particles of Bodies are fixt in the act of their Coadunation, wedged up together, and as it were faft bound to the peace by reciprocal concatenation and revinction : yet, from the Diffolution of all Compound natures, in procels of time, caufed by the inteftine Commotions of their Elementary Principles, without the hoftility of any External Contraries, may our more judicious Realon well inferr, that Atoms are never totally deprived of that their effential Faculty, Mobility ; but are unceffantly agitated thereby even in the centrals of Concretions, the moft fol id and compact; fome tending one way, others another, in a perpetual attempt of Eruption, and when the Major part of them chance to affect one and the fame way of emancipation, then is their united force determimined to che part of the Concretion, and motion likewife determined to one region, refpecting that Part. That fame MOTIVE VIR TUE, therefore, wherewith every Compound Bodie is maturally endowed, muft owe its origine to the innate and co-effential Mobility of its component particles; being really the fame thing with their Gravity, or Impetus: which yet receives its determinate manner and degree from their mutual Combination. In refpect whereof it neceffarily comes to pals, that when Atoms, mutually adharing unto, and decaining each other, cannot obey the impulfe

Art. I. The Motive Virtue of all Concretions, derived from the effentiad Mobility of $A$ roms.
of their tendency fingly, they are not moved with that pernicity, as if each were at abfolute liberty ; but impeding and retarding each other in their progrefs, are carried with a flower motion, But that more or lefs flow, according to the rate or proportion of common Refiftence : becaufe always forie of thern are carryed to an oppofite, others tranfverfly, others obliquely to a different region.

Art. 2. Why the Mo. tive Virtue of Concrections doth refide principally in their ffiritual Parts.

And hence is it, that becaure Atoms are at moft freedom of range in fubtile and fpiritual Concretions; every degree of Denfity and Compactnefs caufing a proportionate degree of Tardity in their fpontaneous motions: therefore is the Motive Faculty not more generally, than rightly conceived, to refide chiefly in the (piritual, or (as vulgar Philofophy) Æthereal Parts of al Concretions. And, whether the firits of a thing are principally determined to move , thither do they not only themfelves contend, with great impetuofity and fpeed; but alfo carry along with them the more fluggilh; or lefs moveable parts of the Concretion; as is fuperlatively manifeft in the Voluntary motions of Animals.

Art. 3. That the Deviation of C oncretions from motion Dirca; and their $T_{a r}$ dity in motion : arife from the $D$ effections and Reperculfo ons of Aroms compofing then

Art. 4.
why the norinn of all Concretions necoffiniy prexapofeth fomedhing,tat renains unmo. wed; or that, in refoed of iss flower m tion, is equiva lent ena thing limmored.

We need not here infift upon the Redargutiot of that Blafphemous and Abfurd (for the former Epithite always implies the later) dream of Epicarus, that Atoms were not only the Firft cMatter, but alfo the Firft and fole Efficient of all things; and confequently that all Motions, and fo all ACtions in the Univerfe are Caufed meerly by the inhærent Mobility of them: becaule we have exprefly refuted the fame in our Treatife againtt Atheifin; (Chap. ... Se 7. i. artic. ultim.). Efpecially, fince it is more opportune for us here to advertife; that infomuch as the motion of all Atoms is fuppofed to be of itfelf Direct, and moft rapid; therefore doth the Deviation, as well as the Tardity of Concretions feem to arife from theDeflection,Repercuffion, or multiplied Repreffion of the Atoms compofing them. For, theOccurlation or meeting of two Atoms, may be in direct lines; fo that amongAtoms, either by fingle percuffion, or repercuffion overcoming the firft begun motion, as the affembly orConvention will bear, there may be caufed fome motions Direct, though more or lefs flow : and their Occurfations may be alfo according to Oblique angles, and fo, by the fame reafon may enfue a tmotion, not only more or lefs flow, but alfo more or lefs Oblique. Moreover, if after one repercuffion made to oblique angles, there chance to follow a fecond, a third repercuffion to angles equally oblique; then muft the motion be purfued in obliquity multangular, according to the multiplicity of Repercuffions : and if the Angles be very frequent and indiftant, the motion becomes, at leaft to appearance, to be of an uniform Curvity, and may therefore be termed a motion Circular, Elliptical, Helicoidal, or the like, according to the condition of its Deflection and Crookednels.

Moreover we are to obferve, that every Body, whether Simple or Compound, i. e. Atom or Concretion, from which a Repercuffion is made, muft either quiefce, or not be moved the fame way, as is the repercuft, or nor with fo lwift amotion; beciule, otherwife there can be no mutual Anti. lypia, or Refiftence, nor the impingent body rebound from the repercutient. And this is the only reafon, why (excepting only the motion effential to Atoms.) the motion of all Concretions doth ever fuppofe fomething
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that remains Unmoved, or that, in refpectof its less motion, is tantamount to a thing Unmoved: becaufe, otherwife there could be no reciprocal Refiftence, and fo all motion might both begin and tepair it felf.

Having thus premifed thefe few fundamental Laws of Motion in General, opportunity commands us to defcend to the confideration of the FACULTY of Motion: infomuchas it feems not to be any thing diftinet

Ari. 50 What the Affive Factly of a thing; is: from that Motive Force, inhærent in all Concretions, which we have now both defcribed, and deduced from its immediate origine, the Mobility of Atoms; and that it is well known to all Book-men, to appertain to the Tecond fpecies of Qualities, according to the method of Arifotle. Toे which we may add thefe leflons alfo, that it comprehends the Third fpecies of Qualities, and obtains the Firft, or Habit, as its proper appendix. Know we, therefore, that the Faculty or Power of Motion doth therefore feem to be one and the fame thing with the coeffential Mobility, now défrribed; becaufe every thing in Nature is judged to have juft fo much of Efficacy, or Activity, as it hath of Capacity to move either it felf, or any other thing.

And hence is it, that in Nature there is no Faculty (properly) but what is Active; becaule, though the motions of things be really the fame with their Actions: yet muft all motion have its beginning only from the Movent, or Agent. Nor can it avail to the contrary, that all Philofophers have allowed a Paffive Faculty to be inhærent in all Concretions; fince, in the ftrict dialect of truth, that Paffivenefs is no other than a certain Impotency of Refiftence, or the Privation of an Active Power, in defét whereof the fubject is compelled to obey the Energy of another. If you fuppofe an obfcure Contradiction in this our Affertion, and accordingly Object; that therefore there mult be a Faculty of Refiftence, in fome proportion, and that that Refiftence is Paffive: we are provided of a fatisfactory falvo, which is, that though the Active Virtue, which is in the Refiftent, doth fometimes fcarce difcover it felf,yet is it manifeft, that there arevery many things, which make refiftence only by motion, which no man can deny to be an Active Faculty; as when we rowe againft windand tide, or ftrive with a Bowe in the drawing of it, for all thefe evidently oppofe our force by contrary motion. And, as for other things, which feem to quiefce, and yet make fome refiftence; fuch we may conceive to make that refiftence by a kinde of motion, which Phyficians denominate a Tonick motion; like that of the Eye of an Animal, when by the Contraction of all its mufcles at once it is held in one fixt pofition. Thus not only the whole Globe of the Earch, but all its parts are held unmoved, and firft by mutual cohærence, and refift motions as they are parts of the whole: and thus alfo may all Concretions be conceived to be made Immote, not that the Principles of which they confift, are not in perpetual inquietude and motion; but, becaufe their particles reciprocally wedge and implicate each other, and while fome impede and oppofe the motions of others, they all confpire to the Confiftence of the whole. However the more Learned and Judicious thall further difpute this paradoxical Argument ; yet dare we determine the Common Notion of a Faculty to be this, that there is inherent in every thing a Principle of Moving itfelf, or Acting, if not Primary (whichthe fchools terme the Forme) yet Secondary at leaft, or profluent from the

Forme, being as it were the immediate Inftrument thereof.

Art. 7. A Periptserick Contraditition, afruming the Matter of all Bodies to be devoid of all Activity; and yet defuming fome Faculties à tota jub. ffantia.

Art. 8.
That the Fa culties of Animals. (the Ratiocination of man only excepted) are Identical wish their fpiyits.

And here we cannot conceal our wonder, that the Peripatetick hath not for fo many ages together difcovered himfelf to be intangled in a manifeft Contradiction; while on one part Heaffirms, that there are certain Faculties flowing itota fubftintia, from the whole fubftance of a thing, as if they were derived from the matter of Concretions : and on the other, concludes, assindifputable, that the Matter is abfolutely devoid of all Activity, as if it were not certain, that the Faculties frequently perifh, when yet not the whole and intire fubftance of the thing perifheth; bur only the (piritual, or more tenuious parts thereof.

Now, what more prægniant Argument than this can the mof circumfpect defire, in order to their Conviction, that the Faculties of an Animal (we exclude the Rational-Faculty of man, from the fphere of our affertion) are Identical with the Spirits of it, i.e. the moft fubtile, moft free, and moft moveable or active part of its materials ? For, though the firits are by vulgar Philofophers conceived to be only the Primary Organ, or immediate Inftrument, which the Faculty refiding in one part, occafionally tranfinits into another : yet, to thofe Worthies, who have with impartial and profound fcruciny fearched into the myftery, hath it appeared more confentaneous, that the fpirits are of the fame nature with the Faculty, and not only movent, but Inftrumient; nor canit fand with right reafon to admit more than this, that as water in the foreams is all one fpecifically with that in the fountain, fo is the Faculty, keeping its court or chief refidence in one part of the body, as it were the Fountain, or Original, from whence to all other parts, infervient to the fame function, the diffufion of fpirits is made, in certain exile rivolets, or (what more neerly attains the abftrufity) Rayes, like thofe emitted from the Sun, or other fountain of light. And, what we here fay, of the Faculties of Animals, holds equal truth, alfo concerning thofe of-Inanimate Concretions; allowing a difference of proportion.

Art. 9. The Riarons of the Coesi. ftence of Varisus Faculties in one and the fame Concretion.

But here arifeth a confiderble Difficulty, that at firft view feems to threaten our Paradox with total ruine; and this it is: if the Faculties of Concretions be not diftinct in effence from their fpirits, or moft agile particles; bow then can there be fo many various Faculties coexiftent ins one and the fame concretion; as are dayly obferved; for in an Apple, for example, there is one Faculty of affecting the fight, another of affecting the tafte, a third affecting the fmell. Concerning this, therefore, we give you this folution, that the coexiftence of varions Faculties in one Concretion, doth depend upon (1) the variety of multiforme particles, of which the whole Concretion doth confift, (2) the variety of particles and Special contexture of its divers parts, (3) the variety of External Faculties, to which it happens that they are applied. To keep to our former Example, in an Apple, tis manifeft, there are fome particles, in which confiiteth its faculty of affecting the fmell, others in which confifteth its faculty of affecting the Taft; for, the Experiments of Chymiftry demonftrate, that thefe different particles may be fo fequeftred each from other, as that the taft may be conferved, when the fmell is loft,
and the fmell conferved, when the tafte is abolifhed. And in an Animal it is nolefs evident, that the organ of one fenfe hath one peculiar kind of contexture, the organ of another fenfe another: and finally, that when we fhall referr the Faculties of Odour and Sapour, which are in an Apple, to the Faculties of fmelling and tafting in Animals; they become fubject to a further difcrimination. Since the fame particles, which move the Imelling, fhall create a fiveet and grateful odour, in refpect of one Animal, and an offenfive or ftinking, in refpect of another : and in like manner, thofe particles, which affect the Tafte, thail yeild a moft grateful and defireable Sapour, to one Animal, and as odious and deteftable a one to another. Ought we, therefore, to account that Faculty of an Odour, which is in an Apple, either Single, or cuultiplex? If we would fpeak Atrictly, it is Single Abfolutely: Refpectively, Multiplex. And thus, indeed, may we affirm, that in the General, or abfolutely, an Apple is Odorous and Sapid: but Comparatively and in Special, that it is fragrant, or foctid; fiveet or bitter.

As for that Appendix of a Faculty, which not only Philofophers, but the People alfo thame a HABIT; Experience daily teacheth, that there are fome Faculties, (in Animals efpecially) which by only frequency of acting grow more promptand fit to act: and upon confequence, that that Hability or prompnnels for action, is nothing but a Facility of doing, or repeating that action, which the fame Faculty, by the fame inftruments, hath frequently done before.

And, as to the Reafon of this Facility; thongh it arife in fome meafure from the Power or Faculty it felf, or the Spirits, as being accuftomed to one certain motion: yet doth it chiefly depend upon the Difpofition of the organs, or inftuments which the Faculty makes ufe of in the performance of its proper action. For, becaule the Organ is alvayes a Diffimilar or Compound Body, confiftirg of fome parts that are cralsand rigid; we are to conceive it to be at firft fomewhat ftubborn, and not eafily flexible to fuch various motions, as the Faculty requires to its feveral operations : and cherefore, as when we would have a Wand to be every waiy eafily flexible, we are gently and frequently to bend it, that fo the tenour of its fibres running longwife through it, may be here and there and every where made more lax, without any fenfible divalfion; fo if we defire to have our hands expedite for the performance of all thofe difficult motions that are neceffary to the playing of a Leffon on the Lute, we muft by degrees mafter that rigidity or clumfinefs in the Nerves, Tendons, Mufcles and joints of our fingers, yea in the very skin and all other parts of our hands. Thus alfo Infants, while they ftammer, and ftrive again and again to pronounce a word clearly and diftinctly, do no more than by degrees mafter the ftiffiness and fluggifhnefs of their tongues and orher vocal organs, and fo make them more flexible and voluble: and when by affuefaction they have made them eafily flexible to all the motions required to the formation of that idione, then at length come they to fpeak it plainly and perfectly. The fame is alfo true, concerning the Brain, and thofe Organical parts therein, that are infervient to the act of Imagination, and by the imagination to the act of Difcourfe. For, though the Mind, when divorced from the the body, can operate moft readily, and knows no difficulty or impediment in the act of Intellection; as being Immaterial, and fo wanting no organs
for the exercife of its reafoning Faculty : yet neverthelefs, while it is adliged to the body and its material inftruments, doth it remain fubject to fome impediment in che execution of its functions; and becaufe that impediment confifteth only in the lefs aptitude or inconformity of its proper organs, therefore the way to remove that impediment, is only by Affuefaction of it to ftudy and ratiocination. And from this Affuefaction may the Mind be affirmed to acquire a certain Habit or Promptitude to perform its proper Actions; infomuch as by reafon of that Habit, it operates more freely and expeditely: but, yet, in ftricter Logick, that Habit arifeth chiefly to its Organs; as may be inferred only from hence, that the Organs are capable of increment and decrement, and to increafe and decreafe, is competent only to a thing that confifteth of parts; fuch as is the Organ, not the Mind.

Art. 12.
Habits, acquirable by Bruits and commion not only to Vegetables, bur alio so fomie Minerals.

Nor is the acquifition of a Habit by affuefaction proper only to Man, but in common alfo to all Living Creatures, fuchefpecially as are ufed to the hand and government of Man, as Horles, Doggs, Hawks, and all prating and finging Birds. And where we affirmed, that fome Faculties are capable of advancement to perfection by Habit; we intended, that there are other Faculties which are incapable thereof, as chiefly the Natural Faculties in Animals, and fuch as are not fubject to the regiment of the Will : though fill we acknowledge that fome of thefe there are, which upon change of temperament in their refpective Organs, may acquire fuch a certain Habit, as may oppofe the original inclination; and of this fort the principal is the Nutrient Faculty, which may be accuftomed even to Poifon. Laftly, when we, faid Chiefly in Animals; we were unwilling totally to exclude Plants; becaule theyalfo feem (at leaft Analogically) to acquire a kind of Habit: as is evident from their conftant retaining of any pofture or incurvation, which the hand of the Gardiner hathimpofed upon them, while they were tender and flexible; as allo that they may by degrees be accuftomed to forein foils, and (what is more admirable) if in their tranflantation thofe parts of them, which at firft refpected the South or Eaft, be converted to the North or Weft, they feldome thrive, never attain their due procerity. Nay, ifthe Experiments of fome Phyfitians be true, Minerals alfo may be admitted to attain a Habit by affuefaction ; For Baptifta van Helmont, (in lib. de Magnetica Vulnerum curatione, \& lib. de Pefis tumulo) reports that He hath found a Saphire become fo much the more efficacious an Attractive of the peftilential Venome from the Vitals, by how much the more frequently it hath been circumduced about Carbuncles or Plague Sores; as if Cuftome multiplied its Amuletary Virtue and taught it a more fpeedy way of conquef.
Chap. X. Gravity and Levity. 275

## Sect. II.

AMong all Qualities of Concretions, that deduce themfelves from the Mobility of Atoms, the moft eminent is GRAVITY, or the motion of perpendicular Defcent from Weight. Which, though moft ob-

Art. I:
Graviry, as 10 is EJJence, as Frmal Reajon, rery obfurfe. vious to the obfervation of Senfe, hath much of obfcurity in its Nature; leading the Reafon of Man into various and perplext Conceptions concerning its Caufes: nor hath the judgment of any been yet fo fortunate as to light upon a Demonftrative Theory concerning it, or fix upon fuch a determination as doth not lye open to the objection of fome confiderable Difficulty. So that it may well feem Ambition great enough for us, onely with due uprightnefs to examine the Verifinility of each opinion, touching the Formal Reafon, or Effence of Gravity : that fo we may direct younger $\mathrm{Cu}-$ riofities, in which they may, for the prefent, moft fafely acquiefce.

Epicurus, indeed, well defumes the Gravity of all Concretions, immediately from the Gravity of Simple Bodies, or Atoms: infomuch as all things are found to have fo much more of Weight as they have of Atoms, or Matter, that compofech them; and $\dot{e}$ contra. Which reafon the exact fob. Bapt. Babianus, a Nobleman and Senatour of Genoa, ferioufly perpending; fers it down as a firm ground, Gravitatem Se babere ut Agens, Materiam vero, feis Materiale corpus, ut Pafum; Or proinde gravia moveri juxta proo portionem gravitatis ad materiam: \&ubi fine impedimento naturaliter perpendiculari motes ferantur, moveri equalitér; quia ubi plus eft Gravitatis, plus ibi pariter fit cMateric, fels Materialis quantitatis; ( de motu Gravium Solidorum o Liguidorum, lib. I. cap. I.). But, this being too General, and concerning rather the Caule of Comparative, than Abfolute Gravity; leaves our Curiofity to a ftricter fearch.

The Grand Dictator of the Schools, Arifotle, taking it for granted [ Unumquadque Senflism ita in fuum locum ferri, ut ad Jpeciem ] that every corporeal Nature is by native tendency carried to $t y$, recired its proper place, as to its particular Species; confidently inferrs this doctrine : that Gravity and Levity are Qualities effentially inexiftent in Concretions (4. de Calo, cap. z.) and paffionately reprehending Democritus and Leucippus, for affirming that there is no fuch thing in Nature, as Abfolute Gravity, or Abfolute Levity; concludes, thit in Nature is fomething abfolutely Heavy, which is Earth, and fomething Abfolutely Light, which is Fire; (de Calo, lit. 4. cap. 4.) But, neither of thefe Pofitoons are more than Petitionary; and fo not worthy our affent : as the Context of our fubfequent Difcourfe doth fufticiently convince.

Ari. 4. Copernicus the The Third opinion worthy our memory, is that of Copernicus, ory of Gravi- who confidering, that all Heavy Bodies, either projected Llpwards ty infaristaro- by external violence, or dropt down from fome eminent place, are ty infatisatio- by exted to fall perpendicularly down upon the fame part of the Earth,
ry; and where- obferved
in.
from which they were elevated, or at which they are aimed, and fo that from which they were elevated, or at which they are aimed, and fo that
the Earth might be thence argued not to have any fuch Diurnal Vertigo, as His Syfteme afcribes unto it, infomuch as then it could not but withdraw it felf from Bodies falling down in direct lines, and receive them at their fall not in the fame place, but fome other more Wefternly : we fay, confidering this, Copernicus determined Gravity to be, not any Internal Principle of tendency toward the middle, or Centre of the Univerfe ; but an innate propenfion in the parts of the Earth, feparated from it, to reduce themfelves in direct lines, or the neareft way, to their Whole, that fo they may be conferved together with it, and difpofe themfelves into the moft convenient, i. e. a fphærical figure, about the centre thereof. His words are thefe; Eqridems exiftimo, Gravitatem non aliud effe, quim Appetentiam quandans nataralem, partibus inditam à Divina Providentia opificis Univerforum; ut in unitatem integritatemque fuam fefe conferant, in formam Globi coeuntes: quam Affectionem credibile eff etiam Soli \& Lunn, ceterifque Errantibus fulgoribus ineffe; ut ejus efficacia in ea, quà fe reprefentant, rotunditate permaneant. (lib.I. cap.9.) . So that according to this Gopernican Afsmption, if any part of the Sun, Moon, or other Cocleftial Orb were divelled from them; it would, by the impulfe of this natural tendency, foon return again in direct lines to its proper Orb, not to the Centre of the Univerfe. Which as Kepler (in Epitom. Aftronom. pag. 95.) well advertifeth, is but a Point, i. e. Nothing, and deftitute of all Appetibility; and therefore ought not to be accounted the Term of tendency to all Heavy Bodies, but rather the Terreftrial Globe together with its proper Centre, yet not as a Centre, but as the Middle of its Whole, to which its Parts are carried by Cognation.

But, this opinion hath as weak a claim to our Affent, as either of the former; as well becaufe it cannot confift with the Encreafe of Velocity in all Bodies defcending perpendicularly, by how much nearer they approach the Earth, unlefs it can be demonftrated, that this encreafe of Velocity in each degree of defcent, arifeth only from the Encreafe of Appetency of Union with the whole (which neither Copernicus himfelf, nor any other for Him, hath yet dared to affent ): as in confideration of many other Defects, and fome Ab furdities, which, that wonder of the Mathematicks, Ricciolus, hath demonftratively convicted it of (in Almegifti novi parte pofteriori, lib. 9. Sect. 4. cap. 16. de syfemate terre mote.). Who, had He but as folidly determined all the Difficulties concerning the immediate Caufe of this Affection in Bodies, called Gravity; as He hath refuted the Copernican Thefis of an Innate Appetency in the parts of the Earth to reunite themfelves to the Whole: doubtlefs He had much encreafed the obligations and gratitude of his Readers. Bur, making it his principal defign to propugn the Phyfiology and Aftronomy of the Ancients, efpecially fuch Tenents as are admitted by the Schools, and allowed of by the Doctors of Rome, as moft concordant to the
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litteral fenfe of Sacred Writ: He waved that Province, feeming to adhare to the common Doctrine of the Stagirite, formerly recied, and only occafionally to defend it.

Laftly, there are Others (among whom Kepler and Gafendus deferve the richeft Minervals) who, neither admitting with Ariftote, that Gravity is any Quality effentially inherent in Conicretions; nor, with Copernictus, that it is an Appetency of Union, implanted originally in the parts of the Earth, by vertue whereof they carry themfelves towards the Middle of the Terreftrial Globe: define it to be an Im. preft motion, Caufed immediately by a certain craagnetick Attriation of the Earth.

And this opinion feems to carry the greateft weight of Reafon; as may foon be manifeft to any competent and equitable judgment, that fhall exactly perpend the folid Arguments alledged by its Affertors: which for greater decorum, we fhall now twift together into one continued thread, that fo our Reader may wind them into one bottome, and then put them together into the ballance.

Infomuch as frequent and moft accurate obfervation demonftrates ${ }_{3}$ that the Motion of a Body downward doth encreafe in the fame proportion of Velocity, that the motion of the fame Body, violently projected upward, doth decreale; therefore is it reafonable, nay neceffary for us to conceive, that there are Two diftinit Extersal Principles; which mutually contend about the fame fubject, and execute their contrary forces upon the fame Moveable. Now, of there two Antagoniftical Forces, the one is Evident; the other obfcure, and the argument of our inftant Difquifition. CWanifeft it is, when a ftone is thrown upward from the furface of the Earth into the Aer, thas the External Principle of its motion Uproard, is the Hand of Him, who projected it : But fomewhat obfcire, what is the External Principle of its motion Downward, when it again returns to the Earth. Neverthelefs, this obfcarity doch not imply a Nullity; i.e. it is high temerity to conclude that there is no External Caufe of the ftones Defcent, becaufe that External Caufe is not equally manifeft with that of its Afcent: unlefs any dare toaffirm, that becaufe He can perceive, when Iron is attracted to a Loadftone, no Externall Caufe of that Attraction, therefore there can be none at all. Many, indeed, are the wayes, by which an External Caufe may move a Body: and yet they all fall under the comprehenfion of only two Cardinal wayes, and thofe are Impulfion, and Attraction.

This preconfidered; it followes, that we caft about to finde fome Caufe, or Impellent, or Attrahent (or rather two Caules, one Impellent, the other Actrahent, operating together) to which we may impute the perpendicular motion of Bodies Defcending. The Impellent Caufe (it any fuch there be) of the perpendicular motion of a ftone Defcending, can be no other but the Aer, from above incumbent upon, and preffing it downward: becaure of any other External Caufe of that effect, no argument can be given. For, fhould you fuppofe a fphere of Fire, or fome other

Art.5: The Determination of Kepler, Gaffendus; \&c. that Gravity is Caufed meerly by the Attraztion of the Earth: eipoufed by the Author:

Art. 6. The Extictali Principle of the perpendicular Defcent of ftone, pro. jetted up in the Aer; muft be either $D e^{-}$ pellent or Attrabent.

Art. 7. That the Re. fiffence of the Superior Aer is the only Caure which gradually refract. ech, and in fine wholly overcomerh the 1 mpref t Force, whereby a flone proieted, is ele.
or fome other Æthereal Subftance, to be immediately above the convex Extreme of the fphere of Aer; which clofely and with fome kind of preffure invironing the Aer, might compel all its parts to flow together toward the Terraqueous Globe : yet could that fuper-aeteal fphere, bounded and urged by the circumvolutions of the Cocleftial Orbs, do no more, than caufe the Aer, being it felf preft downward, to bear down upon the ftone, and fo deprefs it; and fo the Aer muft ftill be at leaft the Proxime Caufe impelling the ftone downward. Moreover, that the Aer alone may be the Impellent Caufe of the ftones perpendicular Deciidence from on high, even Ariftotle Himfelf feems to concede; infomuch as He is pofitive in his judgment, that when a Heavy body projected upward is abandoned by its Motor, it is afterward moved only by the Aer, which being moved by the Projicient, moves the next conterminous Aer, by which again the next neighbouring Aer is likevife moved, and fo fucceffively forward untill the force of the Impreft motion gradually decaying, the whole communicated motion ceaferh, and a quiet fucceeds. But, becaufe arifo:le could not tell, what Caufe that is, which in every degree of the ftones afcent oppofing, at length wholly overcomes the impreft force; unlefs it fhould be the occurrent fuperiour Aer, which continually refiftech the inferior aer, whereby the projected ftone is promoted in its afcent: may not we fafely enough conclude, that the Aer from above incumbent upon the projected ftone, may by the fame force deprefs it Downward, wherewith it firft refifted the motion of it Upivard? Doubtlefs, what force foever the Hand of a man, who projects a ftone upward into the Aer, doth imprefs upon ir, and the contiguous Aer; yet ftill is it the fuperiour Aer, that both continually refifteth the tendency of the ftone upward, and at its feveral degrees of afcent refracteth the force thereupon imprelt by the hand of the Projicient, untill having totally overmaftered the fame, it fo encreafeth its conquering Depellent force, as that in the laft degree of the ftones Deicendent motion, the Depreffive force of the Aer is become as great, as was the Elevating force of the Hand, in the beginning of its Afcendent motion. Suppofe we, that a Diver fhould from the bottome of the Sea throw a ftone directly upward, with the fame force, as from the furface of the Earth up into the Aer; and then demand, Why the ftone doth not afcend to the fame height in the Water, as in the Aer. Is it not, think you, becaule the water doth more refift, and refract the Impreft force, and fo fooner overcome it, and then begins to imprefs its own concontrary Depreffing Force thereupon, never difcontinuing that impreffion, till it hath reduced the fone to the bottom of the Sea, from whence it was projected? The Difference, therefore, betwixr the Refiltence of the Impreft force, by the Water, and that of the Aer, confifteth only in Degrees, or more and lefs. And, though the Renitency of the Aer may be thought very inconfiderable in comparifon of that great Violence impreft upon a Cannon Buller, fhor upward into the Aer : yet be pleafed to confider, that it holds fome inveftigable proportion, with the Renitency of the Water. Which proportion that we may underftand, compare we not only the very fmall Afcent of a fone, thrown upward from the bottome
of the Sea, to the large afcent of the fame ftone, with equal force, from the Earch, thrown up into the Aer; but allo the almof infenfible progrefs of a Bullet fhot from a Cannon tranfvetlly through Water, with that vait progrefs it is commonly obferved to make through the Aer: and we fhall foon be convinced, that as the Great Refiftence of the Water is the Caufe, why the Stone, or Bullet makes fo fmallia progrefs therein; fo is the fmall Refiftence of the Aer the Caufe why they both pervade fo great a fpace therein. And thus is it Demonftrable, that the Refifence of the fuperior Acr, is the Exsernal Agent, which conftantly refifleth, by degrees refractech, and at length wholly overcomes the impreft Force, wherchy Heavy bodies are violently elevated up into the Aler.

The Difficulty remaining, therefore, doth only concern the Impellent Caufe of their Fall Down again; or, whether the Aer, befidés the force of Refiftence, hath alfo any Depulfive Faculty, which beeing impreft upon a ftone, bullet, or other ponderous body., at the top, or lugheft point of its mountee, ferveth to turn the fame Downward, and afterward to continue its perpendicular defcent, till it arrive at and quiefce on the Earch. Which, indeed, feems well worthy our Doubt, becaufe it is obfervable, that Walls, Pavements, and the like folid and immote Bodies, though they ftrongly refift the motion of bodies impinged againt them; doe not yet imprefs any Contrary motion thereupon: the Rebound of a Ball or Bullet from a Wall, being the effect meerly of the fane force impreft upon it by the Racker, or Gun-powder fired, which firft moved it; as is evident even from hence, that the Reflition of them to greater or lefs diftance, is according to the more or lefs of the Force impreft upon them. Which thofe Gunners well underfand, who experiment the ftrength of their Powder, by the greatnefs of the bullets rebound from 2 Wall.

And to folve this Difficulty, we muft difinguif, betwixt Bodies, that are devoid of Motion, and which being diftracted, have no faculty of Reftitution, whereby to recollect their diffociated particles, and fo repair themfelves; of which fort are Walls, Pavements, \&c: and fuch bodies that are actually in motion, and which by reafon of a natural Elater, or Spring of Reftitution, eafily and fpeedily redintegrate themfelves, and refore their fevered parts to the carne contextute and tenour, which they held before their violent diftraction; to which claffis the Aer doth principally belong. Now, concerning the Firft fort, what we object of the non-impreffion of any Contrary motion upon Bodies impinged againft them, is moft certainly true: but not concerning the Latter. For, the Arm of a Tree, being inflected, doth not only refift the inflecting force, but with fuch a fipring return to its natural fite, as ferveth to impel any body of competent weight, that fhall oppofe its recurfe, to great diftance; as in the difcharge of an Arrow from a Bow. Thus alfo the Aer, though otherwife unmoved, may be fo diftracted by a Body violently pervading it ; as that the parts thereof, urged by their own native Confluxibility (the Caufe of all Elaterical or Reftorative Motion) muft foon return to their natural tenour and fite, and not without a cercain violence, and fo replenifh the
place formerly poffeft, but now deferted by the body, that diftracted them. That there is to powerful a Reforalive faculcy in the Aer, as we here affume; innumerable are the Experiments, thofe efpecially by Philolophers ufually alledged againft a Vacuum Coacervate, which atteft. However, that you may the lefs doubt of its having fome, and a confiderable force of propelling bodies notwithftanding it be Fluid in fo high a degree: be pleafed only to reflect your thoughts upon the great force of Winds; which tear up the deepeft and firmeft rooted Cedars from the ground, demolifh mighty Caftles, overfet the proudeft Carracts, and rowle the whole Ocean up and down from thoar to fhoar. Confider the incredible violence, wherewith a Bullet is difcharged from a Wind-Gun, through a firm plank of two or three inches thicknefs. Confider that no effect is more admirable, than that a very fmall quantity of Flame fhould, with fuch prodigious impetuofity, drive a Bullet, fo denfe and ponderous, from a Cannon, through the Gates of a City, and at very great diftance : and yet the Flame of the Gunpowder is not lefs, but more Fluid than Aer. Who, without the certificate of Experience, could believe, that meerly by the force of fo little Flame (a fubftance the moft Fluid of any, that we know) not onely fo weighty a Bullet fhould be driven with fuch pernicity forward through the aer to the diftance of many furlongs; but alfo that fo vaft a weight, as a Cannon and its Carriage bear, fhould at the fame time be thereby driven backwards, or made to recoyle? What therefore will you fay, if this could not come to pafs, without the concurrence of the Aer ? For, it feems to be effected, when the Flame, at the inftant of its Creation, feeking to poffefs a more ample room, or fpace, doth convert its impetus, or violence as well upon the breech, or hinder part of the Canon, as upon the bullet lying before it in the bore or Cartridge ; which difcharged through the concave, is clofely preft upon by the purfuing flame: fo that the flame immedately perinhing, and leaving a void fpace, the Aer from the front or adverfe part inftantly ruhheth into the bore, and that with fuch impetuous pernicity, as it forceth the Cannon to give back, and yeilds a Fragor, or Report, as loud as Thunder; nay, by the Commotion of the vicine Aer, fhakes even the largeft ftructures, and fhatters Glafswindows, fituate in the phere of its violence. . And all meerly from the Elaterical Motion of the Aer, reftoring its diftracted parts to their natural tenour, or Laxity : fo that you may be fatisfied of its Capacity not only to refift the Afcent of a fone thrown upward; but alfo of Depelling it downward, by an impref cNo. tion. :

Art. 9.
That nevertheices, when a ftone, projected on high in the Acr, is at the highent point of its mountee; no Caufe can Be. gin its Down ward Mction, bue the Attralitiovir. twe of the Ear:
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the force impreft upon it by the hand of Him, who projected it \%. And muft it not thence follow, that the firft impreft motion is fo far from being decreafed by the fuppofed Renitency of the fuperior Aer, that it is rather increafed and promoted by the Circulation thereof: and upon confequence, that the ftone is carried upward twice as fiviftly, as it falls downward, fince it is impelled upward by two forces, but falls down again only by a fingle force ? True it is, that while a fone is falling doivn, the diftracted aer beneath feems to circulate into the place above deferted thereby: but, in cafe a ftone be held up on high in the Aer by a mans hand, or other fupport, and that fupport be withdrawn fo gently, as to caufe no confiderable commotion in the Aer; in this cafe there feems to be no reafon, why the Aer flould flow from above down upon it in the firft moment of its delapfe. Beffides, when a ftone projected upward, hath attained to the higheft point of its afcent, at which there feems to be a fhort paufe, or refpite from motion, caufed by the requilibration of the two Contrary Forces; the Movent and Refifteut : why doth not the ftone abfolutely quiefce in that place, therebeing in the Aer no Caufe, which fhould rather Depel it downivard, then elevate it upivard ?

Thefe confiderations, we ingenuoufly confefs, are potent, and put us to the exigent of exploring fome other External Principle, befide the motion of Reftitution in the Aer; fuchas may Begin the Downward motion of the ftone, when gently dropt off from fome convenient fupporter, or when it is at the zenith or higheft point of its afcent, and and at the term of its Æquilibration overcome the Refiftence of the fubjacent Aer, that fo it may not only yeeld to the fone in the firft moment of its Defcent; but by fucceffive Circulations afterward promote and gradually accelerate its motion once begun. Depellent Caufe there can be none; and fo there mutt be fome attrabent, to begin the ftones precipitation: and that can be no other, but a Certsin peculiar Virtue of the whole Terreftrial clobe, whereby it doth not onely retain all its Parts, while they are contiguouts or united to it, but alfo retra, them to it felf, when by any violence they have been avulfed and feparated. And this Virtue may therefore be properly enough called cMagnetique.

In Nature, nothing is whole and entire, in which there is not radically implanted a certain felf-Confervatory Power, whereby it may both contain its feveral parts in cohærence to it felf, and in fome meafure refift the feparation or diftraction of them; as all Philofophers; upon the conviction of infinite Experiences, decree: and if fo, it were a very parial Atfurdity to bereave the Terraqueous Globe, being a Body whole and encire, of the like confervatory Faculty. And hence comes it, that if any Parts of the Earth be violently avelled from it ; by this Confervatory, (which muft be Attractive) Virtue, it in fome meafure refifteth their avulfion, and after the ceffation of the Avelling violence, retracteth them again; and this by infenfible Emanations; or fubtile threads, deradiated continually from its whole body, and hookt or faftned to them : as a man retracts a Bird flown from his hand, by 2 line or thread tyed to its feet.

Art. II. What are the Parts of the Terreftrial Globe.

By the Puts of the Terreftrial Globe we intend not only the parts of Eath and Water (the liquid part of the Earch, and as Blood in an Animal) nor only all ftones, Metalls, Minerals, Plants, Animals, and whatever Bodies derive their principles from them, fuch as Rain, Dew, Snow, Hail, and all Meteors, Vapours, and Exhalations; nor only the Aer, wherewith the globe of Earth is circumvefted, as a Quince or Malacotone is periwiggd about with a lanuginous or Hoary fubftance, (becaufe, if we abftract from the furface of the Earth all vapours, expirations, fumes, and emanations of fubtle bodies from water and other fubftances, which afcend, defcend, and everywhere float up anddown in the Atmofpheres nothing can remain about the fame, but an Empty fpace ${ }_{3}$ ) but alfo' Fire it felf, which hath its original likewife from terrefrial matter, as wood, oyl, fat, fulphur, and other unctuous and combuftible fubftance. Becaufe all there are Bodies, which as Parts of it relf the Earth containeth and holds together; not permitting any of them to be avelled from its orbe, but by fome force chat exceeds its retentive power: and when that avellent force ceafeth, it fuddainly retracts them again to it felf. And, infomuch as two bodies cannot coexift in one and the fame placeat once ; therefore comes it to pafs, that many bodies being at once retracted toward the Earth, the more terrene are brought neerer to the furface thereof, extruding and fo fucceeding into the rooms of the lefs terrene : whence the neerer adduced and Extruding Bodies are accounted Heavy, and the Extruded and farther removed, are accounted Light.

Art. 12. A Sccond ATgu ment that the Earch is Magnetical.

Secondly, that the Earth is naturally endowed with a certain Magnetical Virtue, by which perpetually diffured in round, it containeth its parts in coharence; and reduceth thofe, which are feparated from it felf; after the fame minninier, as a Loaddtone holds its own parts together, and attracts Iron (which is alfo a Magnetique Production, as Gilbert (de magnet. lib. r. rap. 16.) frotm the obfervation of Miners, and other folid reaforis, hath confirmed) to it felf, and retracts it after divulfion or feparatiön: : we fay, all this may be argued from hence, that the whole Globe of the Earth feems to be nothing but one Grand Magnet.
(i) Becaufealoaditone, tornated into afphere, is (more than Analogically only) \& Little Earth : being therefore nicknamed by Gilbert (dermagnet. lib.i.caj.3.) Mixérn, Terella; infomuch as the one, foalfo hrth the other its Poles', its Axis, Equator, Meridian, Pararels.
(2) Excepting only fome parts, which have fuffered an alteration and diminution, If not a total amiffion of Virtue, in the Exteriors of the Earth; all parts thereof difcover fome magnetick impragnation: fome möte vigorous and manifen, as the Loadfone, and Iron; others more languid and obfcure, as White Clay, Bricks, \&c.

Whereupon Gilberterects his conjectural judgement, that the whole Globe Terreftrial is compofed of two General parts, the fhell, and Kernel: the Sbell not extending it felf many hundred tathoms deep (which is very fmall comparatively to the vaftnefs of its Diametre, amounting to 6872 miles, Italian meafure) and all the reft, or Kernel, being
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one continued Loadfone fubftantially. (3) The Loadfone always convertech thofe parts of it felf tow.rd the Poles, which refpected thema in its mineral bed, or while it remaind united to the Earth. All iwhich are no contemptible Arguments of our Thefis, that the whole Earth is endowed with a mingnetique Faculty, in order to the Confervation of its Integrity:

Whether the Entrals of our Common Mother, and Nurfe, the Earth, be, as Gilbert would perfuade us, one Great Loadfone fubftintially; is not more impoffible to prove, than impertinent to our pixfent fcope: it being fufficient to the verifinility of our affigned Caufe of the perpendicular motion of Terrene Bodies, to conceive the Globe of the Earch to be a Lodidfone only Analogically, i. e. that as the Loadftone doth perpetually emit certain invifible ftreams of exile particles, or Rays of fubtle bodies, whereby to allect magnetical bodies to an union with it felf; fo likewvife doth the Earth unceeffandly emit certa in invifible ftreams, or Rays of fubrile bodies, wherewvith to attract all its diftracted and divorced Parts back again to an Ulnion with it felf, and there clofely to detain then: And juftifiaiole it is for is to affirm, that from the Terriqueous Orbe there is a continual Efflux, not only of Vapours, Exhalations, and fuch fmall bodies, of which all our Meteors are compofed; nior only of fuch, as the general mafs of Aer doth confift of: but alfo of other particles far more exile and infenfible, nor lefs fubtile than thofe, which deradiated from the Loadfone, in a moment permexte the moft folid Marble, without the leaft diminution of their Virtue. Becaufe, as the Attractive Virtue of the Loadfone is fuifficieindly demonftrated by the Effect of it, the actual Attraction of Iron unto it : So is it lawful for us to conclude the Earth to be endowed wiith an Attractive Virtue alfo, meerly from the fenfible Effect of that Vertue, the actual Attraction of ftones, and all other bodies to it felf;, erpecially fince no ocher Conception of the Nature of that Affection, which the woild calis Gravity, can be brought to a cleer confiftence with that notable Apparence, the gradual Encreare of Velocity in each degree of a bodies perpendicular fall.

Befides, the Analogy may be farther deduced from hence; that as the Virtue of the Loadtone is diffured in round, or (pherically, and upon confequence, its Effluvia, or Rays are fo much the more rare, by how much the farther they are tranfimitted from their fource or original; and fo being lefs united, become tefs vigorous in their attraation, and at large diftance, i. e. fuch as exceeds the fphere of their Energy, are languid and of no force at all : Io doth the Terreftrial Globe diffure its Attraative Virtue in round, and upon confequence, its Effluvia, or Rays become fo much the more rate or difiperfed, by how much farther they are tranfmitted from their foumtain, and fo being lefs united, cannot attract a ftone or other terrene body at exceffive diftance, fuch as the Supralunary and Ultramundane fpaces. Which that we may affert with more perfpicuity, let us fuppofe a ftone to be placed in thofe Imaginary 〔paces which are the ouffide of the World, and in which God, had He fo pleared,
might have created more worlds; and then examine, whether it be more reafonable, that that ftone hould rather move toward this our Earth, than remain abfolutely immote in that part of the Llltramandan fpaces wherein we fuppofe it pofited. If you conceive, that it would tend toward the Earth; imagine not only the Earth, but alfo the whole machine of the world to be Annihilated, and that all thofe valt fpaces, which the Univerfe now poffeffeth, were as abfolutely Inane, as they were before the Creation: and then at leaft, becaufe there could be no Centre, and all fpaces muft be alike indifferent, you will admit, that the ftone would remain fixt in the fame place, as having no Affectation, or Tendency to this part of thofe fpaces, which the Earth now poffeffech. Imagine the World to be then again reftored, and the Earth to be refituate in the place as before its adnihilation; and then can you conceive that the fone would fpontaneoufly tend toward it : If you fuppofe the Affirmative; you will be reduced toinextricable difficulties, not to grant the Earth to affect the ftone, and upon confequence, to tranfmit to it fome certain Virtue, confifting in the fubftantial Emanations, not any fimple and immaterial Quality, whereby to give it notice of its being reftored to its priftine fituation and condition. For, how otherwife can you fuppofe the ftone fhould take cognizance of, and be moved toward the Earth. Now, this being fo, what can follow, but that ftones, and all other Bodies accounted Heavy, muft tend toward the Earth, only becaufe they are AttraEfed to it, by rays or ftreams of Corporeal Emanations from it to them tranfmitted : Go to then, let us farther imagiie, that fome certain face in the Atmofphere, were, by Power fupernatural, made fo Empty, as that nothing could arrive thereat either from the Earth, or any other Orbe : can you then conceive, that a fone placed in that Inanity, would have any Tendency toward the Earth, or Affectation to be united to its Centre: Doubtlefs, no more, than if it were pofited in the Extramundanfpaces: becaufe, having nothing of Communication therewith, or any other part of the Univerfe, the cafe would be all one with the ftone, as if there were no Earth, no World, no Centre. Wherefore, fince we obferve aftone from the greateft heighth, to which any natural force can elevate the fame, to tend in a direct or perpendicular line to the Earth; what can be more rational than for us to conceive, that the Caufe of that Tendency in the ftone is onely this, that it hath fome communication with the Earth; and that not by any naked or Immaterial Quality, but fome certain Corporeal, though moft fubtile Emanations from the Earth? Efpecially, fince the Aer incumbent upon the ftone, is not fufficient to Be gin its motion of Defcent.

Art. 15. An Objeftion of the Difproportion berween the great Bulk of a large flonc and the Exility of the fappored magnetique Rays of the Earch: Solved by three weighty Reafons.

If you thall yet withhold your Affent from this Opinion, which we have thus long endeavoured to defend; we conjecture the Remora to be chiefly this : that it feems improbable, fo great a Bulk, as that of a very large ftone, and that with fuch pernicity, fhould be attracted by fuch flender means, as our fuppofed magnetick Emanations : and therefore think it our duty to fatisfie you concerning this Doubt. We Anfwer (i) That a very great quantity of Iron (proportionately) is eafily and nimbly rufht into the arms of a Loadftone meerly by Rays of moft fubtle particles, fuch as can be diifcovered no way, but by their Effect. (2) That ftones, and other maffy Concretions have no fuch great ineptitude, or Refiftence to motion,
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motion, as is commonly prafumed. For, if a ftone of an hundred pound weight be furpended in the Aer, by a fmall wier, or chord: how frmall a force is required to the moving of it hicher ? Why therefore fhould a greater force be required to the Attraction of it downward. (3) When you lift up a fone or ocher body from the Earth, you cannot but obferve that it makes fome Refiftence to your Hand, more or lefs according to the bulk thereof; which Refiftence arifeth from hence, that thofe many magnetique lines, deradiated to, and faftned upon it, by their feveral Deflexions and Decuffations, hold it as it were faft chained down to the Earth, fo that unlefs a greater force intervene, fuch as may mafter the Earth Retentive power, and break off the magnetique lines, it could never be avelled and amoved from the Earth. And hence is it, that by how much the greater force is impreft upon a ftone, at its projection upward; by fo many more degrees of excels doth that impreft force tranfcend the force of the Retentive Magnetique lines, and confequently to fo much a greater Altitude is the ftone mounted up in the Aer: and è contrr. Which is allo the Reafon, why the Impreft Force, being moft vigorous in the firt degree of the ftones afcent, doth carry it the moft vehemently in the beginning; becaufe it is not then Refracted : but afterward the fone moves flower and flower, becaufe in every degree of afcention, it loofech a degree of the Impreft Force, until at length the fame be fo diminifhed, as to come to an Æquipondium with the Contrary force of the magnetique Rays of the Earth detraCting ic Downward.
Lafly, from hence is it, that the perpendicular Delapfe of moft Bodies, though of far different weights, is obferved to be Æquivelox: contrary to that Axiome of Arifotle (2. de Calo, text 46) quo majus fuerit corpus, eo velocius fertur, and (text 77 .) parvum terra particulum, $\xi$ elevath dimittatur, ferri deor fum, quio major fuerit, velociuss moveri; upon which the Ariftoteleans have grounded this erroneous Rule, Velocitates gravium defcendentium babere inter fe eandem proportionem, quam gravitates ipforum, that the Velocities of Heavy bodies falling downward have the fame proportion one to anocher as their Gravities

Art. 16. The Reafon of the Equivelo: city of Bodies, of different weights, in their perpendicular Defcent : with fundry un. queftionable Autborities to confirm the Hoti thereof. have.

And the Rearon of this Equivelocity of Unequal weights, feems to be this; that of two Bullets, the one of only an ounce, the other of an hundred pounds weight, dropt from the battlements of an high tower, at the fame inftant, though the Greater Bullet be attracted by more magnetique lines deradiate from the Earth, yet hath it more particles to be attracted, than the Leffer : fo that there being a certain Commenfuration betwixt the Force Attractive, and the quantity of Matter Atcracted; on either part the Force muft be fuch, as fufficeth to the performance of the motion of either in the fame face of time; and confequenty, both the Bullets mult defcend with equal Velocity, and arrive at the furface of the Earth in one and the fame moment. All which that Lynceus, Galileoo well underfood, when (in the Perfon of Salviatus) defiring to calculate the time, in which a Bullec might be falling from the concave of the Moon to our Earth ; and sagredus had faid thus to Him, Sumamus igitur globum determinati
ponderis, of quidem illum ip fum, cujus defcentionis ex Lana tempus metiri volumus : He pofitively anfwered Him, Id veróo nibil intereft, \&oc. It makes no difference whatever the weight of the Bulleغ be, becaufe if four Bullets, the one of one pound, the fecond of ten, the third of an hundred, the fourth of a thouland pounds weight, be let fall together from the altitude of an hundred cubits, they flall all perform their perpendicular motions in the fame proportion of time, and attain the Earth in the fame moment. (Dialog. 2. de (iftemat. cofmico, pagina Latina I 4.) The fame alfo exactly confifts with . the frequent Experiments of $\mathcal{F}$ oh. Baptifta Balianus; who (in lib. I. de motus Gravium, pag. 4.) faith thus; Inter alia dum anno millefimo fexcentefimo undecimo, per paucos menfes, ex patrie legis prefcripto, Prafectum Arcis favone agerem; ex militaribus obfervationibus que occurrebant, illud maximie deprebendi, ferreos, ঔ lapideos tormentorum bellicorum globulos, \& fic corpora gravia, fer ejufdem, fendiverfe, Jpeciei, in inequali fatis Mole, \& gravitate, per idem. Patium equali tempore of motu, naturaliter defcendere; idque ita uniformiter, ut repititis experimentis mihi plane confliterit, duos ex pradictis globis, vel ferreos ambos, vel alterum lapideum, alterum plumbeum, codem planè momento temporis dimiffos fibi, per Spatium quinquaginta pedum, eti-am- $\int 1$ unus effet libre unius tantum, alter quinquaginta, in indivifibili temporis momento, fubjectum folum ferire, ut unus tantum amborum isus fenfu perciperetur.

To this Certificate we might fubfribe the concurrent teftimonies of Nich. Cabaus (in meteor lib. I. text. II. quaft. 5. \& 6.) of Ar rizga (Di putatione 4. de Generatione, Sectione 5, fubfectione 3) of Gaffendus (de motu impreffo à motore tranflato, Epiff. I.) : but we think it better, to refer our Reader to the touchfone of his own eafie and cheap Experiment, as the moft certain way of conviction.

Art. 17. That the whole Tereffrial Globe is devoyd of Gravity : and that in the univerfe is no $H i i_{b}$ if, nor Lowefl place.

Moreover, infomuch as the Terreftrial Globe, confidered in its whole, hath no need of any Direct or Perpendicular motion, whereby to tend to its proper place in the Univerfe; becaufe it never recedeth from its proper place therein : but the Parts of it only have need of a Direct or Perpendicular motion, whereby they may.be reduced to their proper place, the whole Earth, from which they are frequently feparated : therefore muft it have been unneceffary for the Creator to have endowed the whole Terreftrial Globe with Gravity or any Force, whereby it might be directly carryed to a place, out of which it fhould be conftitute; and fufficient only to endowe it in the whole with fuch an Attractive Virtue, whereby it might retain its parts in adhærence to it felf, and retract them to an union, when violently diftracted from it. For, that Motion Direct or Perpendicular, which the Vulgar afcribes to Gravity, is Motus Unitivus, a Motion Ulnitive or Congregative of all the Parts of the Earth; as may be argued from hence, that it is the fame in the Antipodes, as in our Hemifphere, and from all points of the Earths circumference confpires to one and the fame common Centre. But, though this motion is Congregarive of all Parts of the Earth related or brought back to an union
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with the great body or Globe thereof; yet is it not Congregative of the whole Globe to any thing elfe, as if the Globe of the Earth were to be united to the Moon, or any other Orbe in the World. Nor can it be affirmed, that Gravity, or this Virtue to motion Direct, is conceded to the Terraqueous Orbe, to the end it fhould, at the Creation, carry it felf to that place, which is Loweft in the Univerfe, or being there pofited, conftantly retain it felf therein : fince in the U niverfe is neither Higheft, nor Loweft place; but only Refpectively to the fite of an Animal, and chiefly of Man, whofe Head is accounted the Highef, and Feet the Loweft part; in the fame manner as there is no Right, nor Leff fide in Nature, but comparatively to the fite of the parts in mans body, and in reference to the Heavens. For, thofe Lateralities are not determined by any general and certain ftandard in Nature: but varioully affigned according to our Imagination. The Hebrews, Chaldeans, and Perfians, confronting the Sun at his arifing in the Eart; place the Right fide of the world in the South : as likewife did all the Roman Southfayers, when they took their Auguries. The Philofopher takes that to be the Eaft, from whence the Heavens begin their Circumgyration: and fo affigns alfo the right hand to the South. The Aftronomer, regarding chiefly the South and Meridian Sun, accounts that the Dextrous part of Heaven, which refpecteth his right hand, and thats the Weft. And Poets, differing from all the reft, turn their faces to theWeft, and fo affign the term of Right to the North: for otherwife ovid muft be guily of a grofs miftake in that verle, Utque dure déextrí Zone, totidemque finijfrâ.. Hence is it, that as the Eaft cannot be the Right fide of the World, unlefs to Him, who faceeth the North : fo is the Vertical point of the world not to be accounted the Higheft part of the Univerfe, but onely as it refpecteth the Head of a man ftanding on any part of the Earth; becaufe, if the fame man travail to the Antipodes, that which was before the Higheft, will then be the Loweft part of the World. This confidered, we muft prefer that folid opinion of Plato, that in the World there is an Extreme, and a Middle Place, but no Higheft and Loweft; to that meerly petitionary one of Ariffotle, thatall Bodies tend toward the Centre of the Earth, as to the Loweff place in the Univerfe.

How, faith the offended Peripatetick, the meerly Petitionary opision of Arijfotle? Why, donot all men admit that to be the Loweft part of the World, which is the Middle or Centre chereof? And is not that the Centre of the Earth?

And our Reply is, that, indeed, we can admit Neither: (I) Becaure, flould wee allow the World to have a Middle, or Centre; yet is there no neceffity, that therefore we fhould concede the Centre to be the Loweft place in the World ; no more than that the Navil, or Central part of a man flould therefore be the Loweft part. For, to \{peak like men, who have not enflaved their reafon to prixiudice; what is oppofed to the Middle, is not fuprem, but Extreme : and-Higheft and Loweft are oppofite points in the fame Extreme. So likewife in the Terreftrial Globe, whofe middle past we account not the Loweft, but the contrary point in thelfphear: - fince, otherwife we muft grant the Earth to have a double Infinity, one in regard of its Centre, the other in refpect of the extreme
points of its Diametre, acco rding to which the Antipodes are Loiveft to us, and we Loweft to them.
'(2) Who dares prxtend to demonftrate, that there is an Extreme in the Univerfe; or if there be, to determine where and what it is : and upon confequence, whether the Univerfe hath any Centre, and where that Centre is: Tis more than Galileo durft, as appears by that his modeft confeffion; Nefcimus quidem ubi fit Unive r $\sqrt{i}$ centrum, neque an fit: qrodque, fi maxime detur, aliud wibile eft, nif punctumsimaginarium, adeoque nibilum, ommi faculeate deftitutum. ( $y$ yftemat Cofmici dialog.1 pag.22) Befides, we fee it to be, and upon very good grounds, difputed amongft the moft Curious and Learned wits of the world, whether the Fixt ftars are moved about the Earch, or the Earth by a Diurnal motion upon its own axis? Whether the Fixt ftars beall in one and the fame concave fuperfice : or rather (as the Planets, which notwithftanding the deluded fight, are demonftrated not to be in one, but different fpheres) fome farcher from, fome neerer to the Earch, difperfed in the immenfe fpace? For, from hence, that the Diftance betwixt them and us is fo vaft, that our fight not difcerning the large fpaces intercepted betwixt them in their feveral orbes; they all appeare at the fame diftance, all in the fame circumference, wofe Centre muft be there, where the Eye turning it felf about, doth behold them: fo that in whatfoever part of the immenfe fpace of the World, whether in the Moon, Sun, or any other Orb, you fhall imagine your felf to be placed; ftill you muft, according to the evidence of your fight, judge the World to be fpherical, and that you ftand in the very centre of that Circumference, in which you conceive all the Fixt ftars ta be conftitute.

Truly, it is worthy the admiration of a wife man, to obferve, that the very Planets areadmitted by the Arifoteleans to have certain motions Excentrique, i.e. to be moved in fuch Gyres, as have not their Centres in the Earth, but in places immenfly diftanc from it : and yet that the fame Perfons fhouild fo openly Contradict themfelves, as to account that the Centre of the Earch is that common Centre of the world, about which all theCoeleftial orbes ar circumduced. Thefe Difficulties perpended, we cannot infallibly determine, whether or no Earthy Bodies, when defcending in direct lines to the Earth, are carried toward the Centre of the World: and though they fhould be carried toward the Centre of the World, yet doth that feem to be only by Accident, as it is alfo by Accident, that they are carried toward the Centre of the Earth; in which as being a meer imaginary Poinr, they can neither be received nor attain quiet. For, per $\int e$, they are carried toward the Earth, as to their Whole, or Principle; and having once attained thereto, fo acquiefe on the furface of it, as they no more feek to pafs on from thence to its Centre, than an Infant received into his Nurfes armes or lap, cares to fink farther into her Entrals: and meerly per Accidens is it, that they are directed toward the Centre of the Earth; becaufe tending in the neereft cut, or fhorteft line to the place of their quiet, they mult be directed toward the Centre, fince if we fuppofe that direct line to be continued, it muft pals through the Centre of the Earth. And thus have we left no ftone unfubverted, in all Ariftotles Theory of Gravity, which is, that Weight is a 2 2ulity effentially inherent in all terrene Concritions, whereby they Spontaneoufly tend toward the Centre of the Terreftrial Glabe, as to the Common Centre, or Loweft place in the Vniverfe. The whole Remainder of our prexfent Affumption, therefore, concerns our farther Confirmation of that opinion touching the Effence of Gravity, which we have efpoufed; which is, that it is the meer E\#ect of the Magnetique Attraltion of the Earth.

| Chap.XI. Gravity and Levity. $\quad 289$ |
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Let us cherefore once more refume our Argument à Simili, confidering the Analogy betwixt che Attraction of Iron by a Loadifone, and that of Terrene Concretions by the Earch; not only as to the Manner of their refpective Attractions, but cheifly as to the parity of Reafons in our judgeA Fwis. A Furtb $A$ gry. ment, that Gra: vity is only ments upon their fenfible Effects. When a man holds a plate of Iron of 6 or 7 ounces weight, in his hand, with a vigorous Loadftone placed at convenient diftance, underneach his hand ; and finds the weight of the Iron to be encreafed from nunces to pounds: If criffote on one fide fhould tell him, that that great weight is a Quality effencially inhærent in the Iron, and Kepler or Gilbert, on the other, affirm to him, that that weight is a quality meerly $A$ dventitious, or impreft upon it by the Actractive influence of the Loaditone fubjacent; 'tis eafie to determine, to which of thof fo contrary judgements he would incline his affent. Iffo, well may we conceive the Gravity of a ftone, or ocher terrene body, to belong not fo much to the Body it felf, as to the Atrraction of that Grand Magnet, the Terraqueous Globe lying underneath it. For, fuppofing that a Loadftone were, unknown to you, placed underneath your hand, when you lifted up a peice of Iron from the earth; though it might be pardonable for you to coriclude, that the great weight, which you would obferve therein, was a Quality effentially inhærent in the Iron, when yet in truth it was only External and Attractitious; becaule you were ignorant of the Loadfone fubjacent; yet, if after you were informed that the Loaditone was placed underneath your hand, you fhould perfever in the fame opinion, the greateft Candor imaginable could not but condemn you of inexcufable percinacity in an Error. Thus alfo your ignorance of the Earthsbeing one Great Loadftone may excufe your adharence to the erroneous pofition of 1 riffotle, concerning the formal Reafon of Gravity; but, when you fhall be convinced, that the Terreftrial Globe is naturally endowed wich a certain Attractive or Magnetique Virtue, in order to the retention of all its parts in cohærence to it felf, and retraction of them when by violence diftructed from it, and that gravity is nothing but the effect of that virtue; you can have no Plea leff for the palliation of your obiftinacy, in cale you recant not your former perfuafion.

Nor ought is to impede your Conviction, that a far greater Gravity, or ftronger Attrastive Force is impreft upon a piece of Iron by a Loadftone, than by the earth;infomuch as a Loadfone furpended, at convenient diftance, in the aer, doth eafily elevate a proportionate mafs of Iron from the earth; becaure this gradual Difparity proceeds only from hence, that the Attractive Vertue is much more Collected or United in the Loadftone, and fo is fo much more intenfe and vigorous(according to its Dimenfions) thani in the Earth, in which it is more diffufed; nor doth it difcover how greatit is in the fingle or divided parts, but in the Whole of the Earth. Thus, if you lay but one Grain of falt upon your tongue, it fhall affect the fame with more faltnefs, than a Gallon of Sea-water : not that there is lefs of falt in that great quantity of Sea Water, but that the falt ' is therein more diffured.

But to lay afide the Loadfone and its Correlative, Iron, and come to our tafte and Incomparative © Argument; fince the Velocity of the motion of a tone falling downward, is gradually augmented, and by the acceffion

Art. 2 I:
A Fifth Argü ment, almofi Apoditical ; that Gravity is the Effect of the Earths A. trafion.
of new degrees of Gravity, grows greater and greater in each degree of its Defcent; and that Augmentation, or Acceffion of Gravity, and fo of Velocity, feems not fo reafonably adfcriptive to any other caufe, as to this, that it is the Attraction of the Earth encreafing in each degree of the ftones Appropinquation to the Earth, by reafon of the greater Denfity or Union of its Magnetique Rayes: What can be more Rational, than that the Firft degree of Gravity, belonging to a ftone not yet moved, fhould arife to it from the fame Attraction of the Earth? When, doubtlefs, it is one and the fame Gravity that caufeth both thofe Effects; the fame in Specie, though not in Gradu: And no Quality can be better intended, or augmented, than by an Acceffion of more Degrees of force from the fame Quality,

## Sect. III.

Art. 1. Levity,nothing but lefs Gravio ty.

LAftly, as concerning LEVITY, which is vulgarly reputed the trary to Gravity, and by Arijfotle defined to be a Quality inty and Levity are Qualities of Concretions, not Pofitive, or Abfolute, but meerly Comparative, or Refpective. For, the fame Body may be fayd to be Heavy, in refpect to another that is Lighter; and Light, in refpect to another that is Heavier. For Example, let us compare a Stone, Water, Oyle, and Fire (which we have formerly annumerated to Terrene Concretions) one to another; to the end that our Affertion may be both illuftrated and confirmed at once. Water, we fee, being poured into a veffel, immediately defcends to the bottom thereof, and if permitted to fettle, doth foon acquiefce: but, upon the dropping of a Stone into the fame veffel, as the Stone defcends, the Water afcends proportionately to give it room at the bottom. And Oyle, intufed into a veffel alone, doth likewife inftantly defcend, and remains quiet at the bottom thereof: but, if Water be poured thereupon, the oyle foon afcends, and floats on the furface of the Water. If the Veffel be repleat only with Aer, the Aer quiefceth therein: but when you pour oyle into it, the Aer inftantly afcends, and refignes to the oyle. Laftly, thus Fire would be immediately incumbent upon the furface of the Earth, and there acquiefce; but that the Aer, being circumftant about the fuperfice of the Terreftrial Globe, and the more weighty body of the two, doth extrude it thence by depreffure, and fo impell it upwards, to make room for it felf beneath. And thus are all thefe Bodies Heavy and Light, Comparatively or Refpectively. The Heavieft of them all is the Stone, as being the moft ftrongly attracted by the Earth: or, is the leaft Light among them all, as being the leaft abduced from the Earch. And, Water, which is Light, in comparifon of the Stone, is yet Heavy in comparion of Oyle:
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And Oyle, though Light in comparifon of Water, is yet Heavy in comparifon of the Aer. And Aer, though Light comparatively to Oyle, is yet Heavy in refpect of Fire; which is the Lighteft of them all, becaufe it is the moft elevated from the Earth: Or is the leaft Heavy among them all, becaule it is the lealt attracted by the Earth.

This confidered, we cannot but finile at their Credulity, who can admit Arifotles dream of a peculiar Sphere of Fire; and thereupon contend, that Fire fpontaneoufly afcends in queft of its fphere: When it is manifert, that Fire doth not mount up upon the wings of any native Tendency, or of that Imaginary Faculty, call'd Levity; but is driven upivard by the impulfion of the Aer. Who is there dares affirm, that oyl, when pour'd forth of a veffel by fome expert Diver, in the bottome of the Sea , doth afcend to the top of the water, in queft of a Sphere of Oyle? or that Water, elevated to the brim of a veffel upon the injection of fand into the fame veffel, doth afcend fpontaneoufly, and in purfuit of a Sphere of Water? Or that Aer, defcending into a mine, doth fpontaneoufly defcend in queft of an Aereal Sphere? Or, that Fire it felf, when it foops down to catch hold of fome uncteous and eafily inflammable fubftance, as is often noted; doth ftill obey its effential Levity, in order to its reunition to its proper Sphere? And yet for all this, the world is full of thofe (fo epidemick is the contagion of Prejudice) who dare affirm that ridiculous and grofsly abfurd Figment of the Afcenfion of Fire to an Igneous Sphere conftitute we know not where belowe the Moon.

But we are yet to prove, that Fire is impelled upward by the Aer. Confider therefore, that Fire will not burn in a chymny, if all the doors, windorvs and chinks of the room be fo clofely fhut, as that no fupply of frefh Aer can be admitted into it : and the Reafon is plainly this; that unlefs there be a fource of frefh Aer to fucceed into the place of that, which impels the Fire upward in the chimny, there can be no Continuation of the impuls or elevation of the Fire, and fo the Fire muft be extinguifhed; but when a liberty of ingreffion is left to the External Aer, then is the Internal Aer clofely purfued by a frefh fupply, and to the motion continued. Confider alfo that Fire always burns the clearer and fooner, if the fewel be laid hollow in a grate of Iron, or upon andyrons; than if it be impofed flatly upon the bare hearth: becaufe, in the former cafe, the ambient Aer doth more eafily and fluently infinuate it felf underneath the Fire, and as it impells the flame upward, fan and blow the coals, like a pair of bellows. And this gave the Chymift the hint for the invention of his Wind-Furnace, which needs no other bellows but that conftant ftream of Aer, which flows in beneath the fewel, and ventilates the coals moft ftrongly. And then Conclude, with Copernicus (lib. I. cap. 8.) Ignem nibil aliud effe, quam buncie terrenum Seu famam ardentem; cujus proprium oft, extendere que invaferit: motum autem Extenfivum eff à centro ad circumferentiam; fed terreftrems illum ha-

Art. 2. Arifotete's Sphere of Fire, extinguifhr.

Art. $3^{\circ}$ That Fire dorh not Afcend foontaneoully, bur Violently; i.e, is impell'd upward by the Aer. flatim languefcere tanquam confeffà caufà violentie, que terreftri materice illata fwit: quapropter Levitatemnon dari, ant non effe Connatsralem bifce corporibus. Conclude alfo, with Us; that in the Earth indeed, there are Direct Motions Upward and Downward: but thofe Motions are proper only to the Parts (as Gravity and Levity are likewife proper only to the Parts) not to the Whole, or Globe of the Earth.

# CHAP. XII. <br> HEAT and COLD. 

## Sect. i.



He Genealogy of thore fenfible Qualities of Concretions which arife from either of the three Effential Proprieties of Atoms, in its Single capaciey, thus far extending it felf; here begins that other of thofe, which refult from any Two, or All of the fame Proprieties, in their feveral Combinations, or Affociations.

Of this order, the Firft are Heat, Cold,Humidity, Siccity, which though the Schools, building on the fundamentals of their Dictator, Ariftotle, derive immediately and folely from the 4 Firft Qualities of the vulgar Elements, Fire, Aer, Water, Earth; yer, becaufe thofe reputed Elements are but feveral Compofitions of the liniverfal matter, and fo muft defume their refpective Qualities from the confociated Proprieties of the fame; and becaufe the original of no one of thofe Qualities can be fo intelligibly made out from any other Principles : therefore doth our reafon oblige us, to deduce them only from the Magnitude, Figure, and Motion of atoms.

Concerning the Firf of this Quaternary, HEAT; we well know, that it is commonly conceived and defined by that relation, it bears to the fenfe of touching in Animals; or, as it is the Efficient of that paffion, or Acute Pain, as Plato(in Timeo) calls it, which Fire, or immoderate Heat impreffeth upon the skin, or other organ of touching; yet, forafmuch as this Effect, which it caufeth in the fenfient part of an Animal, is only fpecial and Relative, therefore ought we to underftand its Nature, from fome General and $\triangle b$ folute Effect, upon which that Special and Relative one depends, and that is the Penetration, Dicufsion and Difolation of Concretions.

Art. 4 . Hear defined as no Immate. rial, but a Subjfantial Quality.

Art. 5. Why fuch Atoms, as are comparated to produce Heat, are to be Named the Atoms of Heat and fuch Concretions, as harbour them, are to be ca:led Hor, either Adiually, or Poientally.

## $2 \cdot 1$ <br> $\because-1+2$

## $+\cdots+1$

6. 

The 3 neceffia ry Propricties of the Atoms of Hear.

To come therefore to the Determination of its Effence, by the explanation of its Original; by Heat, as from our prxcedent Difquifition of the Origine of Qualities in General may be prefumed, we do not underftand any Arifoletean, i,e.naked or Immaterial Quality, altogether abfract from matter: but certain Particles of matter, or Atoms, whichbeing effentially endowed with fuch a determinate Magnitude, fuch a certain Figure, and fuch a particular $\mathcal{M}$ otion, are comparated to infinuate themfelves into Con. crete Bodies, to penetrate them, diffociate theirparts, and diffolve their Contexture; or, to produce all thus mutations in them, whichare commonly adfcribed to Heat, or Fire. Not that we gainfay, but Heat may be confidered Abftractly, or as it is a certain peculiar Manner, without which a fubftance cannot calefie; in which fenfe Anaximenes (apud Plutarch. de Frigoreprimigen.) may be allowed to have fpoken tollerably, when he faid, Neither Heat, nor Cold is fubftantial, but affirm only, that it is not any thing abftracted from, and independent upon matter (as mof have incircumpectly apprehended) or ought elfe, in Reality, but Atoms themfelves, the fubftantial Principles as of all Concretions; fo of all their Faculties or Qualities, and to which, as all Motion, fo all Action ought to be imputed.

And albeit there Atoms, from which we derive this noble and molt eminent Qudlity; Heat, be not Hot effentially; yet do they deferve the nathe of the Atoms of Heat, or Calorifick Atoms, infomuch as they have a capacity or power to Create Heat, i.e. caufe that Effect, which conffiteth in Subingreffion, Difcuffion, Exfolution. Likewife, thofe Bodies which contain fuch Atoms, and may emit them from themfelves; ought alfo to be accounted Hot, infomuch as that by the emiffion of their Calorifick Atoms they are empowered to produce Heat in other bodies: and when they do Actually emit them, i.e. give their Calorifick Atoms liberty to purfue their own native Motions, after exflition; then may they be faid to be Actaalty Hot, or Eormally Hot, as the Schools phrafe it; but, while they contain them within theinfelves, and hinder their exfilition, they are Hot only Potentially. To the Firft of thefe Differences, we are to refer Fire: To the Scond, not only all thofe things, which Phyficians call Cáléfactive Medicaments, fuch as Wine, Euphorlium, Peper, \&c. but alfo all fuch as are capable of ignition, combution, incalefcence and the immiffion of Heat into other bodies objected, fuchas Wood, Refine, Wax, Oyle, \&c. For, all fuch may be conceived to contain igneous or Calorifick Atoms, which during their revinction or imprifonment in Concretions, cannot purfue their motion, and fo not produce Heat ; but immediately upon the obtaining of their liberty, or emprion, they manifert their nature in the production of heat.

Now, if we enquire What kind of Atoms theef Calorifick ones are, and upon what their power of producing Heat depends; Democritus, Epicurus, and all the tribe of Atomifts unanimoufly tell us, that they are Exile in Magnitude, spherical in Figure, moft Swift in Motion. And this upon very good reafon. For, (r.) That they muft be moft Exile in bulk, is inferrible even from hence, that no Concretion can be fo compact and folid, in which they will not find fome pores or fmall inlets, whereat to infinuate themfelvss into the Centrals of it, and penetrate thorow its fubftance; though perhaps not in fo great a number, as is required, to the total diffolu-
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tion of its Contexture，as in the Adamant，whichas Naturalifts affirm，no Fire can demolifh or diffolve．（2．）That they ought to be spherical in Figure，is probable，yea neceffary from hence；that of all others they are moft Agile，and evolve themfelves quoquoversim，on all parts of the Con－ cretion，into which they are admitted．And Geometry teacheth，that no figure is fo eafily moved，as a Sphere，whecher naturally，or violently．Firf， Naturally；becaufe，by how much neerer to a Sphere the figure of any fo－ lid body approacheth，by fo much the more fpeedily doth it defcend，as is obferved of globular ftones in Water ：and a round ftone rowles it felf far－ ther and fwifter downe hill，than a plate or angular one．Secondly，Violently， becaufe a globular ftone may be projected much farther，than one of any other figure．This is alfo evident in the Motion of Volutation；fo that the line of direction to the Centre of the World（if any fuch there be）con－ fifting in the axis of the Globe，the motion of it is moft hardly refracted and arrefted．For，there are 3 points，thorowe which the direct imaginary line，in which alonea Globe can quiefce，muft pafs，viz．the Centre of the World，the Centre of Gravity in the Globe，and the point of Contalt：and if either of thefe 3 be without，or befide the line of quiet，a Globe once moved fhall never reft，but be continually moved，until all the 3 points be in the line of direction．Furchermore，how eafie it is to impel a Globe，is demonftrable meerly from hence，that being pofited upon a perfect plane， it can touch the fame but only in one point；and fo relying upon that point， may moft eafily be deturbed from that flender fupport；but in all other Figures the reafon of innixion or Relying，is quite contrary．Laftly，as a fphere doth moft eafily admit an impreft motion；fo doth it longeft retain the fame，moft violently prefs upon other occurring bodies，and moft equally difpence its conceived force；as hath been profoundly demonftrated by Magnenus（in theorica militaris lib．1．theorem．4．E～5）（3）And that they muft be alfo／uperlatively／wift in motion，may be argued not only à pofterior ，from the impetuous difcuffion and feparation of the particles of bodies by them，and their unceffant wftuation among themfelves arietating each other ：but alfo à Priori，becaufe，being fpherical，they are moft mobile． Thus much，at leaft in importance，we have from Pbiloponus（in 1 phyfic．） where he faith，Spharicus Atomos，tanquàm facillimè mobiles，effe Caloris， ignifque caufjas；quatenus enim funt facile mobiles，dividust，〕ubeuntque velocius：id quippe ignis proprium est，\＆dividere，\＆o moveri facilè poffe．And albeit Plato would not have the Atoms of Fire to be fpherical， but Pyramidal；becaufe having molt exile points，flender angles，and acute fides，they might be more accommodate for Penetration or fubingreffion：
 xeimin，彣 千 Qoeãs ráxos．the Exiguity of particles，and celerity of Mo－ tion．So that the Patrons of Atoms prefuming the Calorifick Atoms to be extreamly Exile，i．e as fimall as Plato fuppofeth the points and angles of his Pyramids to be：we do not perceive any confiderable difference be－ twixt their opinion and his．But before we take off our pen from this fub－ ject，we are to advertife；that indeed all Atoms，of their own nature，are inexcogitably fivift；and fo that our affertion of the fuperlative Velocity of Calorifick Atoms，doth appertain only to Atoms as they are in Con ${ }^{2}$ cretions，where their native Velocity and Agility is retarded and diminifh－ ed by reciprocal cohærence and revinction．And，therefore，feeing that all Atoms，agitated by their effential mobility，are in perpetual attempt to extricate themfelves from Concretions，that fo they may attain their pri－
mitive freedom of motion; that none can fo foon extricate and difengage themfelves; as thofe that are fpherical; becaufe fuch cannot be impeded by the fmall hooks, or angles of others. Cum enim (phera amnibus angulis careat, nibil hamati, aut retinentis offendet, facile permeabit, \&̛ quoqwoverfus adnature penetrabit inffituta, dividet inftar cunci, \&゚ (quod mullialteri figure contingit) contactu puncti labefaciens planam, statim amplo finis $\operatorname{ibi}$ viam facit, cum nibil babet angulofi, quo pofsit detineri; quod ejus activitati neceffarium fuit: faith Magnenus (de ©toms.lib.2 cap.3.) As alfo, that we fpeak the Dialect of Democritus, when we call thefe Calorifick Atoms, fometimes the Atoms of Heat, fometimes the Atoms of Fire, indifcriminately; becaufe Heat and Fire know none but a Gradual Difference; at leaft, becaufe Heat, in a General fenfe, implies all degrees, and Fire, in a Special, the higheft degree of Heat; cariftotle himfelf (I Meteor.3) excellently defining Heat to be nothing elfe, but Caloris Hyperbole, the Excefs of Heat.

Art. 7 That the Aroms of Heat are capable of Expedition or deliverance from Concretions, Two wayes ; viz. by Evocation and Motion.

The Proprieties, or requifite Conditions of there Calorifick Atoms, being thus explored; our next Enquiry muft be concerning the Manner of their Emancipation, or Expedition from the fetters of Concretions. We obferve, therefore, that the Aroms of Fire, imprifoned in Concretions, have $\mathcal{T}$ wo ways of attaining liberty. (1.) By Evocation, or the Affiftance of other Atoms of the fame nature; when fuch invading and infinuating themfelves into the centrals of a body, do fo diffociate its particles, as that diffolving the impediments or chains of the igneous Atoms therein contained, they not only give them an opportunity, but in a manner follicite them to extricate themfelves. And by this way do the Atoms of Fire, included in Wood, Wax, Turpentine, Oyle, and all other Inflammable Concretions, extricate themfelves, when they are fet on fire; the farks or flame, wherewith they are accenfed, penetrating their contexture, and removing the remoraes, which detained and impeded their internal Atoms of Fire, and exciting them to Emption : Which thereupon iffue forth in fivarms, and with the violence of their exfilition drive before them, in the apparence of fuliginous. Exhalations or fmoak, thofe diffimilar particles, which fuppreft and incarcerated them, during the integrity of the Concretion. (2.) By Motion, or Concu/jion; and that either Inteftine, or External. Firft, Inteftine; when, after many evolutions, the igneous Atoms, included in a Body, do of themfelves diffociate and difcufs thofe heterogeneous maffes, wherein they were imprifoned: Which they chiefly effect, when after fome of them have by fpontaneous motion attained their freedom, if any thing be circumftant, which hath the power of repelling them, as cold; for, in that care, returning again into the centrals of the body, from whence they came; and fo affociating with their fellows, promote the difcuffion of the remaining impediments, and concur to a general Emption. From this Motion arifeth that Heat, or Fire, which is vulgarly afcribed to the Antiperiftafis, or Circumobfiftence of Cold; as, for Example, when a heap of new Corn, or Mowe of green Hay, being kept too clofe, during the time of its fermentation, or fweating (as our Husbandmen call it) fets it felf on fire : the cold of the ambient aer, repelling the Atoms of Fire (which otherwife would expire infenfibly)back again into it; and fo caufing them to unite to their fellows: and upon that confociation they fuddainly engage in a general cumbuftion, and diffolving all impediments, acquire their liberty. Hence alfo proceed all thofe Heats, which are oblerved
obferved in Fermentation P Putrifaction and all other inteftine Commotions $^{2}$ and Mutations of Bodies.

Hither likewife would we refer that fo generally believed Phxnomenon, the Warmnefs of Fountains; Cellars, Mines, and all fubterraneous Fuffes, in Winter: but that we conceive it not only fuperfluous, butalfo of evil confequence in Phyfiology, to confign a Caufe, where we have good reafon to doubt the verity of the Effect. For, if we ftrictly examine the ground of that common Affertion; we fhall find it to confift only in a mifinformation of our fenfe, i.c. though Springs, Wells, Caves, and all fubterraneous places are really as Cold in Winter, as Summer ; yet dowe apprehend them to be warm: becaufe we fuppofe that ive bring the organs of the fenfe of Touching alike drfpofed in Winter and Summer, riot confidering that the fame thing doth appear Cold to a hot, and warm to a Cold hand, nor obferving, that oyle will be conglaciated, in Winter, in fubterraneous Cells, which yet appear warm to thofe, who enter them, but not in Summer, when yet they appear Cold. Secondly, by Motion External, when a Sawe grows Hot, by continuall affriction againft wood, or ftone; or when fire is kindled by the long and hard affriction of 2 dry fticks, \&c. This is manifeft even from hence, that unlefs the bodies agitated, or rubbed againft each other, are fuch as contain igneous Atoms in them; no motion, however lafting and violent, can excite the leaft degree of Heat in them. For, Water agitated moft continently and violently, never conceives the left warmth: becaufe it is wholly deftitute of Calorifick Atoms. Laftly, as for the Heat, excited in a body, upon the Motion of its Whole, whether is be moved by it felf, or fome External movent ; of this fort is that Heat, of which motion is commonly affirmed to be the fole Caufe: as when an Animal grows hot wich running, \&c. and a Buller acquires heat in flying, \&c. And thus much concerning the manner of Emancipation of our $\mathrm{Ca}-$ lorifick Atoms.

The next thing confiderable, is their peculiar Serminatie, or Conferviatory; concerning which it may be obferved, that the Atoms of Fire cannor, in regard of their extreme Exility; Sphrrical Figure, and velocity of motion, be in any but an Unctuous and vifcous matter, fuch whofe other Atoms are more hamous, and reciprocally cohærent, than to be diffociated eafily by the inteftine motions of the Calorifick Atoms; fo that fome greater force is required to the diffolution of that unctuouffers and tenacity, whereby they mutually cohxre. And hereupon we may fafely conclude, that an Uncłuous fubftance is as it were the chief, nay the fole Matrix, or Seminary of Fire or Heat ; and that fuch Bodies only, as are capable of incaltefence and iuflammation, mult contain fomewhat of Fatnefs and uncurofity in them. Sometimes, we confefs, it is obferved, that Concretions, which have no fuch Unctuofity at all in them, as Water, are Hot, but yet we cannot allow them to be properly faid to wax Hot , but to be made Hot; becaufe the principle of that their Heat is not Internal to them, but External or $\mathcal{A}$ cititioss. For inftance; when Fire is put under a veffel of Water; the fmall bodies, or particles of Fire by degrees infinuate themfelves thorowe the pores of the veffel into the fubftance of the Water, and diffufe themfelves throughour the fame; though not fo totally, at firft, as not to leave, the major part of the particles of the Water untoucht: to which
other igneous Atoms fucceffively admix themfelves, as the water grows hotter and hotter. And evident it is, how fmall a time the Water doth keep its acquired heat, when once removed from the fire: becaufe, the Atoms of Heat being meerly Adventitious to it, they fpontaneoully defert it one after another, and leave it, as they found ir, Cold: only this Alteration, they caule therein, that they diminifh the Quantity thereof, infomuch as fucceffively afcending into the aer, they carry along with chem the more tenuious and moveable particles of the Water, in the apparence of vapours, which are nothing but Water Diffufed, or Rarefied.

Art. 9. Among untuous Concretions, Why fome are, more eafily inflammable than others.

But, if what we affirm, that only Unctuous Bodies are Inflammable, be generally true; whence comes it, that amongft Unctuous and Pinguous Concretions, fome more eaflly take fire, than others? The Caufe, certainly is this, that the Atoms of Fire, incarcerated, in fome Concretions, are not fo deeply immerft in, nor fo oppreft and overwhelmed with other Heterogenous particles of matter, as in others: and fo acquire the liberty of Eruption much more eafily. Thus dry Wood is fooner kindled, than Green; becaufe, in the green, the Aqueous moyfture, furrounding and oppreffing the Atoms of Fire therein contained, is firft to be difcuffed and attenuated into vapours: but, in the Dry, time, by the mediation of the warmth in the ambient aer, hath already abfumed that luxuriant moyfture, fo that none bue the oleaginous, or unctuous part, wherein the Atoms of Fire have their principal refidence, remains to be difcuffed, which done, the Atoms of Fire inftandly iffue forth in fwarms, and difcover themfelves in flame. Thus fpirit of Wine is fo much the fooner inflammable, by how much the more pure and defæcated it is; becaufe the igneous Atoms therein concluded, are delivered from the greater part of that Phlegme, or aqueous humidity, wherewith they were formerly furrounded and oppreft. On the contrary, a fone is not made Combuftible without great difficulty; becaufe the fubftance of it is focompact, as that the Unctuous humidity is long in difcuffion. We fay, a Stone, not a Peble, or Arenaceous one, becaufe fuch is deftitute of all Unctuofity, and fo of all igneous particles: but, a Limeftone, fuch as is capable of reduction to a Calx: or a Flint out of which by concuffion againft fteel, are excuffed many fmall fragments, plentifully fraught with Atoms of Fire.

Art. 10. A conse. CTARY. That Rarefaation is the proper Effeit of Hear.

The Nature and Origine of Hear being thus fully explicated, according to the moft verifimilous Principles of Democritus, Epicurus, and their Sectators; it follows, that we progrefs to thofe Porifmat a or Confestaries, which from thence refult to our oblervation; and the Solution of fome moft confiderable Problems, retaining to the fame Argument, fuch efpecially as have hitherto eluded the folutive capacity of any other Hypothefis, but what we have here afferted.

Infomuch, therefore, as the Atoms of Heat, which are always incarcerated in an Unctuous Matter, doe, upon the acquifition of cheir liberty, iffue forth with violence, and infinuating themfelves
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into Bodies, which they meet withal, and totally pervading them, diffociate their particles, and diffolve their Compage or Contexture : Hence is it manifeft, thac Rarefaction, or Dilatation is upon good reafon accounted the proper Effect of Hear, fince thofe parts of a body, which are Conjoyned, cannot be Disjoyned, but they muft inftantly poffels a greater part of fpace (underftand us in that ftrict fenfe, which we kept our felves to, in our Difcourfe of Rarefaction and Condenfation ) than before. Hence comes it, that Water in boyling, feems fo to be encreafed, that what, when cold, filled fcarce half the Caldron, in ebullition cannot be contained in the whole, but fivells over the brim thereof. Hence is it alfo, that all bodies attenuated into Fume, are diffufed into fpace an hundred, nay fometimes a thoufand degrees larger than what they poffeffed before.

From this Confectary we arrive at fome Problems, which ftand directly in our way to another; and the Firft is chat Vulgar one, Why the bottom of a Caldron, whereinWater, or any other Liquor is boyling, is but moderately warm, at moft not fo bot, as to burn a mans band applyed thereto?

The Caufe of this culinary Wonder (fo our Houfewifes account it) feems to be this; when the Atoms of Heat, paffing through the pores in the bottom of the Caldron into the water, do afcend through it, they
drt.1. ${ }^{-1}$
PRoblem i. Why the bottora of a Cale dron, wherein Water is boyling, may be touched by the hand of a man, without burning it: Sol. elevate and carry along with them fome particles thereof: and at the fame time, other particles of Water, next adjacent to them, fink down, and inftancly flowe into the places deferted by the former, which afcended, and infinuate themfelves into the now laxarated pores in the bottom of the caldron. And though thefe are foon repelled upwards by other Atoms of Fire alcending thorowe the pores of the Veffel, and carried upwards, as the former, yet are there ocher particles of Water, which finking down, infinuate alfo into the open pores of the veffel, and by their conflux or downward motion, much refract the violence of the fubingredient Atoms of Fire: and fo, by this viciffitude of Heat and Moyfture, it comes to pafs, that the Heat cannot be diffufed throughout the bottom of the Caldron, the Humidity (which falls into the pores of it in the fame proportion, as the Heat paffech thorow them) hindering the poffeffion of all its empty fpaces by the invading Atoms of Fire. Nor doth it availe to the concrary, that the Water which infinuates into the pores of the veflel, is made Hot , and fo muft calefie the fame, in fome proportion, as well as the. Fire underneath it; becaufe boyling Water poured into a cold Caldron, doth more than warm it: For, thofe parcicles of Water, which fucceffively enter into the void fpaces of the veffel, are fuch as have not yet been penetrated per minimas, by the Acoms of Fire. For, all the cold, formerly entered into the water, is not at once difcuffed, though the Water be in boyling; the Ebullition arifing only from the cohærence of the calefied with the uncalefied particles of the Water. And from the fame Caule is it, that a fheet of the thinneft Venice Paper, if fo folded upward in its Margines, as to hold Oyle infufed into it, and laid upon a gridiron over burning coals; doth endure the fire without inflammation for a good fpace:

Which fome Cooks obferving, ufe to fry Bacon upon a meet of Paper only.

Art. 12. probilm why Lime becomes ardent upon the affufion of Water. Sol.

Secondly, Why doth Lime acquire an Heat and great Ebullition upon the affufion of Water? Since, if our pracedent AJertion be true, the Heat included in the Lime ought to be fuppreft fo much the more, by bow much the more Aqueous Humidity is admixt anto it.

This Difficulty is difcuffed by Anfivering; that the Aqueous Humidity of the Lime-ftone is indeed wholly evaporated by fire in its calcination; but yet the Pingous, or Unctuous for the moft part remains, fo that its Atoms of Fire lye fill blended and incarcerated therein: and when thofe expede themfelves, and by degrees expire into the ambient aer, if they be impeded and repelled by water affufed, they recoyle upon the grumous maffes of the Lime, and by the Circumobfiftence of the Humidity, become more congregated; and fo upon the uniting of their forces make way for the Exfilition of the other Atoms of Fire, which otherwife could not have attained their liberty but flowly and by fucceffion one after another.So that all the Atoms of Fire contained in the Lime, iffuing forth together, they break through the water, calefie it, and make it bubble or boyle up; the calefied parts thereof being yet cohrerent to the uncalefied.

Art. 13. PROBLEM 3. Why the Heat of Lime burning is more vehement, rhan the Heat of any $F$ lame whatever. Sol.

The Third Problem is, why the Heat of Lime, kindled by Water is more intenfe than that of any Flame whatever?

Anfwer, that forafmuch as Flame is nothing but Fire Rarefied, or as it were an Explication, or Diffufion of thofe Atoms of Fire, which were lately ambufcadoed in fome Unctuous matter; and that all Fire is fo much more intenfe or vehement, by how much more Denfe it is, i.e. by how much the more congregated the Atoms which conftitute it are: therefore is the Heat of Lime unflaking more vehement than that of any Flame, in regard the fmalleft grains of Lime contain in them many Atoms of Fire, which are not fo diffufed or difgregated in a moment, as thofe in Flame. So that a mans hand being waved to and fro in Flame., is invaded by incomrably fewer particles of Fire, than when it is dipt into, or waved through water at the unflaking of Lime thereby; the fmall granes of Lime adhæring unto, and infinuating into the pores of the hand, the many Atoms of fire invelloped in them, incontinently explicate themfelves, violently penetrate and dilacerate the skin, and other fentient parts,and fo produce that Pungent and Acute pain, which is felt in all Ambultions. From the fame Reafon alfo is it, that a glowing Coale burns more vehemently than Flame : and the Coals of more folid wood, as Juniper, Cedar, Guaiacum, Eboriy, Oke, \&c. more vehemently than thofe of Loofer wood, fuch as Willow, Elder, Pine tree, \&c. The like Difproportion is obfervable alfo in the Flames of divers Fewels; for in the flame of Juniper are contained far more Igneous Atoms, than in that of Willow: : and confequently they burn fo much more vehemently. True it is, that fpirit of Wine enflamed, is fo much more Ardent, by how much more refined and cohobated : yet this proceedech from another Caufe; ait. that the Atoms of Fire iffuing from fpirit of Wine of the firft Extraction, have much of the Phletegme, or Aqueous moyfture of the Wine intermixt among them; and fo cannot be alleaged
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as an Example that impugne's our Reafon of the Different Heats of feveral
Flames.
The Fourth, is that Vulgar Quære, Why boyling Oyle doth fcald more dan-
geroufly, than boyling Water?

To which it is eafily Anfwered; that Oyle, being of an Unctuous and Tenacious confiftence, and fo having iss particles more firmly coharent, than Water, doth not permit the Atoms of Fire entered into it, fo eafily to tranfpire : fo that being more agminous, or fwarming in oyl, they muft invade, and dilacerate the hand of a man, immerfed into it, both more thickly and deeply, than thofe more Difperfed ones contained in boyling Water. Which is alfo the Reafon, why Oyle made fervent is much longer in cooling, than Water: and may be extended to the Solution of the

Fifth Problem, viz. Wherefore do cretals, efpecially Gold, when melted, or made glowing bot, burn more violently, than the Fire that melteth, or beateth them; efpecially, fince no Atoms of Fire can juftly be affirmed to be lodged in them, as in their proper feminary, and $f_{0}$ not to be educed from them, upon their Liquation, or Ignition.

For; the Heat, wherewith they procure Ambuftion,being not domeftick, but only Adventitious to them from the Fire, wherein they are melted, or made red hot ; the reafon why they burn fo extreamly, muft be this, that they are exceedingly Compact in fubftance, and fo their particles being more tenacious or reciprocally coharent, then thofe of wood, oyle, or any other body whatever, they more firmly keep together the Atoms of fire inmitted into them : infoinuch that a man cannot touch them with his finger, but inftantly it is in all points invaded with whole fwarms of igneous Atoms, and moft fiercely compunged and dilacerated. And, as for the Derafoon of the skin from any part of an Animal, immerfed into melted metal ; this ariferh partly from the total diffolution of the tenour of the skin by the denfe, and on every fide compungent Atoms of Fire; partly from the Compreffion and Refiftence of the parts of the Metal, now made Fluid, which are both fo great, that upon the withdrawing of the member immerred into the meal, the part which is immediately preft upon by the particles thereof, is detained behind, and that's the skin. Hence alfo is it no longer a Problem, why red bot Iron fets any Combuyfible matter on Fire; for it is evident, that it cannot inflame by its own fubftance, but by the Atoms of Fire immitted into, and for $a$ while reteined in its Pores. And this brings us to a

Second C ONSECTARY, vit. That as the Degrees of Heat are various (Phyficians, indeed, allow only 4, and Phyfiologifts but double that number; the Former, in order to the more convenient reduction of their Art to certain and eftablifhed principles; the Latter, meerly in conformity to the Dictates of Arifootle : but Neither upon abfolute neceffity, fince it is reafonable for any man to augment their number even above number, at pleafure) So alfo muft the Degrees of fire be various. For, fince Fire, even according to Arijfotle is only the Excefs of Hear, or Heat encreafed to that height, as to Burn, or Enflame a thing; if we begin at the gentle Meteor called

Art. 143 PROBLEM 4: Why boyling oyle fcalds more vehemently, then boyling Watet. Sol.

Art. 15. PROBLEMS: Why Metals, melred or made red hor, burn more violent than the Fire, that melreth or heaterh chemid Sol.

Art. Ib: consecta. RY 2. That, as the degrees of Hear, fo thofe of fire are in. numerably various.
called Ignis Fatuus (which lighting upon a mans hand, and agood while adhereing thereto, doth hardly warm it) or at the fire of the puref (pirit of Wine enflamed (which alfo is very languid, for it is frequent among the Irifh, for a Cure of their Endemious Fluxes of the belly, to fwallow down fmall balls of Cotton, fteept in fpirit of wine, and fet on fire, and that many times with good fuccels.) We lay, if we begin from either of there weak Fires, and run through all the intermediate ones, to that of melted Gold, which all men acknowledge to be the Higheft: we fhall foon be convinced, that the Degrees of Fire are fo various, as to arife even to innumerability.

Art. 17. That to the Calefation, Combuftion, or Inflammation of a body by fre, is required a certain Space of time; and that the face is greater or lets, according to the panciry, or abundance of the igneous Aroms invading the body obiected ; and more or lefs of apritude in the contexture thereof to admit them.

Moft true it is, in the General, that every Fire is fo much the more intenfe, by how much more numerous, or agminous the Atoms of Fire are, that make it : yet, if we regard only the Effect, there muft be allowed a convenient fpace of time, for the requifite motion of thofe Atoms, and a fupply of frefh ones fucceffively to invade and penetrate the thing to be burned or enflamed. For, fince the Igneous Atoms, exfilient from their involucrum, or feminary, and invading the extrems of a body objected to them, are fubject to eafy Repercuffion, or (rather) Refilition from it; therefore, to the Calefaction, Aduftion, or Inflammation of a body, it is not fufficient, that the body be only moved along by, or over the Fire: but it muft be held neer, or in it, fo long as till the firt invading Igneous Atoms, which otherwife would recoyle from it, be impelled on, and driven into the pores of the fame, by ftreams of other Igneous Atoms contiguoufly, fucceeding and preffing upon them. And, however the fpace of time, bealmoft in affignably fhort, in which the finger of a man, touching a glowing Coale, or melted metal, is burned; becaufe, the Atoms of Fire are therein exceeding Denfe and Agminous, and fo penetrate the skin, in all points: yet neverthelefs common obfervation affures, that in the General a certain \{pace of time is neceffary to the Effect of Calefaction or Ambuftion; and that fo much the Longer, by how much the Fewer, or more Difgregated the Igneous Atoms are, either in the Body Calefying, or the Aer conterminous thereto. And this (as formerly) to the end, that the Motion of the Igneous Atoms firft affaulting the object may be continued, and a fupply of frelh ones, promoting and impelling the former, be afforded from the Focus, or Seminary. Hence is it, that a mans hand may befrequently Waved to and fro in Flame, without burning; becaufe the Atoms of Fire, which invade it, are repercuffed, and not by a continued aflux of others driven foreward into its pores, the motion of his hand preventing the Continuity of their Fluor : but, if his hand be held ftill in the flame, though but a very fhort time, it muft be burned; becaufe the firft invading Atoms of Fire are impelled on by ochers, and thofe again by others, in a continent fluor, fo that their Motion is continued, and a conftant fupply maintained. Hence comes it alfo, that no Metal can be molten only by a Flafh, or tranfient touch of the Fire (for, we are not yet fully fatisfied of the verity of that vulgar tradition, of the inftantaneous melting of money in a purfe, or of a fword blade in its theath, by Lightning: and if we were, yet could we affign that prodigious Effect to fome more propable Caufe; vit. the impetnofity of the motion, and the exceeding Coarctation of thofe Atoms of Fire, of which that peculiar fper cies of Lightning doth confift) but it muft be fo long held in , or over the Fire, as until the Igneous Atoms have totally pervaded its contexture, and
diffociated all its particles: and therefore, fo much the longer ftay in the fire doth every Metal require to its Fufion; by how much the more Compact and Tenacious its particles are.

As the Degrees of Fire are various, as to the more and lefs of Vehemency, refpective to the more and lefs Denfity, or Congregation of the Igneous Atoms: So likewife is there a confiderably variety among Flames, as to the moreand lefs of Daration. Concerning the Caufes, therefore of this Variety, in the General, we briefly obferve ; that Flame hath its Greater or Lefs Duration, refpective to the
(I.) Various Materials, or Bodies inflaminable. For, fuch Bodies, as have a greater Averfion to inflammation, being commixt with others, that are eafily inflammáble, make their flame lefs Durable; as Bay Salt', diffolved in fpirit of Wine, fhortens the duration of its flame, by almofta chird part, as the Lord Bacon affirms upon exact experiment (Nat. Hift. cent.4.) and contrariwife, fuch as approach neerer to an affinity with fire, i.e. have much of Unctuoufnefs, and plenty of igneous Atoms concealed therein, yield the moft lafting Flames; as Oyle and Spirit of Wine commixt in due proportions; and fpirit of Salt, to a tenth part, commixt with Oyle Olive, makes it burn twice as long in a Lamp, as Oyle alone, from whence fome Chymifts have promifed to make Eternal Lamps with an Oyle extracted from common Salt, and the fone Amit. anthus.
(2.) The more or lefs eafie Attraction of its Pabulum, or Nourifhment. For, Lamps, in which the Flame draweth the oyle from a greater diftance, always burn much longer, than Candles, or Tapers, where the circumference of the fewel is but fmall; and the broader the furface of the Oyle, or Wix, wherein the Wiek is immerfed, fo much the longer doth the flame thereof endure; not only in regard of the greater Quantity of Nourihment, but of its flower Calefaction, and fo of its longer Refiftence to the abfumptive faculty of the flame. Since it is ohferved, that the Coolnefs of the Nourifhment, doth make it more flowly confumable : as in Candles floating in water. This was experimented in that fervice of our quondam Englifh Court, called All night; which was a large Cake of Wax, with the Wiek, fet in the middeft : fo that the flame, being fed with nourifhment lefs heated before hand, as coming far off, muft of neceffity laft much longer, than any Wax Taper of a fmall circumference.
(3) Various Conditions of the Same Materials. For, Oid anid Hard Candles, whether of Wax, or Tallowe, maintain flame much longer than New, or foft. Which good Houfwives knowing, ufe no Candles under a year old, and fuch as hawe, for greater induration, been laid a good while in Bran, or Flower. And, from the fame reafon is it, that Wax, as being more firm and hard, admixt to Tallowe and made up into Candles, ciufech them to be more lafting, then if they were propared of Tallowe alone.
(4.) Different Conditions, and Tempers of the ambient Ler. For, the Quiet and Clofenefs of the Aer, wherein a Taper burneth, much conduceth to the prolongation of its flame: and contrariwife; the Agitation thereof,
thereof, by winds, or fanning, conduceth as much to the fhorening of it: infomuch as the motion of flame makes it more greedily actract, and more fpeecily devour its furtenance. Thus a Candle laftech much longer in a Lanthorne, than at large in a fpacious roome. Which alfo might be affigned as one Caufe of the long Duration of thofe fubterranean Lamps, fuch as have been found (if credit be due to the tradition of Bapt. Porta, (iib. 12 Magia natural. cap.ultum.) Hermolaus Barbarus (in lib. 5. Dio cap. 11.) and Cedrenns" Histor.Compend.) All which moft confidently avouch it, upon auchentique teftimonies.) in the Urns of many Noble Romans, many hundreds of years after their Funerals. Here fhould our Reader bid us stand, and deliver him our pofitive judgement, upon this ftupendious Rarity, which hath been uged by fome Laurear Antiquaries, as a cheif Argument of the tranfcendency of the Ancients Knowledge as in all Arts, fo in the admirable fecrets of Pyrotechny, above that of Later Ages; as we durft not be fo uncharirable, to quarftion the Veracity of either the Inventors, or Reporters of it : fo fhould we not be fo uncivil, as not to releive his Curiofity, at leaft with a fhort fory, that may light Him towards farther fatisfaction. A certain Chymift there was, not many years fince, who having decocted Litharge of Gold, Tartar, Cinnaber, and Cals vive, in fpirit of Vinegre, until the Vinegre was wholly evaporated ; clofely covering and luting up the earchen veffel, wherein the Decoction was made, buried it deeply in a dry Earth, for 7 monechs together (in order to more (peedy maturation, expected from the Antiperiftalis of Cold) came at length to obferve what became of his Compofition: and opening the veffel, obferved a certain bright Flame to iffue from thence, and that fo vehement, as it fired the hair of his eyebrowes and head. Now, having furnifhed our Reader with this faithful Narrative; we leave it to his owne determination : Wherher it be not more probable, that thofe Corufcations;' or Flafhes of Light, perceived toiffue from Vials of Earch, found in the demolifht fepulchres of the Great olybius, and fome eminent Romans, at the inflant of their breaking up by the fade, or pickaxe ; did proceed rather from fome fuch Chymical Mixture, as shis of our Chymift (whoacquired Light by the hazard of Blindnefs) which is of that nature as to be in a moment kindled, and yield a fhortlived flame, upon the intromiffion of Aer into the veffel, whereinit is contained; than from any Fewel, thar is fo flowly Abfumable by Fire, as to maintain a conftant Flame, for many hundred years together, without extinction, and that in fo fmall a vial, as the Fume murt needs recoyle and foon fuffocate the Flame. But we return from our Digreffion, and directly purfue our emboft Argument. It much importech the greater and lefs Continuance of Flame, whether the Aer be Warm, or Cold, Dry or CMojf. For Cold Aer irritacech flame, by Circumobfiftence, and caufeth it burn more fiercely, and fo lefs durably; as is manifeft from hence, that Fire foorchech in frofty weather: but Warme Aer, by making flame more calm and gente, and fo more fparing of its nourihhment, much helpech the Continuance of it. If Moijf, becaure it impedech the motion of the ignequs Atoms, and fo in fome degree quenchech flame, at leaft, makes it burn more dimly and dully; it muft of neceffity advance the Duration of flame: and contrariwife, Drie Aer, meerly as drie, produceth Contrary Effect, though not in the fame proportion; nay fo little, that fome Naturalifts have concluded the Drinets of Aer to be only indifferent, as to the Duration of Flame.

And now we are arrived at our Third and Lift C O NS E C T AR Y; That the immediate and genuine Effect of Heat, is Difgregation, or Separation: and that it is only by Accident that Heat doth Congregate Homogeneous natures. To argue by the moft familiar way of Inftance; when Heat hath diffolved a piece of Ice, confifting of water, earth, and perhaps of gravel and many fmall Feftucous bodies commixt; the Earth, Sand and other Terrene parts fink downe and convene together at the bottom, the water returns to, its native fluidity, and poffeffeth the middle re gion of the Continent, and the Itrawes fivim on the furface of the water: not that it is effential to the Heat fo to difpofe them; but effential to them, being diffociated and fo at liberty, each to take it proper place, according to the feveral degrees of their Gravity. Thus alfo, when a Mafs of various Metals is melted by Fire, each metal, indeed, takes it proper region in the Crucible, or fufory veffel : but yet the Congregation of the Homogeneous particles of each particular Metal, is not immediately caufed, but only occafioned, i.e. Accidentally brouight to pals by the Difgregation or pracedent feparition of the particles of the whole Heterogeneous Concretion, by heat. Again, the Energy of every Caufe in Nature ceafeth, upon the production of its perfect Effect; but the Effect of Heat ceareth not, when the Homogenieties of the mals of Ice, or Metal, are Congregated, but continues the fame after, as before, i.e. to Diffolve the compage of the Metal, or Ice, and Diffociate all the particles thereof: for, fo long as the Heat is continued, fo long do the Ice and Metal remain Diffolved and Fluid. This confidered, what fhall we fay to Arifotle, who makes it the Effential Attribute of Heat, Congregare Homogenes, to Congregate Homogeneous Bodies. Truly, rather then openly convict fo great a Votary to truth of fo palpable an Error; we fhould gladly become his Compurgator, and palliate his miftake with an indulgent comment; that in his Definition ' of Heat, to be a Quality genuinely Congregative of Homogeneous natures, He had his eye, not upon the General Effect of Heat (which He could not but obferve, to Difgregate the particles of all things, afivel Homogeneous, as Heterogeneous.) but upon fome fpecial Effect of it upon fome particular Concretions, fuch as are Compounded of parts of Divers natures, as Wood and all Combuftible bodies. Concerning which, indeed, His Affertion is thus far juftifiable, that the whole Bodie is fodiffolved by fire, as that the Diffimilar parts of it are perfectly fequeftred each from other, and every one attains it proper place; the Aereal part afcending and affociating with the Aer, the Aqueous evaporating, the Igneous difcovering themfelves in Flame, and the earthy remaining behind, in the forme of Afhes. But alas! this favourable Conjecture cannot excufe, nor gild over his Incogitancy; for, the Congregation of the Homogenous particles of a Body, diffolved by Fire, in the place moft convenient to their particular Nature, arifeth immediately from their own Tendency thither, or (that we may fpeak more like our felves, i.e. the Difciples of Epicurus) from their refpective proportions of Gravity, the more Heavy extruding and fo impelling upward the lefs heavy: and only Accidentally from Heat, or as it hath diffolved the cæment, and fo the Continuity of the Concretion, wherein they were confufedly and promifcuoufly blended together. So that Truth will not difpenfe with our Connivence at fo dangerous a Lapfe, though in one of Her choiceft Favorites; chiefly, becaufe it hath already deluded Rrr

## Art.19.

 consecta. RY 3. That the immediate and genuine Effect of Heat, is the Difgregation of all bodies, as $w=11$ Hemoge. necus,as: hicte. rogenouss and thas the Congregatim of Hanogencous Natures, is only an Accilen. tal Effect of Heat; conerary to Arifotile.fo many of Her feekers, under the glorious title of a Fundamental Axiome: but frictly enjoynes Us, to Conclude; that Heat, per fe, or of its own nature, is alwayes a Difgregative 2uality; and that it is of of meer Accident, that upon the fequeftration of Heterogeneities, Homogeneous Natures are affociated, rather than, écontra, that it is of meer Accident, that while Heat Congregates Homogeneous, it Thould Difgregate Heterogeneous Natures, as Ariftotle moft inconfiderat ely affirmed and taught.

## Sect. II.

Art. 1. The Link connetting this Sedtion to the former.

ASin the Courle, fo in the Difcourfe of Nature, having done with the principle of Life, Heat, we muft immediately come to the principle of Death, COLD : whofe Effence we cannot feafonably explain, before we have proved, that it hath an Effence; fince many have hotly, though with but cold Arguments, contended, that it hath none at all, but is a meer Privation, or Nothing.

Art.2. That Cold is no Privation of Heat ; but a Real and $P_{s}$ fitive luality: demonftrated.

That Cold, therefore, is a Real Ens, and hath a Pofitive Nature of its own, may be thus demonftrated. (I.) Such are the proper Effects of Cold, as cannot, without open abfurdity, be afcribed to a fimple Privation; fince a Privation is incapable of Action: for, Cold compingeth all Bodies, that are capable of its efficacy, and congealeth Water into Ice, which is more than ever any man durft affigne to a privation. And, when a man thrufts his hand into cold Water, the Cold He then feels, cannot be fayd to be a meer privation of the Heat of his hand; fince, his hand remains as Hot, if not hotter than before; the Calorifick Atoms of his hand being more united, by the circumobfiftence of the Cold. (2.) All Heat doth Concentre and unite it Celf, upon the Antiperiftafis of Cold; not from fear of a privation, becaufe Heat is defititute of a fenfe of its owne being, and fo of fear to lofe that being; and if not, yet Nothing can have no Contrariety, nor Activity: but, from Repulfion, as we have formerly delivered. (3.) Though many bodies are oblerved to become Cold, upon the abfence, or Expiration of Heat : yet is it the intromiffion of the Quality contrary to Heat, that makes them fo; for, if External Cold be not introduced into their pores, they cannot be fo properly fayd, Frigefcere, to wax Cold, as Decalefcere, to wax lefs Hot. Thus a ftone, which is not Hot, nor Cold, unlefs by Accident, being admoved to the fire, is made Hot; and removed from the fire, you cannot (unlefs the ambient Aer intromit its Cold into it) fo juftly fay, that it growes Cold, as that it grows Lefs hot, or returnes to its native fate of indifferency. (4.) When Water (vulgarly, though untruely prafumed to be naturally or effentially cold) is congealed into Ice by the Cold of the aer, it would be moft thamefully abfurd, to affirm, that the Cold of the Icearifeth meerly from the Abfence of Heat in the water; becaufe it is the effential part of the fuppofition, that the Water had no Heat before. (5.) Privation knowes no Degrees; for the Word imports the totall Deftitution, or Abfence of fomewhat
formerly
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formerly had, otherwife, in rigid truth, it can be no Privation (and therefore our common Diftinction of a Partial, and Total privation, hath lived thus long meerly upon indulgence and tolleration.): but Cold hath its various Degrees, for Water is colder to the touch than Earth, Ice than Water, \&c. therefore Cold is no Privative, but a Pofitive 2uality.

The Reality of Cold being thus clearly evicted, we may, with more advantage undertake the confideration of its Formality, and explore theroots of thofe Attributes commonly imputed thereunto.

Firft, therefore, we obferve; that though Cold be Scholaftically defined by that paffion caufed in the organs of the fenfe of touching, upon the contact of a Cold object; yet doth not that fpecial Notion fufficiently exprefs its Nature : becaufe there is a more General Effect by which it falls under our cognizance; and that is the Congregation and Compaction of the parts of bodies. For, fince Cold is the Antagonift to Heat, whofe proper vertue it is, to Difcufs and Difgregate ; therefore muft the proper and iminediate virtue of Cold be, to Congregate and Compinge : and confequently, ought we to form to our felves a notion of the Effence of Cold, according to that general Effect,rather than that fpecial one produced in the fenfe of Touching, which doth adumbrate only a Relative part of it.

Secondly, that by Cold, we underftand not any Immaterial 2asality; as Axifforle and the Schools after him; but a Subftantial one, i.e. certain particles of CMatter, or Aloms whofe determinate Magnitude and Figure adapt or empower them to congregate and compinge bodies, or to produce all thofe Effects obferved to arife immediately from Cold. And, as the Asoms, which are comparated to the Caufation of fuch Effects, may rightly be termed, the Atoms of Cold, or Frigorifick Atoms: fo may thofe Concresions, which harbour fuch Atoms, and are capable of Emitting them, be named Cold Concretions; either Actually, as Froft, fnowe, the North-wind, \&c. or Potentially, as Nitre, Hemlock, Night-fhade, and all other fimples afiwel Medical, as Toxical or Poyfonous, whofe Alterative Vircue confifteth cheifly in Cold.

Now, as for the determinate Figure of Frigorifick Atoms; our enquiries can hope for but fmall light from the almoft confumed vaper of Antiquity: For, though Philoponus (in I phyfic.) of Magnenus (de Atomis, difput. 2. cap.3.) confidently deliver, that Democritus affigned a Cubical Figure to the Atoms of Cold; and endeavour to jultifie that affignation, by fundry Mathematical reafons: yet Arifotle, a man afwell acquainted with the doctrines of his Predeceffors, as either of thofe, exprefly affirms, that nor Democritus, nor Leucippus, nor Epicurus determined the Atoms of Cold to any particular Figure at all; for, His
 determinarunt. So, that rather than remain altogether in the dark, we muft ftrike fire out of that learned Conjecture of our Mafter Gaffendus; and taking our indication from the rule of Contrariety, infer, that the Atoms of Heat being fpherical, thofe of Cold, iniall reafon, muft be Tetrabedical, or "Pyramidal, confifting of 4 fides, or equilateral Triangles. To make the reafonablenefs of this fuppofition duly cvident, let us
confider (r.) That as Heat hath its origine from Atoms moft exile in magnitude, Spherical in figure, and fo moft fwift of motion: fo muft its Contrary, Cold, be derived from principles of Contrary proprieties, viz. Atoms not 10 exile in magnitude, of a Figure moft oppofite to a fphere, and fo of moft flow motion. (2.) That none but Tetrabedical Atoms can juftly challenge to themfelves thefe proprieties, that are requifite to the Effenfification of Cold. For (I.) If we regard their Magnitude, a Terrahedical Atom may be Greater than a Spherical, by its whole Angles : becaufe a Sphere may be circumfcribed wichin a Tetrahedon. (2.) If the Figure it felf; none is more oppofed to a Sphere, than a Tetrahedon: becaufe it is Angular, and fartheft recedeth from chat infinity, or (rather) innumerability of fmall infenfible fides, which a profound Geometrician may fpeculate in a Sphere. (3.) If their Mobility; no body can be more unapt for motion, than a Tetrahedical one: for, what vulgar Mathematicians impute toa Cube, viz. that it challengeth the palme from all other Figures, for Ineptitude to motion, doth indeed more rightfully belong to a Tetrahedon; as will foon appear to any equitable confideration, upon the perpenfion of the reafons alleagable on both parts. But here we are to fignifie, that this ineptitude to motion proper to Tetrahedical Atoms, is not meant of Atoms at liberty, and injoying freedom of motion, in the Inane face; fince, in that ftate all Atoms are præfumed to be of equal velocity: but only of Atoms wanting that liberty, fuch as are included in Concretions, and by inteftine evolutions continuatly attempt Emancipation and Exfilition. (4.) It cannot impugne, at leaft, not ftagger the reafonablenefs of this conjectural Affignation of a Tetrahedical figure to the Atoms of Cold, that Plato (in Timao) definitely adfrcribeth a Pyramidal Figure to Fire, not to the Aer, i.e. to the Atoms of Heat, not to thofe of Cold : becauf, if any fhall thereupon conceive, that a Pyramid is moft capable of penetrating the skin of a man, and confequently of producing therein the fenfe of Heat, rather than Cold; He may be foon converted by confidering a paffage in our former fection of this Chapter, that the Atoms of Heat may, though fpherical, as well in refpect of their extreme Exility (which the point of no Pyramid can exceed) as of the velocity of their Motion, prick as fharply, and penetrate as deeply, as the Angles of the fmalleft Pyramid imaginable. To which may be conjoyned, that the Atoms of Cold, according to our fuppofition, are alfo capable of Pungency and Penetration; and confequently that a kind of Aduftion is alfo affignable to great Cold; according to that expreffion of Virgil (I Georg.) Boccea penetrabile frigus adurit. For, in fervent Frofts (to ufe the fame Epithite, as the fiveet-tonguied Ovid, in the fame cale) when our hands are, as the Englifh phrafe is, Benumm'd with Cold; if we hold them to the fire, we inftantly feel a fharp and pricking pain in them. Which arifeth from hence, that the Atoms of Heat, while by their agility and conftant fupplies they. are difpelling thofe of Cold, which had entred and poffeffed the pores of our hands, do varioufly commove and invert chem; they are haftily driven forth, and in their contention and egress, cut and dilacerate the flelh and skin, as well with their fmall points, as edges lying betwixt their points, and fo produce an acute and pungitive pain. Whereupon the fage Sernertus (de 1 tomis) grounds his advice, that in extreme cold weather, when our hands are fo ftupified, as that an Extinction of their vital heat may be feared; we either immerfe them into cold water, or rub them in fnow, that the Atoms of Cold, which have wedged each other
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into the pores, may be gently and gradually called forth, before we hold them to the fire: and cthis, leart not only grievous pain be cuufed, but a Gangrene enfue, from the totall diffolution of the Contexture of our hands by the violent intrufion of the Cold Atoms, when they are forcibly impelled and agitated by the igneous; as the fad experience of many in $R u f$ cia, Groenland, the $\mathcal{A}$ lps, and other Regions obnoxious to the tyranny of Cold, hath taught. Concerning this, Helm nt alfo was in the right, when He faid, Mechanicè namque videmus, nembrum fice congelatum [nb nive recalefcere, ©゙ à Syderatione prefervari; quod alzas saer mox totalitèr congelare pergeret, vel fi repentè ad ignemsit delatum, moritur propter extremi alterius feffinam actionem, Ưc. (in cap. de Aere articul 8.) (5.) Nor doth it hinder, that Philoponiss and Magnenus affirm, that the Atoms of Cold ought to be Cubical, in refpect of the eminent aptitude of that figure; for Conftipationand Compingency, the General Effects of Cold : becaufe, a Pyramid aloo hath its plane fides, or faces, which empoiver it to perform as much as a Cube, in that refpeet; and if common Salt be Confrrictive, only becaufe, being Hexahedrical in form, it hath fquare plane fides, as a Cube; certainly Alum muft be more Conftrictive, becaufe being Octahedrical in form, it hath triangular plane fides, as a $\mathrm{Py}=$ ramid. Befides, it is manifeft, that thefe plane fides muft to much the more prefs upon and wedge in the particles of a body, by how much more of the body, or greater number of its particles they touch: and that by how much noore they are entangled by their Angles, fo much more hardly are they Expeded, and fo remain cohzrent fo much more pertinaciounly. Hence comes it, that all Concretions confifting, for the moft part, of fuch figurated Atoms, are $\mathcal{C} d f /$ ictive Effectually: for, interpofing their particles annongft thofe of other bodies, that are Fluid; they make their Confiftence more Compact and fomewhat Rigid, as in Ice, Snow, Haile, Hoar-fioft, zcc.

The Configmation of a Tetrahedical Figure to Frigorifick Atoms appearing thus eminently verifimilous; to the full Explanation of the Nature of Cold, it remains only, that we decide that notable Controverfy, which fo much perplexed many of the Ancients: wiz: Whether Cold be an Elementary Qualty; or (more plainly) Whether or no the Principality of Cold belongs to any one of the four vulgar Elements; and $\int 0$ whether Aer, or Water, or Earth may not be conceived tobe Primum Frigidum, as right. fully as Fire is fayd to be Primum Calidum? Efpecially, fince itis well known, that the Stoicks imputed the principality of Cold to the Ler; Empedocles to Water, to whom Ariftotle plainly affented, though He fometimes forgot himfelf, and affirmed that no Humor is without Heat (as in 5. de Generat. ©nimal. cap. . .) ; and Plutarch to Earth, as we have learned from Himflelf ( $l$ b. de friggre primigenio.)

To determine this Antique Difpute, therefore, we firft obferve; thac it arofe cheifly from a Petitionary Principle. For it appears, that all Philofophers, who engaged therein, took it for granted, that the Quality of Heat was eminently inherent in Fire, the chief of the 4 Principal or Elementary fubftances; and thereupon inferred, that the Conctary Quality, Cold, ought in like manner to have its principal refidence in one of the other 3 : when, introth, they ought firf to have proved, that there was fuch a thing as an Element of Fire in the Univerfe; which is more than any Logick

Art. 6.
Cold, not Efenial to Earth, Waicts nor Aer.
can hope, fince the Sphere of Fire, which they fuppofed to poffers all that vaft fpace between the convex of the Sphere of Aer, and the concave of that of the Moon, is a meer Chimæra, as we have formerly intimated, and Helmont hath clearly commonftrated (in cap.de Aere.) And Secondly we affirm, that as the Higheft degree of Heat is not juftly attributary to any one Body more than other, or by way of fingular eminency (for, the Sphere of Fire failing, what other can be fubftituted in the room thereof ?) but to fundry Special Bodies, which are capable of Exciting or Conceiving Heat, in the fuperlative degree: fo likewife, though we fhould concede, that there are 3 Principal Bodies in Nature, namely Aer, Water, Earth, in each whereof the Quality of Cold is Renfibly harboured; yet is there no one of them, of its own natare more principally Cold than other, or which of it Self contain. eth Cold in the bighest degree; but fome /pecial Bodies there are, compofed of them, which arecapable of Exciting and Conceiving Cold, is an emi. nent manner. But, in Generals is no Demonftration; and therefore we muft advance to Particulars, and verify our Affertion, in each of the Three fuppofed Elements apart.

For the Earth: forafmuch as our fenfe certifieth, that it is even Torrified with Heat, in fome places, and Congealed with Cold in others, according to the temperature of the ambient Aer in divers climats, or as the Aer, being calefied by the Sun, or frigified by froft, doth varioufly affect it, in it fuperficial or Exterior parts; and fo it cannot be difcerned, that its External parts are endowed with one of thefe oppofite Qualities more than the other: and fince we cannot but obferve, that there are many great and durable fubterraneous Fires burning in, and many fervid and fulphlureous Exlations frequently emitted, and more Hot Springs of Mineral Waters perpetually iffuing from its Interior parts, or bowels; and $f_{0}$ it is of neceffity, that valt feminaries of Igneous Atoms be included in the Entrals thereof: We fay, confidering thefe things, we cannot deny, but that the Earth doth contain as many Particles of Heat, or Calorifick Atoms, both without and within, as it doth of feeds of Cold, or Frigorifick Atoms, if not more ; and upon confequence, that it cannot be Primnm Frigidum, as Plutarch and all his Seffators have dreamt. What then; thall we conclude Antithetically, and conceive that the Globe of the Earth is therefore Effentially rather Hot, than Cold? Truely, No ; becaufe experience demonftrateth, that the Earch doth belch forth Cold Exhalations, and congealing blafts, as well as Hot Fumes, and more frequently: witnefs the Northwind, which is fo cold, that it refrigerates the Aer even in the middft of Summer, when the rivers are exhaufted by the fervor of the Sun; to which Elibu, one of fobs forry Comforters, feems to have alluded, when He faid, That Cold cometh out of the North, and the Whirlwind out of the South. All, therefore, we dare determine in this difficult argument (the decifion whereof doth chiefly depend upon Experiments of vaft labour and cofts) is only thus much; that the Earth, which is now Hot, now Cold, in its extreme or fuperficial parts, may, as toits Internal or profound parts, be as reafonably accounted to contain various feminaries of Heat, as of Cold: and that the principal feeds of Cold, or fuch, as chiefly confint of Frigorifick Atoms; do convene into Halinitre, and other Concretions of natures retaining thereto. And our Reafon is, that Halinitre is no fooner diffolved in Water, than it congealeth the fame into perfect Ice, and ftrongly refrigerates all bodies, that it toucheth; infomuch that we may not only conclude,
conclude, that of all Concretions in Nature, at leaft that we have difcovered, none is fo plentifully fraught with the Atoms, or feeds of Cold, as Halinitre ; but alfo adventure to anfwer that Problem propofed to $\mathfrak{F o b}$, Out of whofenomb camse the Ice, and the Hoary Froft of heaven, who bath gexdred it? by faying, that all our Freezing and extreme Cold winds feem to be only copious Exhalations of Halinitre diffolved in the bowels of the Earth; or confifting of fuch Frigorifick Atoms, as compofe Halinitre ; and this becaufe of the identity of their Effects, for the Tramontane Wind (the coldeft of all winds, as Fabricius Paduanus, in his exquifite Book de Ventis, copioufly proveth) which the Italians call Chirocco, can pretend to no natural Effect, in which Halinitre may not juftly rival it. Long might we dwell upon this not more rare than delightful fubject: but, befides that it deferves a profeft Difquifition, apart by it felf, our fpeculations are limited, and may not, without indecency, either digrefs from their proper Theme, or tranfgrefs the ftrict Laws of Method. May it fuffice, therefore, in prefent, that we have made it juftifiable to conceive that the Earth containeth many fuch Particles, or Atoms (whether fuch as pertain to the Compofition of Halinitre, or of any other kind whatever) upon the Exfilition of which the body containing them may be faid to become Cold, or pafs from Potential to Actual Cold: and upon the infinuation of which into Aer, Water, Earth, Stones, Wood, Flefh, or any other terrene Concretion whatever, Cold is introduced into them, and they may be said to be Frigefied, or made Cold.

Secondly, as for Water; that the pratext thereof to the prerogative of Effential Frigidity is alfo fraudulent, and inconfiftent with the cmagna Charta of right Reafon, may be difcovered from thefe confiderations. I. When Water is frozen, the Ice always begins in it fuperfice, or upper parts, where the Aer immediately toucheth it : but, if it were Cold of its own Nature, as is generally prafumed, upon the auctority of Arffotle, the Ice ought to begin in parts fartheft fituate from the Aer, that is in the middle, or bottom, rather than at the top; at leaft, it would not be more flowly conglaciated in the middle and bottom, than at the top. (2.) In all Frofts, the Cold of Water is encreafed; which could not be, if it were the principal feat of Cold. For, how could the Aer which according to the vulgar fuppofition, that Water is the fubject of inhxfion to extreme Cold, if lefs cold, infufe into water a greater cold, than what it had before of its owne? or, how could Nitre, diffolved in water, fo much augment the Cold thereof, as to convert it into Ice, even in the heat of fummer, or by the fires fide ; as is experimented in Artificial conglaciations: if Nitre were not endowed with greater cold than Water? (3.) If Water be formally ingravidated with the feeds of Cold; why is not the fea, why are not all Rivers, nay, all Lakes and ftanding Pools (in which the excufe of continual motion is prevented) conftantly congealed, and bound up in ribbs of Ice? Whence comes it, that Water doth conftantly remain Fluid, unlefs in great frofts only, when the Atoms of Cold, wafted on the wings of the North-wind, and plentifully ftrawed on the waters, doe infinuate themfelves among its particles, and introduce a Rigidity upon them : Certainly, it is not conform to the Laws of Nature, that any Body, much lefs fo eminent and ufefula one as Water, thould for the moft part remain alienated from its owne native conftitution, and be reduced to it again only at fome times, after long intervals, and then only for a day or two. (4.) Were

Cold effentially competent to Water, it could not fo eafily, as is obferved, admit the Contrary Quality, Heat, nor in fo high a degree, without the deftruction of its primitive form. For, no fubject can be changed from the Extreme of one Quality inhærent, to the extreme of a contrary, without the total alteration of that Contexture of its particles, upon which the inhrerent quality depended; which done, it remains no longer the fame: but Water ftill remains the fame, i.e. a Humid Fluid fubitance, both at the time of, and after its Calefaction by fire, as before. And, therefore, that common faying, that Water heated doth reduce it felf to its native Cold, though it be tollerable in the mouth of the people; yet He that would fpeak as a Philofopher, ought to change it into this, that Water, after calefaction, returns to its primitive ftate of Indifferency to either Heat, or Cold: for, though after its remove from the fire, it gradually lofeth the Heat acquired from thence, the Igneous Atoms fpontaneoufly afcending and abandoning it one after another; yet would it never reduce it felf to the leaft degree of cold, but is reduced to cold by Atoms of Cold from the circumftant Aer immitted into its pores. What then; fhall we hence conclude, that Water is Effentially Hot? Neither; becaufe then it could not fo eafily admit, nor fo long retain the Contrary Quality, Cold, for Hot fprings are never congelated. Wherein therefore can we acquiefce? Truly, only in this determination, that Water is Effentially Moift, and Fluid: but neither Hot, nor Cold, unlefs by Accident, or Acquifition, i.e. it is made Hot, upon the introduction of Calorifick, and Cold, upon the introduction of Frigorifick Atoms; contrary to the tenent of Empedocles, and Ariftotle.

Laftly, as for the Aer: infomuch as it is fometimes Hot, fometimes Cold, according to the temperature of the Climate,feafon of the year, prexfence or abfence of the Sun, and diverfity of Winds: we can have no warrant from reafon, to conceive it to be the natural Mother of Cold, more than of Heat; but rather that it is indifferently comparated to admit either Quality, according to divers Impregnation. Whoever, therefore, fhall argue, that becaufe in the Dogg daies, when the perpendicular rayes of the Sun parch up the languinhing inhabitants of the Earth in fome pofitions of its Pphere, if the North-wind arife, it immediately mitigates the fervor of the Aer, and brings a cool relief upon its wings; therefore the Aer is Naturally Cold: may as juftly infer, that the Aer is Naturally Hot; becaufe, in the dead of Winter, when the face of the Earth becomes hoary and rigid with froft, if the South-wind blowe, it foon mitigates the frigidity of the Aer, and diffolves thofe fetters of Ice, wherewith all things were bound up. Wherefore, it is beft for us to Conclude, that the Effertial 24 ality of the Aer, is Fltidity; but as for Heat and Cold, they are Qualities meerly Accidental or Adventitious thereto; or, that it is made Hot, or Cold, upon the commixture of Calorifick, or Frigorifick Atoms.So that where the Aer is conftantly impregnate with Atoms of Heat, as under the Torrid Zone, there is it conftantly Hot, or Warme at leaft : where it is Alternately perfufed with Calorifick and. Frigorifick Atoms, as under the Temperate

Art. 7. But to fome special Con. crerions, for the moit parr, confiring of Frigrifick Atoms. Zones; there is it Alternately Hot and Cold: and where it is conftantly pervaded by Frigorifick Atoms, as under the North Pole; there is it conftantly Cold.

To puta period, therefore, to this Difpute; feeing the Quality of Cold is not Effentially inhærent in Earth, Water, or Aer, the Three Principal Bodies

Bodies of Nature; where flhall we inveftigate its Genvine Matrix; or proper fubject of inhefion? Certainly, in the nature of fome Special Bodies, or a particular fpecies of $\subset$ toms (of which fort are thofe whereof Salnitre is for the moft part compofed) which being introduced intoEarth, Water, Aer, or any other mixt Bodie, impregnate them with cold:

But, haply, you may fay, that though this be true, yet doth it not totally folve the doubt; fince it is yet demandable, Whether ary one, and which of thoje Three Elements is bighly oppofite to the Forrth, viz. Fire? We Anfwer, that forafinuch as that Bodie is to be accounted the moft Oppofite to Fire; which moft deftroyes it : therefore is Water the chief Antagonift to Fire, becaufe it fooneft Extinguifhech it. Neverthelefs there is no neceffity, that therefore Water muft be Cold in as high a degree, as Fire is Hot :for, Water doth not extinguifh Fire, as it is Cold (fince boyling water doth as foon put out fire, as Cold) but as it is Humid, i.e. as it enters the pores of the enAlamed body, and hinders the cMotion and Diffyfon of the $\mathcal{L}$ toms of Fire. Which may be confirmed from hence (I.) That Oyle, which no man conceives to be Cold, if poured on in great quantity, doth alfo extinguifh fire, by fuffocation, which is nothing but a hindering the Motion of the igneous Atoms: (2.) That in cafe the Atoms of Fire iffue from the accenfed matter, with fuch pernicity and vehemence, and reciprocal arietations, and in fuch fivarms, as that they repel the water affured, and permit it not to enter the pores of the fewel (as conttantly happens in Wild-fire, where the ingredients are Unctuous, and confift of very tenacious particles.) in that cafe, Water is fo far from extinguifhing the flame, that it makes it more impetuous and raging. However, we fhall acknowledg thus much, that if the Principality of Cold muft be adfcribed to one of the Three vulgar Elements; the Aer doubtlefs, hath the beft titlethereunto:becaufe, being the moft Lax and Porous bodie of the Three it doth moft eafily admit, and moft plentifully harbour the feeds of Cold ; and being alfo fubtile and Fluid, it doth moft eafily immit, or carry them along with it felf into the pores of other bodies, and fo not only Infrigidate, but fome times Congeal, and Conglaciate them; in cale they be of fuch Contextures and fuch particles, as are fufceptible of Congelation and Conglaciation.

The Fable of the Satyr and Wayfering man, who blew hot and cold, though in the mouth of every School-boy, is yet farce underftood by theirMafters; nay, the greateft Philofophers have found the reafon of that Contrariety of Effects from one and the fame Caufe, to be highly problematical. Wherefore fince we are fallen upon the caufe of the Frigidity in the Aer; and the Frigidity of our Breath doth materially depend thereon:opportunity invites UL , to folve that Problem, which though both Arifotle( (fect 3.prob.7.\& Anaximenes (apud Plutarch. de frigore primigenio) have ftrongly attempted; yet have they left it to the conqueft of Epicurus principles: viた. Why doth the breath of a man warme, when effiated with the mouth wide open; and cool, when efflated with the mouth contraifed? To omit the opinions of others, therefore, we conceive the caule hereof to be only this; that albeit the Breath doth confift of aer, for the moft part fraught with Calorifick Atoms, emitted from the lungs and vital organs, yet hath it many Frigorifick ones alfo interfperfed among its particles: which being of greater bulk, than the Calorifick, and fo capable of a fronger impuls, are by the force of efflation tranfinitted to greatter diftance from the mouth ; becaufe, the Calorifick Atoms commixt with

Art.8. Water, the chief Anragonift to Firesnotin refrect of its Ac. ciden ral Frigjdiry,bur EJen tial Humidity: and that the Aer liacth a juffer title to the Principalıty of Cold, than either Warer, or Earch-

Art.9. PROBLEM: Why the breath of a man doth Warme, when expired with the mouth wide open; \& Cool, wien cfo fired with the mouth contrated.
the breath, in regard of their exility, are no fooner difchaged from the mouth, than they inftandy difperfe in round. Wence it comes, that if the breath be expired in a large ftream, or with the mouth wide open; becaufe the circuic of the Atream of breash is large, and fo the Hot Atoms emitted are not fo foon difperfed: therefore doth the fream feel warme to the hand objected there, and fo much the more warme, by how much neerer the hand is held to the mouth; the Calorifick A toms being lefs and lefs Diffipated in each degree of remove. But, in cafe the breath be emitted with contracted lipps; becaufe then the compars of the fream is fmall, and the force of Efflation greater: therefore are the Calorifick Atoms foon Disgregated, and the Frigorifick only ramain commixt with the Aer, whichaffiects the objected hand with Cold; and by how much farther (in the limits of the power of Efflation) the hand is held from the mouth, by fo much colder doth the breath appear, and $\grave{e}$ contr a. That Calorifick Atoms are fubject to more and more Difiperfion, as the ftream of a Fluid fubftance, to which they are commixt, is greater and greater in circuit, may be confirmed from hence; that if we poure hot Water, from on high, in ftofty weather, we fhall obferve a fume to iffue and afcend from the ftream all along, and that fo much the more plentifully, by how much greater the fream is. Thus we ufe to cool Burnt wine, or Broth, by frequent refunding it from veffel to veffel, or infunding it into broad and flallow veffels; that fo the Atoms of Heat may be the fooner difperfed: for, by how much larger the fuperfice of the liquor is made, by fo much nore of libexty for Exfilition is given to the Atoms of Heat contained therein, and as much of Infinuation to the Atoms of Cold in company with the circumftant Aer. Thus alfo we coolour faces in the heat of Summer, with fanning the aer towards us: the Hot Atoms being, thereby diffipated, and the Cold impelled deeper into the pores of the skin: which alfo is the reafon, why all Winds appear fo much the Colder, by how much ftronger they blowe; as De's Cartes hath well oblerved in thefe words: Ventus vechementior majoris frigiditatis perceptionem, quàm aer tranquillus, in corpore noffro excitat; ; quod der quietus tantùm exteriorem nof fram cutim, que intericribus nof tris carnibus frigidior eft, contingat: ventus verò, vebementius in corpus nositrum aiftus, etiam in penertralia ejus adigatur, cumque ith furt cutec calidiora, id circo etiam majorem frigiditatem ab ejus contactu percipiunt.

Art.IO. Three CON. SECTARIES from che premiles.

In our precedent Article, touching the neceffary affignatin of a Tetrahedical Figure to the Atoms of Cold, we remember, we faid; that in refpect of their feveral frdes,or plane faces, they were moft apt to Compinge, or bind in the particles of all Concretions, into which they are intromitted; and from thence we fhal take the hint of inferring Three noble CONSECTARIES.
(I.) That Ice, Snow, Hail, Hoarfroft, and all Congelations, are made meerly by the intromiffion of Frigorifick Atoms among the particles of Fluid bodies: for, being once infinuated and commixt among them, in fufficient plenty, they alter their fluid and lax confiftence into a rigid and compact, i.e. they Congeal them.
(2.) That the Horror, or Trembling fometimes obferved in the members of Animals; as alfo that Rigor, or Shaking, in the beginning of moft putrid Fevers, and generally when the Fits of Intermittent Fevers invade, are chiefly caufed by Frigorifick Atoms. For, when the Spherical Atoms

## Chat. XII. <br> Heat and Cold.

of Heat, which fivarm in and vivifie the bodies of Animals, are not moved quaquaversims in the members with fuch freedom, velocity, and directnels excentrically, as they ought; becaule, meeting and contefting with thofe lefs Agile Atoms of Cold, which have entred the body, upon its chilling, their proper motion is thereby impeded:they are ftrongly repelled, and made to recoyle towards the Central parts of the bodie, in avoydance of their Adverfary, the Cold ones; and in that tumultuous retreat, or introceffion, they vellicate the fibres of the membranous and nervous parts, and fo caufe a kind of vibration or contraction, which if only of the skin, makes that fymptome, which Phyficians call a Horror ; but if of the Mufcles in the Habit of the bodie, makes that more vehement Concuffion, which they call a Rigor. Either of which doth folong endure; as till the Atoms of Heat, being more ftrong by Concentration and Union, have re-encountered and expelled them. That it is of the Nature of Hot Atoms, when invaded by a greater number of Cold ones, to recoyle from them, and concentre themfelves in the middle of the body, that contains them; is demonftrable from the Experiment of Frozen Wines: wherein the fpirits concentre, and preferve themfelves free from Congelation in the middle of the frozen Phlegm, fo that they may be feen toremain fluid and of the colour of an Amethyft: as Helmont hath well declared; in his $\dot{H} i f$ fory of the Nativity of Tartar in Wines:
(3.) That the Death of all'Animals, is caufed immediately by the Atoms of Cold; which infinuating themfelves in great fwarms into the body, and not expelled again from thence by the overpowered Atoms of Heat; they wholly impede and fupprefs thofe motions of them, wherein Vitality confifteth: So that the Calorifick ones being no longer able to calefy the principal feat of life, the Vital flame is foon extinguihed, and the whole Body refigned to the tyranny of Cold. Which is therefore well accounted to be the grand and profeft Enemy of Life.


Fluidity, Stability, Humidity, Siccity.

Sect. I.

Art. 1.
Why Fixidity and Firmness are here 'con. fidered before Humidity and sicicity.


Ere our very Method muft be fomewhat Paradoxical, and the Genealogy we fhall afford of thofe Two vulgarly accounted Paffive Qualities, Humidity and Siscity, very much different from that univerfally embraced in the Schools.' For; fhould we tread in the fteps of Arijtotle, as moft; who have travelled in this fubject, have conitantly done; we mult have fubnected our Difquifition into the Nature and Origine of Moifture and Drynefs, immediately to that of Heat and Cold, as the other pair of Firft Elemental Qualities, and è diametro oppofite to them. But, having obferved, that thofe 2 Terms, Moist and Dry, are not, according to the fevere and precife Dialect of truth, rightly accommodable toall thofe things, which are genuinely imported by thofe Greek Words, üyeor and $\xi$,neov, according to the definions of Ariffotle; and confequently that we could not avoid the danger of lofing ourfelves in a perpetual Equivocation of Terms, unlefs we committed our thoughts wholly to the conduct of Nature Herfelf, progreffing from the more to the lefs General Qualities, and at each ftep explicating their diftinct dependencies: we thereupon inferred, that we ought to premife the Confideration of $F$ lwidity and Firmse/s, which are more General, to that of Humidity and Siccity, as lefs General Qualities, and which feem to be one degree more removed from Catholick

Art. 2.
The Latin
Terms, Humidum and Siccum, too narrow to comprehend the full fenfe of Arifootle, "́regr xy そreg Principles.

That thofe 2 Terms fo frequent in the mouth of ariftotle, üreiv x' $\xi_{n e o r}$, are more ample in fignification, than Humidum and Siccsm, by which His Latin Interpreters and Commentators commonly explicate them; is manifeft even from hence, that under the word ireev is comprehended not only, in General, whatever is gurio, Fluid and Liquid,
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butalio, in fpecial, that matter or body, whereby a thing is moiftned, when immerredinto, or perfured with the fame: and likewifé, under the contrary term $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{e}$ ', is comprehended as well, in General, whatever is mem' 2os, Compaft or Firm and Solid, as in fpecial, that matter or body, which being applyed to a thing, is not capable of Humectating or Madefying the fame, and which is therefore called alfo xequver, Aridum. Now, this duely perpended doth at firft fight deteft the Æquivocition of the Latin Terms, and direct us to this pracife determination ; that whatever is Fluid, is not Humid; nor whatever is Dry, Compact or Firme; but that a Humid body properly is that, whereby another body, being perfured, is moiftned
 Arid body is that, which is not capable of Humectaaing, or madefying another body, to which it is applied.

Again, forafinuch as carifotle poficively defines $\tau \mathbf{t}$ "̈reiv, id qued fa: cile, terminum admittens, proprio fanmen non' terminatur, that which being deftitute of felf-termination, is yet eafily terminated by another fubftance; tis evident, that this His Definition is competent not only to ? Humid thing, in fpecial, butallo to a Fluid, in General: fuch as are not oull Water, Oyle, every Liquor, yea and Metal or other Concretion, actually fured or melted; but alfo the Aer, Flame, Smoke, Duft, and whatever is of fuch a nature, as that being admitted into any veffel or other continent of whatever figure; or however terminated in it fuiperfice, doth eafily accomodate it felf thereunto, put on the fame figure, and confers. termination by the fane limits or boundaries; and this, becaure it cannor terminate it felf, as being naturally comparated-only to Diffuifion, On the other fide, fince He defines roi छneè, quod facile terminatum proproi termino, ter minatatra agre alieno; to be that which is eafily terminated by its owne fuperfice, and hardly terminated by another, it is alfo" manifeft, thar this Definition is not peculiar only to a Dyy or Arid fubftance, but in common allo to a Eirme or Solid one: fuch as not only Earth, Wood, Stones, \&c, but allo Ice, Metal unmolten, Pitch, Refine', Wax, 'and the' like Concreted juices, and (in a word) : all bodies, which have their parts fo confirtent and mutually coharent, as that they are not naturally comparated to Diffurion, but conferve themfelves in their own fuperfice, and require compreffion, dilataction, fection, detrition, or fome other violent means, to accommodate them to termination, by the fuperfice of another body. And, certainly, if what is precifely fignified by the Terme 'inpor, were no more than what is meant by the Latun fubfitute thereeof, Humidimm: then might the Aer be juftly faid to be Humid, which is fo far in its owne nature trom being endowed with the faculty of Humectating bodies, that its genuine virtue is to exficcate all things fufpended thérein, nay even Fire it felf might be allowed the fame Attribure, together with Simoke', Duft, and the like Eluid fubfances, which exficcate all bodiés peifuifed with moifture. On the: advers pait, if. what is pracifely intended by the Termé Enpoo, were fully, expreffible by the Latin, Siccuns, or Aridum, then doubtlés, might Wax, Refine, and all Concreted juices' be accounted actually Dry, nay Ice.it felf, which is only Liquor congealed, could not be excluded the Categorie of Arid fubfances. Thefe Confiderations premifed, chough we might here enquire, Whethet Ariffotie fpake like Hiurelf, when He confined Fluidity)(and that according to his owne definitioni) to only 2 Elements, Water and Aer; when yet the Element of Fire, which He placed above
above the Aereal region, muft be tranfendently Fluid(elie how could it be fo eafily terminated by the Concave of the Lunar Sphere, on one part, and the Convex of the Aereal, on the other ?) And whether His Antithefis or Counter affertion, viz. that the 2 Firme Elements are Fire and Earth, be not a downright Abfurdity : yet fhall we not infift upon the detection of either of thofe two Errors, becaufe they are obvious to every mans notice, but only Conclude, that though every Humid body be Fluid, and every Arid or Dry body be Firm; yer will not the Converfion hold, fince every Fluid is not Humid, nor every Firme, Dry; and upon natural confequence, that Humidity is a fpecies of Fluidity, and Siccity a Species of Firmity; and alfo that it is our duety to fpeculate the Reafons of each accordingly beginning at the Generals.

Art. 4. Fluidity defiried.

FLUIDITY we conceive to be a Quality, arifing meerly from hence; that the Atoms, or infenfible particles, of which a fluid Concretion doth confift, are fmooth in fuperfice, and reciprocally contiguous in fome points, though diffociate or incontiguous in others.; fo that many inane fpaces (fmaller and greater according to the feveral magnitudes of the particles, which intercept them) being interfperfed among them, they are; upon the motion of the mafs or body, which they compore, moft eafily moveable, rowling one upon another, and in a continued fluor, or ftream diffufing themfelves, till they are arrefted by fome firm body, to whofe fuperfice they exactly accommodate themfelves.

Att. 5o wherein the Formal Reafon thereof doth confilt.

That the Effence of Fluidity doth confift only in thefe Two conditions, the fmoothnefs of infenfible particles, and interruption of fmall inane fpaces among them, where their extrems are incontiguous; may be even fenfibly demonftrated in an heap or meafure of Corne. Which is apt for Diffufion, or Fluid; only becaufe the Grains, of which it doth confift, are fuperficially fmooth and hard, and have myriads of inane fpaces intercepted among them, by reafon of the incontiguities of their extrems, in various points: fo that, whenever the heap is moved, or effufed from one veffel into another, the Grains mutually rowling each upon other, diffufe themfelves in one continued ftream, and immediately upon their reception into the concave of the veffel, the Aggregate or mafs of them becomes exactly accommodate to the figure, or internal fuperfice of the fame. And, forafmuch as the different magnitudes of compofing particles, do not neceffitate a difference of formal qualities; but only variety of Figures, contexture and motion : well may we conceive the fame reafons to effence the Fluidity of Water allo; becaufe betwixt an heap of Corne, and an heap or mals of Water, the Difference is only this, that the Grains, which compofe the one, are of fenfible magnitude, and fo have fenfible empty fpaces interpofed among them; but the Granules, or particles', which compofe the other, are of infenfible magnitude, or incomparably more exile, and fo have the inane faces intercepted among them, incomparably lefs. For, that Water doth confift of fmall, Grains, or fmooth particles, is confpicuous even from hence; that Water is capable of converfion into Fume, or Vapour, only by Rarefaction, and Fumeagain reducible into Water, meerly by Condenfation; and the reafon why Fume becoms vifible, is only this, that the leaft vifible part of fume is a Collection or Affembly of many thoufand of thofe fingly-invifible particles, which conftitute the Water, from whence the fume afcends; as may be jifcertained from hence, that to the
compofition of one fingle drop of Water, many myriads of myriads of infenfible particles murt be convened and united. So chat Water contained ina Caldron, fet on the fire and feething, doth differ from the Fume exhaled from it, only in this refpect; that the one is Water Condenfed, the other Rarified : or, that Water is made Fume, when its particles are violently diffociated, and the aer variounly intercepted among them; and Fnme is returned to Water, when the fame particles are reduced to their natural clofe order, and the intercepted aer again excluded. Again, that the Fluidrty of Water depends on the fame Caufe (proportionately) as that of an heap of Corne, may, according to the Lawe of Similitude, be juftified by the parallel capacity of Water to the fame Effects, viZ. Diffufion, Divifion, and Accommodation to the figure of the Recipient, or Terminant : For, the refult hereof is, that it hath no Continuity or mutual Cohrerence of its particles, which flould hinder their eafy : Diffociation. Nor is it a valid Argument tothe contrary, that Water appears to bea Continued body, but an heap of Corne, a Difcontinued; for, that is only according to $\mathcal{1}$ pparence, caufed from hence, that by how much fmaller the component particles of a Concrection are, by fo much finaller muft the inane fpaces be, which are intercepted among them, where they are incontiguous, and upon confequence, fo much the lefs interrupted, or more continued mult the mafs or Aggregate appear: as may be moft familiarly undertood, if we compare an heap of Corne, with one of the fineft Callts Gand; that with an heap of the moft volatile or impalpable Powder, that the Chymint or Apothecary can make; and fo gradually lefs and lefs in the dimenfions of Granules, till we arrive at che fmalleft imaginable. So that we cannor wonder, that the fubftance of Water fhould be apprehended by the dull fenfe,as wholly Continued, though really it be only lefs interrupted than an heap of fand : when the Grains, whereof Water is amaffed, are incomparably fmaller, than thofe of the fineft fand, and intercept among them inane fpaces incomparably fmaller fuch as are by many degrees belowe the difcernment of the acuteft fight, though advantaged by the beft Microfcope.

If this Argument reach not the height either of the Difficulty it felf, or your Expectation and Cnriofity concerning it; be pleafed to imp the Wings of it with the feathers of another, of the fame importance, but more perfpicuity. It is well known, efpecially to Chymifts and Refiners, that every metall is capable of a twoof old $F$ Fluidity: one, in the forme of an impalpable or volatile Powder; the other, of a Liquor, whofe fluor is continued, according to the judgement of fenfe. For, when a Metal is Calcined by Prxcipitacion, i.e. by Corrofive and Mercurial Waters, fpecifically appropriate to its nature; being thereby reduced into fmall Grains, it becomes Fluid, after the manner of fand, and therefore may as conveniently be ufed in Hour-glaffes, for Chronometry, or the meafure of time: but, becaufe each of thofe vifible Grains is made up of millions of other more exile and invifible Granules or particles, which are the component principles or matter of the Metal, hence it is, that if we put them all together in a Crucible, and melt them in a reverberatory fre, whofe igneous Atoms invade, penetrate and fubdivide each Granule into the finalleft particles (to which the Corrofive Virtue of the Aquir fortis could not extend) then will the whole mafs put on another kind of Fluidity, fuch as that of Water, Oyle, and all other Liquors. Now, the Reafor of the Former. Fluidity is manifently the fame with that of Corne and Send, neivly
explicated: and that of the Latter, the fame as of Water, i.e. the Granules of the Calcined powder, being diffolved into others of dimenfions incomparably fmaller, do intercept among themfelves, or betwixt their fuperficies, where thofe are incontiguous, innumerable multitudes of Inane fpaces, but thofe incomparably lefs than before their ultimate fubtiliation; and confequently (as hath been faid) make the Metal diffolved to be deprehended by the fenfe, as one entire and continued fubftance. To Conclude, therefore; we can difcover no Reafon againft us, of bulk fufficient to obftruct the current of our Conception, that the Fluidity of Fire, Flame, Aer, and all Liquid fubftances whatever, cannot well be deduced from any other Caufe, but what we have here affigned to Water and Metals diffolved : efpecially when we confider, that it is equally confentaneous to conceive, that every other Fluid or Liquid body is compofed alfo of certain fpecially-configurate Granules, or imperceptible particles; which being only contiguous in fome points of their fuperficies, not reciprocally Coharent cannot but intercept various inane faces betwixt them; and be therefore eafily emovable,diffociable,externally terminable, and capable of making the body apparently Continuate, as Water it felf.

Art. 7. Firmnefs de. fined:

And, as for the other General Quality,FIRMNESS, or STABILITY; fince Contraries muft have Contrary Caufes, and that the folidity of Atoms is the fundament of all folidity and firmnefs in Concretions: well may we underftand it to be radicated in this, that the infenfible particles, of which a Firme Concretion is compofed (whether they be of one or diverfe forts, i.e. fimilar or diffimilar in magnitude and figure) do fo reciprocally comprefs and adhrre unto each other, as that being uncapable of rowling upon each others fuperfice, both in refpect of the ineptitude of their figures thereunto, and the want of competent inane fpaces among them, they generally become uncapable (without extream violence) of Emotion, Diffociation, Diffufion, and fo of Termination by any other fuperfice, but what themfelves conftitute.

Art. 8. And derived from either of 3 Caufes.

If it be farther Enquired, Whence this reciprocal Compreffion, Indiffociability, and Immobility of infenfible particles in a Firme, Concretion doth immediately proceed; we can derive it from Three fufficient Caufes. (1.) The many fmall [Hamali, Uncinulivè $]$ Hooks or Clawes by which Atoms of unequal fuperficies are adapted to implicate each other, by mutual cohærence: and that fo clofely, as that all Inanity is excluded from betwixt their commiffures or joynings; and this is the principal and moft frequent Caufe of ftablity. (2.) The Introduction and prefjure of Extrancous Atoms, which invading a Concretion, and wedging in both themfelves, and the inteftine ones together, and that cheifly by obverting their plane fides or fuperficies thereunto; caufe a general Compreffion and Cohrefion of all the particles of the mais. And by this way doth froft congeal Water and all Humid Subetances; for, fince the Atoms of Cold are tetrahedical, and thofe of Water octahedrical, as is moft reafonably conjecturable; thofe of Cold infinuating themfelves into the fubftance of Water, by obverfion of their plane fides to them, they arreft the rowling particles thereof, and fo not permitting them to be moved as before, impede their fluidity, and make the whole mafs Rigid and Hard, or Firme. Hither alfo may we moft congruoully referr

the Coagulation of milk, upon the injection of Rennet, Vinegre, juice of Limons, and the like Acid things. For, the Hamous and invifcating Atoms, whereof the Acid is mofly compofed, meeting with the Ramous and Groff fer particles of the milk, which conftitute the Cafeous and Butyrous parts thereof; inftantly faften upon them with their hooks, connect them, and fo impeding their fluiditie, change their lax and moveable contexture into a clofe and immoveable or Firme: while the more exile and fmoath particles of the milk, whereof the ferum or whey is compofed, effape. thofe Entanglings and conferve their native Fluidity. This may be confirmed from hence; that whenever the Cheefe, or Butter made of the Coagulation, is held to the fire, they recover their former Fluidity: becaule the tenacious particles of the Acid are difentangled and interrupted by the fphærical and fuperlatively agile Atoms of fire. (3.) The Exciuifion of introduced Atoms, fuch as by their exility, roundnefs and motion, did, during their admiftion, interturbe the mutual Cohæfion and Quiet of domettique ones, which compofe a Concretion. Thus, in the decalefeence of melted metals, and Glafs, when the Atoms of fire, which had diffociated the particles thereof and made them Fluid, do abandon the metal, and fo ceafe to agitate and diffociate the particles thereof: then do the domeftique Atoms returne to a clofer order, mutially implicate eachother, and fo make the whole mafs Compact and Firme, as before. Thus alfo when the Atoms of Water, Wine, or any other diffolvent, which had infinuated into the body of Salt, Alume, Nitre, or other Concretion retaining to the fame tribe; and diffolving the continuity of itsparticles, metamorphofed it from a folid into a fluid body, fo that the fight apprehends it to be one fimple and uniforme fubftance with the Liquor: we fay, when thefe diffociating Atoms are evaporated by heat, the particles of the Salt inftandly fall together again, become readunated, and fo make up the mals compact and folid, as before, fuch as no man, but an eye-witneis of the Experiment, could perfuade himfelf to have been fo lately diffufed, concorporated, and loft in the fluid body of Water.

## SECt. II.

BY the light of the Premifes, it appears a mof perficious truth, that HLIMIDITY is only a certain Species of Fluidity. For, whoever Aumidity dewould frame to himfelf a proper and adxquate Notion of an Humor, of fined. Humid fubftance; muft conceive it to be fuch a Fluid or Fluxile body, which being induced upon, or applied unto any thing, that is Compaits, doth ad. bare to the fame (per minimas particulas) and madify or Himnettate fo much thereof as it toucheth. Such, therefore, is Water, fuch is Wine, fuch is Oyle, fuch are all thofe Liquors, which no fooner touch any body not Fluid, but either they leave many of their particles adhærent only to the fuperfice thereof (and this, becaufe the moft feemingly polite fuperfice is full of Eminences and Cavities, as we have frequently afferted) and fo moiften it; or, penetrating through the whole contexture thereof, totally Humectate or wett the fame. But, fuch is not Aer, fuch is not any Metal fufed, fuch is not Quick-filver, nor any of thole

Fluors, which though they be applied unto, and fubingrefs into the pores of a Compact body, doe yet leave none of their particles adhærent to either the fuperficial or internal parts chereot; but, without diminution of their own quantity, run off clearly, and fo leave the touched or pervaded body, unmadified, or unhumectate, as they found it.

Art. 2. Siccirydefined.

On the other fide, it is likewife manifeft, that SICCITY or ARIDITY, is only a certain fpecies of Firmnefs, or ftability : becaufe a Dry or Arid fubftance is conceived to be Firm or Compact, only infomuch asit is void of all moifture. Of this fort, according to vulgar conception, may we account all Stones, Sand, Ahres, all Metals, and whatever is of fo firme a conftitution, as contains nothing of Humidity, either in it fuperfice, or entrals, which can be extracted fromit, or, if extracted, is not capable of moirtning any other body: but, not Plants nor Animals, nor Minerals, nor iny other Concretion s which, though apparently dry to the fenfe, doth yet contain fome moifture within it, and fuch as being educed, is capable of humectating another body.

Art. 3. Siccity. rather Comparative, ttan Abrolutco

We fay, According to Vulgar Conception; becaufe, not Abfolutely: for, though Siccity be oppofed to Humidity, not as an Habit, to which any Act can be juftiy attributed, but as a meer Privation (for, to be Dry, is nothing elfe but to want muifture, yet, becaufe a Moirtned body may contain more or lefs of Humidity, therefore may it be faid to be more or lefs Dry Comparatively, and a body that is imbued with lefs moifture, be faid to be dryer than one imbued with more. Thus Green Wood, or fuch as hath imbibed extraneous moifture, is commonly faid to grow more and more dry by degrees, as it is more and more Dehumectated; and then at length to be perfectly dry, when all the Aqueous moifture, as well natural as imbibed, is confumed, though then alfo it contain a certain unctuous moifture, which Philofophers call the Humidum Primigenium: but, this only Comparatively, or in refpect to its former ftate, when it was imbued with a greater proportion of Humidity.

Att. 4. All mianlure cither $A$ queous or Oleaginous.

For the illuftration of this, we are to oblerve, that there are $\mathcal{T}_{\text {wo }}$ forts of Moifture, wherewith compact bodies are ufually humectated : the one, Lqueous and Lean; the other, oleaginous and Fat. The First is eafily diffoluble and evaporable by heat, but not inflammable : the other, shough it eafily admit heat, and is as eafily inflammable, in regard of the many igneous Atoms contained therein; is not eafily exfoluble, nor attenuable into fume, in regard of the Tenacious cohærence of its particles. To the Firft kind may be referred that moifture in Concretions, which Chymifts extracting, call the Mercury of Vegetables: becaufe, though it moiftens as Water, and is as incapable of inflummation, yet is it much more volatile or evaporable. And, to either or both forts, though in a diverfe refpect belongs that, which they call 1 qua Vite, or the fipirits of a Vegetable, fuch as fpirit of Wine: becaufe though it doth moiften as Water, yet is it far more eafily diffoluble and evaporable by heat, and as inflummable as oyle. And thus much we learn in the School of Senfe, that fuch bodies as are humectate with the Aqueous and Lean moifture, are eafily capable of Exficcation: but fuch as are humectate with the Unctur ous and Fat, very hardly: Why: becaufe the Atoms, of which
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the Aqueous doth confift, are more lxvigated or fmooth in their fuperfice, and fo having no hooks, or claves, whereby to cohæ̈re among themfelves, or adhare to the concretion, are foon difgregated; but thofe, which compofe the Oleaginous, being entangled as well among themfelves, as with the particles of the body, to which they are admixt, by their Hamous angles, are not to be expeded and difengaged, without great and long agitation; and after many unfuccefsful attempts of evolution. Thus Wood is fooner reduced to Afhes, than a ftone : becaufe that is compacted by much of Aqueous Humidity; this by much of Unctuous. For the fame reafon is it likervife, that a clodd of Earth, or peice of Cloth, which hath imbibed.Water, is far more eafily reficcated, than that Earth or Cloth, which hath been dippt in oyle, or melted fat. And this gives us fomewhat more than a meer Hint toward the clear Solution of Two PROBLEMS, frequently occurring, but rarely examined.

The one is, Why pure or fimple Water cannot wafhout fpots of oyle, or Fat from a Cloth, or filk Garment: which yet Water, wherein Alhes have been boyled, or foap difolved, earfly doth? For, the Caufe hereof moft probably is this ; that though Water of it felf cannot penerrate the unctuousbody of oyle, nor diffociate its tenacioufly cohrrent particles, and confequently not incorporate the oyle to it felf, fo as to carry it off in its fluid arms, when it is expreffed or wrung out from the cloch: yet, when it is impregnated with Salt, fuch as is abundantly contained in Afhes, and from them extracted in decoction; the falt with the fharp angles and points of its infenfible particles, penetrating, pervading, cutting and di-

Art. 5. Problems. Why pure warer camnor walh our cyle froma Cloh; which yerwa? ter, whercin Afhes have beendecoated, or foap difrolved, eafily doth? Solu:. viding the oyle, in minimas particulas, the Water following the particles of faltat the heels, incorporates the oyle into it felf, and fo being wrung out from the cloth again, bringsthe fame wholly off together with it felf. Which doubtlefs, was in fome part underfood by the Inventor of foap; which being compounded of Water, Salt and Oyle moft perfectly commixt, is the moft general Ablterfive for the cleanfing of Cloathes polluted with oyle, greafe, turpentine, fiveat and the like unctuous natures: for, the particles of oyle ambufadoed in the foap, encountring thofe oyly or pinguous particles, which adhære to the hairs and filaments of Cloth and ftain it, become eafily united to them, and bring them off together with themfelves, when they are diffolved and fet afloat in the Water by the incifive and diffociating particles of the Salt ; which alfo is brought off at the fame time by the Water, which ferveth only as a common vehicle to all the reft.

The other, Why fains of Ink are not Delible, with Water, though decocted to a Lixirium, or Lee, with Afhes, or commixt with Soap: but with Jome Acid juice, fuch as of Limons, Oranges, Crabbs, Vinegre, bic. For, the Reafon hereof feems to be only this; that the Vitriol, or Coperofe, which ftrikes the black in the Decoction of Galls, Sumach, or other Adfrtingent Ingredients, being Acid, and fo confifting of particles congenerous in figure and other proprieties to thofe which conftitute the Acid juices: whenever the fpot of Ink is throughly moyftned with an acid liquor, the vitriol is foon united thereto, and fo educed together with it upon expreffion, the union arifing (propter ópgiwow) from the simulitude of their two natures. For, there always is the moft eafy and perfect mion, where is a Similitude of Effences, or formal proprieties;
as is notably experimented in the eduction of Cold from a mans hands or other benummed parts by rubbing them with fnow; in the evocation of fire by fire; in the extraction of fome Venoms from the central to the outward parts of the body, by the application of other Venoms to the skin (which is the principal caufe, why fome Poyfons are the Antidoces to others); the alliciency and evacuation of Choler by Rhubarb, \&c.

Laftly, in this place, we might pertinently infift upon the Caufes and Manner of Corrofion and Diffolution of Metals and other Compact and Firme bodies, by $\simeq$ qua Fortis, Aqua Regis, and other Chymical Waters ; the Exfolubility of Salt, Alume, Nitre, Vitriol, Sugar and ocher Salin concreted juices, by Water; the Exhalability or Evaporability of Humid and Humectating fubftances, and other ufeful feculations of the like obfcure nature: but, each of there deferves a more exact and prolix Difquifition, than the time configned to our prefent province will afford; and what we have already faid, fufficiently difchargerh our debt to the Tirle of this Chapter.

## CHAP.

#  <br> CHAP. XIV. 

## Softnefs, Hardnefs, Flexility, Tractility, Ductility, \&Jc.

## Sect. I.



He two Firft of this Rank, of Secun: darie Qualities HARDNESS and SOFTNESS, being fo neer of Extraction and Semblance, that many have confounded them with Firmnefs and Fluidity, in a General and loofer accepzation (for, fo Virgil gives the Epitheie of Soft to Water, \& Lucretius to Aer, Vapors, Clouids, \&c.) becaufe a Frrme bodie, or fuch whofe parts are reciprocally cohxrent, and fuperfice more than only apparently continued, as Wax, may be Soft, and on the other fide, a Fluid body; or fuch whole particles are not reciprocally cohærent, nor fuperfice really continued, as land, may be Hard: therefore ought we to begin our examination of the nature of Hardmefs and Softnefs, and their Confequents, Flexility, Iractilizy, Ductility, ぶc. where that of Firmnefs and Fluidity ends; that fo we may, by explicating their Cognation, when mentioned in a general fenfe, manifeft their Differences, when confidered in a special and precife, and fo prevent the otherwife imminent danger of xquivocation.

To come, therefore, without farther circumambage, to the difquifition of the proper nature of each of thefe Qualities, according to the method of their production; conforming our conceptions to thofe of Ariftotle, who (4. Cheteor. 4.) defines Durum to be, 2uod ex fuperficie injeipfuns now cedit; and Molle, to be Quodex fuperficie in feipfum cedit; and referring both to the cognizance of the fenfe of Touching, we undertand a HARD body to befuch, whofe particles are fo firmely coadunated among themfelves, and Juperfice is focontinued, as that being preft by the finger, it dothnot yeeld thereto, nor hath it fuperfice at all indented or depreffed thereby; fuch is a ftone; and on the contrary, a SOFI one to be luch, as

Art. x . The Illation of the Chapres.

Ari. $\mathrm{i}^{2}$ Hard and Sof fin defiued.
doth yield to the preffure of the finger in the fuperfice, and that by retrocef. Sion or giving back of the superficial particles, immediately preft by the fino ger, verfus profundum, tow ards it profound or internal; foch as Wax, the Fell of Animals, Clay, \&cc.

CArts. The Differ inge betwixt $S$ ft and F fluid.

For, the chief Difference betwixt a Fluid, and a Soft body, accepted in a Philofophical or prrecife, not a Poetical or random fenfe, confifteth onby in this; that the Fluid, when preft upon, doth yield to the body preffling, not by indentment or incavation of it fuperfice, i.e. the retroceffion of it fuperficial particles, which are immediately urged by the depriment, toward its middle or profound ones, which are farther from it; but by riffing upwards in round and equally on all fides, as much as it is depreft in the fuperfice: and a Sot t doth yield to the body preffing, only by retroceffion of it fuperficial inwards toward it central particles, fo that they remain during, and fometimes long after the depreffion, more or leis lower than any other part of the fuperfice. Which being confidered, Ariftotles judgement, that Softness $\int$ s is incompetent to Water, mut be indifputable: because this evident to fenfe, that Water, being depreft in the fuperfice doth not recede towards its interior or profound parts, as is the property of all fort things to doe; but rifech up in round equally on all fides of the body preffing, and fo keeps it fuperfice equally and level as before.
Art .4:
Solidity of Atoms, the Fundament of Hardneís and Inanity, intercopied among them, the fur.dement of Softies, in all Concretions.

As for the Fundamental Caul of Hardnefs observed in Concretions; it mut be the chief effential propriety of Atoms Solidity: and upon confequence, the original of its Contrary, Softness mut be Inanity. For, among Concretions, every one is more and more Hard, or left and left's fort, according as it more and more approacheth to the folidity of an Atom, which knows nothing of foftnefs: and on the other fire, every thing is more and more fort, or less and lees hard, according as it more and more approacheth the nature of Inanity, which knows nothing of Hardness. Not that the Inane face is therefore capable of the Attribute of $\operatorname{Soft}$, as if it had a fuperfice, and foch as could recede inwards upon preffion : but, that every Concretion is always fo much the more fort, i.e. the less hard, by how the more it yields in the fuperfice upon preflure; and this only in refpectof the more of Inanity, or the Inane face interceptedamong the fold particles, whereof it iscompofed. It need not be accounted Repetuition, that we here relume what we have formerly entrufted to the memomoly of our Reader ; viz. that touching the deduction of there two Qualities, Hardness and Softness, the provident Atomist hath won the Garland from all other Sects of Philofophers: for, fuppofing the Catholike materials of Nature to be Atoms, i. e. Solid or inflexible and exfoluble Bodies, he is furnished with moot sufficient, nay a neceffary Reafon, not only for the Hardnefs or Inflexibility, butalfo for the Sofnefs or Flexibility of all Concretions; infomuch as it is of the effence of his Hyporhefis, that every compound nature derives its Hardness only from the Solidity of its materials, and foftnefs only from the Inane face intercepted among its component particles; in reflect whereof each of thole particles is moveable, and fo the whole Aggregate or mars of them becomes flexible, or devoid of rigidity in all its parts, and confequently yeelding in that part, which is preffed. But, no other Hypothefis excogitable is fruitful enough to afford a atisfactory, nay not fo much as a meerly plaufible folution of
this eminent and fundamental Difficiulty; forr, thore who affume the uniiverfal matter to be voyd of Hardnefs, and fo infinitely exfoluble, i.e. not to be Atoms, though they may, indeed; affign a fufficient reafon, why fome Concretions are foft; yet fhall they ever want one to anfiwer him, who demands, why other Concretions are Hard; becauife themfelves have exempted Atoms, from whofe folidity all Hardnefs arifeth to Concretions.

And this moft eafily detecteth the grofs and unpardonable incogitancy of Arijforle, when He determined the Hardneis and Softnefs of Concretions to be $A b$ folute Cualities; for, fince Atoms alone areabfolutely void of all Softnefs, and the Inane fpace alone abfolutely void of all Hardnefs; and all Concretions are made up of Atoms: nothing is more manifeft, than that Hardnefs and Sofneefs, as atribuary to Concretions, are Qualities meerly Comparative, or more precifely, that Softnefs is a Degree of Hardnels; and confequently, that there are various Degrees of Hardnefs, according to which Concretions may be fiid to be more or lefs Hard, and fuch as are hard, in refpect of one, may be yetfoft in refpect of another, that is more hard, or lefs foft.

As for the pracife CManner, how the feveral Degrees of Hardnefs and Sofnefs refult from Atoms and Inanity commixt; we need not much infift thereupon; fince the production of each degree may be eafily and tulily comprehended, from our pracedent explanation of the Caufes of Fluidity and Firmnefs. For, though Softnefs be obfervable in bodies endowed with Firmnefs, or Influxibilty; yet becaure the degrees of Firmnefs are alfo various, and proceed from the more or lefs Arrefting or Impeding of Fluidity, and fo that the thing confift of Atoms more or lefs Coarctated, moveable among themfelves, and diffociable each from other (from whence alone doth the yeeldingnefs of it in the fuperfice arife ): therefore is it neceffary, that in Firme things the fame is the canfe of Sofnefs, which in Fluid things is the caufe of Fluidity. Nor is the Difference betwixt their productions other than this, that to Softne $f$ s, fpecially and Atrictly accepted, are required Atoms fomewhat Hooked, and fo Retentive each of other, as not to be wholly diffociated, or to permit a manifeft abruption or breach of continuity, upon preflure : but, to frict Fluidity it is not requifite, that the Atoms be at all Hamous, or reciprocally retentive.
Infomuch, therefore, as there is fome certain Compactuefs (more or lefs) even in all Soft Concrecions; from thence it may be eafily interred, that the General reafon of the Mollification of Hard bodies, doth confift in this; that their infenfible particles be in fome degree diffociated, i.e. fo feparated each from other, in many points, as that more and larger inane fpaces be intercepted among them, than while they were clofely coadunated: and on the contrary, that the General reafon of the Indisration of Soft bodies, doth confift only in this; that their infenfible particles, before in fome degree diflociated, be reduced to a clofer order, or higher degree of Compactnefs, and fo moft of the inane fpaces incercepted, be excluded from among them. To this the doubting Merfennus fully fubfribes (in lib. 2. Harmonicor. propofit. witima) where deducing the caures of Hardnefs, Rigidity, and the like qualities from the Atoms of Democritus and Epichrus, he phinly faith; Daritiem

Art. 7. The Gene al Reafon the the Mollifiation of Hard. and In deration of Sofs bodies.
fieri ab ©tomis ramofis, que fwis bamatis implicationibus perexigua $p$ patia relinqumne inania, per que sequeant ingredi corpufcula caloris, of c. Nay, fuch is the urgencie of this truth, that $\mathcal{L}$ riftotle Himfelf feems to confefs it, in thefe words: que bumoris abfentia concrefcunt \& duruntur, eas liquefacere bumor poteft ; nifi adeo fefe (particule nimirum) collegerint coierintque, ut minora partibus aque for amina fint relicta: id quod fictili accidit, *G. (4. Meteorum. сар.8.) And we need feek no farther than a ball of wool, for the Exemplification of both; for, that being fo relaxed, as that the hairs touch each other more rarely, or in fewer points, and thereupon more of the ambient Aer be intercepted among them, inftantly becomes foft : and then being fo compreffed, that the hairs touch each other more frequently, or in more points, and the aer be thereupon again excluded from among them, it as foon becomes hard.

Art.8. The fipecial manners of the Mollification of Hard : and Induration of Soft bodies.

But if we wind up our curiofity one note higher, and enquire the special Manner of Mollifying Hard bodies; we hall find it to reft upon either Heat, or CMoifure. Ulpon Heat, when the Atoms of fire, fubingreffing into the pores of a Hard Concretion doe fo commove and exagitate the infenfible particles thereof, that they become incontiguous in more points, than before, and fo the whole mafs being made more lax and rare, upon the interception of many new inane faces among its particles, puts on a capacity of yeelding to any thing that preffeth it, and of receding from it fuperfice toward its interiors, according to the property of foftnefs. Thus Iron made red hor, is mollefied, and hard Wax liquefied by heat. Upon Moifture, when the particles of an Humor fo infinuate themfelves among the clofely cohærent particles of a Hard body, that diffociating them in fome meafure, they intermix among them, and fo (themfelves being fufficiently yeelding apon preffure) caufe the bodie to become yeelding and receffive from it fuperfice inwards. Thus Leather is foftned by lying in: Water, or Oyle; and Clay affumes fo much the more of foftnefs, by how much the more of water it hath imbibed.

On the other fide, if we purfue the Induration of $S$ of $t$ bodies up to its special Manner, we fhall fecure it either in Cold, or Siccity. In Cold, whecher we underftand it to bea fimple expulfion of Calorifick Atoms, lately contained in the bodie; as in the growing hard of Metals after fufion: or the incroduction of Frigorifick Atoms into the bodie, naturally void of them; as in the induration of Water into Ice. In Siccity, whether we conceive it to be a meer expulfion of the particles of moifture from a Concretion; as when Earth is baked into Bricks: or a fuperinduction of drie particles upon a moift concretion; as in the compofition of Pills, which for the moft part confift of Drie Powders and Syrupe, or fome other vifcid moifture.

Art. 9. problem. Why Iron is Hardned,by being immesred red-hot into Cold W2rer; and is SOLITION

But here we feel aftrong Remora, or Dowb; ; How it comes abour, that Iron made glowing bot, and immediately plunged into cold Water, asquires a greater degree of hardne/s, than it had before? And to remove it, we Anfwer; that the particles of the Water fubingrels into the amplified pores of the Iron, and are not again excluded from thence, though the particles thereof returne to their former clofe order, and reciprocally implicate each other, as before in candefcence; but, remaining
imprifoned
imprifoned in the fmall incontiguities, or inane fpaces, which ocherwife would have been empty, make the body of thẹ iron fomewhat more folid or hard than otherwife it would have been: That this is a fufficient Caufe of that Effect ; may be warrantably inferred from hence; that if the fame fearoned iron be afterwards brought to the fire again, and therein made red hot, fo that the contexture of its particles be relaxed, and the particles of Water, which pofferf the inane fpaces betwixt them, be evaporated; there dothit refume its former Softuers; and this our Smiths call Nealing of Iron.

To fteer on, therefore, the fame courfe of Difquiftion we have begun; forafinuch as Softnefs is defined by the Facility, and Hardnefs by the Difficulty of bodies yielding in the fuperfice: the only Confiderable remaining to our full explanation of the formal Reafon of each of thefe two Qualities, is, How the yielding of a Soff body in the Superfice is effected; for, that being once explicated, the rule of Contraries will eafily teach us, wherein the Refistence of a Hard doth immediately conjjf. And this requires no tredious indagation, for from the Premifes it may eafily be collected ; that a foft body doth then yeild, when its particles immediateIy preffed in the fuperfice, do fink down and fubingrefs into the pores immediately beneath them, and then prefs down the next fubjacent particles into pores immediately beneath them; and thofe likewife prefs down the next inferior rank of particles into void fpaces below them; and thofe again prefs down others fucceffively until (the number of pores or void fpaces fucceffively in each fubingreffion decreafing) there be no more room to receive the laft preffed particles, and then the fubingreffion ceafecth. If this feem not fufficient to make the yeildingnefs of Soft bodies clearly intelligible; we muft remit our Reader to our precedent Diffourfe concerning the incapacity of Aer to be Condenfed or Compreffed, in a Wind-gun, beyond a certain proportion, or determinate rate. Farther, becaufe a foft body cannot be fqueezed, unlefs it reft upon or againft fumething that is hard, at leart, lefs foft than it felfe; fo that, though the lower fuperfice thereof, relying upon the fupport, is fo bounded, that it hath no liberty of fpace, whether to recede Ver ins profumdum, yet hath it full liberty of tpace Ver fus latera : therefore comes if to pars, that the fubingreffion of particles into pores, and the Compreffion of others, is made not only verfus profundum, in that part of the foft body, which direetly confronteth the hard, whereupon it reftecth, but alfo Ver uss latera, toward the fides, or circumambient. And chat after a various manner, according to the various Contextures of foft bodies in the fuperfice.
For, if the fuperfice (i, e. the outward part) of a fof body, be of a more Compact and tenacions Contexture, than the interior mass or fubfrance; as is the skin of an Animal, compared to the fubjacent flefl, and a bladder in refpect of the oyle therein contained: in that care, the compreffion of the particles is, indeed, propagated by fucceffion to fome diftance as well toward the bottom, as the fides, to which the fuperior particles being preffed directly downward, and there refifted, deflect; yet not to that diftance, as where the fuperfice is of the fame Contexture with the interior mafs, as in Wax and Clay, in both which, the Compreffion, and fo the yeilding may be propagated quite thorow,
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or from the fuperior to the inferior fuperfice, where it immediately refteth upon the hard body, all the intermediate particles ftarting toward the fides, as being preffed above and refifted belowe. Anct hereupon, doubtlefs, was it that Ariftotle properly called thofe foft bodies, whofe fuperfice is either of a weaker, or of the fame contexture with their internal fubftance, $\pi \lambda a \xi \dot{\alpha}_{3}$ Formatilia; infomuch as when a Seal or other Solid body doth prefs them, they fuffer fuch a Diffraction or Solution of Continuity in their fuperficial parts, as that the diffociated particles are not able to reftore themfelves to their former fituation and mutual cohæfion, but retain the figure of the body which preffed them: and, on the contrary, fuch as have the contexture of their fuperfice more firm and tenacious than that of their internal mafs, $\pi t \varepsilon ร \alpha^{\prime}$, Pre $\int$ silia; infomuch as upon preffure they fuffer not fo great a Diffraction or Solution of Continuity in their fuperficial parts, but that they ftill have fome mutual cohærence, and fo are able to reftore themfelves to their former fituation, upon the remove of the body that preffed them.

Art. 12. Two Axioms concerning \& illuftrating the nature of Softners.

For the illuftration of this, it is oblervable (I) That to the yielding of every foft body, when preffed, it is neceffary, that it have freedom of fpace on its fides: becaule, if the lateral particles, when preffed by the intermediate ones, have not room whether to recede, they cannor yield at all; and fo the Compreffion muft be very fmall. This may moft fenfibly be Exemplified in a tube filled with Water; for, if you attempt to comprefs the Water therein contained, with a Rammer fo exactly adapted to the bore of the tube, as that no fpaces be left betwixt it and the fides thereof, whereat the water may rife upward, you thall make but a very fmall and almoft infenfible progrefs therein. (2) That no fuperfice of what contexture foever, can be depreffed verfus profundum, or be any way dilated, but it muft suffer fome Diffraction or Solution of Continuity, more or lefs. For, infomuch as each particle of the fuperfice doth poffefs a peculiar part of face proportionate to its dimenfions; and though upon the Dilatation of the fuperfice, i.e. the remove of its particles to a more lax order, greater faces are intercepted among them, yet are not the particles multiplied in number, nor magnified in dimenfions, and fo cannot poffefs more or greater fpaces than before : therefore is it neceffary, that the fuperfice be varioufly crackr, and the continuity thereof infringed in many places. The Neceffity hereof doth farther evidence it felf in the Flexion of a Twig, Cane, or other [rgumuio' ] Flexile body; for, when a Twigg is bended, as the Concave fuperfice becomes Contracted and Corrugated, the particles thereof being not able to penetrate each other, nor crowd themfelves into fewer places: So at the fame time, is the Convex Dilated, and fuffers many fmall breaches or cracks, the particles thereof being uncapable either to multiply themfelves, or poffers more fpaces, than before. The fame likewife is eafily intelligible in a Tractile hody, fuch as (Ariforle names "Enxiors) a Nerve, or Luteftring: for allbeit the interruption of Continuity be not fo manifeft to the fenfe in a Tractile as in, a Flexile body: yet may we obferve, that when a Tractile body is extended or drawn out in length, it is extenuated or diminifhed in thicknefs. And, what, think you, becomes of thofe interior particles, which compofe its Craffitude or thicknefs? Certainly, they muft come
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forth into the fuperfice，that fo they may interpofe themfelves among the Diffociated particles thereof，polfers the void fpaces left betwixt them， and with their fmall clawes or hooks on each hand cohxring to them， make the fuperfice apparently continued．Would you obferve the Interruption of Continuity among the fuperficial particles of Tractile body，and the iffuing forth and intermiftion of interior particles among them；be pleafed to paint over a Luteftring with fome oyled Colour， and afterward vernifh it over with oyle of Turpentine：then ftrain it hard upon the Lute，and you thall plainly perceive the fuperfice of it to crack and become full of fmall clefts or chinks，and new par－ ticles（not tincted with the colour）to iffue forth from the entralls of the ftring，and interpofe themfelves among thofe fimall breaches．Lafly，the fame is alfo difcoverable by the fight in a Ductile body［＂E入alov］fuch as every Metal；for；no metal，when preffed or hammerd，is dilated or expanded on all fides，for any other reafon but this，that it is as much attenuated in thicknefs，and the particles in the fuperfice are fo diffoci－ ated，as that the interior particles rife up，poffers the deferted facees，and co－ hære to the difcontinued exterior particles，as may be more plainly difcern－ ed if the fuperfice of the Metal be tincted with fome colour．

## SEcti．II．

FRom the Præmifes，whereupon we therefore infifted fomewhat the longer，it is manifeft，that FLEXILITY，TRACTILITY， D UC TILITY，and other Qualities of the fame Cliffis，are all the Confequents of Softnefs ：as the Contrary to them all RIGI－ D IT Y，is the Confequent of Hardnefs；infomuch as whoever would frame to himfelf an exact notion of a Rigid body，meerly as a Ri－ gid，muft compore it of the Attributes，inflexile，intractile，indu－ ctile．

Nor doth any thing remain to our clear underftanding of the na－ ture of FLEXILITY，but the Solution of that great Difficulty； Cur flexilia，poflquan inflexa fuerint，in priffinum，ftatum refiliant？ Why a flexile body，fuch as a Bowe of wood，Steel，Whalebone； \＆c．doth，after flexion，fpring back again into its natural figure and fi－

Art．I． Flexility，Tra－ shlity，Ductilin ty，of．de－ rived from Sofinefs：and Rigidity from Hardnefso

Art． 2. PROBLEM． What is the Caule of the motion of $R e$ focration in Flexiles？and the SOLUT．

The Reafon of this Faculty of Reftitution，we conceive（with the im－ mortal $G a f f e n d u s$ ）to be this；that the Recurfe or Refilition of a flexile body is a certain Reflex motion，which is continued with a Direct moti－ on：as we fhall have opportunity profeffedly to demonftrate，in our fub－ fequent Enquiry into the nature of Motion．In the mean while，ie may fuffice to ftay the ftomach of Curiofity，that we evidence the caufe of it to be the fame with that of the Rebound of a ball，impelled by a racket，from a Wall：for，as the force，which makes the ball rebound from the wall，is the very fame which firt impelled it againft the Wall； fo is the force，which reflecteth a bowe，after bending；the very fame which bended it．To Exemplifie；when a man layes a ftaff tranfverfly
upon a beam, and Itrikes the end that is toward him, downward; the end that is from him, muft rife, as much upward: as well becaufe of the refiftence of the beam (which here performs the office of an Hyponmochlion, or middle Fulciment) as of the continuity and compactnefs of the ftaff it felf; and fo the fame caufe, the hand of the man, which impelled the one extreme of the ftaff downward, is alfo the caufe of the rifing of its other extreme upward. Again, let the ftaff have liberty of play between two beams, the one above, the other beneath it; and upon the Depulfion of one end, the other hhall rife up, and be impinged againt the upper beam, and from thence rebound back again upon the lower, and thence again to the higher, and thence again to the lower, and fo alternately be reflected from one to the other, till the force of refiftence in the 2 beams hath wholly overcome that of the firft percuffion or impulfe : yet ftill doth the laft Rebound, no lefs than the firft, owe it felf to the fame Caufe, which imprefled the firft motion upon the ftaff, which was the hand of the man, who impelled it. To approach one degree neerer; fec upa ftaff perpendicularly in fome hole in the floore or pavement, fo that it may have fome liberty of motion to each hand: and then, if you impel or inflect the upper extreme to the right hand, the part of the lower extreme, which refpecteth the upper part of the right fide of the hole, will prefs upon the fame, and the other fide of the lower extream, where it toucheth the loweft part of the left fide of the hole, thall be at the fame time impinged likewife againft the left fide; and that fo forcibly, that it fhall rebound from thence to the oppofite fide, and at the fame time, the upper part, which you inflected, fhall rebound from the right to the left : and thus fhall the Afff be agitated from fide to fide, by alternate refilitions, till the refiftence of the hole hath wholly overcome the force thereupon impreft, by your hand. This laid down, we infer, that the caufe of Returne in the ftaff, is the fame with that of the Self-reftorative motion in bodies Flexile; for, that you may be able to inflect one end of the ftaff, it is neceffary, that fome part of it be held faft in your hand, fome hole, chink, or other hold, that fo you may diftinguin the Hypomochlion, or point of Reft, from the part inflected.

Art. 3. Two Obfrusiiz ans expeded.

Nor is it ought available to the contrary, to object (I) that the ftaff is not bent with one fingle ftroke, but a continent preffion: becaufe a Continent preffion is nought elfe but a continent Repetition of ftrokes; and that is the laft ftroke, immediately upon which the laft and non-impeded Reflexion doth enfue. 2. that our Example of the Reflition of a ftaff is incongruous, there being a confiderable Rigidity therein, but none in Flexile bodies: for, though there be no perfect or Abfolute Rigidity in Flexile fubftances, yet is there a fufficient Firmnefs, which is a degree of Rigidity; and by how much greater that is, by fo much the greater force of impulfe is required to the inflexion, and confequently fo much Atronger is the Refection. So that while the bottome of the itaff, and its Hypomochlion alternately performe their offices, the one reflecting this, the other the contrary way, fo many more Alternate Reflexions, or Excurfes and Recurfes are made, by how much greater the Rigrdity of the ftaff, and firme fixation in its hold, are; and e contrà. And, fince the Reflection, which is made from the firmely fixt part, is as ic were the Fundamental, or General Refexion; innumerable Special or Particular Reflexions, exactly like the General,
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are made in fingulis partibus: infomuch as the parts of the Concave fuu. perfice are fo compreffed, in order, one after another, from the Deflected Extrem to the Fixt, that fuffering mutual refiftence, they are compelled to ftart back in the fame order, one after another; and the parts of the Convex fuperfice, from the Fixt Extreme to the Deflected, are fo retracted in order one after another, that they return in order to their natural fite ; and fome parts thus confpiring with others, reduce the whole int flected bodie eo its natural fituation and figure.

Finally, becaule every Reflex Motion is alwaies (though, perhaps, not fenfibly) weaker, than the Direct; therefore is it, that in every De flexion, both to the Concave fuperfice, fome particles fubingrefs to the interiors of the Flexile bodie, which cannot returne forth againto the fuperfice; and to the Convex, other particles egrefs to the fuperfice, which cannot returne in again to the interiors: Whereupon it comes to pafs, that by how much the longer the Inflexion is continued, or how much the more frequently repeated; by fo much the more Contracted is the Concave fuperfice made, and fo continues, and fo much more Deduced or Dilated is the Convex fuperfice made, and fo continues and confequently both the Inflexion and Reduction become as fo much the weaker, fo as much the fmaller. Nay, where the Deflexion is fo great, as that fome parts of either fuperfice are wholly Diffracted and Diffociated, and fo can no longer maintain that mutual cohærence and continuity, which is neceffary to the feries of Reflexion and Retraction: there doth no Reduction at all followe, after Inflexion, at moft only fo much, as is made by the parts, which yet remain cohxrent; in which alfo we muft allowe the diftinction of Concavity and Convexity. Thus, when a Twigg is broken half off in the middle, by overmuch bending, it miakes no more Reflexion, than what depends only upon the balf which is unbroken.

As for TR A C T IL I T Y likewife, all the obfcurity which remains npon its nature, depends upon this Difficulty; Cur Neruss diftentus, \&o è Juo fitu diftrathis toties binc inde redeat? Why doth a Tractile bodie, fuch as a Nerve or Luteftring, when diftended, and abduced from the line of direction to either fide, not only reduce it felf from that obliquity to directnefs; but recurr beyond is, and then returns toward the place of its firft abduction, and thence back again to and beyond the line of direction, and fo makes many excurfes and recurfes ?

And this may be foon folved, by Anfwering; that the Caufe of this Tremulation or Vibrations of a Tractile thing, diftended and percuffed, or abduced, feems to be the fame with that of the Reflexion of a Flexile, newly rendred. For (I.) A chord diftended, is nothing but a Flexile body; and fo much the more apt for Reflection, by how much more it is Diftended: becaufe Tenfion is a kind of Rigidicy. (2.) A chord diftended hath the reafon not only of one fimple Flexile bodie, but alfo of two conjoyned; infomuch as it hath 2 Extrems, in each of which we may diftinguin the Hypomochlion, or fixt part, from the Reflectent ; and in the middle, or that part, which is percuffed or abduced by the plectrum or finger, there are as it were 2 other Ex-
tremes conjoyned, which being naturally reluctant each to other, caufe the reciprocal Reduction each of other. (3.) As a Twigg, after inflexion, doth return beyond the middle, or line of directnels, and goes and comes frequently, till it hath overcome the firft impreffed motion, and recovered its natural fite becaufe after the firf Reflexion is made, a fecond fucceeds, for the fame reafon, as the firft, a third for the fame reafon as the fecond, and fo a fourth, fifth, \&c. fucceffively: So alfo, is it neceffary, that many Vibrations, or Excurfes and Recurfes be alternately made, by a Chord diftended and percuffed; becaufe the fame caufe remains to the fecond, third, fourth, \&c. which was to the firf. Lege culerfennum, Harmonicor. lib. 3. PropoS. 22. Corollario de atomis.

This may be fairly demonitrated in this Chord A. B. vertically diftended, by a weight appenfed. For, being elevated to the point C. falling from thence, it will make its firft diadrome to I. not to $L$. becaufe of the refiftence of the Aer: and thence by new force returning over the center B. it will make it fecond diadrome, not quite home
 to N . becaule of the refiftence of the Aer; but only to S. and thence relapfing, it will make. its third diadrome no higher then V. and thence back again, its fourth to R. and at length, its diadroms fucceffively diminifhing, it refteth at. the centre B. And thus you fee how the force or impetus, whereby it is moved, is by fenfible degrees and proportionately diminifhed: and that it is impoffible, it fhould make any two Diadroms Æquifpatial, during the whole time of its motion. For, if we concede two diadroms to be equal in fpace; we muft find them to be produced by an equal impetus. Therefore, if the Chord recurring from C. Chould on the other fide afcend as high as L. it would of neceffity thence returning make its fecond Diadrome to C . where it began its firft, and thence recur to L. again, and thence to C. and fo the motion would be perpetual. Left, therefore, that Abfurdity be admitted in nature, is is neceffary that the impetus be proportionately diminifhed, that fo the Chord may after various Vibrations arrive at the centre or terme of its motion. You fee alfo, that the Natural impetus, by whofe fivindge or rapt, the weight appenied at the lower extreme of the Chord, is carried to the Centre, is the Caufe of all its Tranfcurfions or diadroms: and that the Refiftence of the conftipated or compreffed Aer, is the caufe of the Diminution of them.

But here comes the PROBLEM (fuch a one as put even exherfennus Himfelfe to the Eruditis Phyficomathematicis difcutiendum relinquo; Harmonicor. lib. 2. propofit. 29.) and that is; Cur Diadromus Chorde maximus codem tempore conficit totum $\int p a c i-$ $u m$, quo minimus, aut reliqui finguli diadromi intermedii illud conficiant? Whence is it, that all the Excurfes and Recurfes, or diadroms of a Chord, either Vertically, or horizontally diftended, and abduced from the line of Direction; are Ifochronical, or Equitemporaneous, though not Equifpacial: as alfo are All the Vibrations of a Flexile body, fixt at one extream, and deflected at the other.

This fupendious Phænomenon may be thus Demonftrated. Let F . G. (in the fecond diagram) be the Chord horizontally diftended; which, being diftracted from its direct fituation, F. G. to A. makes its feveral Diadroms, A.B. B.C. C.E. and E.D. Now we fay, that All thefe Diadroms, though greatly difproportionate in point of fpace, are yet exactly proportionate in point of Time, i.e. the firf Diadrom, A. B. doth meafure its whole fpace, in the fame proportion of time, as doth the fecond Diadrom, B. C. or the third, C.E. or the fourth E. D. For, fince the Violence or impetus, whereby the Chord is abduced from the line F. G. to the point A. is fo much the greater, by how much the longer the line of the Epidrom is, the Chord muft pervade it fpace fo much the more fpeedily, by how much the fpace is greater, compared to that of the fubfequent ones: it neceffarily followes, that all the fubfequent Diadroms muft be Equidiurnal, becaufe look how much is detracted from the Longitude, Magnitude, and Impetus of the fubfequent Diadroms exactly fo much accederh to the Brevity of the fpace, which they are to percurr; and fo the longitude of the pofterior Epidrom becomes inverted in proportion to the Time, and its Brevity of face compenfateth the decay of that Impetus, which was in the Prior Diadrom. For Example; Let the Chord, which makes an hundred Diadroms, pervade a foot fpace, in its firft Diadrom, and the hundredth part of a foot, at its laft, or hundredth Diadrom: we affirm, that the firft Diadrom muft be an hundred times fwifter than the Laft; which is an hundred times flower, as being to the fame proportion lefs violent; and that which immediately procedeth the Quiet of the Cord, in the Direct line, F. G.

More plainly; the Firft Diadrom, A. B. as it is the Greateft, fo is it the moft Violent; and as it is the moft Violent, fo muft the Velocity, whereby it pervades the whole fpace betwixt A.B. be alfo the Greateft : and the Second Diadrom, B. C. how much it comes fhort, in violence of tenfion, and Celerity of motion, of the Firf, fo much doth it come fhort of the Magnitude allo thereof; fo that though the face of the former, A. B. be much larger than that of the fecond, B.C. yet doe they both pervade their feveral faces in the fame proportion of Time, becaufe, as the fecond Diadrom, B. C. hath lefs of violence and of Celerity, than the firft, A: B. fo hath it juft fo much lefs of face to pervade, and fo the Diminution
nution of fpace Compenfateth the Diminution of Violence and Celerity. Wherefore, the Reafon of the Third Diadrom being the fame to the Second, as that of the Second to the Firft ; and of the Fourth to the Third, as that of the Third to the Second: it is manifeft and neceffary, that all the Diadroms be (Æquidiurnal, though not Æquifpatial; which is what we Affumed.

## Art. 7.

 PROBLEM. Why doth a Chord of a duple length, perform its div adroms in a proportion, of time duple, to a Chord of a fingle length; both being diftended by equal force; \& yer, it the Chord of the duple length be diftended byaduple for or weight, it doth not performits Diadroms, in a proportion of time duple to that of the $\mathrm{c}=$ ther ; but only if the Force or weight diftending it, be quadruple to the Firlt fup. poled: and its SOLUT.But yet the Lees of the P R O B L E M remain behind; for it is worthy farther Enquiry: Why a Chord of a Duple length, v.g. of 4 foot, doth performe its Diadroms ins a Duple proportion of Time, to a Chord of a fingle length, v.g. of 2 foot; when both are diftend. ed by equal Force, or Weight: and yet, if the Chord of 4 foot be diAtended by doubly as great a Force or Weight as that of only 2 foot, it doth not performe its Dindroms with Velocity Duple therewnto; but only if the force of its Difenfion be 2 2udruple to the force firft fuppofed?

And to exhauf them, though fomewhat rough and crabbed, we A NS WER, As in a Penfle bodie, or Chord vertically diftended by a weight, the time of each fingle Excurfe, is equal to that time", in which the fame weight would, if permitted, be falling from fuch an Altitude, as is commeafurable by the diametre of the Circle, whereof Arches are defrribed by the Excurfes of the Penfile body abduced from the perpendicular: So in a Tenfile body, fuch as a Chord ftrained upon a Lute, All the times, in which a part of the Chord accepted exactly in the middle, excurreth from one fide, are equal to one time, in. which one of its Extrems, if cut off, would directly pervade the whole length, and come into the place of the other, toward which the force, being fill the fame behind, would draw it. For, the fame Force, certainly, is alwaies able to produce the fame Effect: and if the lateral fpaces of the Diadroms doe continually decreafe, the Velocity of the motion muft alfo continually decreafe. And the caufe of that continual Decrement, can be no other but the Force Drawing or diftending the Chord, which continually refracteth the contrary Force, by the plectrum or finger impreffed thereupon. Now, fince All the Excurfes of a Chord, of whatever length, are exæquated to one and the fame direct Trajection thereof, as we faid even now; in the Former Cafe, the Trajection cannot but be performed in a duple proportion of Time, as a Duple proportion of Space is affumed to be trajected or pervaded, by the fame Motive or Attractive Force : but in the Latter not, becaufe Three Equal things being fuppofed, viz. Time, Space, and the Weight or Attractive Force, it is of pure necefflty, that the fame fpace remaining, look how much of Time is diminifhed, fo much is the motive Force encreafed, and what is the proportion of fpace to Time, the fame is the proportion of the Motive Force to Space. And hence comes it, that the proportion of face to Time being as that of 2 to 1 ; the Motive Force muft have to fpace the proportion of 4 to 2 : and confequently to Time, not as 2 to I , but as 4 to I .

## Chap.XIV. Flexility, Tractility, Ductility, oc.

Lafly, as for DLIC T I LI T Y, little remains Additional to what we have formerly fid, concerning the Formal Reafon thereof, but the Solution of that notable PR OB L E M, about the admirably vaft Extensibility of that King not only of Metals, but of the whole Earth, Gold. And, indeed, fiance we have it upon the reltimony of our Experience, that one Ounce of pure Gold may be, by Malleation, extended to fuch an amplitude, as to cover ten Acres of Land; and that one Grain thereof may be Wield drawne into a thread of fuch incomparable fineness, as to commenfurate 400 foot; and confequently, that one Ounce of Gold is capable of deduction into a thread, whore length may fufill the meafure of two hundred and thirty thoufand, and four hundred feet, of fix inches apiece: we fay, this being avouched by thole Mechaniques, who deale in Beating of Gold into Leaves, and Drawing it out into Weer, it Rems well worthy our Enquiry, upon what Caufe this ftupendious Prerogative of Gold doth chiefly depend. In a word, therefore, we conceive this fuperlative EXTENSIBILITY of Gold, to be warrantably referrible to a Threefold Caw se, viz the unparalleld Compactness of it fubftance, the great Tenuity of its Component particles, and the Multitude of final Hooks or Claws, whereby thole particles reciprocally implicate each other, and maintain the Contenuity of the whole Mars. For (1) the exceeding Compactness of its Contexture doth afford parts fufficient to fo great Extenfion, i.e. Such an abundance of them, as upon the Decrement of the Mas in Profundity, may rife up into the fuperfice and enlarge the Latitude, or Longirude: (2) The Tenuity of its component particles maketh the mas camable of Diminution in profundity, and fo of Augmentation in fuperfine, even to an incredible proportion: and (3) The cMultitude of small Hooks, whereby thofe Exile particles reciprocally cohære, fufficeth to the conftant Continuity; for, while the mads is fuffering under the Hammer, no fooner can the ftroke thereof diffociate one particle from its neighbour, but infantry it layes hold of and faftneth upon another, and as firmely cohareth thereunto, as to its former hold: So that the mutual Cohxfion is maintained even above the higher degree of Extenfion or Attenuation, which any imaginable Art can promife. Nay, fo fufficient a Cause of incredible Ductility doth this lat rem to be, that Merfennus regarded no other : as may be collected from there his words: Suns autem Corpora maxims Ductilia, que habent Atomos andique Hamatas, ut Aursm; caius stomi non eta poffunt evolui, ut Jefe deferant in inferioribus, ut fuperioribus partibus, quin laterales facedante, quibus ufque ad infignems tentitatem perveniant; (Harmon. lib. 3. propel. 22. Corollario de Atomif.) This apprehended, the Chymift needs not longer to perplex himself about the Cause of the Incarruptibility, and incapacity of Volatilization in Gold: and if his fo promifing Art can attain to the inveftment of any Metal with there Proprieties; let other men difpute, whether it be Gold or no, for our parts, we oblige our felves fo to accept it.

Now, that we may run through all other Secondary Qualities, in this one Courfe, we farther observe; that to the prexdominion of Softness, men ought to refer SEC T I L I TY, fuch is is feen in wood Cut transverily: and FISSILITY, fuch as in wood cleft along the Grain. For,

Art. 8. The Reasons of the raft Ductility, or Extensibility of Gold.
$\qquad$ ,

whatever is [rio $\pi$ iцn riv ] Sectile, muft in fome fort return to the nature of Flexility; feeing that the parts of it, which are immediately preffed upon by the edge of the Axe, Knife, or other Cutting inftrument, muft recede inwardly, i.e. from the fuperfice to the profundity of the Mafs, and the Lateral parts, at the fame time, give back on each hand, for otherwife there could be no yeilding, and fo no cutting; and in like manner, whatever is [ [ro $\chi^{i s o c}$ ] Fifsile, muft have fo much of Flexility alro, as that, when the parts of it, in the place, upon which the Force is firt difcharged, begin to be diffociated, a certain Compreffion muft run along fucceffively to all the other parts, which are afterwards to be diffociated. But, though a Fifsion, or Cleaving may be made without any Deperdition of Subftance, or exceffion of parts from the body cleft; thofe parts, which were coadunated Sec.Longitudinem, being only feparated Sec. Longitudinem: yet is that impoffible in any section whatever, though made by the acuteft edge imaginable; becaule, look how much of the body doth commenfurate the bredth of the edge of the Cutting inftrument, fo much, at leaft, is beaten off and deftracted from the body, betwixt the fides of the incifion. And thus much concerning the Confequents of softhefs.

Arb. 10.
Trafility and Friability, the Conifquents of Hardness.

As for thofe of Hardness; they are T R A C T I L I T Y and FRIABILITY. For, whatever is [ tio re. тaxioi ] Fractile, capable of fraction into pieces, as a Flint and moft ocher ftones, mult have fo much of Rigidity, (the chief propriety of Hardnefs) as may fuffice to hinder the yeilding of it fuperfice, upon preffure or percuffion; and confequently all fubingreffion of fuperior particles into the fmall vacuities intercepted among the inferior ones; and fo to caufe, that the fuperfice is firft diffracted, and fucceffively all the fubjacent particles diffociated, quite thorow to the contrary fuperfice, the inferior particles being ftill pulfed by the Superior [ xáhi ri \}uvex ${ }^{\text {Es }}$ ] by reafon of their Continuity. So that the fragments into which the body is fhattered, are greater or lefs, either according to the diverfe contexture thereof in divers parts, in refpect whereof fome parts may be contexed more Compactly and Firmely, and others again more Laxly and Weakly: or according to fituation, in refpect whereof thofe parts, which are neerer to the Circumference, flie off more eafily than thofe, which are more remote. In like manner, whatever is properly [rioeav oiv] Friabile, Brittle, as Marble, Glars, Earchern Veffels, \&c. muft alro have fo much of Rigidity, as to make it uncapable of Flexion, Traction, Diduction; or Extenfion, by any means whatever: fo that upon any forcible preffion, or percuffion, the whole mafs or fubftance of it is fhivered into duft, or broken into greater fragments, which are eafily fubject to be Cruinbled into duft afterward. Now, that a Hard or Rigid bodie being percuffed, or preffed, with force fufficient, in one Extreme or Superfice, the percuffion or preffure may be propagated from part to part fucceffively, till it arrive at and be determined in the other extreme; may be evinced by fundry moft eafie Experiments, fome whereof are recited by the Lord St. Wlban (in Syluasylvarums Cent. 1.) But this one will ferve the curne. When an Oyfter, or Tortois fhell is let fall from a fufficient altitude, upon a fone, it is ufually fhattered into many peices; and that for no other Reafon but this, that the lower fide, whecher Convex or Concave,

## Chap.XIV. Flexility, Tractility, Ductility, örc.

being vehemently impinged againft the fone, the particles thereof imme: diately knockt by the ftone, as vehemently give back; and in their quick Retroceffion impell the particles fituate immediately above them; whereupon thofe impelled particles with the fame violence impell others next in order above them, until the percuffion being propagated from part to part fucceeffively quite home to the upper fuperfice, it comes to pafs, that eadt percuffed part giving back, the whole fhell is 'hattered into fmall Eragments.

All which may feem but a genuine Paraphrafe upon the Text of Merfennus. (Harmonicor. lib. 2. propof. 43.) Duritiei verò proprietas appellatur Rigiditas; que fit ab Atomis ita fibi invicem coherentibus, ut Deflexionem impediant: quod contingit in Corporibus, que conftant Atomis Cubicis, octuedris \& tetruedis, ex quibus refultat perfecta fuperficiecularum inter fe cobefio; binc fit ut Rigida Corpora Fructilia fiwt, non autem Sectilia, \&̛ ictu impacto tota in frusta difsiliant. 2ui adim predicte fuperficiuncula fo invicem preinunt, que funt ex una parte, dimoventur ab its, que ex alia; adeo ut unico impetio externo Corpori impreffo, Contafio fentiatur per totum, \& partium codem, momento fit Separatio.

There yet remains a Quality, which is the Ofspring neither of Softnefs alone, nor Hardnefs alone; but ought to be referred partly to the one, partly to the other: and that is R UPT ILITY. For, not only fuch Bodies, as challenge the Attribute of Softnefs, are fabject to Ruptro on, when they are diftreffed beyond the tenour of their Contexture, either by too much Inflexion, as a Bow over bent; or too much Diftention, as Leather or Parchment over ftrained; or too much Malleation, as a plate of Lead, Iron, or other Metal over hammerd : but fuch alfo as chaim the title of $\operatorname{Hardnc} / \mathrm{s}$, and that in an eminent proportion, as Marble; for, a Pillar of Marble, if long and flender, and laid tranfverfly or horizontally, fo as to reft only upon its two extrems, is eafily broken afunder by its own Weight. For, as Soft bodies, when rackt or deduced beyond the rate of mutual Cohxrence among their parts, muft yeeld to the External Force, which diffreffeth them, and fo fuffer total difcontinuity: fo Hard ones, when the Internal Force, or their owne Weight, is too great to be refifted by their Compactnefs, as in the example of a long Marble pillar, not fupported in the middle; then muft they likewife yeeld to that fuperior force, and break afunder.

And here the Archer and Mufician, put in, for a Solution of that PR O BLEM, which fo frequently troubles them; vit. Cur Chorde facilius circa Extrema, quama circa cMedium frangantur, cum vi vel pondere, five horiZontaliter, five verticaliter trabuntur? Why Bowftrings, Luteftrings, and other Chords, though of uniforme Contexture throughout, and equally diftended in all parts, do yet ufually break afunder, not in the middle, or neer it, but at one End, where they are faftned ?

The Caule, certainly, muft be this; that the Weight or drawing force doth alwayes firft act upon the parts of the Atring, which are neerelt to it, and fucceffively upon thofe, which are farcheft off, i.e. in the Middle: fo that the ftring fuffering the greateft ftrefs neer

Art. II Ruptility, the Confequent partly of Sof fines, partly of Hardnefs.

Art. 12.
PRoblem. Why Chords difteaded, are more apt to break neer the Ends, than in the middle? and irs SOLUT.
the Extrems, is more fubject to break there, than in any other part. Wherefore, whenever a Bowftring breaks in or neer the middle; it may fafely be concluded, that the ftring was weakeft in that place. To which we mayadd this alfo, that Experienced Archers, to provent the frequent breaking of their ftrings, and the danger of breaking the Bow thereby; injoyn their String-makers, to add a Link of Flax, or Twift more at the Ends of each ftring, than in any other parts of it: and that they call the Forcing, becaufe Experience hath taught them, that the Force of the Bow is moft violently difcharged upon thofe parts of the ftring, which are neereft to the Horns.
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## made MANIEEST.

## Sect. I.



Aving thus long entertained it felf with the moft probable Reafons of the feveral wayes and means, whereby Compound Bodies exhibite their feveral Attributes and Proprieties to the judicature of the Senfitive Faculties in Animals, and principally in Man, the Rule, Perfection and grand Exemplar of all the reft; tis high time for our Curiofity to turn a new leaf, and feduloully addrefs it felf to the fecculation of Another Order, or Claffis of Qualities, fuch as are vulgarly diftinguifhed fromall thofe, which have hitherto been the fubject of our Difquifitions, by the unhappy and difcouraging Epithite; OCCULT. Wherein we ufe the farce perfect Dialect of the Schools; who too boldly prefuming, that all thofe Qualities of Concretions, which belong to the jurifdiction of the fenfes, are dependent upon Known Caules, and deprehended by Known Faculties, have therefore termed them $\mathbf{C M}$ anifeft: and as incircumipectly concluding, that all thofe Proprieties of Bodies, which fall not under the Cognizance of either of the Senfes; are derived from obfcure and undifcoverable Caufes, and perceived by Unknown Faculties; have accordingly determined them to be Immanifeft or occult. Not that we dare be guilty of fuch unpardonable Vanity and Arrogance, as not moft willingly to confers, that to nur felies all the operations of Nature are meer Secrets; that in all her ample catalogue of Quas. lities, we have not met with fo much as one, which is not really Immanifent and Abftrufe, when we convert our thoughts either upon its Genuine and Proxime Caules, or upon the Reafon and Manner of its perception by that Senfe, whofe proper Object it is: and confequently, that as the Senfibility of a thing doth noe way prefuppofe its Intebligibility, but that many things, which are moft obvious and open to the Senle, as to their Efferts, may yet be remote, and in the dark to
the Underftanding, as to their Caules : fo on the Contrary, doth not the Infenfibility of a thing neceffitate, nay, nor aggravate the Unintelligibility thereof, but that many things, which are above the fphere of the Senfes, may yet be as much within the reach of our Reafon, as the moft fenfible whatever. Which being precogitated, as, when we look back upon our precedent Difcourfes, touching the Originals and Perception of Senfible Qualities, we have juft ground to fear, that they have not attained the happy fhoar of verity, but remain upon the wide and fluctuating ocean of meer Verifimility : So alfo, when we look forward upon our immediately fubrequent Difquifitions into the Caufes of many Infenfible Qualities, are we not deftitute of good reafon to hope, that though we herein attempt the confignation of Confentaneous and Probable Caufes to fundry of thofe Effects, which Schollars commonly content themfelves only to Admire, and without farther exercife of their Intellectuals, to leave wrapt up in the Chaos of Sympathies and Antipathies; yet will not the Ingenious mifunderftand us, or conceive that we efteem or propofe thofe Reafons as oraculous or $\mathcal{L}$ podicticalt, or create an expectation of the Difcovery of fuch Originals, whereupon thofe Rarer Operations and Magnalia of Nature do proximely and genuinely depend. However, fome may think it expedient for us to profefs, that as in our former Enquiries, fo in this, our Defigne is only to explain fundry admired Effects, by fuch Reafons, as may appear not altogecher Remote and Incongruous, but Confentancous and Affine to Truth; that fo no mans judgement may be impeached by embracing them for moft Probable, untill the (in that refpect, too flow) wheel of Time fhall have brought up fome more worthy Explorator, who fhall wholly withdrawe that thick Curtain of obfcurity, which yet hangs betwixt Natures Laboratory and Us, and enrich the Commonweal of Letters, by the difcovery of the Real Verity. And this we mult enterprize, by continuing our progrefs in the allmoft oblite: rated Tract, that Epicurus and Democritus fo long fince chalk'd forth; not by treading in the beaten road of Arifotle and his Seifators, who (for ought we have learned) were They, who firft founded that ill contrived Sanctuary of Ignorance, called $\operatorname{OCCULT} 2 \mathcal{U A}$ LITIES.

Art. 2.
upon what grounds; and by mhom, the Sanतtuary of Occult Rualities was erected.

For, generally fetting up their reft in the Commiftion of Elements, and their fuppofed Immateriall Qualities; and being not able ever to explicate any Infenfible Propriety, from thofe narrow and barren Principles: they thought it a fufficient Salvo for their Ignorance, fimply to affirme all fuch Proprieties to be occult ; and without due refection upon the Invalidity of their Fundamentals, they blufhed not to charge Nature Herfelf with too much Clofenefs and Obfcurity, in that point, as if fhe intended that all Qualities, that are Infenfible, fhould alfo be Inexplicable.

Art.3. The ingenious Sancher, among many Sceptical Arguments of Occult Qualitic and profeff ig. morance,allone the Lincertainty of Sciences, feafonably urgeth this one, as very confiderable, againft Phyfiologifts; that when any Natural Problem, fuch as that of the Attraction of Iron by a Loadftone, of ftraws by Amber, \& c. is objected to them; inftead of fetting their Curiofity on work to
to inveftigate the Caufes thereof, they lay it in a deep fleep, with that infatuating opium of Ighote Qualities : and yet expect that men fhould believe them to knowall that is to be known, and to have fpoken like Oracles concerning that Theorem; though at the fame inftant, they do as much as confers, that indeed they know nothing at all of its Nature and Caufes. For, what difference is there, whecher we fay, that fuch a thing is Occult; or that we know nothing of it?

Nor is it a Courfe either lefs difhonorable to the Profeffors, or dangerous to the Students of Philofophy, to refer fuch Effeets, upon which men commonly look with the eye only of Wonder, to Secret Sympatbies and Antipathies: forafmuch as chofe Windy Terms are no lefs a Refuge for the Idle and Ignorant, than that of Occult Proprieties, it being the very fame in importance, wherher we have recourfe to the One, or to the other. For, no fooner doe we betake ourfelves to Either, but we openly confers, that, all our Learning is ata ftand, and our Reafon wholly vanquifht, and beaten out of the field by the Difficulty propofed. We deny not, that moft, if not All of thofe Admired Effects of Nature, which even the Graveft Heads have too long thought fufficient Excufes of their Defpair of Cognition, do arife from fome Sympathy, or Antipathy betwixt the Agent and Patient: but yet for all that; have we no reaion to concede, that Nature doth inflitute or Caufe that fympathy or Antipathy, or the Effect refuuting from either, by any other Lawes, or Means, but what fhe hath ordained and conftantiy ufeth, to the production of all other Common and familiar Effects. We ace knowledge alfo, that Sympathy is a certain Conjent, and Ansipathy a certain Difent betwixt Two Natures, from one, or both of which there ufually ariferth fome fuch Effect, as may feem to deferve our limited Admiration: but is it therefore reafonable for us to infer, that thofe Natures are not fubject unto, nor regulated by the General and Ordinary Rules of Action and Paffion, whereto Nature hath firmely obliged Herelff in the reft of Her Operations :

To lance and cleanfe this Cacoertical Ulcer, to the bottom, Confider we, that the General Laws of Nature, whereby the produceth All Effects, by the Action of one and Paffion of another thing, as may be collected from fundry of our precedent Difcertations, are thefe: (1.) That every Effect muft have its Caure; (2) That no Caufe can act but by Mocion; (3) That Noching can act upon a Diftant fubject or upon fuch whereunto it is not actually Prafent, either by it felf, or by fome inftrument, and that either Conjunct, or Tranfmitted; and confequently, that no body can move another, but by contact Mediate, or Immediate, i. e. by the mediation of fome continued Organ, and that a Corporeal one too, or by it felf alone. Which confidered, it will be very hard not to allowe it neceffary, that when two things are faid either to Attract and Embrace one the other by mutual Sympathy, or to Repell and Avoid one the other, by mutual Axtipathy; this is performed by the fame wayes and means, whereby we obferve one Body to Attraat and hold fart another, or one Body to Repell and Avoid conjunction with another, in all Senfible and Mechanique Operations. This fmall Difference only allowed,
that in Grofs and Mechanique operations, the Attraction, or Repulfion is performed by senfible Inftruments: but, in thofe finer performances of Nature, called Sympathies and Antipatbies, the Attraction or Repulfion is made by Subtle and Infenfible. The means ufed in every common and Senfible Attraction and Complection of one Bodie by another, every man obferves to be Hooks, Lines, or fome fuch intermediate Inftrument continued from the Attrahent to the Attracted; and in every Repulfion or Disjunction of one Bodie from another, there is ufed fome Pole, Lever, or other Organ intercedent, or fomewhat exploded or difcharged from the Impellent to the Impulfed. Why therefore fhould we not conceive, that in every Curious and Infenfible Attraction of one bodie by another, Nature makes ufe of certain flender Hooks, Lines, Chains, or the like intercedent Inftruments, continued from the Attrahent to the Attracted, and likewife that in every Secret Repulfion or Sejunction, the ufeth certain fmall Goads, Poles, Levers, or the like protruding Inftruments, continued from the Repellent to the Repulfed bodie? Becaufe, albeit thofe Her Inftruments be invifible and imperceptible; yet are we not therefore to conclude, that there are none luch at all. We every day behold Spiders letting themfelves down from high roofs, and as nimbly winding themfelves up again at pleafure, by fuch flender threads of their own occafionall and extemporary fpinning, as tis notevery common eye that can difcern them. Nay, in a Mask at Court, we have feen a whole Chorus of Gods defcend into the theatre, as from the clouds, only by Wires and ocher lines, fo fine and flender, as that all the light of the tapers burning therein was not fufficient to difcover them to the fight of the Spectators: and vaft aud ponderous Scenes fo fuddenly and dextroufly fhifted, by the almoft inobfervable motions of Skrews, Elevators, Pulleys, and the like Archimedean Engines and Devices, that the common Beholders, judging only by the Apparence, or (rather) Non-apparence, have thought thofe great machines to have been Automatous, or to have moved themfelves, and at laft to vanilh into nothing. And fhall we not then allowe the incomparably more $\mathrm{Cu}-$ rious Mechaniques of Natures, the Exemplar of Art, to be wrought by Inftruments of Subtility incomparably greater: and that many of thofe fmall Engines, whereby fhe ufually moves and fufteins bodies of confiderable bulk and weight, are Corporeal, though by incomputable exceffes below the perception of our acuteft fenfe? Certainly, for us to affirm, that nothing Material is emitted from the Loadftone to Iron, which by continuity may Attract it; only becaufe our fenfe doth deprehend nothing intercedent betwixt them: is an Argument of equal weight with that of the Blind man, whodenied the Being of Light and Colours, becaufe He could perceive none. In a word, if there be any validity in what we have fo plainly afferted, and frequently inculcated, touching the Hebetude or Groffnefs of our Senfes, on one part, and the great Exility of all Aporrea's or Effuxes ftreaming from Bodies, on the other; and if that Oracle, Reafon, be to be heard, which fo long fince perfuaded Hippocrates, and many other, Secretaries of Nature, that moft, if not All
 they continually emit infenfible Effluvia's from themfelves to others: We fay, if there be any weight in all this, men cannot think it unreaSonable in us to conceive, that thofe Admired Effects, which they com-
monly afcribe to Hidden Sympathies and Antipathies, are brought aboui by the fame ways and means, which Nature and Art ufe in the Cauration of the like Ordinary and Senfible Effects; and that the Inftruments of Natural Attraction, Complectence, Repulfion, Sejunction, are Corporeal, and hold a neer Analogie to thofe of Artificial; only thefe are Grofs and Perceptible, thofe Subtile and Imperceptible.

Notwithftanding the perfpicuity of thefe Arguments, we fhall not fupererogate, to heighten the luftre of fo defirable a Truth, by the vernifh of a convenient and prægnant Simile, or two. If we attentively obferve a Chamalcon catching Gnats and other fmall Flyes in the Aer, for his food; we flall fee him dart out a long and flender tongue, with a fmall recurvation at the tip, and birdlimed with a certain tenacious and invifcating moifture, wherewith, in a trice, laying hold of a Fly, at fome diftance from his mouth, he conveys the fame into it with fuch cleanly fpeed, as exceeds the Legerdemane of our cunningft Juglers, and may have been the cheif occafion of that popular Error, that be lives meerly upon Acr. And when we fee a peice of Amber, Jet, hard Wax, or other Electrique, after fufficient friction, to attract ftraws, fhavings of wood, quils, and other feftucous bodies of the fame lightnefs, objected within the orbe of their Alliciency; and that with a cleanly and quick motion: Why fhould we not conceive, that this Electricity or Attraction may hold a very neer Analogy to that attraction of Gnats, by the exferted and nimbly retracted tongue of a Chamaleon. For ( $x$ ) it is not improbable, that the Attraction of all Electriques is performed by the mediation of fwarms of fubtle Emanations, or Continued Rayes of exile particles, comparative to fo many Chamæleons Tongues; which through the whole Sphere of their Virtue, in various points mutually interfecting, or decuffating, and more efpecially toward their Extreams, doe not only infinuate themfelves into the pores of thofe fmall and light feftucous bodies occurrent, but lay hold upon feveral infenfible Afperities in their fuperfices, and then returning (by way of Retraction) back to their Original or Source, bring them along in their twined arms, and fo long hold them faft in their Complicate embraces, as the warmth and radial Diffufion, excited by affriction, laftert. (2) All the Difparity, that can be objected, feems to confift onely in the Manner of their Return, or Retraction; the Tongue of the Chamrleon being both darted forth, and retracted by help of certain Mufcles, wherewith Nature, by a peculiar providence, hath accommodated that otherwife Helplefs Animal : but, Electriques are deftitute of any fuch organs, either for the Exfertion, or Reduction of their Rayes. And this is not fo great, but it may be folved, by fuppofing, that as if the Chamxleons Tongue were dravn forth at length by a mans hand, and not extruded by the inftruments of Voluntary Motion, it would again Contract and Reduce it felf fpontaneoully, after the fame manner as Nerves and Luteftrings retract and curle up themfelves, after violent Diftenfion: fo may the Rayes, which ftream from an Electrique, being abduced from their fountains, not fpontaneoufly, but by the force of precedent Affriction, be conceived to Reduce and Retract themfelves, after the manner of Sinews and Luteftrings violently extended:

Art. 6. The Means of Attraftions fympatherical, explicated by a conveniens simile.
(3) That fuch tenacious Rayes are abduced from Amber and other Electriques, is eafily convincible (befides the experiment of their Attraction of convenient objects) from hence; that all Electriquesare Uattuons and Pinguous Concretions, and that in no mean degree : and manifeft it is, that a vificid and unctuous Bodie is no fooner Warmed by rubbing, but there rife out of it certain fmall Lines or $7^{\text {hbreads, }}$, which adhære to a mans finger that touchethit, and fuch as may, by gentle abduction of the finger, be prolonged to confiderable diftance. But, however this may be controverted, and the Way of all Electrique Attractions varioufly explicated, according to the various Conceptions of men; the Itch of Phancy being fooneft allayed by the liberty of ones fingular Conjecture, in fuch curi ous Theorems: yet fill is it firme and indubitable, that though the Attraction of Atraws by Amber, be in fome fort Admirable, yet is it not Miraculous, as is implied in that opinion, which would have it to be by fome Immaterial (i e. Supernatural) Virtue; and that it is effected by fome Corporeal, though both impalpable and invifible Organs continued from the Attrahent to the Attracted.

Art.7. On the Other fide, as for the 1 baction, or Repulfion of one thing by The Me ans of another, in refpect whereunto Vulgar Philofophers have thought and Abation and Repulfigns Antipatberical, explicated likewile by fundry fruisis. tudes.
taught, that the Abacted or Repulfed doth (if an Animal) voluntarily (if Inanimate) fpontaneoufly Flie from and avoid Conjunction with the Abacting, or Repellent, by reafon of fome hidden Emmity or Axtipathy betwixt their Forms: though the Reafons and Manner of fuch Fugation, fo far forth as concerns Animals, may be collected from our former Difcourfes of the Gratefu!nels and Offenfivenefs of Senfible Objects ; yet thall we here farther illuftrate the fame by certain Analogies and Similitudes. When a Nettle is objected to a mans Hand, why doth He withdraw it from the fame? Not upon the account of any Antipathy in his hand to the Nettle; becaufe being bruifed, or withered, no Childe but will boldly handle it : but, becaufe the Nettle is pallizado'd with millions of finall ftings, or prickles, which like fo many Darts, wounding the the skin, caule a pain therein, and fo the man, for avoidance of harm, catcheth his hand from it, as an injurious object. Why likewie doth the Nofe abominate and avoid finking odours, whenever they are brought neer it? Is it not becaufe fuch Foetid and Offenfive Odours confift, for the moft part, of fuch fharp and pungent Particles, as holding no Correfpondence to the pores and contexture of the Odoratory Nerves, are nofooner admitted, but they in a manner fcratch, wound and dilacerate the Senfory? And may we not conceive thofe difproportionate Particles of the ungrateful Odour to be as fo many fimall Lances or Darts, which offer the fame injury to the Mammillary Proceffes of the brain, that the Prickles of a Nettle offer to the skin? Certainly, as the Nettle ftrikes its Darts into the skin, and not into the Nayles of a mans hand ; becaufe thofe are of too clofe and firm a Contexture to admit them : fo doth an offenfive Odour immit its painted and angular Particles into the tender fmelling Nerves, and not into the skin, becaufe its Contexture is more Compact, than to be capable of Puncture or Dilaceration thereby. Laftly, Why doth the Eye abhor and turne from Ugly and odious objects? Is it not only becaufe the Vifible Species emitted from fuch Bodies, doch confint of Particles of fuch Configurations and Contexture, as carry no pro-
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proportion to the particles and contexture of the Optique Nerves, but ftriking upon the Retina Tunica, inftantly wound and exafperate the flender and tender filaments thereof, and fo caufe the Eye, for fear of farther injury, to clofe, or avert it felf? And are not thofe Acute and Difproportionate Particles, compofing the vifible Species, worthily refemblable to fo many fimall Prickles or Lancets, which though too fubtile to wound the Skin, Noftrils, or other parts of the body, whofe Compofure is lefs delicate, do yet inftantly mif-affect and pain the Optique Nerves, whofe fingular Contexture doth appropriate to them the Capacity of being fenfible of that compunction? Now, putting all thefe Confiderations into the fcale together, and ponderating them with an equal hand; we flall find their weight amount to no lefs than this : that as every Sumpathy is difplayd by certain Corporeal, though Invifible Organs, comp.arated to Attraction and Amplectence; $\int o$ is every Antipathy, by the like invufibie Orgams, comparated to Repulfion and Sejunction; which is what we Affumed.

Hence may we, without much difficulty, extract more than a Conjectural judgement, What are the Firft and General Canfes of all Leve and Hatred. For, look what kind of Motions, whether Grateful or LIngrateful, arè by the Species impreffed upon the Nerves peculiarly ineervient to that fenfe, by which the Object is apprehended; the very fame are continued quite home to the Brain, and therein accordingly move and affect the Common Senfory: fo as that, according to the Pleajure or Offence of the Perception, there is inftantly excited an Affection either of Profecution of the thing, by whofe fpecies that pleafant motion was Caufed, and that is the Hint and Ground of Loving and Defiring it ; or of Averfation from it, and that is the Ground of Hating and Declining it.

Nay, the fame miy be well admitted alfo for the Caufe, Why things . Alike in their Natures, love and delight in the Society each of other; and on the contrary, Why Unlike Natures abhor and avoid each other. For, as thofe which are Confimilar in their Temperaments, affect each other with Congenerous and Grateful Emanations: So doe thofe of Difsimilar mif-affect each other with Difcordant and Ungrateful. And therefore it is no longer a wonder, that men Love, or Diflike eachother commonly at firft interview, though they farce know why: nor can we longer withold our Aifent to that unmarkable Opinion of Plato, that Similitude of $\mathcal{T}$ emperaments and So of Inclinations, is not only the Cement, but Bafis alfo of Amity and Friendhip.

Art. 8. The Firfand GeneralCar fes of all Lozeand Hatred betwixt Anmals.

Art. 9: why things Alike in their natures, love and delight in the Sociery each of other: and why $\mathrm{v}_{n}$ like natures ab hor and avoid each other.

Yyz SECTo

Sect. II.

Art. I. The Scheme of Qualities(re pured) occult.

FRom this General Difquifition into the Reafons of All Sympathy, and Antipathy, to which moft of thofe Proprieties, which by Philofophers are celebrated as ftupendious and Abicondite, are ufually referred; we muft advance to the Confideration of Particular inftances, that by the Solution of Singulars, we may afford the greater reliet to mens (uriofity, and have fo many Opportunities of examining the Verifimulity of our former Thefis, that ali jut $b$ Effects, the krumledge of on ofe curles is generally defparred of, are produced by Subjlantial and Fxpicable Means. And, in order hereunto, we fhall, according to the method of the nolels Acutethan Judicious Fracaforius (de Sympath. \&r inipath. Rerum ) Diftingu:fh All Occult cualites into Ceneral, and special; fubdividing the Generall into (I) the Confpration of the farts of the Uaiverge. and (2) the Influx of Calefial upon Sublunary bodies: and the Speriall into fuch as Concern (I) Inanimates, (2) Inferfibles, (3) Serfibles.

Art. 2. Naturesannid. ance of Varuity, impured to the tyzygia or Conpipiation of all parts of the Univerfe; no Occult Quality.

To the FIRST GENERAL ORDER, viz. the Confpiration and Harmony of all Parts of the Univerfe, Philofophers unanimounly adfcribe the Avoidance of Vacuity; whereupon many are the Secrets, that are prefumed to enfue, as the Afcention of Heavy, Defcent of Light Bodies, the Sejunction of Congenerous and Sociable Natures, the Conjunction and Union of Difcordant and Unfociable, and the like Irregular and Prxpofterous Effects. But, as for all thefe Secrets, we have long fince declared them to be no Secrets, but the moft ordinary and manifeft operations of Nature. For, in our Examination and Solution of all the Apparences in the late famous Experiment of introducing a Vacuum in a Tube, by Water or Quick-filver, invented by Torricellius; we have at large proved, that Nature doth not abhor any but Senfible, or Coacervate Emptinefs : nor that neither per $\int e$, or upon the neceffity of an abfolute Plenitude of all places in the Univerfe; but by Accident only, and that either in refpect of the natural Confluxibility of the parts of Fluid Bodies, fuch as Aer and Water, which caufeth them with great velocity to flow into the parts of Space deferted by a body paffing thorow them; or of the Repugnancie of admitting two bodies into one and the fame place, at the fame time, their Soldity prohibiting the penetration of ones dimenfions by the other. Wherefore, let no man henceforth account the Confpiration of the Parts of the Univerfe, to bean occult Quality; or fo much fand amazed at all or any of thofe Phenomena, which arife from Natures Averfion from Vacuity Senfible; as if they had fome Extraordinary Lawes and Conftitutions particularly ordained for their production, and belonged to fome higher Oeconomy than that,according to which the regulates her Common Active and Pafiive Principles.
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To the SECOND, wit. the Influx of Creftefial upon Sublunary Bodies, innumerable are the Effects, which the Fraud of forme, the Admiration of many, and the Credulity of mort have confidently imputed: and therefore it cannot be expected, we fhould, in this place, fo much as Enumerate the one Half, much lees infift upon them All. Sufficient it is, to the Acquit:ince of our prevent Debt, that we felect the moot confiderable anne them, and foch as Sem Capital and Comprehenlive of all the reft. As for the Power and Influence of the Stars, of which Aftrologers talk foch wonders, and with fuch pride and oftentation; truly, we have Reafon to allure us, that our Cognation and Subjection to tho e radiant Bodies, is not fo great as that not only All che Actions, Fortunes, and Accidents of Particular men, but even the Warres, Peace, Mutations, Subverfions of whole Empires, Nations, States, and Provinces thould depend upon their Smiles or Frowns: as if All Occurrents on the theatre of our Lower Orb, were but the orderly and neceffary Effects of the Proxfriptions and Confignations of the Superior Orbs; or as if there were no Providence Divine, no Liberty of Mans Will.
(2) As for the Reciprocation, or Afflux and Reflux of the Sea $\mathrm{fo}_{0}$ generally fathered upon the Influx and Motion of the (Moon, which doth herfelf fuffer the like Ebbs and Floods of her borrowed Ii, he; is well known, how Seleucus of old, and Galilews of late, have more futly and roundly deduced it from the motion afribed to the Earth. And though we Could allow this great Phenomenon to depend upon the fevenal idfpects or chafes of the Moon, yet is there no neceffity to drive us to the lubterfuge of any occult and Immaterial liffuence from her waxing and waning Light: fince the Syftem of Does Cartes in Princip. Philo Sept. parl .4. page 22c.) doth much more fatisfactorily make it out, from the Elliptical Figure of the Sphere, wherein the Moon moves; as will foo appear to the Examiner.
(3) As for the Diurnall Expanfion, and Converfion of the Hellotrope toward the Sun; though great notice hath been taken thereof by the Ancients, and mort of our Modern Advances of the Vanities of Natural Magick (who will have every Plant to retain to dome one of the Planets, by forme fecret Cognation, and peculiar fympathie.) have laboured to heighten it to the degree of a Wonder: yet can we not conreive the Effect to be fo fingular, nor that any fuch Solemme Reafon need beaffigned thereunto. For, every mans observation may certifie him, that all Marygolds, Tulippi's, Pimpernell, Wartwoort, Mallow Fiowers, and indeed mont other Floivers, fo long as they are in their Vigour and Pride, ute to Open and Dilate toward noon, and fomewhat close and recontract themfelves after Sun feet. And the Cause (furely, is only the Warmth of the Suns Raves, which difcuffing the Cold and Moifure of the precedent Night (whereby the Leaves were loader towards the bottom, or in the bowie of the Flower, and fo made to rile more upright and conjoyn their tops) and fomewhat Exficcating the Flower, make the pedeftulls of its leaves more flaccid, fo that they rem to expand and unfold themfeives, and incline more outivards. meetly by reafon of their want of ftrength to fuftain themfelves in an erect and concentrical pofture: for always the hotter the Day, the greater is the Expanfion. Likewife,
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as for the Flowers Converfion to, or Confronting the Sun in all its progrefs above the horizon, wherein our Darkfom Authors of Magick Natural, principally place the Magnale; the Caufe thereof is fo far from being more obfcure than, that it is the very fame with that of its Expanfion. For, as the Sun running his race from Eaft to Weft, doth every moment vary the points of his Rayes vertical incidence upon the falk which fupports the Flower, and upon the leaves thereof; fo muft the whole Flower incline its head and wheel about accordingly: thole parts of the ftalk upon which the rayes are more perpendicular, and fo the heat more intenfe, becoming more dry and flaccid, and fole's able to fupport the burthen of the Flower, than thofe, which fuffer only from the oblique, reflected and weaker beams. Notwithftanding this Solution, if any Champion of fecret CTagnetifm fhall yet defend this Circulution to be a Propriety of the Heliotrope, to which no other Flower can pratend; and that this Solar Plant difcovers it Amours to the Sun, by not only difclofing its rejoycing head and bofom at the prefence, and wrapping them up again in the mantle of its owne difconfolate and languihing leaves, during the ablence of its Lover, but alfo by facing him all day long: left He fhould infult, upon an apprehenfion, that our theory is at a lofs, we fhall cell him, in a word ; that that Propriety, which he fuppofeth, muft confift only in fuch a peculiar Contexture and Difpofition of the particles, which compofe its Leaves, as makes them more fit to receive, and be moved, and their fpiritual and moft fubtle parts to be in a manner Circulated by the Rayes of the Sun, than the Leaves of any other Flower whatever. As in the Organ of Smelling, there is a cercain Peculiar Contexture of its infenfible Component Particles, which renders it alone capable of being moved and affected by Odours, that have no influence nor activity at all upon the Eye, Eare, or other Organ of Senfe.

Art. 6.
Why Gatidin it flalk, in the heat of the day.
(4) Great things have been fpoken alfo of the Garden Claver, which bareth ats bofom, and badeth the upper part of its falk, whenever the Suna Joimes row andbright upon it - but, this (doubtleis) hath the fame Caufe, as the Former the Hiding of the ftalk being nothing but an over-expanfion of the Leaves, which by reafon of the violent ardour of the Sun, grow more faint and fluccid, and fo leís able to fuppoit themfelves.

Art.7. Why the H whe Cock ullually Crones focn attermid night; and at break of day.
(5) A Fifth Secret, found in the Catalogue of Cxleftial Influxes, is the Crowing of the Houle-Cock, at certain and periodical times of night and day, and more efpecially foon after mínight, and about day break: for, moft efteem it an Occult Propriety, and all our Crollians and fuch as promote the dreams of Signatures and sydereal Analogies, reckon the Cock a cheif solar Animal, for this reafon alone; as if his Phanfy received fome magnetique touches and impreffions from the Sun, which made him proclame his Advent into our Hemifphere, and like a faithful Warch or Clock, meafure out the feverall ftages in its race. Great enquiry alfo hith been made after the Carle hereot, in all ages, and various conceprions entertained concerning it. Some with lofty and Rhetorical ficouries endevouring to perfuade, that Nature intended this U.jecoy wo.ce, (as Pltatarch calls it ) or Gallacimam, as in Alarme to roure up nu gifh man from the dull armes of fleep, and fummon hum to the early contemplation of her Works; as Pliny (Natural. Hiltar liv. ro. cap. 21.)
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Others afcribing it to a Defire of Venery in this Animal, arifing from the turgefcence and ftimulation of his fperm, at certain periods; as Erafmus, who is therefore worthily and fufficiently derided by scaliger (Exercit. 239) Others affigning it to an Appecite of Aliment, invading and exciting after determinate intervalls; as Cardan. And others alleaging we (nor themfelves) know not what peculiar influence of the Sun, caufing a fuddain mutation, or Evocation of the Spirits and blood of the Cock, which were Concentred by fleep; as Calius Rhodiginus (lib. 16. Awriq. Lection. cap. 13.) But, All thele Great Clerks feem to have grafpt the ear, and carched at thadowes. For (I) it may be doubted, that all Cocks, in one and fome meridian, doe not Crow at the fame times of night or day; and that no Cock doth obferve fet and punctual times of Crowing; both which are prefumed: and whoever fhall think it worth the lofs of a nights fleep, as we have done, to obferve the Crowing of fundry Cocks in fome Country Village, where the Houfes ftand featteringly and far afunder, fo that the Cocks cannot awake each other, will, perhaps, more than doubt of ei. ther. (2) It is, as Natural, fo Familiar to the Cock, fo often as his Imat gination is moved by a copious and frefh affux of Spirits to his Brain, to rovzze up himfelf, clapp his wings, and found his trumpet as well at noon, after noon, and at other times of day and night, upon feveral occafions; as when he hath efcaped fome late danger, obtained a victory, found fome treafury of grain, compreffed his miftrefs, and the like; as if his joy were not complete, till he had communicated the tidings thereof to his Wives and Neighbours, by the elevation of his gladfome and triumphar voice. (3) May we not allowe the Cock to have his fet times of Sleeping and Waking, as weli as all other Living Creatures, that live fuo jure, and according to the Aphorifms of their Specifical Conftitutions, and regiment of their proper Arcleas's; and likewife moft Men, who live healthfully and orderly, keeping to conftant hours for labour, meat, reft and fleep? (4) What need is there that we fhould have recourfe to fuch a far-fetcht (and never brought home) Caufe, as that of a Secret Commerce, and peculiar Sympathy betwixt this Fowland the Sun in the other Hemifphere; when we have a more probable and manifeft one, neerer hand; wir. The fuddain inwafion of the Cock, by encreafed Cold foon after midnight? For, when the Sun hath made fome fenfible advance in the lower world, beyond the Nadir point or midnight circle, and hafteneth toward our Eaft; He moves and drives along before him into our horizon, the (formerly) quiet and cold Aer of the Night : which invading the Cock, difturbs him from his reft, during which bis Heat is retired inward, and awakens him on the fuddain: fo that rowzing up himfelf, exciting his courage, and diffufing his Spirits again into his members, to oppofe that Cold, and perhaps alfo to prevent his falling from the perch; he ftands up, clappeth bis wings againft his fides, and chants a cheerfull Pxan to himfelf and Rooftfellowes, celebrating his fafety and conqueft with the loud mafick of his throat.

Art. 8. why Shenffib growe fat, in the Full of the moon, and lean again at the New.
(6) A fixth notable Secret, appertaining to the fame Claffis, is that of the Encreaje of the Subftance of Shell Fih, of the Brains in Coneys, and of the CNarrow in the boines of moft Land Animalls, as the moon approacheth her Full; and the Decreafe of them again, as her Light decreajeth toward ber Neñ. But, laying afide all Lunar Magnetifm, Immaterial Influxes, and the like Toyes put into Great Words; we take it, the Phænomenon may be well enough folved, by referring it meerly to the Moons great Humidity; at leaft, if thofe vaft Duskifh fpors, apparent in her Orb, be her moift Element, carrying fome analogy to our Seas, as the moft and beft of our Modern Aftronomers have believed, and upon grounds almoft demonftrative, and wholly irrefutable. For, infomuch as the Rayes of the Sun, in greater abundance falling upon the face of the Moon, toward and at her Full, than in her Wane, are accordingly more abundantly reflected from thence upon our Terraqueous Globe, bringing along with them no fparing Tincture of the Moons Moifture; fo that the Light which is Reflected from the Oceans in the moon, being more moift than warm, muft needs be more Prolifical, Generative, and predifpofed to the Nutrition of Animals: and that in the New of the Moon no fuch plentiful Abduction of her moifture can be expected, becaufe fewer of the Suns Rayes are, at that time, Reflected from her Orb to ours; why fhould it be thought fo Atrange, that either Aquatile, or Terreftrial Animals fhould be nourifhed more plentifully at the Full, than New of the Moon! Efpecially fince it is no precarious, nor novell Affertion, that the Light coming from the Moon, is tincted with Humidity, as being reflected from the Watery as well as folid parts of her Orb; Experience having frequently demonftrated, that the Calorifick Rayes not only of the Sun, but even of our terreftrial and culinary Fires, being trajected through various Liquors, and other Catoptricall bodies, or reflected from them, doe imbibe and carry off much of their Virtues, and become thereby impregnate, fo as to be predifpofed to the production of fundry noble Effects, fuch fpecially as relate to the Alteration, Germination, Pullulation, and Generation of Vegetables and Animals, both Aquatile, and Terreftrial. Neverthelefs, in cafe this Caufe affigned feem fomewhat Remote and obfcure, we fhall alleage Another, fufficiently verifimilous to eafe men of their wonder, at the Fullnefs of the Shell Firh in the Full moon, and their Leanefs in the New; and that is the Encreafe of the Tides of the sea, which afcending higher upon the fhoars, at the Full moon, and wafliing down more of Mudd, Slime and Saltnefs from thence, afford greater plenty of Aliment to all Shell Fihn : which delight in, and thrive beft upon fuch kind of food, and are obferved therefore to frequent foul and flimy fhoars, and yet neerer and neerer to land, as the Tides rife higher and higher, and again remove farther and farther off, as the tides fink lower and lower.

Art. 9.
why the Selenites refembles the Moon in all her reveraladrpett.
(7) To this Claffis alfo belongs the Famous Selenites, or Moon: Gemme, a certain prrecious ftone, found only in Arabia, as Diofcorides (lib.5.cap. 110.) delivers: whofe rare and fingular Faculty is this, that itreprefents the Moon in all her Several Dreffes of Light, or Apparences, encreafing its Luftre exactly as fhe encreafech hers, and proportionately lofing it; if the Relations be true, which have been made thereof by Authors of the higheft form for Credit, namely Pliny (lib. 36.cap. 10.) S. . $14-$
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guffin (de Civit.D. lib. 21 . cap. 5.) Żanardus (de Univerf. Element. quidft. 53.) Nichol. Caus sinus (liib. 11. Symbol.5.) Iob. Dariel Mylits (Bafilic. Chymic.lib; 5. cap.28.) and mahy modern Miveralagifts. Now, fot the Resfon of this Rarity, in all liklihood, it muft be if not the very faine, yet: Coufin German to that of the former. Becaure, it is very probable, that fome certain portion of a thin, fluid and fubte mater (we miny conceive it to be Hydrargycal, or relacing to (uickfilver, fince all the forenamed Authors defrribe the foneto be White and Candent of Colour:) wherein the Luffre of the fone doth mortly confirt, dorh fuffer fome Alteration, according to the more and lels of the Lunar Light incident upon it; and is refpectively Circulated through the loofer or lefs compacted parts of the fone, after the fame manner as the more fubte and pipiritual parts of fome Fiovers are Circulated by the rayes of thè Sun; the particular Configuration and Contexture of its infenfible particles being fuch, as difpofe to that Circulation, upon the influx of the Moons Light.

In the Inventory of SP EC I A L Sympathies and Antipathics, the Firt Divifion Concerns INANIMATE Natures; and among fuch the firlt place beiongs to the Aitraction of Iron by the Loadfone, the fecond to the $\mathcal{A}$ ttraction of Strams and other finall and light bodies by Amber and othe Electriques: but fuch is the fingular Excellency of the Former, thit it not only deferves, but challengetha fingular Chapter to its Difquifition; and the Rea; on of the other we have plainly, though fuccinctly explicated, in the precxdent Section, the Confideration of the Wayes ind Inftruments of all Attraction Natural, in the General, impelling us upon the Anticipation thereof.

In the $T$ hird, we are to examine the fecret Amity of Gold and ginckfilver, of Brafs and Silver; which is fo manifeft, that whenever Gold is diffolved in Chryfulca or Aqua Regis, and the Spirit or Diffolution of Quickfilver fuperadded thereto, the fubrile Effuvia ftreaning from the particles of the Gold, will inft:ancly lay hold of, and at dift:ance aterract and firmly embrace the particles of the Cuickfilver, into which the Diffo'ving liquor hath fubtiliated it; and in like manner, when Brafs and Silver are diffolved in the fame $A q u m$ Fortis, their particles are obferved to unite even to coricorporation, though the Spirits ifluing from them, are not potent enough to perform an Attraction, while the Metals remain entire and in the mals. Thefe Effects we conceive may well be referred to the Correfporidency or Compoffibility betwixt the $F_{\text {igures }}$ of the infenfible particles, of which the Emiffions froin the Gold, and Brafs confift, and thofe of the pores, inequalities, and faftringss in the fuperfices of the Granules of the Diffolved Quickfilver, and Silver: but what thofe Figures are on each part, is aboveour hopes of determination; nor can we afford the Curious any ocher light for Conjecture in this tue Abftrufity, but what himfelf may perceive to arife to him by Reflection from the Reafons, we fhall hereaferer give, for the Attraction of Iron by a Loadftone. In the mean while, we prafent Him, for Diverfion of his Scrutiny, with a fhort and opporture COROLLARY.

Art. 10 . why the Confideration of the Aliration of iron by a L.oditione, is here onitted.

Art.ì. Tief eceret Amitics of Go'd and guickfilver, of lirafs and Silver, unriddled.

Alt. 12. ACOROL. LARY. Why the Granules of Gold and Siluer, rhough much more pond rous then thofe of the Aqua Regis and Aqua Fortis, wherein they are diffolved, are yet held up, and kept floating by them.

Delightful it is, and indeed Admirable to behold the Granules of Gold and Silver, though much more ponderous than thofe of the Aqua Regis, and $\Psi$ qua Fortis, to be notwithftanding held up, and conftantly kept in a floating and elevated pofture by them. And yet, in all likelihood the Salt diffolved in thofe Corrofive Waters, muft be the Sole Caufe of that Atrange Effect. For, the Salts which are plentifully diffolved in thofe Li quors, by a kind of mutual Cohxfion of their infenfible particles fupporting each other from the bottom to the top of the Glafs, or other containing veffel ; doe fuftain and bear up the Granules of the Metals which they have Corroded and Embraced. And this feems the more probable from hence ; that if common Water, impregnate with a few dropps of Oyle of Tartar(that Great inftrument of Separation) be fuperinfufed upon thofe Tinctures, the Granules of the diffolved Metals fuddainly difengage themfelves from the arms of the Corroding Salts, and fink to the bottom: the frefh Water yet farther diffolving thofe Salts, and giving them fuller Fluidity; fo that becoming more Attenuate, they lofe their mutual Cohæfion, and fo their power of fupporting ; and tis well known, that Salt water will beare up fuch bodies, as will hardly fivim in frefh. And this we take to be the General Reafon of all forrs of Præcipitation, practifed either by Chymifts, or common Refiners of Metals: the Oyle of Tartar thereto conducing no othervife, than meerly as it ferves to the farther Attenuation of the Salt Armoniack and other Corrofive Salts formerly diffolved in the ftrong Waters.

Art. 13. The Caule of the Atrration of a Eefs Flame by a Greatcr.
(4) To the Foarth, we affign the Attraction of a Lefs Flame by a Greater; according to the erroneous Dialect of the People: for, really it is rather the Extenfion of a Greater Flame to the Fewel of a Lefs. For, the heat of a Greater Flame being proportionately more intenfe and diffufive, extends it felf to the pabulum or nourifhment of the lefs, where the fame is fituate within the Sphere of its power : and thence it comes to pafs, that the Greater burning more ftrongly, by reafon of that addition or augmentation of its fewel, doth more and more dilate it felf that way, till at length it becomes wholly united to the Lefs. Which unexamining heads not underftanding, have imputed to a certain Attractive faculty in the Greater Flame, depending upon the Identity of the two Natures, or more precifely, the fameNature in two Divifions;and many have rackt their brains to erect fubtle Difcourfes thereupon, as if they wanted other Opportunities to exercife their Learning, and entertain their Curiofity.
(5) To the Fifth belongs the fuppofed Attraction of Flame by Naphtha

Art.14. The Caule of the Involation of fame to Naphtha, at diflance. of Babylon, at diftance; which is alfo improperly accounted an Attraction: for the Flame of its own accord flyeth to, and layeth hold of the Naphtha; and the Caufe of that Involation is only this. From the body of the Naphtha there is emitted in round a certain fat and unctuous, and fo foon inflammable Halitus, or fteam, which being extended to the borders of fome flame pofitedat convenient diftance, and thereby kindled in the extreme of its Sphere, becomes enflamed all along the Rayes, and they burning, foon bring home the flame to the body of the Naphtha, from which they are emitted, in a continued fluor.
CAAPDV. Occult Qualitiss made Manifeft.
(6) Next to this, Philofophers ufually place the Attraction of Water by a Spunge; wherein they are as much miftaken as in either of the two laft. For, the Afcention of Water into the pores of a fpunge, fo placed as to touch only the fuperfice of it, comes not from ahy Appetite of Attraction, or Suction inhærent in the Spunge, as is generally præfumed and affirmed; but onely from the Deprefsion, or downward impulfe of the water by the fwelling and Senfibly dilating Spurge; and the manner of that feries of motions is thus. The skirts or loweft parts of the fpunge, touching the fuperfice of the Water, immediately imbibe fome parts of it into its pores, and becoming thereby dilated and tumid, prefs down the fubjacent Water to fuch a proportion as refponds to the quantity of their owne expanfion; fo that as they are more and more dilated by the admiffion of more and more parts of Water into their Cells or Receptaties, it muft be; that the Water being more and more depreffed toward the bottom, muft rife-higher and higher on the fides of the Spunge, and infinuate it felf into other and other pores fucceffively, till the whole fpungebe filled. Manifeft it is by Experience, that if Water or any other Liquor; when it is though never fo gently prefled in the fuperfice, find anty the fmalleft Chinks in the body preffing it; it doth inftantly rife up in round; and infinuate it felf into thofe pores or Chinks, the fides thereof in a manner fuftaining it, and fo prxventing its relaple or efflux. This we cannot but obferve, when we dip the nofe of our Pen into ink; the fmall cleft or flit in the loweft part of the Quill, affitting the Affent of the ink into the hollow thereof, and carrying up fo much of it, as the mutual Coherence of its parts will permit : for, if we dipp the point of a Pen, which hath no flit, into a ftandifh, we fhall obferve no fuch plentiful Affent of ink; there being no fupport or faftnings for it on each fide of the nofe, and fo no obftacles to its relapfe and fudden efflux. And, as for the Reafon, Why Water Afcends, when it meets with any body, that is Dry, Filamentous or Fibrous, and full of pores or Chinks, fuch as a Spunge, Cloth, Pen, \& c. it may be moft fully explained by the Inftance of a Syphon, or Pump.

Take a Pipe of Lead, of the figure of a Carpenters Squire, whofe one arme is longer then the other (fuch our Wine Coopers exhauft their Buts of Wine withal) and immerfe the fhorteft into a Ciftern of Water, fo as it may come very neer the bottom, and yet the longer armereft upon the margin of the Ciftern, in a dependent or declining pofture, then with your mouth fuck forth the Aer contained in the cavity of the pipe: and you thall obferve the Water quickly to follow on the heels of the Aer, and flow in full ftream out of the Ciftern through the pipe, without ceafing till all the Water, that covers the fhorreft arme of the pipe, and fo hinders the ingrefs of the aer into its orifice, be exhaufted. Of this the Canfe is only, that as your Cheeks are inflated and diftended by the Aer, which upon exfuction comes rufhing into your mouth, doe ftrongly move and impell the ambient aer; fo doth that, receding, move and impell the neighbouring aer, and that again moves and impels the next, till the impulfe be propagated to the furface of the Water in the Ciftern : and the Water beiring thus depreffed in the fuperfice, rifeth up into the Cavity of the pipe, which the extracted Aer had newly deferted and left unpoffeffed; nor doth it thenceforth ceafe

Art. 15: Of the Ajcentiof Warerin. to the pores of Srunge.


to afcend and flow in a continued ftream through the pipe, untilall beexhaufted. Becaufe, how much of Water flows through the pipe, exactly fo much of Aer is, by impulfion, Circulated into the place thereof; the laft round of aer wanting any other place to receive it, but what it provides for its felf in the Ciftern, by depreffing the water yet remaining therein: and thus the Circulation once begun, is continued, till all the Water hath paft through the pipe.

Art. 17. The reafon of the Percolation of Liquors, by a cloth whole one end lieth in the liquor, and ocher hangs overthe brim of the veffel, that contains it.

Upon the fame Caufe, or fome other fo like it, as tis no eafie matter: to difcriminate them, doth that kind of Percolation of Liquors, and efpe. cially of Aqwa Calcis, depend, which is made by a long piece of Woollen Cloth, whofe one end lies in the Liquor, and other hangs over the brim of the veffel that contains it. For, the Liquor gently afcends and creeps along the filaments of the Cloth, becaufe, being though but very lightly preft in it fuperfice by the fame, it doth proportionately afcend in round, to deliver it felf from that preffure; and by that motion impelling the incumbent Aer upwards, it caufeth the fame to Circulate and deprefs the furface of the Liquor, and fo makes it rife by infenfible degrees higher and higher along the hairs and threads of the Cloth, till at length it arrive at the higheft part thereof refting upon the margin of the veffel; and thence it đlides down the decline or propendent half of the Cloth, and falls down into the Recipient, by dropps. And this Motion is Continued till all the Liquor hath paffed the Percolatory, leaving the faces adhrerent to the fibres of the fame : each drop impelling the Ambient Aer, and driving it in round, or by a Periofis, upon the furface of the Water, fo long as any remains in the veffel. And this; we conceive, may fuffice to any mans Comprehenfion of the Reafon of the Repletion of a Spunge, by Water afcending ( not Attracted) into its Cavities or Pores.

Art. 18. The reafon of the Confent of tho Luteffings, that are Fiqui. jon.
(7) Another eminent Secret of Sympathy, belonging to the fame Divifion, is that Confent betwixt two Latefrings, that are \&quifane: (for Unijone is hardly proper); which is thus experimented. Take 2 Lutes, or Vials, and their treble, mean, or bafe ftrings being tuned to an Equality of Sounds, lay one of them upon a table, with the ftrings upward, with a finall fhort ftraw equilibrated upon the 屈quifon ftring: and then Atrike the $E$ Equifon ftring of the other inftrument, and you thall obferve, both by the leaping off of the ftraw, and the vifible trembling of the ftring, whereon it was impofed, that it flall participate of the motions of the ftring of the other inftrument percuffed; all the other Diffonous frings, as wholly unconcerned in the motion impreft, remaining unmoved. The like alfo will be, if the Diapafon or Eighth to that ftring be percuffed, either in the fame Lute or Vial, or other lying by: but, in none of thefe, the Confent is difcernable by any report of found, but meerly by motion. And yet the Caufe of this Sympathy is not fo very obfcure, but the dulleft Pythagorean might foon have difcovered it to be only this; that the percuffed ftring doth fuffer a certain number of Diadroms, or Vibrations; and imprefs the like determinate motions upon the Aer: which lighting upon another ftring of equal Contexture and Extenfion with the former percuffed, doth imprefs the fame motions thereupon, and impell and repell it fo correfpondently, as to make it fuffer an equal number
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of Diadroms. Nor doth the Aer hinder it in its feveral Reciprocations or alternate excurfes and recurfes ; becaule the percuffed ftring makes all its alternate excurfes and recurfes, at and in the fame time, as the untoucht ftring doth, and fo impels the Aer alternately to the contrary fide thereof. But, that agitated Aer which falls upon a ftring of a different degree of extenfion, and fo. neceffarily of a different tone; though it imprefs various infenfible ftrokes thereupon, yet are thofe impreffed ftrokes fuch as mutually check and oppofe each other, i.e. the Excurfes hinder the Recurfes: and therefore the ftring remains unmoved, at leaft as to the fenfe. Likewife, the Confent of another ftring, which makes that Confonance, which Muficians call a Diapafon or Eighth, to that which is percuffed by the hand, arifeth only from hence; that the Excurfes and Recurfes of the ftring percuffed by the hand, do not at all clafh with, nor perturb and confound the Excurfes and Recurfes of the ftring moved immediately only by the Aer, but are Coincident and Synchronical to them, and obferve the fame periods; and fo both agree in their certain and frequent intervals : more particularly, in an Eight, every fingle Diadrom of the longer and more lax ftring, is coincident to every fecond, fourth, fixth, \&cc. Diadrom of the fhorter or more tenfe ftring. Nay farther, if the two ftrings be Confonous though but in the lefs perfect Confonance of a Fifth, yet fhall the fympathy hold, and manifeft it felf (which is not commonly obferved) by the tremulation of the un touched Atring, that is tuned to a Fifth: becaufe their Diadroms are not wholly confufed, each fingle diadrom of the longer or lower ftring, being coincident to every third, fixth, ninth, \&c. diadrom of the fliorter or more tenfe ftring. But if the two ftrings be Difonous, the fympathy fails; becaufe the Excurfes and Recurfes agree not in any of their Intervals or Periods, burr perturb and confound each other; as may be more fully underftood from our precedent Difcourfe of the Reafon of Confonances and Diffozances Mafital.
(8) Nor is it the Inæquality of Tenfion, difparity of Longitude and Magnitude, or Non-coincidence of the Vibrations in their feveral periods, that alone make Two ftrings Difcordant; for, if we admit the common tradition of Naturalifts, where an Infrument is frung with fome frings made of Sheeps, andothers of Woolfs Guts intermixied, the beft hand in the World foall never make it yeeld a perfect. Confoniace, much lefs play an harmonious tune thereupos. And the Caufe, doubtlefs, is no other than this that the ftrings made of a Woolfs Guts are of a different Contexture from thofe made of a Sheeps; fo that however equally both are ftrained and adjufted, yet ftill fhall the Aer be unequally percuffed and impelled by them, and confequently the founds created by one fort, confound and drown the foundsrefulting from the other. 3 o leave you in the lefs uncertainty concerning this, it is commonly obferved, that from one and the fame Itring, when it is not of an Uniforme Contexture throughout, but more clofe, even, and firme in fome parts than in others (all fuch our Muficians call Falfe eftrings) there doe alwayes refult various and unequal founds : the clofe, even and firm parts yeelding a finart and equal found, the lax and uneven yeeld: ing a dull, flat and harfh; which two different founds at the fame time created, confound and drown each other, and confequently where fuch a ftring is playd upon in Confort,- it difturbs the whole Concentor Har ${ }^{2}$ mony: It is further obferved alfo, that the Mufick of an Hatp

Art. 19. The reaion of the Difcent betwixt Lutefrings of fheeps Guts, and thore oi Wrolfs.
doth infect the mufick of a Lute, and other fofter and milder inftruments with a kind of Afperity and Indiftinction of Notes: which Afperity feems to arife from a certain kind of Tremor, peculiar only to the Chords of that Inftrument. The like alfo hath been reported of other fcarce Confortive Inftruments, fuch as the Virginalls and Lute, the Wellh Harp and Irih, \&c.

But you'll Object, perhaps, that the Difcordance of Woolves and Sheeps Gutlings feemeth to arife rather from fome Formal Enmity, or inhærent Antipathy betwixt the Woolf and Sheep: becaufe it hath been affirmed by many of the Ancients, and queftioned by very few of the Moderns, that a Drum bottomed with a Woolfs skin, and beaded with a Sheeps, will yeeld foarce any found at all; nay more, that a, Wolfs skin will inflort time prey upon and confume a Sheeps skin, if they be layed neer together. And againft this we need no other Defenfe thaia a downright appealto Experience, whether both thofe Traditions deferve not to be litted among Popular Errors; and as well the Promoters, as Authors of them to be exiled the fociery of Philofophers: thefe as Traitors to truth by the plotting of manifeft falrehoods; thofe as Ideots, for beleiving and admiring fuch fopperies, as fmell of nothing but the Fable; and lye open to the contradiction of an eafy and cheap Experiment.

Art.20. (9)Nor can we put a greater value upon the Dervouring of all other Birds The tradicion of the Con. fuming of all Feathers of Foul, by thore of the Eagle; exploded. Feathers by thofe of the Eagle commixt with them; though the Author of Trinum cMagicum hath bin pleafed to tell us a very formall and confident ftory thereof: becaufe we have no Reafon to convinceus, that the Eagle preys upon other Fowls, out of an Antipathy or Hatred, but rather out of Love and Convenience of Aliment; and though there were an Enmity betwixt the Eagle and all his feathered fubjects, during life, yet is there no neceffity that Enmity fhould furvive in the fcattered peices of his Carcafs, efpecially in the Feathers (that are but one degree on this fide Excrements) which is prafumed to confift cheifly in the Forme; fince thofe Proprieties which are Formal, in Animals, muft of neceffity vanifh upon the deftruction of the Forme, from whence they refult. Thus Glow-worms project no luftre after death; and the Torpedo, which ftupefies at diftance, while alive, produceth no fuch effect though topically applied, after death: for there are many Adtions of Senfible Creatures, that are mixt, and depend upon their vital form, as well as that of miftion: and though they feem to retain unto the Body, doe yet immediately depart upon its Difunion.

Art. 21. Why fome certain P Plants befriend, and advance the growth and fruitfulnels of others, that are their neighbours.

In the SECOND Divifion of special Occult Qualities, wit. fuch as are imputed to Vegetables, the Firft that expects our Confideration, is the fo. frequendly mentioned and generally conceded Sympathy, or mutually beneficial Friendfhip:betwixs Some certain Plants, as betwixt Rew, and the Figg-tree, the Rofe and Garlick, the Wild Poppy and Wheat; all which are obferved to delight and flourifh moft in the neighbourhood of each $\sigma$ ther, and our skilful Gardners ufe to advance the growth and fructification of the one, by planting its favourite neer it. Concerning this, therefore, we advertife; that men are miftaken not only in the Caufe, but Denomination

Denomination alfo of this Effect : fuppoffing a fecret Friendhip where is none, and imputing that to a certain Cognation, or Sympathy, whichs feems to proceed from a manifeft Diffimilitude and Antipathy betwixt Divers Natures. For, wherever two Plants are fet together, whereof the one, as being of a far Different, if not quite Contrary Nature, and fo requiring a different kind of nourifhment, doth fubftract and affimilate to its felf fuch a juice of the earth, as would othervife flow to the other, and deprave its nourifhment, and confequently give an evil tincture to its Fruit and Flowers : in this cafe, Both Plants are reciprocally the remote Caure of the Profperity each of orher. And rhus Rev, growing neer the roots of the Figg-tree, and attracting to its felf the Rank and Bitter moifture of the earth, as moft agreeable to its owne nature; leavech the Milder and Sweeter for the aliment of the Fig tree, and by that means both affiftech. the procerity of the Tree, and Melioratech the Fruit thereof. Thus alfo Garlick, fet neer to a Rofe tree, by confuming the Foctid juice of the ground, and leaving the more Odorate and benigne to pafs into the roots of the Rofe tree; doth both farther the Growth and Germination thereof, and encreare the Sweetnefs of it Flowers. But, as for the Amity betwixc the wild Poppy and Wheat, we fhould refer it to another Caufe, vi\% the Qualification of the ground by the cincture of the Wheat, fo as to prexpare it for the Generation and growth of the Wild Poppy; not by fubftraCtion of Difagreeing moifture, but by Enriching the Soyle, or impregnating it with a ferility, determinate to the production of fome forts of weeds, and chiefly of that. For, moft certain it is, that there are certain Cornflowers, which feldom or never fpring up but amongt Corn, and will hardly thrive, though carefully and fearfonably fet in other places: fuch are the Blew-bottle, a kind of yellow fingle Marygold, and the Wild-Poppy.
(2) This difcovered, we need not fearch far after the Reafons of thofe Antipathies, which are reported to be between the Vine and Cole-woort, the oke and olive, the Brake and Reed, Hemlock and Rew, the Shrub called our Ladies Seal (a certain Species of Bryony) and the Cole-woort, \$cc. which are prefumed to be fo odious each to other, from fome fecret Contrariety of their refpective Forms, that if any two of them, that are Enemies, be fet neer together, one or both will die. For, the truth is, all Plants, that are great Deprachators of the moifture of the earth, defraud others that grow neer them, of their requifite nourifhment, and 'ro by degrees impoverifhing, at length deftroy them. So the Colewoort, is an enemy not only to the Vine, but any other Plant divelling neer it; becaufe it is a very fucculent and rank Plant, and fo exhaufts the fatceft and moft prolifical juice of the ground. And if it be true, that the Vine will avoid the Society of the Colewoort, by Averting its trunck and branches from it; this may well be only in refpect of its finding lefs nourilhment on that fide : for, as the Lord St. Albars hath well obferved, though the root continue fill in the fame place and pofition, yet will the Trunk alwayes bend to that fide, on which it nourifheth moft. So likevirife the Oke and Olive, being large trees of many roots, and great fpenders of moifture, doe never thrive well together: becaufe, the ffronger in Attraction of juice, deceives and ftarves the weaker. Thus Hemlock is a dangerous neighbour to Rew; becaufe, being the Ranker Plant of the tivo, and living upon the like juice, it defraudsit of fufficient futtenances
fuftenance, and makes it pine away for penury. And the like of the reft.

Art. 23. The Realon the great Friend fhip be, twixt the Male and Fe . male Palm. trees.
(3) But what fhall we think of that femiconjugall Altiance betwixt the Male and Female Palme trees, which is fo ftrong and manifent, that the Femal, which otherwife would languifh, as it the had the Green ficknels, and continue barren; is obferved to profper, and load her fruitful boughs, with braces of Dates; when the enjoys the Society of the Male: nay, to extend her arms to meet his embraces, as if his mafculine influence were neceffary not only to her inpregnation, and the maturity of her numerous iffue; but even to her own health and welfare? Why, truly, we cannot better expound this dark Riddle of Nature, than by having recourle to fome Corporeal Emanations, deradiated from the inale; which is the ftronger and more fpriteful plant, to the Female, which is the weaker, and wants an Acceffion of heat and fpirits. For, far enough from improbable it is, that fuch Emanation may contain much of the Males Seminal and fruct afying virtue; and it hath been avouched by frequent Experiments, that the bloffoms and Flowers of the Male being dried and poudered, and infperfed upon the branches of the Female, are no lefs effectual to her Comfort and Fertility, than the Vicinity of the Male himfelf. We are told, indeed, by Herodotus, and from his own ftrict obfervation : that the Male Palm produceth yearly a Dwarfifh fort of Dates, which being uncapable of maturity and perfection, men ufe therefore to gather early, and bind them on the loaden branches of the Female: that there corrupting, and breeding a kind of finall volant Infect, refembling our Gnats (which the Natives call $P$ Pene, though Theophraftus feems to appropriate thit name only to thofe Flyes, that are a fpontaneous production out of the immature fruit of the Wilde Figg tree, fuffering putrefaction) that they may advance the Growth and Maturity of her fruit; not by any fecret influence, but the manifeft Voracity of chofe Infects, which continually preying upon the ripening fruit, both open the tops of them, and fo make way for the rayes of the Sun to enter more freely and deepiy into their fubftance, and fuck out moft of the luxuriant crude and watery juice, leaving the Alimentary and Unctuous to the more eafie digeftion and affimilation of the formerly overcharged Seminal Virtue of the Plant This, we confefs, is nice and plaufible, but not totally fatisfactory; becaufe it extends only to the Reaton of the Males remore Affiftance of the Feimale, in the maturation of her Fruit ; leaving us ftill to enquire, Why fhe herfelf remains in a fteril and pining condition, uniefs the enjoys the Sociery and invigorating irradiation of the Male; and why fle inclines her anorous boughs toward his, as if meer Neighbourhood were a kind of Divorce, and nothing lefs than abfolute llnion could fatisfie her Affection. And what we have here faid, of the Sympathy betwixt the Male and Fermale Palms, will not lofe a grain of its Verifimiiity, when our Reader hhall pleafe to accommodate it to the Explanation of the Caufe of the like Amity betwixt the Figtree, and Capryficus or Wald ig tree: of which Pliny (lib. 15.cap. 19.) relates the very fame ftory, as Herodotus doth of the palms.
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(4) This puts us in mind of the great Sympathy betwixt vine and wine, expreffed from its Grapes, and immured in Hoggheads, though at the dinance of many miles. For, it feems moft convenient, that it is from the like Diffufon of fubtle Emanations, imbued with the Seminal innctare of the Vine, that Wines ftored up in deep Cellars, in the fame Country where they grew (for, in England, whither all wines are tranfported over ؟e?, no fuch Effect hath been obferved: the Remove being too large to admit any fuch Tranfmiffion of influence from the tranfimarine Vineyards to our Cellars) become fick, turbid, and mufty in the Cask, at the fame time the Vines Flower and Bud forth: and again recover their former Clearnefs and Spirit, fo foon as that feafon is paft. And, that this Conjecture nlay feem to fmell the lefs of Phanfy, ive defire you to confider, through what large tracts of Aer even the Odorrs (Exhalations much lefs Subtile and Diffufive, than thofe we conceive emitted from Vines to Wines) of many Aromaticks are ufually diffufed, in ferene weather ; efpecially in refpect of fuch Perfons, and Bruit Animals, as are exquifite in their fenfe of fimelling. Hath it not been obferved, that the Flowers of Oranges have tranfmitted their odours perfect and ftrong, from great Gardens, to the noftrils of Màriners, many leagues off at Sea: nay, fo far, that fome Sailers have difcovered land by the fmell of them, when their longeft Perfpectives could not reach it? Doe not we frequently obferve, that Ravens will fcent a Carcafs, at many miles diftance; and fly directly to it by the Chart of a favourable wind? Nay, are not there good Hiftorians that affure us, that Eagles in Italy, have fometimereceived an invitation by the nofe, to come and fealt on the dead bodies of men, in $\subset$ Africa?

Here, fince wive are occafionally fallen tupon the large Diffufion of fome Odours, efpecially to fage and unprexpoffeffed Nofes; we fhall take the advantage of that Hint, to advertife you of a Vwlgar Error, viz. that Watirs diftilled of Orange Flowers and Rojes, become wholly Inodorous, and Pbleg: matick, at the time of the Blooming and Pride of thofe Flowers upon their trees. For, really thofe diftilled Waters are not in themfelves, during the feafon of the Flowers, from which they were extracted; leff fragrant thin at other times: but, becaufe in the feafon of thofe F lowers, they difilife their odours fo plentifully through the Aer, and prepoffers the noftrils, as that the odours of the Waters, being fomewhat lefs quick and Atrong, are lefß perceived, than at other times, when the Aer is not imbued with the ftronger and frefher odours, nor the olfactory Nerves prxoccupied. And this may be inferred from hence; that when the feafon of thofe 5 lowers is paft, and the fmelling organ unoccupied; the Waters fmell as fragrant as ever. For, as to the 1 fluefaction of the fenfe of fmelling, to particulat odours, good or bad, we need not fay much of that: fince Experience doth daily confirme, that the fenfe is fcarce moved and affected by the fame odour, though clofely prefented, after Cuftom hath once ftrongly imbued it with the fame.
wri.240 Why allmines grow fick and turbid, during che feaion, whercin the Vines Flower. and Bud.

Art. 2 ). Thar the airililed vaters of Orarge fion: : ers, anid Rojes, doe not tahe anything of rh.irimarancy, dunnig the reaion of the Blocming and pride uf th ife rioners; as is ruigarly bso. lieved.

Sect. III.
'Art. I. Why this Seffio confiders only fome fen relect Occult Proprietics, among thofe many imputed to Animals.

IN the THIRD and laft Divifion of Special Occult Qualities, or fuch as are vulgarly imputed to Senfible Creatures; the Pens of Schollars have been fo profure, that fhould we but recount, and with all poffible fuccincteff, enquire into the Verity and Caules of but the one Half of them; our Difcourfes would take up more fheets of Paper, than are allowed to the Longeft Chancery Bill: wherefore, as in the former, fo in this, we fhall felect and examine only a Few of them, but fuch as are moft in vogue, and whofe Reafons, if judicioully accommodated, fuffice to the Solution of the Reft.
(1) The Cantipathy of a Sheep to a Woolf, is the common argument

Art. 2. The fuppofed Antipatiby of a Sheep to a woolf, iolved. of wonder; and nothing is more frequent, than to hear men alcribe it to a provident Inftinct, or hareditary and invincible Hatred, that a Lamb, which never faw a Woolf before, and fo could not retain the impreffion of any harme done or attempted by him, fhould be invaded with horror and trembling, at firft interview, and run from him : nay, fome have magnified the fecret fo far, as to affirme the Antupathy to be Equall on both fides. Concerning this, therefore, we obferve; that the Enmity is not Reciprocal: For, He that can be perfuaded, that the Woolf hates the Sheep, only becaufe he worries and preys upon him, and not rather, that the Woolf loves the Cheep, becaure it is a weak and helplefs Animal, and its fleth is both plearant and convenient food for him : we fhall not defpair to perfuade Him, that Himfelf alfo hates a fheep, becaure he finds his pallate and fomach delighted and relieved with Muton. Nor is the Enmity on the fheeps fide Invincible; for, ourfelves have feen a Lamb brought, by Cuftom, to fo great familiarity witha Woolf, that He would play with him, and bleat, as after the Dam, when the Woolf hath removed out of the room : and the like Kindnefs have we very lately obferved betwixt a Lamb and Lyon of the Lord Generall Crommells, kept at Sion houfe, and afterward publikely fhewed in London. Again, the Fear, which furprifeth the Lamb at firft fight of a Woolf, feems not to arife from any Hereditary Impreffion derived from the Dam, or Sire, or Both; as well becaufe all Inbredd or traduced Antipathies are invincible, as that none of the Progenitors of the Lamb, for many Ages, ever faw or received any impreflion of injury from a Woolf, here with: us in England. Befides, in care they had, and though it be indifputable, that fome Beafts are afriaid of men, and other Bealts, meerly from the memory of fame Harme received from forme man, or Beaft of the fame fpecies; the Idea of him, that did the Harme, remaining impreffed upon the table of the Memory, and being frefhly brought again to the Phanfy, whenever the fenfe brings in the like fpecies : yet is it not likely, that the fame Idea flould be propagated by Generation to the iffue, after fo many hundred removes, and traduced from one Individual to the whole fpecies, throughout the world.

The Caufe, therefore, why All Sheep generally are ftarcled and offended at fight of a Woolf, feems to be only this; that when the Woolf converts his eyes upon a fheep, as a pleafing and inviting object, and that whereupon Appetite hath wholly engaged his Imagination; he inftancly darts forth from his brain certain ftreams of fubtle Effluvia's, which being part of thofe Spirits, whereof his newly formed Idea of dilaniating and devouring the fheep, is compofed, ferve as Forerunners or Meffengers of deftruction to the fheep; and being tranfmitted to his Common Senfory, through his optick nerves, moft highly mifaffect the fame, and fo caufe the fheep to fear, and endeavour the prefervation of his life, by flight.

This receives fufficient Confirmation from hence; that notonly fuch Averfions, as arife from the Contrariety of Conftitutions in feveral Animals, are commonly obferved to produce thole Effects of Fear, Trembling and flight from the objects, from which offenfive impreffions are derived, by the mediation of difagreeing Spirits or Emanations: but even the feeing them in a paffion of Anger, or Fury, doth fuddainly caufe the like. For, violent Paffions ever alter the Spirits, and Characterize them with the idea at that time moft prevalent in the Imagination of the Paffionate; fo that thofe fpirits iffuing from the body of the Animal, in that height of Paffion, and infinuating themfelves into the brain of the other Animal contrarily difpofed, muft of neceffity-highly difguft and offend it. Which is the moft likely Reafon that hath hitherto been given, Why Bees feldom fting men of a mild and peaceful difpofition : but will by no means endure, nor be reconciled to others of a froward, cholerick, and walpifh mature. The fame alfo may ferve to anfwer that common Quære, Why fome Bold and Confident perfons, having tuned their fpirits to the higheft key of Anger and Indignation, have duunted not only fierce CMafliffs, but even Lyons, Puxithers, and other Wild and ravenous Beafts, meerly by their threatning looks, and put them to flight by the Artillery of their fcornful Eyes. And this Key, wherewith we have unlockt the fecret betwixt the Lamb and Woolf, will alfo open thofe like Antipathies fuppofed to be betwixt the Dove and Fatcon, the Chicken and Kite, andall other weak Animals, and fuch as ufe to make them their prey.
(2) It is worthy a ferious Remark, that fundry Animalls bear a kind of implacable Hatred to the Perjons of fuch men, as are delighted or converfant in the Deffruction of thofe of the fame Species with them: as we daily fee, that fivine are highly offended and angry at Butchers: that Dogs bark at and purfue Glovers, that deal moft in Dog skins, and Beadles that are imployed in, killing of Dogs, in time of the plague, to prexvent the diffufion of Contagion, and encreare of Putrefaction, by their means; that Vermin will avoid the crapps and gins of.Warrenners, wherein any of their owne kind hath been taken and deftroyed, \&cc. As for thefe Antipathies, or ftrong Averfions, tis manifeft, that they arife not from any Specifical Inftinct, or Character of Providence impreffed upon their refpective Natures, or Effential Forms, but only from the Activity of the præfent object upon the fenfe. For the Blood commonly adharing to the cloths of the Butcher, and Dogg-killer, and likewife to the traps and gins, wherein Vergin have been caught and deftroyed; doth emitr fuch odours, as invading the Senfory of
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any Animal of the fame fpecies, excite a kind of Horror in the like:"Animal that fmells them ; and fo caufe it to abhor and avoid all fuch perfons and places, for fear of the like harm and internecion, as their fellowes have fuffered from them. Now, that which makes thefe odours infinuate themfelves with fuch eafe and familiarity into theSenfories of animals of the fame fpecies, is the fimilitude and Uniformity of their Specifical Conftitutions, which yet the rough hand of Corruption feems not totally to have obliterated in the long fince extravenated blood and fpirits, but to have left fome Veftigia or Remains of the Canine nature in the Doggs blood, of the Porcine in the Swines, \&c. And, that which makes them fo horridly Odious, is the great Alienation of the blood from its genuine temper and conditions. For, the fmell of the Carcafs, or blood of any Animal, having once fuffered the Depravation of Corruption; is always moft hateful and dangerous to others of the fame Species: and it hath been obferved, that the moft pernicious Infections and Plagues have been fuch, as took their Original from the Corruption of Humane Bodies; which indeed, is the beft reafon that hath been yet given, why the Plague fo often attends long and bloody Sieges, and is commonly the fecond to the Sword. We conceive, the fame to be alfo the ground of that Axiom of the Lord St. Alban (Nat. Hist.cent.10.) Generally, that which is Dead, or Corrupted, or Excersed, hath antipathy with the fame thing, when it is Alive, and when it is found; and with thofe parts which do excern: as a Carcuss of Man is most infectious axd odious to man, a Carrion of an Horfe to an Hor $\int e$, \&cc. Parulent matter of Wounds and Ulcers, Carbuncles, Pocks, Scabbs, Leprouly, to Sound flefo. And the Excrements of every species to that Creature, that excerneth them. But the Excrements are lefs Pernicious, than the Corruptions.

Art. 5. The Canfe of the frefh ciruentation of tle Carcals of a murthered man, as the prafence and ruch of the $H$ micide.
(3) The Cruentation (and, according to fome reports, the opening of the Eyes) of the Carcals of a murthered man, at the prafence and touch of the Homicide; is, in truth, the nobleft of Antipathies: and fcarce any Writer of the Secrets or Miracles of Nature, hath omitted the Confideration thereof. This Life in Death, Revenge of the Grave, or loud language of filent Corruption, many Venerable and Chriftian Philofophers have accounted wholly Mir aculous or Supernatural; as ordained and effected by the juft judgement of God, for the detection and punihment of the inhumane Affiffine. And, left we thould feem too forward, to expunge, from the mind of any man, the beleif of that opinion, which to fome may be a more powerful Argument, than the exprefs Command of God, to deterr them from committing fo horrid and execrable a Crime as Murder: we fhall fo far concurr with them, as to conceive this Effect to be Divine only in the Infitution, but meerly Natural in the Production, or Immediate Caufes. Becaufe the Apparence feems not to tranfend the Capacity of Natural Means, and the whole Syndrome and Series of it Caufes may be thus explained. It is an Opinion highly Confentaneous, that in every vehement Paffion there is formdacertain Idea as well of the Object, whereupon the Imagination is moft intent, as of the Good or Evil connected unto, and expected from that Object ; and that this Idea is as it were impreffed, by a kind of inexplicable Sigillation; upon the Spirits, at the fame inftant the Mind determineth to Will the prxfent Profecution, or Avoidance of the object: So that, by the mediation of the Spirits (thofe Angels of
the Mind) the fame Idea is tranfmitted to the Blood, and through the Arteries diffufed into all parts of the body, as well as into the Nerves and Mufcles, which are infervient to fuch Voluntary Motions, as are requifite to the execution of the Decrees and Mandats of the Will, concerning the Profecution, or Avoidance of the Object. This being $\mathrm{fo}_{\mathrm{o}}$, we may conceive, that the Phanfy of the Perfon affaulted by an Affaffine, having formed an Idea of Hatred, Oppofition, and Revenge, and the fame being Characterized upon the Spirits, and by them diffufed through the blood; though the blood become much lefs Fluid in the veins after death, by reafon the vital influence and Pulfifick Faculty of the Heart, which Animated and Circulated it, is extinct: yet, becaure at the prox fence of the Murderer, there iffue from the pores of his body fuch fubtile Emanations, as are Confimilar to thofe, which were emitted fromhim, at the time He frove with, overcame, and killed the Patient; and thofe Emanations entering the Dead Body, doe caufe a frefh Commotion in the blood remaining yet fomewhat Fluid in iss veins, and as it were renew the former Colluctation or Duell betwixt the yet wholly uncondenfed Spirits of the flain, and thofe of the Homicide : therefore is it, that the Blood, fuffering an Eftuation, flows up and down in the veins, to feek fome vent, or falley-port; and finding none fo open as in that part, wherein the wound was made, it iffues forth from thence. And, where the Murthered Perfon is deftroyed by ftrangulation, fuffocation, or the like unbloody Death, fo that there is no manifeft Solution of Continuity in the skin, or other Exterior parts of the body; in that cale, it hath been obferved, that the Carcals bleeds at the Mouth, or Nofe, or both; and this only becaufe in all vehement ftrivings, and efpecially in Colluctation for life, the Spirits and Blood flow moft plentifully into the Arteries and Veins of the Head, as is vifible by the great Rednels of the Eyes and face of every man that Fights; and where the blood fixeth in moft plenty, there will be the greateft tumult, xftuation and commotion, when it is fermented, agitated, and again fet afloat, by the Difcordant Effluvia's emitted from the body of the neer approaching or touching Murtherer . and confequently, there muft the veffels fuffer the greateft ftrefs, diftenfion, and diffuption, or apertion of their orifices.
(4) And this magnale of the (as it were) Reanimation of the vindictive blood in the veins of a Dead body, by the Magick of thofe Hoftile and Fermenting Aporrhæa's, tranfmitted from the body of Him, who violently extinguifhed its former life; ufhers in Another, no lefs prodigious, nor lefs celebrated by Naturalifts : and that is the fuddain Difanimation of the Blood in Living Bodies, by the meer prao Sence of the Baflisk, Catablepa, and Diginus; Serpents of a Nature to tranfcendently Venemous, that, according to popular Tradition, and the feveral relations of Diofcorides, Galen, Pliny, Solinus, Elian, Avicen, and moft other Authors, who have treated of the Proprieties of Animals and Venoms, they are Deftructive beyond themfelves, i.e. they eitber kill by intuition, or Hifs out the flames of life by their Deleterious Expirations. If Natural Hiftorians have herein efcaped that itch of Fiction, to which they are fo generally fubject, when they come to handle Rarities; and that Nature hath produced any fuch Species, whofe optical Emiffions, or Pectoral Expirations are faral and per-
nicious to all, or moft other Living Creatures; neither of which feems to be above Controverfie: the Caule of this ftupendious Effect muft confit only in this, that thofe Rayes which are emitted from the Eyes, or chat Halitus expired from the Lungs (for, their Hiffing is far more loud and vehement than that of any others) of thefe Serpents, are Dele: terious in the fuperlative degree, i.e. of fuch Subtlety and Vehemence, that they no fooner invade an Animal, but they as it were in a moment alter and fubvert the requifite temper of that fpiritual fubstance, wherein its life dorh proximly and principally depend, and fo render it theneforth wholly unfit to performe the Actions of Life. But, as for thofe other Traditions (I) of the Bafilisks deftroying a man by prior Afpect alone (2) of its Identity with the Cockatrice, which hath no real exiftence in Nature, and is only an Hieroglyphical Fiction, or Sym-. bolical Invention of the old Ægyptians (3) of its Production from the Egg of an old decrepite Cock; and (4) of its being an Animal with wings, legs, a long and fpiral Taile, and a Crift or Comb on the head, like that of a Cock, as it is vulgarly defcribed and painted, and reprefented in thofe artificial contrivances made of the skin of a Thornback, by Impoftors: we may jufly refer them partly to abfolute Impoffibilities, partly to vain and ridiculous Follies; as the induftrious aldrovand, and ingenious Doctor Brown have done before us.

Art 7. Thas the tight of a Wooli doch nor caule Hearfrefs and obmulefience in the freelatory as is vaigarly re. parted and be lieped.
(5) The Rarity of the Baflisk, coming not much behind that of the Phenix (for, we have not heard of more than four or five, in the fpace 2000 years) may; we confefs, fomewhat excufe the Credulity of thofe, who have fo eafily fwallowed the Figment of it poyfoning a man by Priority of Afpect alone; becaufe to the Refutation of it by Experiment, it is requifite that the Opponent live at the fame time, and in the lame Country, with that King of Venoms. But, we doe not fee, what extenuating plea can remain to thofe foft and flexible minds, that fo readily affent to that common Tradition, that the fight of a Woolf affecs the specator with abjolute Dumbnefs, or very greas Hoarfmefs, at leaft: when there are few Countries, but have Woolves enough to give any Enquirer the opportunity of Experiment; and Few of thofe, who have encountred Woolves very often, and that in woods and deferts, have been heard to complain of any Symptome or Mif-affection thereupon. Which is evidence fufficient, that either the Antipathy of man to a Woolf was the Dream of fome vain and Romantique Phanfy; or, that men have deluded themfelves, by the heedlefs Confignation of the Effect to a remore and unconcerned Caufe, blindly afrribing that to fome fpecifical Hoftility betwixt the infenfible Emanations tranfmitted from the Eyes of the Woolf, and the temperament of the Tongue and other organs of fpeech in man, which, in truth, belongs only to the Paffion of Fear, wherewith any pufillanimous or cowardly Perfon may be ftrongly furprized, at the fuddain and unexpected fight of a Woolf. For, manifeft it is ( $I$ ) that whoever fears not a Woolf, thall never find any fuch Palfy in his tongue, or Afperity in his throt and vocal Artery, at the fight of him : as the daily Experience of fuch, in Ireland and other Countreys, frequendy infefted with Woolves, as delight in Hunting them, doth demonftrate. And (2) that whoever Fears, fhall find in himfelf the fame fymptome of obmutefcence, or difficulty of Vocife-
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ration, whether he fees the Woolf firft, or the Woolf him; füddain filence being ever the Affociate, or (rather) Confequent of great and fuddain Fear. The A phonia, therefore, or Defect of voice, which hath fometimes, though very rarely, been obferved to invade men, upon the Confrion of Woolves; is not the genuine Effect of any fecret and radicated Antipathy, or Fafcinating Virtue in the fubtle Aporrhæa's emitted from the eyes, lungs, or bodie of the Woolf: but only of their own Fear and Terror, arifing from aftrong apprehenfion of Danger; the fuddain and impetuous Concentration of the Spirits, toward the Heart, by reafon of the violent Terror, at that time, caufing a Defection of fpirits, and confequently a kind of Relaxation in the Mufcles of the Tongue, and Nerves infervient to the vocal inftruments: So that the infpired Aer cannot be Efflated with that force and celerity, as is neceffary to the loudnefs and diftinct articulation of the voice.
(6) Nor is it the Eye alone, that the Folly of men hath made obnoxious to Antipathies, but the Ear alfo hath it fhare of wonderful Effects; for, there go folemn ftories of inveterate and fpecifical Enmities betwixt the Lyon and Cock, Elephant and Swine, and He hath read little, who hath not more than once met with fundry relations, that the Crowing of the Cock is more terrible than death, to the fierceft Lyon, and the Grunteng of a Swine fo odious to an Elepiant, that itputs him into an Agony of Horror, Irembling, asd Cold fweat. Which notwithftanding, may weil be called to the barre of Experiment, and many worthy Authors have more then queftioned, among whom, Camerarius (in Symbol.) exprefly affures us, that in his time, one of the Duke of Bavaria's Lyons, breaking into a yard adjacent to his Den, and there finding a flock of Poultry, was fo far from being afraid of the Cock, or his Crowing, that he devoured him and his troop of Hens together. And as for the other Antipathy; ourfelves have feen an Elephant feed and fleep quietly in the fame ftable, with a Sow and her whole litter of Piggs. However, left fome fhould plead the power of Cuftom, in both thefecafes, and object, that that Lyon and Elephant had been, by Afjucfaction, brought to endure the naturally hateful Noifes of the Cocks Crowing, and the Swines Grunting ; to eridicate the belief of the fuppofed Occult Antipathies, we fay: that fuch may be the Difcrepancy or Difproportion betwixt the Figures and Contextures of thofe fubtile particles, that compofe thofe Harth Sounds, and the Contexture of the organs of Hearing in the Lyon and Elephant, as that they exalperate them, and fo highly offend thofe Animals. For, thus we fuffer a kind of fhort Horror, and our Teeth are fet on edge, by thofe harfh and vehement founds, made by fcraping of trenchers, filing the teeth of faws, fqueaking of doors, and the like: only becaule thofe founds grate and exafperate the Auditory Nerves, which communicate the harfh impreffion to the Nerves of the Teeth, and caufe a fridor therein.
(7) But if we pafs from thefe Imaginary, to Real Antipathies, and defire not to mifimploy our Underftanding, in the queft of Diboties for fuch things, of whofe Hoti the more fober and judicious part of Schollars juftly doubt; let us come to the wonderful Venome of the TARANTLILA, a certain Phalangium or fmal Spider frequent in Italy, but moft in and about Tarentum in ripulia; which hath this Atrange Propriety, that be-

Art. 8. The Antipa. thies of a cyen a d ink; ofan Lite) © ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ and Serne meerly Fabulous.

## Art.9.

Why a man innexicated by the venome of ${ }_{1}$ Tarantula,falletb intu violent fits of Danciipg; and camnoioc cured by any or her means, but $M u f c_{1} k_{-}$
ing communicated to the bodie of man, by biting, it makes him Dance moft violently, at the fame time, every year, till He be perfectly cured thereby, being invincible by any other Antidote but Mufick. An Effect fo truly admirable, and fingular, that the Difcovery of its abftrufe Caufes, and the manner of their operation, cannot but be moft opportune and grateful to the Curious; who, we prefume, would gladly knowe,

> Why fuct as are empoyfoned by the biting of a Tarantula, fall into violent Fits of Dancing, and cannot be Cured by any other Remedies, but the Harmonious Straines of Mujck alone?

## SOLUTION.

How great the power of Mufick is, as to the excitement, exaltation, and compefcence or mitigation of the Paffions of the Mind of Man; and wherein the Caufe of that Harmonical Magick doth confift: would be a Digreffion, and perhaps fomewhat fuperfluous for us here to enquire. And, therefore, cutting off all Collateral Curiofities, we thall confine our prefent fruciny to the limits of our owne Profeffion; endeavouring only to explain the Reafons, why Mufick hath fo ftrong and generous an Energy, as certainly to cure the Bodie of a man, intoxicated with the Venome of the Taruntula, which eludes and defpifes the oppofition of all other Alexipharmacal Medicaments. Forafmuch, therefore, as the ftrings of a Lute, Vial, or other Mufical Inftrument, do alwayes move and impell the Aer, after the fame manner as themielves are moved and impelled, and by this proportionate mifture of Soun is create an Harmony delightful not only to the Eare, but to that Himonious Effence, the foul, which Animates the Eare; hence comes it, th: by the mufical Harmony, that is made by the Muficians playing to the perfon infected with the Tarantifme, the Aer, by reafon of the various and yet proportionate motions of the ftrings, is harmonically moved and acited, and carying thofe various motions of the harmony impreffed apon it fe!f into the Eare, and ro affecting the Phantaftical Faculty with thole perant motions, doth in like manner affect and move the fpirits in the brain: and the fpirits having received thofe impreffons, and diffufed into the Nerves, Mufcles and Fibres of the whole body, and there meeting with a certain thin, acrimonious and pricking Humor, which is the chief fewel and vehicle of the Venome derived from the Tarantula; they attenuate and agitate the fame, by a way very like that of Fermentation, and difperfe it with a quick motion through all the parts. And this Humor being thus fet afoat, and eftuated, together with the venome, or feeds of the Poyfon, which are contained therein, muft needs affect all the Mufculousand Nervous parts, upon which it toucheth, with a kind of Itch, or gentle and therefore pleafant vellication, or (rather) Titillation: So that the Patient feeling this univerfal Itch, or Tickling, can be no longer at eafe and quiet, but is compelled thereby to dance and move all the members of his body with all agility and violence poffible. This Dancing caufeth a Commotion of all the Humors in his body; that Commotion augments the prefent Heat thereof; that Heat caufeth a Relaxation and Apertion of the pores of the skin; and thereupon enfues a liberal and univerfal fweat;
and together with that fiweat, the venome is difperfed and expelled. But; where the Venome is fo deeply fetcled, and as it were radicated in the folid fubftance of the parts, as that one or two, or three Fits of Dancing and Sweating are not fufficient to the total Eradication and Expulfion thereof; in that deplorable cafe, the Patient becomes frefhly intoxicated, and relapfech into his dancing paroxifins, at the fame periodical feafon, every year, without omiffion, till his many and profufe Annual fweats have freed him from all Reliques of the Poyion.

Moft true it is, that Divers Tarantiacal perfons are affected with divers Mufical Inffruments, and divers Tunes and Ayrs; but this is to be imputed to the Diverfity of Complexions and Temperanents either of the Tarannula's, which envenome them,or of the Perfons themfelves. For, fuch as are Melancholy of themfelves, or intoxicated by the poyfon of the duller and more flugginh fort of Tarannulh's; are ever Affected and Sympachize rather with the inufick of Drums, Trumpets, Sackbuts, and other loud and ftrung founding inftruments, than with that of Lutes. Vials, Vialins, and other foft and gentie ones. For, fince Melancholy is a thick, heavy and vifid Humor, and the Spirits alwaies follory the Dilipofition of the Humor predominant ; to the Concitation and Diffipation thereof, a greater force of motion is required. And this, doubtefs, was the Reafon, why a certain Girl of $\tau_{A}$, entum, being there bitten by a Tarantula, and affected with the fupendious fymptome of Tarantifin, could never be excited to dance by any founds, but thofe of Guns, Alarms beaten upon Drums, Charges and Triumphs founded in Trumpets, and other military mufick; the heavy and vircid venome, meeting with a body of a Cold and Phlegmatick Complexion, and fo requiring very ftrong Cornmotions of the Aer and Spirits, toits ERuation and Diffipation. And, on the Concrary, Cholerick and Sanguine Complexions, axe, by reafon of the Subtility of their Spirits, and greater Fluidity of their Humors, fooneft Cured by the Harmony of Lutes, Harps, Vials, Virginals, Guicarrs, Tiorba's, and other fringed Inftruments.

But; that which deferves our higheft Admiration, is this, thiat this Venome of the Tarantula doth produce the fame Effect in the body of man, which it doth in that of the Tarantula it Self; wherein it is gee nerated: as if there were fome fecret Cognation and Similitude betwist the Nature of that venemous Spider, and that of Mankinde. For, as the Poyfon, being infufed into any part of mans body, and fet a work by Mufick, doth, by a continual vellication or Titillation of the Murcles and Membranes chereof, incite the intoxicated perron to dance : So likevifie, while it remains in its own womb and proper Confervatory; the body of the Tarantula being once fet a work by Mufick, doch it incite the Tarantula to dance, and caper, as is commonly obferved by the Italians, and at large related by Athan: Kirbherus (in opere (Magnetico) and fome cthers of unqueftionable veracity, who would admit no teftimony in this p.irticular, but what they received from their own exact obfervations. Among the fundry Narrations of Experiments in this kind, Kircher entercuins his Reader cheifly with this one, as the moft exact and commemorable. 'A certain Italian Duchefs'(fayes He ) to the end fhe ' might be fully fatisfied of the cruch of this prodigy of nature. of which ' The had fo often heard, and as often doubted, commanded that a Tarantula'
' flould be brought into the Hall, or Refectory of a Colledge of Jefuits, ' all the Fathers being prefent; and there fer upon a fmall chipp of wood, 'that floated in a difh of water. Then the gave order; that an Excellent ${ }^{\text {'Harper fhould ftand by, and play over feveral of his beft compofed }}$ ${ }^{\text {' Tunes. The Tarantula, for a good while, feemed wholly unconcerned }}$ ' in the mufick, difcovering no motions of tripudiation in himfelf; but 'at length, when the Harper had hit upon fome certam Notes. Strains, 'and Ayres, fuch as held fome proportion to the Humor and Specifical 'Venome of the Spider, the now enchanted Infect began to detect its fym'pathy to Mufick, and natural inclination to dancing, not only by the ' frequent lifting uphis feet, and nimble agitation of his whole body, but ' even moft exactly obferv ng time and meafures, according to the Harmo'nical Numbers expreft in the Tune: and as the Mufician plaid more flow'ly or fwiftly, fo did the little beaft dance more flowly or nimbly; not ' moving a foot, after the Tune was ended. But, this which then appeared to rare to the Dutchefs and other Spectators, they foon after heard to be very common to the Muficians of Tarentum, who being hired, with an annual penfion paid out of the Publique purfe, to cure the meaner fort of the people, when any is bitten by a Tarantula; that they may not mifs of healing the Patient, and put themfelves to the pains of playing long: they firft enquire of the Patient, in what houre, what field, or place he was bitten, of what colour and bignefs the Tarantula was, that bit him. Being fatisfied of thefe particulars, they forthwith go to the place defcribed, and there looking among the feveral fpecies of. Tarantulas, as they are bufie in weaving their Cobweb nets, for the enfnaring of Flyes; they fearch for fuch a one as the Patient hath defcribed, and having once found the like, they inftantly fall to their inftruments; and play over whole fets of Leffons one after another, till they light upon fuch a one, as holding fome proportion to the Specifical temperament and venemous Humor of that Tarantula, inciteth him to dance. And both exceeding delightful and ftrange it is to behold the great variety of Humors among many Tarantulas together; one while this fort, another while that exactly fympathizing with the Harmonious motions of the ftrings and aer. When the Muficians have thus informed themfelves of the particular Genius and Humor of that fpecies of Tarantula's, by one of which the Patient was envenomed; they return home, and fet him a dancing almoft it firft touch of their inftuments, playing over again and again thofe Tunes, whofe Correfpondency to the poyfon, that lieth ambufcado'd in the centrals of his bodie, they had formerly experimented: and they feldom or never fail of the Cure, where they are certain what Notes and Tunes are moft ac. commodate to the Genius of the Spider, that hath intoxicated the Patient.

Nor is itat all inconfiftent with Reafon, that the Tarantula it felf hould fuffer the fame ftrange Effect from the Charms of Mufick, as the ditan doth whom its Venome hath intoxicated: for feeing that the Humor, which fupplies the office of Blood in this Infect, is exceeding vifcous, and impregnate with fubtle and hot fpirits, and fo becomes a fubjeet very convenient to receive the Motions impreffed upon it, by the moif fubtle parts of the Aer, whereof the Sounds are compofed : it feems alinoft neceffary, that being eftuated and fet:afloat, by the motions of the的':
aer, which are Harmonical, it fhould caure the like Vibriffations in the nervous parts. of the Tarantula, as the hand of the Mufician hath cauled in the Confonous ftrings of the inftrument; the ftrings cauled in the Aer,and the Aer caured in the fpirits of the Animal :and confequently, that the Animal fhould fuffer a kind of Itch,or gentle vellication in all its nerves, and inuicles, and to eare it felf of that troublefom Affection, move all its members, not only with great agility, but variety of motions correfpnodent to thofe of the Harinony impreffed upon its fifiritual fubftance; efpecially where the Harmony is proportionate to the fpecifical (and perhaps, individual) Conflitution of the fame.

That the vital Humor of thefe and moft other Spiders, is both vif cous, and a yubject capable of Sounnds, as we here affume; may be inferred from the relation of Peter Martyr: (in. Hifor. fun Indic Occidental) that in the Weft Indies there is acertain fpecies of Phalangiums, or Venenate Spiders, whofe poyfon,being. exprefled is fo exceedingly, vifidid and tenacious, that the Na tives ufe to draw and fpin it out into long threads, and twift thofe threads into Treble ftrings for their inftruments of Mufick: as alfo from our own ocular teftimony, whenever we prefs a Spider to death.

And (what is of greateft moment to our prafent Difquifition) that the Venome of the Tarantitula, by rea, on of the Cacrimony, or CMordacity of its Spirittall and bot particles, cauleth an unceffent Titillation, or Itching joyned wich great beat, in the nervous and mulculous parts of mans body, when it is in $x$ ftuation and commotion therein, may be collected from the agree. ing relacions of all perfons, who have known the mifery of Tarantiifme : every one complaining of an infufferable Itch in all parts. of his body, during the paroxifme, and finding a remiffion of the fame inmediately after profufe fweating. For your farther Confirmation herein, be pleafed to hear Father Kircher tell you a memorable and pertinent fory. 'A certain Cap'pucine (fath He ) of the Monaftery belonging to that Order, in $\mathcal{T a r e r e n t u m}$, 'being bitten by a Tarantula, and by his (in that point, too fevere) Superi' ors forbidden to have recourfe either to Baths, or Dancing, for the cure 'of his infection, as means that might feem too light and inconfiftent with ' the gravity and rigid rules of his Profeffion; was fo miferably and beyond ' all patience tormented with an itching and burning in both the interior and ' and exterior parts of his body, that reft and quiet were things he had long 'fince been a frranger to; and hoping to find fome eafe and allay of his 'reftlefs pains by bathing in cold water, he, one night, privily conveyed ' himfelf our of the Covent, and leaped into an Arm of the Sea, that em'braced the town. Where, indeed, he met with a perfect cure of all his 'torments and grievances; being inftantly drowned: leaving his Brechren ' to lament their own great lofs, as well as the Sadnefs of his Fate; and his ' Superiors to repent the cruelty of that Superftition, which had denied him ' the ufe of thofe innocent Remedies, Mufick and Dancing, which the ' happy experience of many thoufands had prafcribed.

Lafty, as it is not every Harmonical Ayre that fuits with the Genius of every Tarantuh, but every particular fpecies holds a fecret Correfpondence to fome particular forts of Inftruments, Tunes, and Strains compofed of fuch and fuch Notes: So likewife is it not the Mufick of every inftrament, nor every modulation of founds that move and Bbb 2
excite
excite every perfon infected with this kind of poyfons but every Tarantiacal Patient requires fuch and fuch particular Harmonious Tunes, Strains, and Notes as are proportionate to that Diathéfis, or Difpofition, which refults from the Commixture and Confermentation of his owne Humors, and the Venome infufed into his body. Which is the Reafon, why fome dance to no mufick but that of Drums, Trumpets and other loud and martiad inftruments; and others again are eafily:charmed to Levolta's by the mild and gente Confonances of Lutes and Tiorba's. And if the Patient, being of a hot and bilious Complexion, be intoxicated by the venome of a Tarancula of the like Cholerick temperament; upon the xefuation and confermentation of thofe two confimilar Humors, the Parient fhall become Feverifh, infatiately thirgty, reflefs, and furioufly maniacal: but, where a cuelancholy Tarantula hath empoyfoned a man of the like dull and fluggih Conftitution; in that cafe, He fhall be infefted with great and inexpugnable Drow/inefs, Stupidity, Spontanecus Lafsitude, love of Solitude, umfeafonable and affected Silence, and the like Symptoms contrary to the former, and fhall be relieved only by grave and folemne tunes; the Accidents fupervening upon this kind of intoxication, alwayes following and betraying the capacity of the prædominant Humor, and refponding to that Harmony, which hath the moft of proportion to the Ge nius of the Poyfon.

Art. 14. The caure of the AnnualRe. cidivation of the Tarantifm, till ir be perfectly cured.

Art. 15. A Coniecture, what kind of Tunes, Strains and Nites feem moft accommodate to the Cure of Tarantiacal Perions in the General.

And as for the Anmisal Relap fes of Patients, into their Tarantiacal Fits; the Caufe thereof muft be only this, that the Reliques of the Poyfon caufing a frefh Commotion and Fermentation of the mof fufceptible Humors of the body, and efpecially of the Serous and Bilious part of the blood(for, moft perfons thus affected; have their Paroxyfms in the hotteft feafon of the year) and imbuing them with exceeding great Acrimony and Mordacity: diffule themfelves through the Arteries and Veins into all parts of the body; and fixing more efpecially on the thin membranes, that inveft the mufdes, fo opprefs, prick and vellicate them, as that the infected fhall know no reft nor eafe, till he hath danced and fweat; to the diflipation and expulfion of all thofe fharp and pungent particles, that were diffufed into the Habit of his body.

But, what particular Sownds, and Notes, and Strains, and Ayres, are Accommodate to the Venome of this or that particular Tarantula: we leave to the determination of the long experienced Muficians of Tarentum only thus much we may fay, in the General; that by how much the more frequent Diminutions of Notes into halfs and quarters (which is called Divifion) and the more frequent permiftion of Sharps and Fhats, in a Tone charged with frequent Semitones, the Tune containeth: by fo much the more grateful will the fame be to all Tarantulized Perfons; becaufe, from the Celerity of the motions it comes, that the Dormant Venome is more nimbly agitated, and fo muft follicite them to dance the more fpritely and vehemently. Hence is it, that the Muficians of Italy, fucle efpecially who profefs the certain and fpeedy Cure of the Tarantifme, for the moit part, enrich and adorne their ftrains with various Divifions of Notes; and that moffly in the Phrygian Tone, becaufe it confifteth of frequent Semitones.

## Occult 这ulitics made Manifef.

(8) What we have here faid, concerning the Magick of Harmoniouis Sounds both upon the Tarantula it felf, and thofe unhappy men, whoin its Fafcinating veriome hath Tarantulized; as it doth wholly take off the Incredibility of thofe Relations, which fome Natural Magicians have fetdownt of the Incantation of Serpents, by a wind of the Cornus, or Dogiree.: TS doth it alfo give us no obfcure light into the dark Caufe of thant Eftét; which among the Ignorant and Supertitious hath ever paffed for inker ly prxftigious and Diabolical. For, it being certain, that all Serpents are moft highly offended at the fmell, and influx of thofe invifible Emanations proceeding from the Cornus, by reaton of foriegreat Difproportion or Incompoffibility', betwixt thofe fubtile Effluvias, and the tempe rament of the Vital and Spiritual Subitance of Serpents: infomuch that, ina moment, they become ftrongly intoxicated thereby: Why flould it feem impoffible, that He, who underfands this invincible Eninity, añd how to manage a wand or rod of the Cornus with cunming and dexterity? maving firf intoxicated a Serpent by the touch thereof, fhould, during that fit, make him obferve and readily conforme to all the virious motions of that wand: So as that the unlearned Spectators perceiving the Serpent to approach the Enchanter, as he moves the wand neerer to himfelf; to retreat from him, as he puts the wand from him; to turne round, as the wand is moved round'; to dance, as that is waved to and fro; and lye ftill, as in a trance, when that is held ftill over him; and all this while knowning nothing, that the fimple virtue of the wand is the Caufe of all thofe mimical motionsand geftures of the Serpent: they are eafily deluded into a belief, that the whole fcelie is fupernatural, and the main Energy radicated in thofe words, or Charms, which the Impoftor, with great Ceremony and grarity of afpeit, mutters forth, the better to difguife his Legerdemane, and diffemble Nature in the Colours of a Miracle.

And, as in this, fo in all other Magical Practices, thofe Bombaft Words, nơnefenfe Spells, exotique Characters, ànd Fanatick Cêremoniés äfed by all Preftigiators and Enchanters, have no Virtue or Efficacy at all (that little only excepted, which may confift meerly. in the founds, and tones in which they are pronounced, in refpect whercof the eare may be pleafed or difpleafed) as to the Caufation of thie Effect interided; nor doe they import any thing, more than the Circumvention of the Spectators judgement, and exaltation of his Imagination, upon whom they prixtend to work the miracle. Which confidered, it will be an argument not only of Chiftianiim; but of found judgement in any man, to coniclude; that excepting only fome few parriculars, in which God hath been pleafed to permit the Devil to exercife his Praftigiatory poiver (and yet, whofo flall confider the infinite Goodneis of God, will not eafily be induced to beleive, that He hath permitted any fuch at all..) all thofe Volumes of Stories of Fafcinations, Incantations, Transformations, Sympathies of men and beafts with Magical Telefims, Gamahues or Waxen Images, and the like myiterious Nothings, are meer Fables, execrable Romances. So Epidemical, we confets, hath the Contagion of fuch Impoftures been, that among the People, when any Perfon waxeth macilent, and pines away, we hear of nothing but Evil Neigiglbours, Witcheraft, Charms, Statues of Wax, and the like venefical fopperies; and inftmoly fome poor decrepite old woman' is fufpected',

Art. I7. DIGRES. SION. That the Words, Sjells, chatatiers, sic. wied by Miagio inuns, are of 1 o verrue or Ef. ticacyar all, as ro the Effect inrended; unlels in a remole mercft, oras they exalt the Imagination of Hill, upon whom they pratend to work the mi. rac!e.

An. iGo
TeRat! of the Incartution of Serpenis, tix ared ot the Corn:s.
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and perhaps accufed of malice and Diabolical Atratagems againft the life of that perfon: who all the while lieth languinhing of fome Comunon $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{t}}$ feare, and the learned Phyfician no fooner examines the cale, bur he, finds the fick mans Confumption to proceed from fome inveteratemalady of the bodie, as Ulcer of the Lungs, Hectique Fever, Debility of the Stomack 3 Liver; or other common Cencocting part, or from long and deep Grief of mind. In like manner, when the Husband-man obferves his field to become barren, his chattel to caft their yong, or die, his corn to be blaft-1 ed, his fruits to fall immaturely, or the like, finifter Accidents: nothing is more ufual with him, than to charge thofe misfortunes upon the Magical Impracations of fome offended Neighbour, whom the multitude fuppofeth to be a Cunning man, or Conjurer. And yet, were the Philofopher confulted about thofe Difafters, he would foon di'cover them to be the ordinary and genuine Effects of Natural Caufes, and refer each Contingent to its proper original. True it is likewife, that many of thofe Sorcerers, whom the vulgar call White Witches, in refpect of the good they prætend to do, frequently præfcribe certain Amu.ets, or Periapts, for the prevention or cure of fome difeafes: and in this cafe, if the Amulet or Periapt, be compofed of fuch Natural Ingredients, as are endowed with Qualities repugnant to the Difeafe, or its germane Caufes, we are not to deny their efficacy. But, as for thofe fuperftitious Invocations of Angels and Spirits; Salamons Characters, Tetragrammatons, Spells, Circles, and the like vain and ridiculous Magical Rites and Ceremonies, ufed by the Sorcerer, at the time of the Compofition or Application of thofe Amulets or Periapts; they are of no power, or virtue at all, and fignifie nothing but the Delufion of the Ignorant. Again, we grant, that the Imagination and Confidence of the fick Perfon, being by fuch means exalted, may conduce very much to his Recovery; for, it is no fecret, that the minds of Languifhing men are, for the moft part, erected, and theirdrooping fpirits as it were Re-inforced, by the good opinion they have entertained of the Phyfician, and the Confidence they place in his prefcripts: but, yet are we not therefore to allow any Direct and Natural Efficacy to that fuperfitious preparation, and Ceremonious adminiftration of Remedies, which are alwaies oblerved by fuch Impoftors, as pretend to Extraordinary skill, and fome fupernatural way, in the Cure of Difeafes, and feem to affect and glory in the deteftable repute of Magicians. And what we fay of the Cure of Difeafes, by Periapts, Amulets,and the like, rwe defire fhould be underftood alfo of Magical Philtres, or Love-procuring Potions, of the Ligature of the point, on the Wedding night, to caufe Impotency in new married men toward their Brides $/ a$ thing very frequent in Zant and $G_{a} \int_{00}$ $n y$ ) and the like effects : becaufe each of thefe hath other Caules, than thofe remote and unconcerned Nugaments prefcribed by thofe (heaters ; and all the influence and power they can have upon the perfons, to whom they are præfcribed, confifteth only in the præpoffeffion of their Phancy, and the ftrength of perfuafion to Hope, or Fear.

Art. 18.
(9) There is, befides, a certain fort of Fa/cination Natar al, about which
The Realon of no fmalladoe is kept in the world, and moft Nurfes, when they obferve the Fofination their Infants not to thrive, or fall into Cachexies, languihing oblerve of Infants, by old women. ons, Convulfions, or the like, inftantly crie out, that forne eavious Beldam hath overlooked them. Concerning this fecret therefore; in which Imagination (on the Infants part) hath no intereft at all; we fay.
that if there be any thing of truth, as to matter of Fact, the Fafcinating actio vity of the old malicious Crone muft confift only in this: that fhe doth evibrate or dart forth from her brain, certain malignant Spirits, or rayes, which entering the tender body of the Infant, do infect the purer fpirits, and fo the blood in its Arteries, and affimilataing the fame to their depraved and maligne nature, corrupt all the Aliment of the body, and alienate the parts from their genuine and requifite temperament. Not that thofe Malignant Emiffions can arrive at, and infect an Infant that is abfent, as is vulgarly conceived; but that the malicious old woman muft be prexent, and look (with an oblique or wiff look)and breach upon the Child, whofe health the envies, nay, conjure up her Imagination to that height of malice, as to imbue her fpirits with the evil Miafine or Inquinament of thofe vitious and corrupt Humors, wherewith her half-rotten Carcals is well fored; and to affift the Contention of her optique Nerves and Murcles, that fo thofe Spirits may be ejaculated wich great force. For, that an old woman though as highly mallgnant in her Nature and Malice, as can be fuppofed, thould be able to infect and envenome an Infant at great diftance; ; is not to admitted by any, but fuch as have ignorance enough to excufe their perfivafion of the higheft Impoffiblity imaginable. But, that the may, in fome meafure, contribute to the indifpofition of an Infant, at whom fhe fhoots her maligne Eye-beams, neer at hand; may receive much of credit from the Pollution of L Lookinglafs by the adfrpect of a Menftruous 'woman; and from the Contagion of Blear Eyes, Coughing, Ofcitation or Gaping, Piffing and the like : all which are obferved to be fomewhat infectious to the ftanders. by.
(IO) You may call it Fafeination alfo, if you pleafe, when the Torpedo doth bervumb or fuipife the hand of the Fifherman, For, as che Maleficiation of Infants is the Effect only of certain malign or ill conditioned Emanations tranfmitted to them from the brain of fome malevolent and half venemous Ruines of a woman : fo likewife muft the fupefaction of the hand of the Fifherman, be the Effect of certain Stupefactive Emanations, either immediately, or by the mediation of a faff or orher continued body, tranfmitted thereunto from the offended Fifh ; which Emanations, by a Eaculty holding fomie neer Analogy to that of Opium Hyofciamus, and ocher ftrong Narcoticks or ftupefactive Medicaments, do in a moment Dull and Fix the Spirits in the part, that they invade, and fo make it Heavy,Senfelefs, and unfit for voluntary motion.
(II) But, how fhall we get free of that Difficulty, wherein fo many highgoing Wits have been Gravell'd; the fudden arreft of aflip, under fail, by ibe jmall Fifh Echisecis, thereupon general called a Remorna? We cannot expede our felves from it, by having recourfe to any Fixing Emanations tranfmitted from the Finh to the flip; becaure the Motion thereof is not voluntary, but fron External Impulfe; nor hach the flip any fpirits; or other A ctive principles of motion, that can be fuippofed capable of Alteration by any influx whatever. Nor by alleaging any motion, contrary to that of the tide, winds, and oares', impreffed upon the flip by the Remora; becaufe, whatroever kind of Impulfe or Force can be imagined impreffible upon it thereby:yet can it never be fufficient to impede and fupprefs the fo violent motion thereof; infomnch as the Remora, neither acharring to any rock;helf, or other place more firme than the water, but only to the hip it

Att.19。
The Realon of the flurferfition of amans hand by 1 Topped.

Art. 20. That fhips are noc Ariefled in their confre, by the Fifh called a Remora: bur by the Contrary impure offome special Currerit in the Sea.
felf, muft want that fixation \&s Firmitude, that is inevitably neceffary, whenever any thing doth ftop, or move another thing of greater weight then it felf. What then ! fhall we impeach of unfaithfulnefs all thofe Authentick Hiftorians, who haverecorded the fuddain and prodigious Arrefts of the flips of Periander sitigonus, and Caius Calizula, in the middeft of their Courles, though therein advantaged by the Confpiring impulfes of Sals and Oares? Not fo neither ; becaufe many other veffels, as well before as fince, have been ftopped in the like manner : and there is in nature Another Caufe, incomparably more potent, and fo more likely to have arrefted them, than that foft; fmall and weak Fifh Echineis; and that is the Contrary motion of the fea, which our Mariners (who alfo have been often troubled with the experiments of its Retropellent Force) call the Current; which is alwayes moft ftrong and cumberfome in narrow and aufractuous Chanels. Which being farce known to the Sea-men of thofe times, when Navigation and Hydrography were yet in their infancy, and feiv Pilots fo expert, as to difcriminate the feveral Re-enconnters, or Contrary Drifts of Waters in one and the fame Creek or Arme of the Sea; when they found any veflel fuddenly retarded and impeded in its courfe, they never conceived that Remoration to arife from fome Contrary Current of Waters in that place, but from fome Impediment in the bottome or keel of the veffel it felt. And as they fearched there for it, if it hapned twice or thrice, that they found fome fmall Fifh, fuch as the Concba Veneris, or any other not much unlike a Sna. I, adhrring to the lower part of the Rudder, or Keel; they inftantly, and without any examination at all, whether fo weak a caufe might not be infufficient to fo great an Effect, imputed the Remoration of their veffei thereunto. Hiftorians, indeed, tell us, that the Admiral Galley, which carried the Emperour Caligula, in his laft voyage to Rome, was unexpeetedly Arrefted, in the middelt of all his numerous Fleet; and that an Echineis was -found $f$ ticking to the bottom thereof: but they forgot to tell us, whether or no there were any other Finhes of the fame kind affixed to any other of the Galleys, that kept on their courle; and we have good reafon to conjecture, that there were, becaule very few fhips are brought into Havens and Docks to be carined, but have many fmall fifhes, refembling Snails, adhæring to their bottoms, as ourfelves have more than once oblerved in Holland. Befides, fince, at Caligula's putting forth from Aftura, an Ifland Port, and fteering his courfe for $\mathcal{A}$ ntium, his Galley, as is the cuftome of Admirals, kept up in the middle Chanell; why might it not be encountred and oppofed by fome fpecial current; or violent ftream, in that place, fo ftreitly pent in on both fides by the fituation of certain Rocks and Shelves, as that its greateft force was in one certain part of the Chanell, and fo not extenfible to the other Galleys of his Navy, that were rowed neerer to the fhoars, and fo rode upon free water? For, thus hhips are now adayes often Arrefted by fpecial Currents, in the Fretum Siciliense, whofe Chanels are rocky, aufractuous, and vorticous, or obnoxious to frequent Eddies and ftrong Whirlepools; and neer Gaditanum you may every day behold the Contrary Drifts of Mips by the Contrary Currents in the fame Arme of the Sea; fome veffels being carried toward the fhoars, whether the fea runs out, while others ride toward she Chanel, where the fea runs in.

## Chap. XV. Occult Onalities made Minifeft.

(12) So unlimited is the Credulity of man, that fome have gone farcher yei from the bounds of Reafon, and imagined a Second wonderful Faculty. in the Remora, viz. the Prafagition of violent Death, or fome eminent Difafter, to the chief perfon in the fhip, which it arrefteth. For, Pliny (lib. 9.cap.25. 心lib.23.cap.r.) will needs have it a Prodigy portending the murder of Calizula, which enfued fhortly after his arrival at Rome from Aftura : and that by the like arrefting of the Chip of Perianders Ambaffadors fent to obtain an edict for the Caftration of all Noble youths, Nature did declare her high deteftation of that Courfe fo deftructive to the way of Generation, that fhe had inftituted for the Confervation of her nobleft fpecies. But, every man knows, how eafie it is to make any finifter Accident the Omen of a tragical Event, after it hath happened: and that Plinies Remark upon the inhuman Embaffie, and fucceeding Infortune of PC rianders Meffengers, would better befeem the ranging pen or tongue of an Grator, than the ftrict one of a Philofopher.
2.23) Here, we fhould oper and furvey the whole Theatre of venoms or Fuifives, on one hand; and that of Antidotes, or Counterpoifons, on the stier: thofe operating to the Deftruction, thefe to the Muniment and Conferviation of Life; and both by fuch وualities and wayes, as are generally both by Phyfiologifts and Phyfitians, prexumed to be occult; or beyond the inveftigation of Reafon, and of which all that is known, is learned in the common School of Experience. But, worthily to examine the Nature of each particular Poifon, among thofe many found in the lifts of Animals, Vegetables, Minerals; and explicate the Propriety; ${ }^{\prime}$ by which its proper Antidote or Alexipharmacon doth encounter, oppofe, conquer and expel it: muft of neceffity enlarge this Section into a Volume, befides
the ext the expence of more time, than what we have configned to our whole
Work. Work. And, therefore, we hope our Reader will not conceive his
expectation wholly fruftrated, nor Curiofity altogether defrauded though we now entertain Him only with the General Reafons, Why Poi fons are Hoftile and Deftructive, why Counterpoifons friendly and Coifervative of Life.

Gwoinus (de Vencn. lib. 2. cap. 24.) we well remember, defines Venenum, Poifon, to be [quod in corpus ingreffum, vim infert, ned. Natikre'illamque vincit ] That which being admitted into the body, offers violence to Nature, and conquers it. And, according to this Definition, by poifons we underftand not only fuch things, as bear a pernicious Enmity in particular to the temperament of the Heart, or that fubftance, wherein the Vital Faculty may be conceived prinicipally and immediately to confift: but all fich as are boftile and deftructive to the temperament of the Brain, or any oiber Noble and Principal Organ of the body, fo as by altering the requijite Conftitution thereof, they fubvert the aconomy and rusine the frame of Nature, wherein the Dijpofition of the parts, to perform the Actions of Life, is radicated.

And that, wherein this Deieterious or Pernicious Faculty doth confift, we conceive to be a certain Subftance, which being communicated or infufed into any part of the body, though in very fmall quancated or infufed into any part of the body,
tity, doth, by reafon of the exceeding Sittility and violent Mobility or
Agility

Art.22. Why this place admits not of more than a Generab Inqueft into the Faculties of Poifons and counterpoifons.

Avt. $23^{\circ}$
Poifons detined.
$\qquad$


A gility of the infenfible particles, of which it is compofed, moft eafily and expeditely transfufe or difperfe it felf through the whole body, confociate it felf to the firits, and invading the Heart, Brain, or other Principal Organ, fo alter the requifite Difpofition or temperament and habit thereof, as to make it thenceforth wholly uncapable of performing the Functions or Actions of life, to which it was deftined and framed; and by that means introduceth extreme Deftruction.

Art. 25. Counterpoicons Defined.

Likewife, by Alexipharmacal Medicaments, or Counterpoifons, we underftand, not fuch things, as have only a propitious and benign Friendfhip particularly for the temperament of the Brain, Heart, or other Noble Organ in the body, and are therefore accounted fpecifically Auxiliant and Corroborative thereunto, in the Expulfion of ought, that is noxious and offenfive unto it; becaufe, in that fenfe, all Cardiacal, Cephalical, and Specifically Corroborative Medicaments would be Alexiterial, and every peculiar Venome would not require its proper Antivenome, both which are contradicted by Experience : But, fuch things as are endowed with Faculties ì diamsetro and directly Contrapugnant to Poifons, meerly as Poifons; For, divers things that are abfolute Poifons of themfelves, and would deftroy, if taken alone by themfelves, do yet become powerful Præfervatives and Antidotes againft other poifons, and afford fuddain and certain relief to nature, when taken to oppofe them. Thus Aconite, than which fcarceany venome is more fpeedy and mortal in its operation upon a found body, doth yet prove a prefent remedy to one bitten by a Scorpion, if drank in Wine: as Pliky hath obferved (lib. 27.cap.2.)

And that, wherein this Salutiferous Virtue of Antidotes doth confift,

Art. 26.
Wherein their Saluriferous Vircue doth confio.

Art. 27. How Iriacie curstio the ve nome of Vipers we conceive. likewife to be a certain Subftance, which being received into the body, though in fmall quantitie, doth with expedition diffufe it felf throughout the fame : and encountering the venome formerly admitted, and then operating, refract its energy, prevent its further violence, extinguilh its operation, and at length either totally fubdue, or totally educe it. For, All Alexipharmacal Remedies do not bring relief to nature, affaulted and oppreffed by Poifon, by one and the fame way or manner of operation; fome working by way of Repulfion, others by way of $A b d w$. Etion, others by way of oppofition and downright Conqueft, when they are taken Inwardly: fome by Retraction, others by Extindtion, where they are applied Externally.

Thus Triacle, whofe Bafis or mafter ingredient is the Flefh of Vipers, doth cure a man empoifoned by the Biting of a Viper; only becaule, in refpect of Confimilarity or Simlitude of fubftance, it unitech it felf to the Venome of the Viper, which had before taken poffeffion of and diffufed it felf throughout the body, and afterwards educeth the fame together with it felf, when it is expelled by fiweating, procured by divers Cardiacal and Hidrotical, or Sudorifick Medicaments commixt in the fame Compofition : no otherwife than as Soap, whofe principal Ingredient is oil, doth therefore take off oily and greafie fpots from Clothes; becaufe, uniting it felf unto a Cognate or Confimilar fubftance, the Oil or Fat adhæring to the Cloth: and fo affifting its Dilution and Concorporation with the Water,
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in which it felf is diffolved; it carrieth the fame away togecher with it felf in the water, when that is expreffed or wrung out by the hand of the Laundrefs. More plainly; As oyle is therefore commixed with Afhes, or Salt, in the compofition of Soap, to the end it may not ftain the Cloth anew, to which it is applyed, but being confufed with the oil or Fat, wherewith the cloth was formerly ftained, Abduce or carry off the fame together with it felf in the water, which is the Vehicle to both: fo likewife is the Flefh of Vipers therefore commixt with fo many Alexiterial Simples as concur to the Confection of Triacle, to the end it may by them be hindred from envenoming the body a new, buc yet at the fame time be fo commixt with the Venome already diffufed through the body, as that when thofe Alexiterial Medicaments are by Sweat or otherwife educed from the body, carrying along with them the Venome of the Vipers flefh; to which they are individually confociated, they may alfo abduce or carry away that venome of the Vipers toorh, which was formerly diffufed through the body. And this, we moreover conceive, may be the General Reafon not only of the Evacuation of Venomes by Sweat, where the Antidote works by Union and Abduction; but alfo of the Evachation of fuperfluous Humours by Elective Catharcticks, or Purging Medicaments, that fecifically educe this, or that Humor: for, it may be as lawfully faid, that Like may be cured by Like, or Unlike by Unlike, as that oil may be abfterged by its Like, viz. the oil in Soap, and by fomething that is Unlike, viz. the Salt, or Water carrying the oil individually commixt with it.

Thus allo doth the body of a Scorpion, being bruifed and layed warm to the part, which it harh lately wounded and envenomed, fuddainly Retract, and fo hinder the further Diffufion of the Poifon that it had immitced into the body; only becaufe the Nervous and Fibrous parts of the Scorpions body bruifed, by a motion of Vermiculation recontracting themfelves, as Chords too much extended, and fo recracting the Venome that yet remains adhærent to them : do at the fame time Extract that Confimilar Venome, that was infufed into the wound. The fameallo may be conceived of the Cure of the venome of a spider, by the body of the Spider contufed, and applied to the part envenomed : and of the Cure of the Biting of a Mad $D \circ g$, by the Liver of the fame Dog, in like manner Contufed and impofed:

Nor is it by way of Union and Abduction alone, that fome Poyfons become Antidotes againft others; but alfo by that of direct Contrarrety, Colluctationand Conqueft: for, there being great Diverfity of Venoms, fome mult be Contrapugnant to others; and whenever any two, whofe Natures and Proprietles are Contrary one to the other, meet together, they muft inftantly encounter and combate each other, and at laft the Activity of the Weaker fubmit to that of the ftronger, while Nature acting the part of a third Combatant, obferves the advantage, and coming in with all her forces to the affiftance of her Enemies Enemie, completes the Victory, and delivers Her felf from the danger. Befides, we have the teftimony of Experience, that Divers men have fortified their bodies againft the affault and fury of fome Poifons, by a gradual Affuefaction of them to others, as Mishridates, and the Atrick old Woman, Se.
$\operatorname{Ccc} 2$
Hence

Art. 29. That fone Poifons are Antidores 2. ginft others by way of direct Contrarity

Art. 30. why fundry particular men, and fome whole Nations have fed npon Poifonous Asimals and Plants, with. out harm.

Hence we remember Another confiderable Secret concerning Poifons, much difputed of in the School of Phyfitians; viz. Whence comes it, that not only Jundry Particular Perfons, but even Whole Nations have fedd upon venemous Animals and Plants, without the leaft of harm, nay with this benefit, that they have therely So familuarized Poifons to their own Nature, as that they needed no other Prafervative againft the danger of the frongeft Poifon, but that Venenate ane of tbeir onn Temperament? Whereto, we Anfiver, in a word, that that Tyrant, Cuftome. alone challengeth the honour of this wonder; fuch men having, by fenfible degrees, or flow advance from leffer to greater Dofes of Poifons, fo changed the temperament and habit of their bodies, that the wildeft Venoms degenerated into wholefome Aliments, and Poifons were no more Poifons to them, than to the Animals themfelves, which Generate and contain them. Which duely confidered, we have little reafon to doubt the verity of Galens relation (de theriaca ad Pifon.) of the Marfi, and Ægyptians, whofe ordinary Diet was Serpents: or of the like in Pliny (lib.6. cap.29.) concerning the Pfyllx, Tintyritx, and Candei, who were all ophiophagi, or Serpent-Eaters: or of Theophraftus his fory (lib.9. de hiftor. animal. cap. 18.) of certain Shepherds in Thrace, who made their grand Sallads of white Hellebor: or of deicens (lib.4. Sen. 6.tract. r.cap.6.) of a certain Wench, who living upon no other Viands but Toads, Serpents, and other the ftrongef poifons, and moftly upon that of Napellus, became of a Nature fo prodigioully virulent, that fhe outpoifoned the Baflisk, kiffed feveral Princes to death, and to all thofe unhappy Lovers; whom her rare beauty had invited to her bed, her Embraces proved as fatal, as thofe of fupiter armed with his thunder, are feigned to have been to femele : or of Ful. Caf. Scaligers (Exercit. 175.) concerning the Kings fon of Cambaia, who being educated with divers forts of poifons from his infancy, had his temperament thereby made fo inhumane and tranfcendently Deleterious, that He deftroyed Flyes only with his breath, killed feveral women with his firft nights Courthip, and piftolled his Enemies with his Spittle; like the ferpent Ptyas, that quickly refolves a man into his originary Duft, only by Infpuition, as Galen reports (de theriaca ad Pifon. cap.8.)

Art. 31. The Almiry Vnguent, and Sympatbetick Powder, im. pugned.

The Rear of this Divifion of Secrets concerning Animals, belongs to the ARMARIE or MAGNETICK UNGUENT, and its Coufin German, the SYMPATHETICK POWDER, or Roman Vitriol calcined; both which are in high efteem with many, efpecially with the Difciples of Paracelfus, Crollius, Geclenius, and Helmont, all which have laboured hard to affert their Virtue in the Cure of Wounds, at great diftance, either the Unguent, or Powder being applyed only to the weapon, wherewith the wound was made, or to fome piece of Wood, Linnen, or other thing, to which any of the blood, or purulent matter iffuing from the wound, doth adhære. Concerning thofe, therefore, we fay, in fhort; (1) That notwithftanding the ftories of wounds fuppofed to have been cured by Hoplochrifm, both with the Unguent and Vitriol, are innumerable; yet is not that a fufficient Argument to convince a circumfpect and wary judgment, that either of them is impowered with fuch a rare and admirable Virtue, as theiradmirers prefume : becaule many of thofe ftories may be Fabulous; and were the feveral Inftances or Experiments of their Unfuccelsfulnefs fummed upand alledged to the contrary, they would, doubtlefs, by incomparable exceffes overweigh thofe of their fuccefffulnefs, and foon
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counter-incline the minds of ment to a furficion at leaft of Error, if not of Impofture in their Inventors and Patrons. (2) Though the Examples of their fucceefs were many more than thofe of their Failing; yet fill would it be lefsreafonable for us to flye to fuch remote, obfcure, imaginary Fiaculties ${ }_{2}$ as do not only cranfend the capacity of our Underftanding, but openly contradiet that no lefs manifeft than general Axiome, Nibil agere in rem diStantem: than to have recourfe to a proxime, manifeft, and real Agent, fuch as daily producing the like and greater Effects by its own fingle power, may jufly challenge the whole honour of that Sanative Energy to it felf, which the fraud of fome, and incircumfpection of others have unduly afcribed to the Unguent, or Sympacherick Powder: We mean, the Fital (if you pleare, you may call it, the Animal, or Vegetative) Faculty it felf; which rightly performing the office of Nutrition, doth by the continual appofition of the Balram of the Blood, to the extremes of the finall Veins ${ }_{5}$ and to the Fibres in the wound, repair the loft felh, confolidate the Difunited parts, and at length induce a Cicatrice thereupon. For, common Experience demonftrateth, that in men of temperate Diet and euchymical bodies, very deep and large wounds are many times foon healed of themfelves; i. e. meerly by the goodnefs of Natureit felf, which being vigorous, and of our own provifion furnifhed with convenient means, wholefom and affimilable Blood, doth every moment freflyly apply it to the part that hath fuffered folution of Continuity, and thereby redintegrate the fame: efrecially when thofe Impurities generated by pucrefaction in the wound, which might otherwife be impediments to Natures work of Affimilacion and Confolidation, are removed by the Deterfive and Adfrictive Faculty of the Salt in the Urine, wherewith the wound is daily to be wafhed, according to the prexcript of our Sympathetical Chirons. Nor is this more than what Dogs commonly do, when by licking their wounds clean, and moitning them with the faltifh Hunidity of their tongues; they eafily and fpeedily prove their own Chirurgeons. (3) Ihe Bazis or Foundation of Hoplochrifm is meerly Imaginary and Ridiculous; for, the Affertors thereof generally dream of a certain Anima Mundi, or Common Soul in the World, which being diffured through all parts of the Univerfe, doth conftantly cransfert the Vulnerary Virtue of the Unguent, \& Vitriol, from the Extravenated blood adhering to the weapon or cloth, to the wound, at any diftance whatever, and imbuing it therewith, ftrongly affift Nature in the Confolidation of the Difunion. But, infomuch as this Anima Mundi, according to their own wild fuppofition, ought to be prafent to all ocher wounds in the world, no lefs than to that, from which the blood, whereunto the Unguent, or Vitriol is applied, was derived: therefore would it cure all ocher wounds, as well as that particular one, fince it interveneth betwixt that wound and the Unguent or Vitriol, by no more fpecial reafon, than betwixt them and all other wounds; unlefs it can be proved, that fome other fpecial thing is tranfmitted to chat particular wound from the Unguent, and that by local motion through all points of the intermediate fpaces fucceffively; which they will by no arguments be induced to concede.

This Verdict, I prefume, was litele expected from Me, who have, not many years paft, publickly declared my felf to be of a Contrary judgment ; written profertly in Defence of the cure of wounds, at diftance, by the Magnetick, or Sympathetick Magick of the Weapon-Salve; and Powder ofCalcined Vitriol; and excogitated fuch Reafons of my own, to fupport and explicate

## Art. 32.

The Authors Retraffion of his quondam Derence of the Magnerick Cure of Wounds, made in his Prolegomera to Helmonts Book of that fubje es and title.
explicate the fogenerally conceded and admired Efficacy of Both, as feemed to afford greater fatisfaction to the Curious, in that point, than the Romantique Anima Mundi of the Fraternity of the Rofy-Crofs, the Analogical Magnetifm of Helmont, or, indeed, than any other whatever formerly invented and alledged. And, therefore, to take off my Reader from all admiration thereat, it is neceffary for me here to profefs; that the frequent Experiments I have, fince that time, made, of the downright Inefficacy and Unfuccesffulnefs as well of the Armary Unguent, as Sympathetick Powder, even in fmall, fhallow, and in dangerous Wounds; my difcovery of the lightness and invalidity of my own and other mens Reafons, adferred to juftifie their imputed Virtues, andabftrufe wayes of operation; and the greater Probability of their opinion, who charge the Sanation of wounds, in fuch cafes, upon the fole benignity and Confolidative Energy of Nature it felf: thele Arguments, I fay, have now fully convinced me of, and wholly Converted me from that my former Error. And glad I am of this fair opportunity, to let the world know of my Recantation: having ever thought my felf ftrictly obliged, to præfer the intereft of $\tau$ ruth, infinitely above that of opinion, how plaufible and fplendid foever, and by whomfoever conceived and afferted; to believe, that Conftancy to any unjuftifiable Conception, after clear Conviction, is the moft thameful. Pertinacity, a fin againft the very Light of Nature, and never to be pardoned in a profeft Votary of Candor and Ingenuity; and to endeavour the Eradication of any Linfoind and Spurious Tenent, with fo much more of readinefs and fedulity, by how much more the unhappy influence of my Pen, or Tongue hath, at any time, contributed to the Growthand Authority thereof.

## CHAP: XVI.

THE
PHÆNOMENA
OF THE
LOADSTONE
\&XPLICATED。

## SECT. I.



Hofe Wit had the beft edge, and came neareft the flitting of the hair; His, who raid, that the LOADSTONE is the real fanus, becaufe of its Two oppofite Faces, or Poles, one whereof confronteth the North, the other the South : or His, who called it the Egg and though in di-

Art. I. The Nature and Ob curity of the Subject, hinted by certain Metapho: rical Cognami$n a$, agreceable thereunto, Epitome of the Terrestrial Globe; becaufe as the Egg contains the Idxa of the whole and every part of its Protoplaft or Generant, fo doth the Loadfone comprehend the Idxa of the whole and every part of the Earth, and inherit all its Proprieties, being Generated thereby, at leaft therein: or His, Who named it The Neft of Wonders; becaufe, as a Neft of Boxes, it includes many admirable Secrets, one within another, infomuch, that no man can well underftand the myftical platform of its Nature, till he hath opened and fpeculated themall one after another: or His, who affirmed it to be the Antitype of the Poets Hydra; becaule, no fooner hath the Sword of Realon cut off one Head, or Capital Difficulty, but Two new ones fipring up in the place of it, nor ought any man to hope the total and abfolute Conqueft thereof, but by Cauterizing the veins of every Difficalty, i. e. leaving not fo much as the feeds of a Scruple,
but folving all its various Phænomenaes to the full: or His, who thought it fufficient, with. Ariffotle, to call it $\left[\stackrel{\tau}{\tau} \lambda_{i f o r}\right]$ The ftene, that fingularity importing its tranfcendent Dignity: we freely leave to the judgment of our Reader.

Art. 2. Why the Atthor infiffeth not upon the (1) feveral Appelations. (2) Invenior of the Loadflone, (3) in vention of she Pixis Nautica.

And, as for fundry other Enquiries, that do not in any direct or oblique intereft concern the Inveftigation of the Caufes of All, or Any of thofe admirable Proprieties obferved in the Loadftone; fuch as that of the various Appellations given it by feveral Philofophers of old, by feveral Nations, at this day, together with the proper Original, Etymology and Reafon of each: Whether it was firf Difoovered by the Shepherd Magnes, on Mount Ida; as Pliny (lib.36. cap.26.) reports out of the records of Nicander: Whether its Attractive Virtue was known not only to Hippocrates and other Senior Philofophers of Greece, but alfo to the Primitive Hebrews, and Ægyptians; as Gilbert conjectureth (de Magnet. lib. I. cap. 2. ): Whether the Knowledge of its Verticity, or Polary Virtue cannot be derived higher than the top of the four laft Centuries, and ought to be afrribed to a French man, together with the honour of the Invention of the Pixis Nautica, or Navigators Compafs, about the years of Chrift, M. CC. as Gaffendus would perfuade, out of one Guyotus Provixew, an old French Poet, who not long after, writ a Panegyrick in Verfe upon the Excellency and fundry ufes of the fame; or to fobn Goia (alias Gira) of Salerna, who lived not till almoft an hundred years after the faid Guyotus had divulged his Poem, as Blancamus (in Chronolog. Mathemat. Secul.2.) contends: Whether the Nations inhabiting the Sinne had the ufe of the Mariners Compafs, - before the Europeans; or whether they learned it of the European fhips, that firf advanced beyond the Cape of Good-hope, and coafted the Mare Rubrum, and begun Commerce with them: All thefe things, as being not only not eafie to determine, but alfo farce pertinent to our prexent fcope, we refer to our Readers own enquiry, in Gilbert, Cabeus, Kircher, and other Authors, who promife him all poffible fatisfaction therein.

Art. 3.

The Virtues of the Loadfione in General, Tro, the AtrraSive, and Direitive.

Art. 4
Eрichrus his firft Theory, of the Caufe and Manner of the Atrration of Iron by a Loadftone; according ro theExpofition of Lucretius.

To come, therefore, directly to the profecution of our main defign; we obferve, that the VIRTLIES of the Loadftone are, in General Two, one whereby it attracteth Iron to it felf, the other wbereby it directeth both it felf and Iron, which it hath impregnated by contact or infiuence, to the Poles of the Earth : the Firft is called Alliciency, the Other its Verticity or Polarity. Concerniug the Caufe of its Alliciency, or the reafon of the Attraction of Iron by the Loadftone, or (if you would have us fpeak in the fenfe and dialect of Dr. Gilbert) the Coition of Iron and a Loadfone ; various opinions have been conceived and afferted as well by Modern as Ancient Philofophers. Among thofe of the Ancients, that which beft deferves our commemoration and confideration, is the opinion of Epicurus: who, left He might feem fcarcely fufficiently confcious of the great difficulty of the fubject, excogitated a Two-fold Theory for its Explication and Solution; the Former of which we may eafily collect from the Commentary of Lucretius thereupon; the Latter from the Difpute of Galen (iib.I. de Natur. Fácult.) againft it. For,

Lubretius, profeffing to explain the Reafon and Manner of the Attraction of Iron by the Loaditone, according tothe Principles and judgment of

Epicurus

Epicarus, founds his Difcourfe upon thefe Four Pillars, or Preconfiderables; (1) Th.ls all Concretions do continually emit fubtile Effuvia's, or Aporrbea's: (2) That the consextare of no Concretion is So compact, as not to have many fnall Vacuities, or infenfible Pores, variouly intercepted among its Solid and component particles: (3) Thai the Effurvia's ftreaming from Concretions, are not equally Congruous or Accommodate to all Bodies they meet with in the ßphere of their Diffufion: (4) That the frmall Pores, or inferjible Inanities intercepted among the particles of Concretions, are not all of one and the fame Circumfcription, or Figure; and fo not indifferently accommodable or proportionate to all forts of Effurias if Juing from other bodies, but only to Juch, as are (ymmetrical or Corre§pondent to them in Figure and Magnitude. And then He proceeds to ereet this fuperftructure thereupon.

- The Attractive Virtue of the Loadftone, being determinate only to - Iron and Steel (which is Purified Iron) feems to confift in this; that - both from the Loaditone and Iron there perpetually iffue forth continued - Atreams of infenfible parcicles, or bodies, which more or lefs, according sto their number and force of diffufion, commove and impel the am-- bient Aer : and becaufe the ftreams which flow from the Loadftone s are both more numerous and more potent, than thofe which are emit' ted from the Iron; therefore is the ambient Aer alwayes more ftrongly c difcuffed and impelled about the Loadfone, than about the Iron; and - fo there are many more Inane Spaces therein created about the Load6 ftone, than about the Iron. That forafmuch as, when the Iron is 'placed within the fphere of the Aer Difcuffed by the Effluxions of ' the Loadftone, there cannot but be much of Inanity intercepted (un© derftand infenfible Inanity) betwixt it and the Loadftone; thence is ' comes, that the Aporrhxaes of the Iron tend more freely or uninter-- ruptedly toward that part, which faceth the Loadfone, and fo are carried ' quite home unto it: and becaufe they cannot tend thither in fuch - fivarms, and with fuch freedome, but they muft impell the Particles ' of the Iron that are yet cohærent together; therefore muft they allo ' move and impel the whole mars of Iron', confinting of thofe recipro' cally Cohxrent Particles, and fo carry it quite home to the Load-- ftone. That, when a Loadftone Attractech Iron, not only through ${ }^{6}$ the Aer, but alfo through divers compact and firm bodies, and par'ticularly through Marble; we are to conceive that there are more ' and more capacious Inanities made in that part of fuch interpofed bo' dies, which refpecteth the Loadftone, than in that part of them, which ' confronteth the Iron. That the reafon, why other things, as Straw, ' Wood, Gold, \&c. being fituate within the fphere of the Aer Dif' cuffed by the Effluxes of the Loadfone, do not in like manner emit ' their fubtile parcicles in fuch numerous and potent ftreams, as carrying 'along their Cohærent Particles with them, fhould move and im' pel their whole maffes to a Conjunction with it : is only this, 'that the Particles emitted from the Iron are alone Commenfurable 'to the Inane Spaces in the Loadftone. That, becaufe Iron tendeth ' to the Loadfone indifcriminately, i. e. either upward or downward, 'cranfverlly or obliquely, according to the region of its Application; 'this indifferency could not be, but in refpect of the introduced ' Vacuities, into which the particles (otherwife prolabent only downward)
' are carried without Diftinction of region. And, laftly, that the mo-
' tion of the Iron towards the Loadftone, is affifted and promoted by
'the Aer, by reafon of its continual Motion and Agitation; and firft
' by the Exterior Aer, which being alwayes moft urgent on that part, ' where it is moft Copious, cannot but impel the Iron toward that part
' where it is lefs Copious, or more full of Inanities, i. e. toward the
' Loadftone: and afterward by the Interior Aer, which being likewife
' alwayes commoved and agitated, cannot but caufe the ftronger motion ${ }^{6}$ toward that part, where the Space is rendred more Inane. And this we conceive to be the fummary of Lucretius Expofition of Epicurus Opinion touching the Reafon of the Loadfones Iron-attractive Faculty.

Art. 5. His other Sclution of the fame, accoriing to the Conmentary of Galen.

And Gales (in loco citato) impugning the Magnetick Theory of Epicurus, firf makes a contracted, but plain recital thereof, in thefe words: A lapide quidem Herculeo ferrum, à fuccino verò paleus attrabi, \&oc. quippe effuentes Atomos ex lapide illo ita figuris congruere cum illis, que ex ferro effuunt, ut in amplexus facile veniant; quamobrem impactas utrinque (nempe in ipja tum lapidis, quam ferri corpora concreta) \& refilientes deinde in medium, circumplicari invicem, ơ ferrum fimul pertrabi, \&oc. Wherein, befides his ufuall fidelity in the Recitation even of fuch opinions of other men, as he thought good to endeavour to refute, we have good reafon to believe, that Galen came as near as poffible to the true and genuine fenfe of Epicurus : forafmuch as thofe Four Præconfiderables alledged by Lucretius for the fupport of his expofition of the Caufe and Manner of the Coition of the Loadfone and Iron, may be with equal Congruity accommodated allo to this latter Epicurean Solution of the fame problem, according to this prefent interpretation and abridgement of Galen. For, according to the tenour thereof, both the Loadtone and Iron are prafumed to confift of particles exactly alike in configuration, and to have the like Inane Spaces, or infenfible pores intercepted among thofe particles : and this upon no flender ground, feeing that the Loadftone and Iron are perfect Twinns, being both generated not onely in the fame Matrix, but of the fame Materials, one the fame Mineral Vein of the Earth. And, therefore, it is the more probable, that the particles or Atoms iffuing in continued ftreams from the Loadftone, and invading Iron fituate within the Orb of their activity, fhould eafily and deeply infinuate themfelves into the pores of the Iron; and there meeting with ftreams of other Atoms fo exactly confimilar to themfelves, engage them to reciprocal Cohærence, and being partly repercuffed or rebounded from thence toward their Source, abduce thole Atoms along with them, to which they cohære, and by the impulfe of other cohærent particles, abduce alfo the whole and entire mafs : efpecially fince ic is part of the fuppofition, that the Atoms tranfmitted from the Iron to the Loadftone, do reciprocally move, engage, and compel the particles thereof, after the fame manner; it being almoft neceffary that the Atoms on both fides, in good part rebounding or refilient, toward their fources, and mutually implicated, fhould flow together into the medium, and fo doing, that the whole bodies or maffes
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of the iron and Loadftone fhould be brought to a Conjunction in the Medium, becaufe of the Cohxfion of both forts of the flowing Atoms, with thore, of which the whole maffes are contexed. For, notwithftanding it be vulgarly apprehended and affirmed, that the Iron doth come to the Loadfone, rather then the Loadftone to the Iron; that the ftreams of Atoms emanant from the Loadftone, are both more numerous and much more potent; and found by Experiment that pieces of Iron do not only meet Loadftones half way, but come quite home to them, where the Loadftones are either much greater and weightier, or fo held faft in a mans hand, or otherwife, as that they cannot exercife their reciprocal tendency : yet, as Gilbert fpeaks (de Magnet. lib.2.cap.4.) CMutuis viribus fit Concurfus ad unitionem, the Coition is not from one fingle Attraction, but from a Double, owerfe入ex\}a, or Conattus. And, as for the reafon, why other things do not apply themfelves to the Loadftone, as well as Iron, it may be faid, that the ftreams of Atoms flowing from the Loadtone, and encountring thofe that are emitted from other bodies, do either pals uninterruptedly along by them, or are not, in refpect of their Diffimilitude in Figures, To implicated or Complected with them, as in their reflition to flow together and concurr in the medium.

And then He attempts the fubverfion thereof, by the oppolition of fome Arguments, and efpecially of thefe $T$ hree quaries. (I) How $^{\text {and }}$ Jucb minute and infenfible bodies, as thofe of which the Magnetick Aporrhasis are fuppofed to confijt, can be able to Attract [Bapeitu ÿros zoiav ] fo great a weight as that of a ma/s of Iron? Whereto it may be Anfwered, in behalf of Epicurus, that the Magnetick Effluxes are not fuppofed to be fo potent, as to draw any mals of Iron of what weight foever, but only fuch a one, whofe bulk or weight carrieth fume proportion to the force of the Attrahent, or Loadftone. Again, He might have confidered, that the motions of the Groffeft and Heavieft Animals are performed by their fpirits, that are bodies as exile and imperceptible as the Magnetick Effluviaes: that Winds, which alfo confift of infenfible particles; do ufually overturn trees and vaft $x$ difices, by the impecuofity of their impulfes : and that fubterraneous Vapours are frequently the Caufes of Earthquakes. And, as for the reafon, How the Magnetick Aporrhæa's can Deduce, Apprehend, and Detain a mals of Iron; He might have remembred, that the Atoms of the Magnet are conceived to have cerrain fmall Hooks, or Clapes, by which they may lay hold upon the Anfule, or Faftnings in the Iron; to have a violent CNotion, which is the Caufe both of their Impaction againt, and Refilition from the Iron, and to have a perpetual supply of the like Atoms continually ftreaming from the fame fountain, by which they are affifted in their Retraction, whereupon the Attraction may enfue, and that fo much the more forcible, by how much nearer the Iron is prefented, in regard of the more copious Efflux, or Denfity of the Magnetical rayes. (2) How comes it, That a piece, or ring of Iron, being it Self Attracted by a Loadfone, and on one part addbarent unto it, flould at the fame time attralf and fufpend another ring on the conerary part; that fecond ring likewife attract and fujpend a third,

D d d 2
Arb. 6. Galens three Grand objerti. ons againft the rame, briefly Anfwered.
ihat third a forrth, that fourth a fifth, efc. To this we may apply that Refponfe of Epicurus, which Galen himfelf commemorates; $A n$ dicernus, effluentium ex lapide particulartom nonnullas quidem, ubi ferro occurfaverint, reflive; \& has ipfas effe, per quas ferrum fufpendi contingat? nonsullas verò illud fubeuntes, per inanes meatulos trangire quane ocy finmè, \& confequenter impactas in aliud ferrum proximum, cum illed nequeant fubingredi, tamet $\sqrt{6}$ prius penetraverint, binc reflientes werfus prius, complexus alios prioribus fimiles efficere? For, herein is nothing fo incongruous, as Galen conceives; it being not improbable, that fome of the Magnetical Atoms, falling upon a piece of Iron fhould be impinged againft the folid particles thereof, and others of them, at the fame time, penetrate the finall inanities or pores betwixt thofe folid particles; after the fame manner, as we have formerly afferted the particles of Light to be partly Reflected from the folid parts, and partly Trajected through the Pores of Glafs and other Diaphanous bodies: nor that fome of thofe Magnetick Rayes, which pals through the pores of the firft Iron, thould invade a fecond Iron pofited beyond it, and be impinged likewife againft the folid particles of that, and foreflected toward their original, while fome others pervading the Inanities of the fecond, fhould attract a third piece of Iron, and fo confequently a fourch, a fifth, and fometimes more. And, certainly, in this cale it is of no fmall advantage to Epicurus, that the Force of the Magnetick Attraction is fo Debilitated by degrees, as that in the fecond iron it becomes weaker than in the firf, in the third than in the fecond, in the fourth than the third, Sxc. until at length it be totally evirate and decayed: becaufe, upon the fecond there cannot fall as many rayes, as did upon the firft, nor upon the third, as upon the fecond, \&cc. as we have at large explicated, in our difcourfe of the Caufes of the Debilitation of Light. It may be further added allo, in defence of Epiculus; that the Atoms of the Loadfone, penetrating the fubftance of Iron, do fo exftimulate the Atoms thereof, that the Iron inftantly fuffering an Alteration of the pofition of all its component particles, doth in a fort compofe it felf according to their mode, and put on the nature of the Loadfone it felf: and therefore it can be no fuch wonder, that one iron Magnetified fhould operate upon another iron, as the Magnet did upon it.

Art. 7. The iniarisfaaion of the Ancients The ory necefiitates the Author to recer to the Specula tions and 0 b. fervations of the Moderns, concerning the Atraation of Iron by 2 Maqnet; and the R-dution of tiuntall to a few Capital obsiruables.viz.

- But, all this, we confers, though it conferr fomewhat of ftrength and plainnels to the opinion of Epicurus, cannot yet be extended fo farr, as to equal the length of our Curiofity, concerning the Reafon of the Coition of the Loadftone and Iron; and therefore it imports us to fuperadd thereunto fo much of the Speculations and obfervations of our Modern Magnetarian Auchors, Gilbert. Cabeus, Kircher, Grandamicus, \&oc. (who have with more profound fcrutiny fearched into, and happier induftry difcovered much of the myftery) as may ferve to the enlargement at leaft, if not the full meafure of our fatisfaction. And, in order hereunto, to the end Perficuity and Succinctnefs may walk hand in hand together through our whole enfuing Difcourfe; we are to compofe it of fundry OBSERV ABLES : fuch as may not only conduct our Difquifitions through all the dark and ferpentine wayes of Magnetifm, and acquaint us with the feveral Laws of Magnetick Energy; but alro, like the links of a Chain, fuItain each other; by a continued feries of mutual Dependency and Connexion.

Chap. XVI. The Ph.cnomeria of the Loadfone, Explicated.
The FIRST OBSERVABLE is; that as well the Lodiftone, as its be- Ari. 8. loved Miftrefs, Iron, feems to be endowed with a Faculty, that Golds fome A Piriticlinn Aanalogy to the fenfe of Animals; and that principally in refpect of At- herwixt che traction. For ( I ) as an Animal, having its fenfory invaded and affecteci culy oi this. by the fpecies of a grateful object, doth inftantly defire, and is according- Lasftone $\%$ ly carried, by the inftruments of Voluntary motion, to the fame: fo riat ; and likewife fo foon as aleffer or weaker Loadtone, or piece of Iron, is in- i.a Animats: vaded and percelled with the fpecies of a greater or more potent one; it is not only invited, but rapt on toward the fame, by a kind of nimble Appetite, or impetuous tendency.
(i) As fenfible objects do not diffufe their fpecies of Colour, Odour, Sound, \&xc. to an Animal at any diftance whatever, but have the fpheres of their Diffufion or tranfmiffion limitted: fo neither doth the Loadftone, nor Iron tranfnit their Species or Emanations each to other, at any diftance whatever, but only through a determinate interval of face, beyond which they remain wholly infenfible each of others virtue:
(3) As a fenfible object, that is convenient and grateful, doth by its fpecies immitted into the fenfory of an Animal, convert, difpofe, and attract the Soul of the Animal ; and its foul being thus converted difpofed and attracted toward that object, doth by its Virtue or Power, carry the body, though grofs and ponderous, alons to the fame: exactly fo doth the Loaditone feem, by its feecies transfufed, to convert, difpote and attract towards it the (as it were) foul, or fpiritual fubftance of Iron; which doth inftantly by its power or vertue, move and carry the whole mafs, or groffer parts of it along to an union with the fame. Certainly, it would not eafily be believed, that a thing fo exile and tenuious, as is the Sentient Soul of an Animal (which is only Flos fubftantic, the purer and fubtler part of its matter) fhould be fufficiently potent to move and from place to place transfer fo ponderous and unweildy a mafs, as that of the Body; unlefs our fenfe did demonftrate it unto us, and therefore, why fhould we not believe, that in Iron there is fomewhat, which though it be not perfectly a Soul, is yet in fome refpects Analogous to a Soul; that doth though moft exile and tenuious in fubitance, move, and transferr the reft of the mafs of Iron, though ponderous, grofs and of it Celf very unfit for motion? All the Difficulty, therefore, which remains, being only about the Manner, How the Sentient Soul of an Animal is affected by and attracted toward a Grateful Object, let us conceive, that the fenfible feccies, being it felf Corporeal, and a certain Contexture of fmall particles effluxed from the object, fuch as do gently and pleafantly commove and affect the Organ of Senfe, being once immitted into the Senfory, doth inftantly move the part of the Soul, (which is alfo Corporeal, anda certain Contexture of fimall particles ) inhærent or refident in that Organ, and evolving the particles of the Soul converted (perchance) another way, and turning them about toward that part, from whence themfelves are derived, i. e. toward the object, it doth imprefs a kind of impulfe upon them, and fo determine and attract the foul, and confequently the whole Animal, toward the object. For, admitting this Conception, we may complete the Parallelifm intended, thus; as the particles of a fenfible fpecies, tranfmitted from a grateful object, and fubingreffing throngh the organ into the contexture of the Soul, or Sentient part thereof, do fo follicite it, as that it becomes

## The Pbonomera of the Loadfone, Explicated. Book III.

converted toward, and is carried unto that particular object, not without a certain impulfe of appecite: fo do the particles of the Magnetical fpecies, fubingreffing into the Soul of the Iron, fo evolve its infenfible particles, and turn them toward the Loadftone, as being thus follicited, it conceives a certain appetite or impetus toward the fame, and which is more, forthwith refalutes it, by diffufing the like fpecies toward it. For, as if the Iron were before afleep and unactive, it is awakened and excited by this exftimulation of the Magnetical Species; and being as it were admonifhed, what is the propriety of its nature, it fets it felf nimbly to work, and owns the Cognation. But, by what other way foever: it fhall be explicated, How an Animal is affected by, and rapt toward a fenfible object: by the fame way may it fill be conceived, how Iron is affected by, and rapt toward a Loadftone. For, albeit as to divers other things, there be no Analogy betwixt the Nature and Conditions of an Animal, and thofe of Iron: yet cannot that Difparity deftroy the Analogy betwixt them in point of Alliciency or Attraction, here fuppofed. Which well confidered, Scaliger had no reafon to charge Thales Milefims with ridiculous Madnefs, for conceding the Loadftone and Iron to have souls: as Dr. Gilber (lib. 2. de Magnet. cap. 4.) hath obferved before us.

Art. 9. Thar the L.cadif: ne 8 e Iron interchangeably o perate each uipon other, by the media. tion of cerrain Corporeal Spe cies, tranfmitted in Rayes: and the Analosy of the Aagnetick,and Lипіпоия Rayes.

The SECOND; that forafinuch as betwixt the Loadfone and its Paramour, Iron, there is obferved not only an Attraction, or mutual Acceffion, or Coition, but alfo a firm Cohefion of each to other, like two Friends clofely entwined in each others arms; and that this Cohæfion fuppofech reciprocal Revinction, which cannot confift without fome certain corporeal Inftruments, that hold fome refemblance to Lines and Hooks: hence is it warrantable for us to conceive, that the fpecies diffured froms the Loadfone to the Iron, asd from the Iron to the Loadfone, are tranfmitted by way of Radiation, and that ervery Ray is Tenfe and Direct in its progrefs through the intermediate fpace, like a fmall thread or mire extended, and this because it confifteth of Myriads of small particles, or Atoms flowing in a continsed ftream, So that the pracecient particles are fill urged and protruded forward, in a direct line, by the confequent, after the fame manner as the rayes of Ligbt flowing from a Lucid body, the Caufe of whofe Direction muft be their Continued Fluor, as we have formerly Demonftrated, at large. We may further conceive, that as the rayes of Light do pafs through a Perfpicuous body; fo do the Magnetical rayes pafs thorow the body of Iron. That as among all the Lucid rayes incident upon a Perficicuous body, whofe fide obverted to the Luminary is of a Devex figure, only one ray, viz: that which falls upon the middle point or centre, is direetly trajected; and all the reft are inclined or refracted toward that Direst one, in their progrefs through the aer beyond the Diaphanous body: fo is only one of the Magnetick rayes, incident upon Iron, directly trajected through the fame, and all the others are refraeted or deflected toward that one direct. Only here is the Difparity, that from the Diaphanous body to the Luminary no sayes are interchangeably tranfmitted : but from the Iron to the Loaditone thereare; and of thefe allo, in their permeation thorow the Loadftone, only one is direct, and all the reft deflected toward that one. That forafmuch as thefe Magnetick rayes, being hence and thence refracted, and accordingly paifing thorow the pores of the body of the Iron, on one fide,
and thofe of the Loadftone, on the other ; do varioúlly interfect each other at certain Angles, and in refpeit of thofe angles, become like fo many Arms embowed, or Chords inflected; and fo perftringe the folid particles interjacent among the pores: thence doth it come to pafs, that the whole maffes or bodies being thus, on this fide and that interchangeably perftringed, there enfues the mutual Adduction of the one to the other, or of the lefs or weaker to the greater or ftronger; and confequently the Cohrfion of the one to the other, the Devinction being, as the AdduEtion, reciprocal. We need not advertife, that the Magnetick rayes are fo much ftronger and tenfer than the Luminous; by how much they are more Subtile and Agile : being fuch as that in a moment they pafs thorow avery great mafs of Marble, which the rayes of Light cannot doe Nor that the Magnetique rayes do not atrract Marble, though they do attract Iron pofited beyond it ; nor ftrawes, or other lighter things interpofed : becaufe, except che Loadfone and Iron, no other bodies whatever do reciprocally emit and effect each other with cheir rayes; nor have they that Difpofition of their Pores or paffiges, which is neceffary to the determinate Refraction of the Magnetique rayes, and to the conftriction of their folid particles thereby.

The THIRD; the Magnetique Species being diffufed by Deradiation Excentrical, and the Attraction of the Loadftone (of a Spherical figure) being therefore Circumradious, or from all points of the circumference of its fphere of Energy: it will be requifite that we allow it to have ( 1 ) a Centre, as that which is on all fides Corroborated by all the circumftant parts; (2) an $A x i$, as that to which the virtues of all the circumjacent Fibres are contributed; (3) the Diametre of an $\mathbb{A}$ quator, which lying in the middle of all its Fibres, may alfo contain the ftrongeft virtue of them all. For, having conceded this Geomerrical Diftinction of parts to a Terrella, or Spherical Magnet; we fhall reap this advantage thereby, that we fhall eafily comprehend and defrribe the feveral reafons of Laws and Experiments Magnetical. To particularize; infomuch as the Magnetique Rayes are diffufed from the Centre of the Loadfone to all points of it fuperfice, and beyond it to the bounds of their Orb of Activity; that ray, which paffeth through either of its Poles, doth attract only by the force of the Axis; and that, which paffeth through the Equator, draws only by the force of the Diametre of the Æquator; and the other rayes, which like Meridians, pals through the other parts, draw by a Compound or Complicated force, infomuchas they are alwayes intermediate betwixt one ray, which proceeds directly from the Axis, and is parallel to the Æquator, and another which comes directly from the Diametre of the Æquator, and is parallel to the Axis. And, becaule the Æquator is xquidiftant from either Pole; thence is it, that an Iron Obelus, or Needle, being prefented thereunto, fhall be drawn parallel to the Axis, and in a direct line to the Diametre of the Æquator : becaufe all the rayes expiring from the Axis, as they are the longeft and ftrongett of all others, fo are theyalfo on each hand Equal, and equally attractive of the Extremes of the Needle; fo that when it cannot incline to one Pole more than to the other, as being $x$ quilibrated by two equal rivals, it muft confift in the middle betwixt them both. Again, if the Needle be prefented to any part of the Terrella, beyond the Æquator, toward either Pole, in this cafe, becaule the ray iffuing from the Diametre of the Æquator doth then difplay its virtue to the height, and that ray which is derived
from the Axis, is not of fo much power as another longer one paffing through, or near to the Æquator: therefore fhall the extreme of the Needle, toward the neareft Pole, feeling that ftronger virtue, be fomewhat inclined; as if affecting to be conformed to that ray, which is direet to the Diametre of the Æquator; and it fhall be alwayes inclined fo much the more, by how much longer that ray is, and the other, profuent from the Axis, the fhorter. Laftly, becaufe in approaching very near to the Pole, the one ray becomes very long, the other very flort (comparatively); and fo the Needle mult be now almoft right to the Æquator: thence comes it, that at the very Pole, that Extreme of the Needle, which regards it, fhall cohære to the Pole, and fo the Needle thall be difpofed in the fame line with the Axis it felf.

## Art. II.

 The Reafon of that admirable Biform, or $\mathrm{Ja}_{6}$ nurs-like faculry of Magne. ticks: and why the Poles of a Load. flone are incapable, but thofe of a Necdle cafily capable of Tranfplantation from one Extreme to the contra. ry.The FOURTH; the Loadfone being of fuch fingular Contexture, and fo admirably comparated by Nature, as that while it remains whole, the one half of its particles have a certain Polary refpect, or manner of Converfion to one part, and the other half to the oppofite part; and when it is cut in two at the Equator, each fegment, which formerly had all its particles converted one and the fame way, doth in a moment alter their refpect, and convert the one half of them to one part, and the other to the Contrary part : therefore doth a Needle (invigorated) though all its particles were before indifcriminately and confuredly pofited, likewife in a moment obtain a Converfion of one half of its particles to one part, and of the above half the the contrary part; and this either from its long fituation dle ftrongly M, or affriction to a Loadftone, or to another NeeMagnetical Bo Magnetified. And this is that prodigious Propriety of a Faculty of Tw, which Caberss calls Facultatem Duariun facierrum, Satem: though they differ beyond reconciliation in their reafons, or Explications of it. But, though this Janus Quality be in common as well to Iron, as to the Loaditone it felf; to the former, onely by infufion, to the latter by effence : yet are we to allow this Ditference, that the Poles of the Loadftone are never to be changed from one extreme to the other; but thofe of a Needle are eafily capable of tranfiplantation, fo that the Cufpis, which now is ftrongly affected to the North, may in a minute be alienated and infpired with a refpect to the South, onely by a prapofterous Affriction of it to the Loadfone. And hence comes it, that as the North pole of one Loadftone doch not attract or unite with the North pole of another Loadftone; fo doth not the North Cufpis of a Needle conform it felf to the North pole of a Loadfone; provided it be only praxented, not applyed, or affricted upon it. For, from the laft Touch or Affriction of the Loadfone, the Cufpis of a Needle acquirech a Verticity è diametro oppofite to its former: in cafe it be rubbed upon a contrary pole, or upon the fame pole with a contrary wipe or Ductus. Hence alfo is it, that if you fill a Quill with the Filings or Powder of a Loadfone, and offer it to either of the Poles of a whole Loadfone; it fhall remain altogether infenfible of its influence, and acquire no Verticity at all : becaufe all the Granules of the Powder, intruded into the quill, have their poles confured, fome refpesting this, others that, others 2 quite
quite contrary region. But, if you exchange the Filings of Loadftone for the Filings of Steel, and offer either of the extrems of the quill to either Pole of a Loadfone; it thall inftantly own the Magnetique influx, and be imbued with the Polary Virtue, or Directive Faculty thereof : and this, becaufe all the Granules of the Steel powder, wanting determinate poles of their own, are indifferently difpofed to admit and retain the virtue of either Pole of the Loadftone, in any part.

If this be true, you'l ask us, How it comes about, that the Northern Pole of one Loadflone doth not only not Attract, but nimbly Repel or Avert the Northern Pole of another Loadfione, if they be brought within the orb of their power?

And we Anfwer; that the Averfion is not really from the Repulfion of one North Pole by the other, but from the Attrattion of the South Pole, which is felt and owned at that diftance: but, becaule the South Pole cannot be detorted toward the North, but the North Pole of the ocher Loadftone muft receed and veei from it; therefore doth that converfion feem, indeed, to be a kind of Fugation, which really is only an Attraction. The fame is to be undertood of the Auftrine Pole of one Loadftone, in refpect of the Auftrine Pole of another; and alfo of either Cufpis of a Needle excited as well in refpect of another Needle invigorated, as of a Loadftone. The fame alfo of a Loadftone diffected according to its Axis, when the Divifions or Segments being never fo litcle diffociated, doe not attract each other refpectively to their former fituation; but the Auftral part of the one fegment is wheeled about to the Boreal part of the other: and fo of the other Poles: the contrary whereunto alwayes happens, when a Loadftone is diffected according to the Æquinoctial.

And from this one Fountain flow thefe Three Magnetique Axioms. (1) Contraria Contrarÿs funt amica; fimilia fimilibus Inimica: i. e. Magnetical Poles of the fame Afpect and Apellation, are alwayes Enemies; and decline both commerce and conjunction each with other; and Poles of a Contrary refpect and denomination, are alwayes Friends, 'and affect and embrace each other. For, to all Magneticks this is fingular ; that thofe parts, which are frierds each to other, ever regard oppofite regions, and convert to contrary points; but thofe, which are Enemies, regard the fame region, and convert to the fame point : becaufe Friendly parts may conftitute the fame Axis; but Adverfe cannot.
(2) Que eadem funt unitertio, non funt eadem inter Sefe; i. e. Two Poles of the fame refpect and name, are both Friends to a Third pole of the Contrary refpect and name : but yet they are Enemies and irreconcileable among themfelves: And hence comes it, that a third Pole, being offered to either of two friendly Poles, cannot be a common friend, but a neceffary Enemie to either. For, thofe Poles, which are Friends, are of a contrary refpect, one Septentrional, the other Meridional : to which a Third cannot approach, unlefs it be a Meridional, that fhall be an Enemy to the Meridional, or a
Eee
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## Art. iz

 An Objection. of the Averfion or Repulfion of the North Pole of one Loadfone, or Needle, by the North Pole of Another: pi\&vented.Art. İ ${ }^{\circ}$
Three princie pal Magnetique Axioms, deduó ced fromthe fame Fountairs

Septentrional; that mall be an Enemy to a Septentrional : becaufe, Poles of the fame Afpect, cannot compofe the fame Axis, but thofe of a Contrary doe. And this ftarts up another fingularity of Magnetiques; that there can be no more than Two Twinds : infomuch as more than Two cannot compofe the fame Axis, in the fame part.
(3) Virtus ex eadem fante petita, inimica \& noxia; ex Contrarys fontibus, ansica \& jucunda. For, if you imbue the Heads of two Needles with the virtue of the fame Pole, their Heads fhall reciprocally turn away each from other, and mutually deftroy each others verticity : but, if you imbue them with the virtue of Contrary poles, they fhall unite and mutually conferve each others verticity. Likewife, if a long Needle be applyed, in the middle, to either pole of a Loadftone, and then be cut off in the place of the late Contact; the New Extremes (formerly united in the middle) fhall inftantly difplay Contrary Virtues, and reciprocally avoid each other.

Art. 14. A DigresSION to the Iron Tomb of Mahomer.

And here, our Oath of Allegiance to Truch, whereby we are obliged to ferve Her upon all occafions, will excufe our Digreffion, if we ftep a little afide to the fo famous Sepulchre of that greateft of Impoftors, Mabomet, and obferve how egregioully falfe that common report is, concerning the fufpenfion of his Iron Tomb in the Aer, by the equal Virtues of two Loadftones, the one fixt above in the arched roof, the other beneath in the floor of his Temple at Medina Talnabi in Arabia. If we confult the Relations of Travellers concerning it, we fhall not only not meet with any, who affirms it upon any other grounds, but the Tongue of Popular Fame, and tradition of the multitude :- but alfo with Come, that exprefly Contradict it; for, as Voffius tells us, both Gabriel Sionita, and Fobannes Hefronita, two learned Maronites, who journied to wedina on purpofe to fatisfie themfelves and others in that point, pofitively deliver, that the Tomb of Mahomet is made of White Marble, and ftands upon the ground in the Eaft end of that Mofque.

## Les Voyages Fameux Du Sieur Vincent Le Blanc Marfeillois, p. 2 I. I. I, c. 4

2uant à la ville de Medine, quelques-uns ont donné à entendre que le Sepulchre de Mabomet eftoit la, ou à la Meque, tout de fer O Suppendu en $l$ 'air par le moyen de quelques pierres $d$ aymant: Mais c'eft une chofe tres fauffe, eftant bien certain, connme ie $l$ 'ay appris fur le lieu mefme, que ce faux Prophete mourst ơ fut enterre à Medine, où $l$ 'on voit encore fon Sepulchre fort frequente de pelerins Mabometans de tous les quartiers du monde, comme eft le Sepulchre de Ferwalem de tous les Chrefiens. Ce Sepulchre eft de marbre blanc; avec les tombeaux de Eb̄ubeker, Ali, Omar, Ootman Califs, fucceffeurs de Mahomet, chachun ayant aup pres de foy les liveres de fa vie é de fa Secte, qui font fort divers; \&oc.

## Chap XVI. The Pbenomena of the Loadfone, Explicated.

And, if we confult our own Reafon, confidering the fetled and unalterable Laws of Magnetical Attraction; we fhall foon be confirmed not onely of the monftrous Falfity, but abfolute Impolfibility of the Effect. For, thould we grant it to be in the power of humane induftry, to place an Iron fo pracifely in the neutral point of theMedium betwixt two Loadfones, equally attracting it, the one upward, the other downward; as that the Gravity of the Iron, and downward Attration of the Inferiour Loadftone might not exceed, nor be exceeded by the upward Attraction of the Superiour Loadfone, and fo the Iron fhould remain, without any vifible fupport, Æquilibrated betwixt them, in the Aer: yet could not that pofition of the Iron be of any Duration; becaufe, upon the leaft mutation of the temper of the Iron, or motion of it by the waving of the Aer from high winds, and divers other caufes, the $\mathbb{A}$ quilibration muft ceafe, and the Iron immediately determine it felf to the Victor, or ftrongeft Attractor. Buit, fince what is here fuppofed; is wholly repugnant to the Experience of all, who have or fhall attempt fo to aquilibrate an Iron in the Aer betwixt two Loaditones, as that it fhall not feel the Attractive Virtue of one more ftrong than that of the other: we need not long fudy what to think of the fufpenfion of Mahomets Iron Cheft.

Nor is it lefs impoffible, that an Iroñ flould be held up, at diftance, in the Aer, by the Virtue of a Loadfone placed above it: infomuch as that force, which at firft is fufficient to overcome the refiftence of the Irons Gravity, and elevate it from the ground, muft, as the Iron approacheth nearer, be ftill more potent to attract it; and fo that cannot oppofe the Attractive Energy of the Loadfone, in the middle of it fphere, which was forced to fubmit and conform unto it, in the Extremes. This we may foon experiment, with a Needle by a thread chained to a table, and elevated perpendicularly in the aer, by the pole of a Loadfone: for, the Needle will nimbly fpring up to meet the Loadftone, fo farr as the thread will give it fcope; and if the thread becut off, it inftanitly quits the medium, and unites it felf to its Attractor, from whole embraces it was before violently detained. Hereupon as we may affure our felves, that Dinocrates, that famous Architect, who, as Pliny relates (lib. 34. cap.14.) began to Arch the Temple of 1 rrinoe in Alexandria, with Loadfones; that fo Her Iron Statue might remain Pendulous in the aer, to excite wonder and Veneration in the Spectators; but was interrupted in the middle of his Work both by his own death, and that of Ptolomy, Arfinoes Brother, who expired not long before him; died moft opportunely in refpect of his Reputation, becaufe He muft have failed of the chief Defign, though he had lived to finifli his ftructure : fo alfo can it be no longer doubted, that Ruffinus his ftory, of the Iron Chariot in the Temple of Serspis, and Beda's of the Iron Horfe of Bellerophon, fuftained by Loadftones fo cunningly pofited, as that their Virtues concurr and become adjufted in one determinate point ; are meer Fables, and fit to be told by none but doating old women in the chimney eөrner.

## Art.IS.

 That the Mag. The FIFTH; As one Loadfone is fronger in its Attractive Virtue netique Vigour, or P'erfeltion both of Load. ftones and IrCn, doth confift in ei. ther their Na tive P'urity and Uniformity of Subftance, or their Artificial Politenefs. than another, tbough of the Same nay, perbaps, much greater bullk and weight: So is Some Iron more difpofed than other, botb to adimit andconform to the Attraction of a Loaidfone, and, after invigoration, to attract and impragnate other Iron. As for the Vigour and Perfection of a Loadflome; it confifteth both in its Native Purity, and Artificial Politenefs. (I) In its Native Purity; for, if no Drofs or Heterogeneous fubfance be admixt to the Magnetick Vein in the earth, from which a Magnet is extracted; then is that Loadfone fuperlatively potent and energetical in Attraction: and among Loadfones of this fincere and homogeneous Conftitution, there are found no degrees of Comparifon, but what the Difference of their feveral Bulks doth neceflarily create. But, in cafe any Heterogeneous matter be commixt with the Magnetick feeds or particles of a Loadftone, at its Concretion; as it for the moft part falls out : then muft the Attractive Energy of that ftone be weaker, according to the proportion of that fpurious matter admixed thereunto. This may be confirmed from hence; that fome very fimall Loadftones are more potent than very Great ones; of which fort thall we account that of which Merfennus (de CNagnete) affirms, that weighing but 7 Gr . in all, it would nimbly attract and elevate a mals of Iron 17 times higher than it felf: and from hence, that fome ftones that were dull and languid before, after the fecretion of their Droffy and Impure parts, become very active and potent. Thus, when any Heterogeneous fubftance hath been, like a Cortex or fhell circumobduced about a Loadfone, in its concretion; if the fame be pared or filed away, and the remaining Kernel be polifhed; its Virtue fhall be augmented to a very great proportion. (2) In its Artifcial Terfnefs or Politenefs; for, by how much fmoother a Loadftone is, in it fuperfice, with fo many the more rayes of Virtue, both Attrahent and Amplectent or Connectent, doth it touch Iron oblated unto it; and è contra. Likewife, as for the more or lefs pradijpofition of Iron, both to receive the Attractive influence of a Loadfone, and, after excitement to attract other iron; this alfo confiftech either in its more or lefs of Native Purity, or of Acquired Politenefs: becaure, how much the nearer it comes to the pure nature of Steel, by fo many the more parts hath it both Unitive unto the Loadfone, and fufceptive of its rayes; and by how much more finooth and equal it fuperfice is made, by fo many more are the parts, by which it doth touch and adhare unto the Loadfone; and confequently imbibe fo much the more of its Virtue, and è contra.And this introduceth

Art. 16. That the $A \cdot m$ ing of a Mag. net with polifhed Steel, doth highly Corroberate;but as much diminifh the fphere of its Altra. Sive Virrue:

The SIXTH OBSERVABLE; That a Loadfone, being Armed or Capp't with feel, is thereby So much Corroborated, that it will take up a farr greater weight of Iron or Steel, than while it remained naked or unarmed. For, © Merfenmus had a Loadfone, which, (as himfelf avoucheth) being naked, could elevate no more than half an ounce of Iron; but when he had armed it with pure and polifht fteel, it would eafily fufpend 320 times a greater weight, i. e. ten pounds of Iron: a proportion not credible, but upon the certificate of Expetiment. Now, the Caule of this admirable Corroboration of the Loadfones Artractive Virtue, by a plate of polifht Steel, can be no
other than this; that the Loadftone being of fuch a rough contexture; as that in refpect of the particles of fome hererogeneous matter concorporated unto it, it is uncapable of that exquifite fmoorhnefs in the furface, which may be obtained by fteel; therefore can it not touch Iron fo exquifitely, or in fo many points, as Steel may: and confequently not invade it with fo many Direct and united rayes. But, Steel being of a more fimple fubftance, and clofe contexture; may in all its fubftance be imbued with the Magnetique Virtue: and being polift, touch an Iron, to which it is admoved, with more parts, and invade it with more denfe and united rayes. For, thofe indirect rayes, which otherwife the Loadftone would diffufe fatteringly through the Medium, in refpect of the various inequalities of it fuperfice, and multitude of fmall pores intercepted among its particles; the Steel doth recollect, unite and tranfmit to the Iron admoved, and thereby more Atrongly embrace and detain it. We fay, To Iron $\underset{\text { itmoved; }}{ }$ For, though the Retentive Virtue of a Loadftone Armed with Steel, be by many degrees ftronger; yet is its Attractive Virtue by fome degrees weaker than that of an unarmed Loadftone: i. e. it doth not diffure its Attractive virtue half fo farr, and a fheet of the fineft Venice paper interpofed betwixt an Armed ftone and Iron, doth impede its Attraction; a manifeft argument, that the Fortification is determined only to contact. This we confefs Merfennus flatly denies, and upon his own obfervation : but till our Reader fhall meet with fuch a fone, as Merfennius ufed, we advife him not to defert the common Experience of the impediment of the Attraction of Iron by an Armed Loadftone, by paper interpofed, fince Grandamicus, whofe chief bufinefs was the exact obfervation of all Magnetique Apparences, exprefly faith; vix fit adhafio ferri ad lapidem armatum, $\sqrt{2}$ vel Charta, vel alisd tenui $\int$ Imum corpus interposatur. It hath, moreover, obferved, that if a Magnet be perforated alonig its Axis, and a rod of polifht Steel, exactly accommodated to the perforation, be thrult thorow it ; its orb of Atrraction fhall be much enlarged, and its Energy fortified to an incredible rate. Confule Facob. Grandamicum, in Noun Demonftrat. Immobilitatis Terre, ex Magneticis, cap. 5. Sect: I. pag. 99.

Having layed down thefe fixe obfervables, which are of fuch Capital concernment, as that there is no Effect or Phrenomenon of Attraction Magnetical, that may not conveniently be referred to one, or more of them; and configned a probable Reafon to each : the onely memorable Difficulty that remains, concerning the Attractive Virtue of Magnetiques, is, why a fmall or weak Loadfone doth foatco away an Iron from a Creat or more potent one? But, as the incomparable Kircher hath fubtely obferved, a fmall or weak Loadftone doth remove a Needle from a Great and Potent one, while it felf remains within the fphere of the Great or ftrong ones activity: becaufe the virtue of the fmall or weak ftone, is Corroborated by the Acceffion of that of the Great or ftrong. Which is demonItrable from hence, that if the Needle be fo long, that its extremes reach beyond the orb of the Great Loadfones activity ; then cannot a lefs or lefs porent one remove it away and elevate it : and in cafe one of the extremes be fomewhat too near to either Pole of

Art. 17.
why a fmaker or weaker Loadftone, doth fnatch away a Needle from a Greater. ormore Potent one; while the fmall or weak one is held within the fphere of the great or ftronger ones Altivity : and not otherwife
the Great Loadfone, then is the Lefs ftone much lefs able to fubftract the Needle than in the former cale; becaufe fo, the Virtue of the Great Loadfone is augmented by the Addition of that of the Lefs.

Art. 18. COROLLA. RY.
Of the Abdu. sion of Iron from the Ear.b by a Loads ftone.

And hence, by way of COROLLARY, we obferve; that the Abduction of a piece of Iron from the Earth by a Loadftone, is fo farr from being a good Argument againift the Earths being Magnetique, or one vaft Loadftone; that it rather makes for it : becaufe the Loadftone being applied to the Iron, and operating within the fphere of the Earths Virtue, is fo Corroborated thereby, that it abduceth the Iron from it, by the fame reafon, that a Lefs Loadfone fnatcheth a Needle from a Great one. And thus much concerning the AttraIfive Faculty of the Loadftone; both according to the moft confiderable Doctrine of the Ancients, and the more exact Theory of the Moderns.

## Sect. Il.

Art. 1. The Trethod, and Contents of the Sedion.

Tenquire the Reafon, therefore, of the other General Propriepriety of Magnetiques, their DIRECTION, or Converfion of their Poles to North and Sourh; is all the remainder of our proxfent Defign: which that we may accomplifh with as much plainners and brevity, as the quality of the Argument will admit of; we fhall obferve the fame advantageous Method of Difquifition as we have done in the former, touching the Caures and Wayes of Magnetique Atrraction, reducing all the obfervations of the Moderns, of the Direction, Declination, and Inclimation of the Loadftone, and other Magnetical bodies, to certain Heads, and difpofing them according to their order of fubalternate dependency.

Art. 2. and Iron.

The FIRST OBSERVABLE is; that the Loadfone and Iron are Twinns is theer Generation, and of So great Affinity in their Natures, that Dr. Gilbert might juftly fay, that a Loadflone is Iron Crude, and Iron a Loadfone excocted: For they are for the moft part found lodged together in the fame fubterraneons bed; as the experience of all fuch as are converfant about Iron Mines in Germany, Italy, France, England, and moft other Countries, doth every day demonftrate.

And that is the moft probable Caufe, that can be given, why" Loaditones generally are fo much the more Vigorous and perfect, by how much deeper in the Veins of Iron Minesthey are digged. . There is, indeed, a report diffufed not only among the People, but alfo fome of the higheft form of Learned Writers, and chiefly derived from the authority of Strabo; that in the Weftern Ocean are certain valt Magnetick Rocks, which drawing Ships that fail near them (by reafon of the Iron pinns, wherewith their ribbs and plancks are faftned, and held to-
gether)

## Chap. XVI. The Phenomena of the Loadfone, Explicated.

gether: with irrefiftible violence and impecuofity, fplit them in pieces, or extracting the Iron pinns, carry them like arrowes flying to a Butt, through the aer: But, the light of Navigation hath long fince difcovered rhis ftory to be as highly Romiantique, as the Enchanted Caftles of our Knights Errant, or the moft abfurd of Sir Fobn M.andevils Fables ; and herein we may fay of Strabo, as Lucian of the Indian Hiftory of Ctefias the Cnidian, Phyfician to Artaxerxes King of Perfia, foripfit de iss, que nec ipfe vidit unquam, neque e.x willius fermone ait
divit.

The SECOND; That the Loadfone feems not only to bave ald the Conditions of the Terrefrial Clobe, but alfo to imitate the pofitional refpects thereof, conforming it Self exactly wnoto it. For, as the Terraqueous Globe hath Two Poles, by which it owns a refpect to the Poles of the Heavens, the one Boreal, the other Auffral: fo likewife hath the Loadfone two contrary Poles, alwayes difcoverable in the oppofite parts or extremes thereof, efpecially if it be turned into $a$ fphere. And, as the Globe of the Earth hath in Aiquator, Parallels and Meridians; fo hath the Loadfone: as may be demonftrated to the eye, by applying a fimall Steel Needle thereunto ; for, at either of its Poles, the Needle flhall be erected perpendicularly, and lye in the fame line with its Axis; but at any of the intermediate Spaces, or Parallels, it fhall be neither planly erected, nor plainly lye along, but obferve an oblique fituation, and more or lef's oblique, according to the variety of the Parallels; and at the middle intertice, or Æquator, it fhall difpofe it felf in conformity to the ductus of the Meridian, and fix in a pofition paratlel to the Axis of the Loadfone. . That a Loadftone doch accommodate it felf exactly to the Earth, as a Needle doth accommodate it felf to the Loadfone; is evinced from this eafie Experiment. If you fufpend a Loadtone (whofe Poles you have formerly difcovered, and noted with the Characters, N. S.) in calme aer, or fet it floating at liberty in a veffel of Quickfilver, or a fmall Skiff of Cork fwimming upon Water, that fo it may freely perform the office of its nature; you flall obferve it continually to move it felf from fide to fide, and fuffer alternate Vi brations or accefles and receffles, till it hath fo difpofed it felf according to the Meridian, as that one of its Poles, viz. chat marked with $N$. fhall point to the North, and the other, upon which $S$. is infrribed, to the South. Nor that only, but, forafmuch as England is fituate near the North of the Earth, and fo hath the North pole fomewhat demerfed or depreffed below the horizon, nearer than the South Pole of the Earth: therefore doth not the Loadfone keep upboth its Poles in a level or perfectly horizontal pofition, but depreffech that pole which affects the N , fomewhat below the plane of the horizon, as much as it can, directing the fame to the $N$. pole of the Earth. Farther, being it is commonly obferved, that this Depreffion (fome call it the DECLINATION, others the INCLINATION) of the $N$. pole of the Loadfone, or point of an excited Needle, is fo much the greater, by how much neirer the ftone or needle is brought to the Boreal part of the Earth ; fo much lefs, by how much nearer to the Æquator: therefore may we conclade

Art: 3. The Loadione confcrms it filf, in all rea pects, to the Terrefftial Globe; as a Needle conforms it felf to the Loalffont:
clude, that a Loadftone, being removed, in the fame pofition of freedome, from the Equatol by degrees to each of the Earths poles, would more and more deprefs or decline its Boreal pole, by how much it fhould come nearer and nearer to the Boreal pole of the Earth; and on the otherfide of the Æquator, more and more decline its Auftral pole to the Auftral pole of the Earth, by how much nearer it did approach the fame; nor could it lye with both poles abovethe horizon at once, in any part of the Earth, but upon the Equator, and at either of the Poles of the Earth, theAxis of the fone would make one

Art. 4.
Iron obrains a Verticity, nor only from the Loadfone, by affriAion, or Afpiration;bur alfo from the Earth is felf: and that ac cording to the laws of 30 g 1 . tion. with the Axis of the Earth.

The THIRD; That Iron acquireth a Verticity not only from the touch or affriction of a Looadfone, but alro from its meer fituation in, upon, or above the Earth, in conformity to the poles thereof. For, all Iron barrs, that have long remained in Windows, Grates, \&cc. in a pofition polary, or North and South; if you fufpend them in æquilibrio by lines in the aer, fo as they may move themfelves freely, according to the inclination of their Vircue received from the Earth, will make feveral diadroms hither and thither, and reft not untill they have converted to the North that extreme, which in their former diuturne pofition regarded the North, and that to the South, which formerly refpected the South : and having recovered this their Cognation, they fhall fixe in a Meridional pofture as exactly as the Loadfone it felf, or a Magnetified Needle.

To experiment this, the moft eafie way is to offer, at convenient diftance, a Magnetick Dial, or Marriners Compals, to the extrems of an Iron barr, that hath long layn $N$ and $s$ : for, then may you foon obferve the Needle or Verfory freely equilibrated therein to be drawn in that point, which refpectech the North, by that extreme of the barr, which is Auftralized, and, on the contrary, the South point of the Needle to be drawn by that extreme of the barr, which is Borealized. This Vertical imprægnation of Iron meerly by the Earth; is alfo evidenced from hence; that Iron barrs made red hot, and then fet to cool in a Meridional pofition, do acquire the like polary Cognation, and being either at liberty of converfion fufpended by fmall Chords in the aer, or fet floating in fmall boats of Cork, or applyed to the Needle of a Pixis Nautica, immediately difcover the fame.

This being mort manifert, why may not our Marriners, in defeet of a Loadftone, make a Needle or Fly for their Chard, of fimple Iron alone; fince, if it hath layn in a Meridional fituation above the earth, or been extinguifhed according to the fame lawes of pofition, it will bear and demonftrate as Atrong an affection to the poles of the Earth, as a Needle invigorated by a Loadfone, nor fhall the Depreffion or Declination of the one, in each degree of remove from the Æquator toward either pole, be lefs or greater than that of the other.

The FOLIRTH; that infomuch as both the Loadftone and Iron have fo neer a cognation to the Earth, and conformity of fituation to the parts of it: nothing, certainly, can feeme more confentaneous, than that they both hold one and the fame nature in common with the Earth, at leaft with the Internall parts, or Kernell thereof; but yet wich this difference, that Iron, being a part of the Earth very much altered from its orginall conftitution by the activity of its feminall principle, cannot therefore fo eafily manifeft its extraction, or prove it felf to be the genuine production and part thereof, without pracedent Repurgation, and Excitation, or frefh Animation from the Effluviums of the Earth; but a Loadftone, having not undergon the like mutations from concoction, and fo remaining nearer allied to the Earth, doth retain a more lively tincture of its polary faculty, and by the evidence of fpontaneous Direction demonftate its Verticity to be purely native, and it felf by confequence, to be onely a divided part, or legitimate iffue of the Earth. Further, from hence, that the Loadtone and the Terreftriall Globe have both one and the fame power, though in different proportions, of impregnating Iron with a polary affection, impreffing one and the fame faculty thereupon; it is juftly inferrible, that the Loadfone, not onely in refpect of other Conditions wherein it refembleth the Earth, but alfo; and in chief of this noble Efficacy of invigorating and renovating the magneticall quality of Iroin, may well be accounted (as the Father of Magnetique Philofophy, Dr. Gilbert hath nimed it ) Mixpoyn, Terrella, the Globe of Earth in epitome; "and that the Earth it felf may be reputed Ingens cMagnes, a Great Loadfone. Though, in truth; the Earth may challenge the title of a Great Magnet by another right, though fomewhat lefs evident; and that is its Attraction of all terrene bodies in direct lines to it felf (as we have formerly made mioft verifimilous, in our Chapt. of Gravity and Levity ) by the fame ivay and inftruments, as the Loaditone attracteth Iron. And though it cannot be denied, that the Cortex of the Terreftriall Globe, which may be many miles thick, is vatioufly interfperfed with waters, Vapours, exhralations, ftones, metalls, metalline juices, and divers other diffimilar and unimagneticall bodies: yet notwithftanding may we juftly conceive, that the Nuclens Kernell or interior part of the Earth is a fubftance wholly Magneticall, and that many Veins or branches thereof, being derived unto the exterior parts, are thofe very fubterraneous Veins from which by effoffion Loadftones are extracted. Efpecially fince nature doth invite us to this conception by certain clear evidences not onely, in Iron, which may be digged out of moft places in the Earth, but alfo in moot Argillous and Arenaceous Concretions; all which are found to be endowed with a certain, though obfcure? Polary inclination, as appears in Bricks and Tiles, that have a long time enjoyed a meridionall fituation, regarding the N . with one extreme, arid the S . with the other, or been made red hot and afterward cooled

Art. 5. One and the fame Narure, in common to the Earth? Loadffone and Irun.
horth and fouth, or perpendicularly erected, as hath been faid of Iron barrs.

Atr. 6. The Earth,imprægnating Iron with a Polary AffeEtion, doth caule therein a Locall Immu. tation of its infenfible particles.

The FIFTH; It being then moft certain, that Iron obtaines a magneticall Verticity, or faculty of felf-direction to the poles of the earth, meerly either from its long fituation, or refrigeration after ignition, in a pofition refpective thereunto: we may be almoft as certain, that this Affection arifeth to the Iron from no other but a Locall immustation, or change of pofitions of its infenfible particles, folely and immediately caufed by the magneticall Aporrhæa's of the Earth invading and pervading it. When we obferve the Fire by fenfible degrees embowing or incurvating a peice of wood, held neer it, how carr we better fatisfy our felves concerning the caufe and manner of that fenfible alteration of the figure of the wood, then by conceiving, that its infenfible particles are all of them fo commoved by the Atoms of Fire immitted into it fubftance, as that fome of them are confociated which were formerly at diftance, and others diffociated, which were formerly contingent, all being inverted and fo changing their priftine fituation, and obtaning a new pofition, or locall direction, much different from their former? And, when we obferve a rod of Iron, frefhly infected with the Polary virtue of the Earth, to put on a certain fpontaneous inclination in its extremes, and convert it felf exactly accordins to the meridian, and with a kind of humble homage falute that pole of its late infpirer, from whence it received the ftrongeft influence : how can we more reafonably explain the reafon of that effect, than by conceaving, that upon the immifion of the Earths magneticall Rayes into the fubftance of the Iron, the infenfible particles thereof are fo commoved, diftructed, inverted, and curned about, as that they all are difpofed into a new pofture, and acquire a new locall refpect or Direction; according to which they become as it were reinnimated with a tendency, not the fame way, but another much different, and (when the cognation of their extremes are varied by an inverted ignition and refrigeration) quite contrary to that, whither they tended before this mutation of their pofition and refpect? This Conjecture may feem fomewhat the more happy from hence; that a barr of Iron, when made red hot, doth acquire this Polary Direction in a very few minutes of time : but being kept cold, it requires many years fituation North and South, to its impregnation with the like virtue; a fufficient manifet, that the particles of the Iron being, by the fubingreffion of the Atoms of Fire among them, reduced to a greater laxity of contexture, are more eafily commoved and inverted by, and more expeditely conforme themfelves unto the difpofition of the magnetique influence of the Earth. When a. red hot barr of Iron is cooled, not in a meridian pofition to the poles of the Earth, but tranfverfly or equinoctionally ; why doth it not contract to it felf the like verticall difpofition? doubtefs, the beft reafon that can be given for it, is this; that the infenfible particles of it are
not converted, nor their fituation varied fo much in the one pofition of the whole mafs, as in the other: the magneticall Rayes of the Earth invading the fubetance of the Iron in indirect and fo lefs potent lines. Likewife, if the fame barr of Iron, after it hath imbibed a Verticity, be again heated and coold in a contrary pofition; what reafon can be affigned to the change of the Southern Verticity into a Northern, and its Northern into a Southern, by the contrary obverfion of its ends : unlefs this, that the particles of the Iron doe thereby .fuffer a frefh converfion, and quite contrary difpofition; no otherwife than thofe of a piece of wood, when it is incurvated by the fire according as this or that fide is obverted thereunto?

The SIXTH; forafinuch as Iron doth derive the fame Verticity or Direction from its Affriction againft a Loadfone; as it doch from the magneticall influence of the Earth, when pofited refpectively to its poles: it appears neceffary, that it doth fuffer the fame Locall Immutation of its infenfible particles, from the efficacy of the magneticall rayes of the Loadftone, as from thofe of the Earth; efpecially fince we cannot comprehend, how a Body fhould acquire a ftrong propenfion or tendency to a new place, without fome generall Immutation, and that a Locall one too, of all its component particles. The ftrength of this our conception confinterh chiefly in this; that after a rod or needle of Iron hath contracted a fprightly Verticity from a Loadftone, by being rubbed thereupon from the middle toward the ends, it doth inftantly lofe it again, if it be rubbed upon the fame, or any ocher Loadftone, the oppofite way, or from either end toward the middle. For, how can it be imagined, that a righthand ftroak of a knife upon a Loadfone fhould deftroy that polary Faculty, which it had obtained from a left-hand ftroak upon the fame; unlefs from hence, that the infenfible particles of the blade of the knife, were turned one way by the former affriction, and reduced again to their former naturall fituation by the latter? It feems to be the fame, in proportion, as when the ears of Corn in a field are blown toward the South by the North wind, and fuddainly blown from the South toward the North by the South wind. Nor doth Iron, after its excitement retain any of the magneticall Atoms immitted into it either from the Earth, or a Magnet; but, fuffers only an immutation of its infenfible particles, which fufficeth to its polary refpect a long time after: for, a Needle is no whit heavier after its invigoration by a Loadftone, than before, as Merfensus and Gaffendus together experimented, in fuch a Zygoftata or Ballance, wherewith Jewellers are to weigh Pearles and Diamonds; which is fo exact, that the ninety-fixth part above four thoufand of a grain, will turn it either way.

The SEVENTH; that the Virtue immitted into Iron, either from the Earth it felf, or a Loadftone, is no fimple, or immateriall Quality, as both Gilbert and Grandamicus earnefly contend; but a cer- tique Virue, tain Corporeal Efflux, or Fluor, confinting of infenfible bodies, or particles; forporeal Ef.
which introduce upon the particles of Iron the fame Difpofition, and Local refpect, as themfelves have.

For (I) That an Immutation is caufed in the particles of Iron, as well by the influence, or Magnetical rayes of the Loadftone (which dothalfo invigorate Iron, at fome diftance, though not fo powerfully, as by immediate contact, or affriction ) as of thofe tranfmitted from the Earth; we have already declared to be not only verifimilous, but abfolutely neceffary: \& that nothing fhould yet be derived unto the Iron from them; as che Inftrument of that Immutation; is openly repugnant to the Fundamental Laws of all Phyfical activity, fince nothing can act upon a diftant fubject but by fome Inftrument, either continued or tranfmitted.
(2) What is immitted into the Iron from the Earth and Loadftone, cannot be any naked Quality, or Accident without fubftance; becaufe, what wants fubftance, muft alfo want all Activity.
(3) The Materiality of the Magnetique Virtue is inferrible likewife from hence, that it decayes in progrels of time (as all Odours do ) and is irreparably deftroyed by fire, in a few minutes, and is capable of Rarity and Denfity (whence it is more potent near at hand, than at the extremes of it (phere)all which are the proper and incommunicable Attributes of Corporiety.
(4) Infomuch as it changeth the particles of Iron, that have Figure and Situation; therefore muft it felf confift of particles alfo, and fuch as are in figure and fituation confimilar to thofe of Iron: no lefs being affumable from the Effect even now mentioned, wit. the Ablation of that Verticity, by a right hand draught of a Needle upon a Loadftone, which it lately acquired fromit, by a left hand one. Nor, indeed, doth the Loadftone feem to act upon Iron, otherwife than as a Comb doth upon wool or hair; for as a Comb being drawn through Wool, one way, doth convertand difpofe the hairs thereof accordingly, and drawn præpofterounly or the contrary way, doth invert \& prepofter the former ductus of the hairs: fo do the Magnetical Rayes invading and pervading the fubftance of Iron, one way, difpofe all the infenfible particles thereof according to their own ductus, toward the fame way ; and immitted into it the quite contrary way, they reduce the particles to their native fituation and local refpect; and fo the formerly imprinted Verticity comes to be wholly obliterated.

Art. 9.
Contrary ob. jetyons, \& their Solutions.

OBJECTED, we confefs it may be; that the Incorporiety, or Immateriality of the Loadftones Virtue feems inferrible from hence, that it moft expeditely penetrateth and paffeth through many bodies of eminent folidity, and efpecial Marble: (2) That it is (Soullike) total in the total Loadfone, and total in every part thereof: feeing that into how many fenfible pieces foever a Loadfone is broken or cut, yet fill doth the Virtue remain entire in every one of thofe pieces, and there inftantly fpring up in each fingle fragment, two contrary Poles, an Axis, Æquator, Meridians and Parallels.

But, as to the jubtility of Particles and Pores in Concretions, our Book is even furcharged with difcourfes upon that fubject, in the Generall: for that notwithftanding the firt objection, we may adhære to our former Conception, that the particles flowing from the Earth and Loadfone; are of fuch fuperlative Tenuity, as without impediment to penetrate and permeate the moft compact and folid Concretions, and fpecially Marble, whofe fmall pores may be more accommodate to the figures of the magne tick Atoms, and fo more fit for their tranfmiffion, than thofe of divers other bodies much inferior to it in compactnefs and folidity. And being we have the oath of our fenfe, that the Atoms of Fire doe inftantly find out many inlets or pores in the body of Marble, by which they infinuate themfelves into its centrall parts, and fo not only calefie the whole mafs or fubftance thereof, but reduce it fuddainly into a brittle Calx. why fhould we not concede, that the Magnetick Atoms may likervifé find out convenient inlers or pores in the fame, and by them nimbly pervade the whole mafs; and that with fo much more of eafe and expedition, by how much more fubtile and active they are, than thofe of Fire? True it is, that we can difcerne no fuch Particles flowing from maoneticks, no fuch Pores in Marble, but how great the Dulnefs or Grofnefs of our fenfes is, comparatively to the ineffable fubtility of many of Natures Inftruments, by which the bringeth admirable Effects to pafs, we need not here rehearfe. (2) Asfor the other Argument defumed from the Fruftulation of a Loadfone, we Anfwer; that the fingle Virtues of the fingle fragments, are nothing elfe but fo many Parts of the Totall Virtue: nor being taken fingularly, are they equally'potent with the whole; only they are like the Totall, becaufe in the whole Loadfone they follow the ductus or tract of its Fibres, that run parallel each to other, and conjoyn their forces with that Fibre, which being in the middle, ftands for the Axis to all the reft. But, in each Fragment, they follow the fame ductus or Grain of the Fibres, and one Fibre muft ftill be in the middle: which becomes an Axis, and that to which all the circumftant ones confer and unite their forces.

The EI GHTH; that the Magnetick Virtue, both exiftent in the Loadfone, and transfufed into Iron, feems by a lively Analogy, to refemble the Vegetative Faculty or foul of a Plant; nor only in refpect of the Corroboration of the force of its median Fibre, or Axis, by the conference of the forces of all the circumftant ones thereupon, as the centrall parts of a Plant are corroborated by the circumambient: but

Art. 10. A Parallelifme of the Magnetique Virtue, and the Veges tative Fachly of Plarits. alfo, and principally, in refpect of the fituation, Ductus, or Grain of its Fibres; which run meridiosally, as thofe in Plants perpendicularly, or upward from the roots to the tops of the fpriggs. For, as in the Incifion or Engraffing of the fhoot of one tree, into the trunck or ftock of another, the Gardiner muft obferve to infert the lower extreme of the floot, into a cleft in the upper extreme of the ftock, as that from whence the nutritive fap and vegetative influence are to be derived unto i.t; becaule, if the fhoot were inverted, and its upper extreme inferted into the ftock, it would neceffarily wither and die, as being in that prxpofterous pofition made uncapable of the influx of the Alimentary juice and vitall Faculty, both which come from the root upward to the branches,
and cannot defcend again from them to the root : exactly $\wp_{0}$, when we would difpole a Loadftone in conformity of fituation to the Earth, from which it hath been cut off, or to another Loadftone, a quondam part of it felf; 'tis not every way of Appofition, that will be convenient, but only that, when it is difpofed in a direct line, refpondent to the fame Ductus or fituation of its Fibres, according to which it was continued to the Earth, before its feparation. Nor is this meer Conjecture, but a truch as firme as the Earch it felf, and as plain as fenfe can make it; it being conftantly obferved, that what fituation a Loadftone had in its Matrix, or minerall bed, the very fame it thall ftrongly affect, and ftrictly obferve ever after, at leaft, while it is a Loadfone, i. e. untill time or Fire have deftroyed its Verticity. And, as for the ure thereof; it is fo fruiffull, as to yield us the moft probable Reafon in Generall, for fundry the moft obfcure among all Magneticall Apparences.

Art.in. (I) Forafmuch as the Loadfone ever affects its mative fituation, Why $\mathbf{P}$ ines of and that its Northern part did, while it remanned in its matrix, adthe fame re. frect \& name, are Enemics: and thofe of a Contraty rerfect \& name, Friends. hære to the Southern parts of the fame magnetique vein, that lay more North, and its Southern part did adhære to the Northern part of the magnetick vein, that lay more South: therefore is it, that the North pole of a Loadfone doth never affect in un:on with theNorth pole of the earth, nor its South pole direct to the South pole of the Earth: but quite contrary, its North pole converts to the South, and its South to the North. So that whenever you obferve a Loadftone, freely fwimming in aboate of Cork, to convert or decline one of its poles to the North of the Earth; you may affure your felf, that that is the South pole of the Loadftone: and è contra.

Art. 12. Whenali gnet is diffected in. to rwo pieces, why the Bo. real part of the one half, de cline:Conjun Aion with the Boreall part of the other; and the Auftrall of one with the Auftrall of the other.
(2) From the fame and no other Caufe is it allo, that when a Magnet is diffected or broken into two pieces, and fo two new poles created in each piece; the Boreall pole of the one half fhall never admit Coition with the Boreall pole of the other, nor the Auftrall extreme of the one fragment affect conjunction wth the Auftrall extreme of the the other: but contrariwife, the Auftrall end fhall feptentrionate, and the feptentrionall Auftralize. The fame alfo happens, whenever any two Loadfones are applied each to other; the Caufe being Generall, viz. the Native Ductus or Grain of the Magnetique Fibres: which is inverted, whenever the Boreall part of a Loactone is applied to the Boreall part of the Earth, or of another Loadftone; or the Meridionall part of a Loadftone be converted to the meridionall part of the Earth of another Loadftone; as the Ductus of the Fibres in a floot of a Plant is inverted, when the upper extreme thereof is inferted into the upper part of a ftock. This confidered, when we obferve the Animated Needle of a Mariners Compals, freely converting it felf round, upon the pin, whereon it is æquilibrated; that end, which directeth to the North pole of the Earth, muft be the South point of the Needle, and viceverfally, that muft be the North cufpis of the Needle, which confronteth the South of the Earth. And; when prxfent a Loadtone to a magnetified Verfory, that part of the Loadifone muft be the North pole, to which the South culpis of the Needle comes;
and that, to which the North point of the Neecile approaches; muft be the South of the Loadftone. The fame alfo may be concluded, of the extremes of Irons, when a Loadfone is applied unto them; for, that part of an Iron barr, which laied meridionally, hath refpected the North, muft have been fpirited by the Southern influence of the Earth; and è contra: and among our Fire Irons, the upper end muft have imbibed the Northern influence of the Earth, and the Lower the Southern; contraty to the affertion of fome of our Magneticall Philofophers.

The N I N T H; the Amalogy of the Earth to the Loadftone, and other magnetically infpired bodies, being fo great, and the Caufe thereof fo little obfcure; it may feem a juftifiable inference, That the Terrieftriall Globe doth inwardly confift of certain continued Fibres, running along from North to South, or from South to North, in one uninterrupted ductus: and confeqnently, that fince the middle Fibre is as it were the Axis, whofe oppofite extremes make the two Poles, in cafe the whole Earth could be divided into two or more great parts, there would inftantly refult in every part or divifion, a fpecial Axis, two feciall Poles, a fpeciall Æquator, and all other conditions as formerly in the whole Globe; fo that the feptentrionall part of one piece would conjoin it felf to the Auftrine part of another, and the feptentrionall parts reciprocally avert themfelves each from other, as the parts of a Loadfone. And this we may underftand to be that mighty and fo long enquired Caufe, why all the parts of the Terreftriall Globe do fo firmly cohare, and conferve the primitive Figure; the Cohrfion, Attractive Virtue, conftant Direction, and fpontaneous Verticity of all its genuine parts, all whofe Southern Fibres doe magnetically, or individually conforme and conjoyn themfelves to the Northern, and their Northern to the Southern, being the neceffary Caufes of that Firmnefs', and conttancy of Figure. Impoffible, weconfefs, it is, to obtain any ocular Experiment of this conftitution of the Earths internall Fibres; the very Cortex of the Earth extending fome miles in profundity: but yet we defume a reafonable Conjecture thereof, as well from the great fimilitude of effe:ts wrought by the Earth and other Magneticks, as the Experience of Miners, who frequently obferve, and conftantly affirme, that the Veins of fubterraneous Rocks, from whofe chinks they dig Iron oare, doe allwayes tend from South to North; and that the Veins of eminent Rocks, which make the Giant Mountains upon the face of the Earth, have generally the fame Direction. And though there are fome Rowes or Tracts of Mountains, that run from Eaft to Weft, or are of oblique fituation; yet are there alwayes fome confiderable intercifures anong them, from South to North: fo that that can be no fufficient argument, that the interior Fibres of the Earth, which are truely and entirely magneticall, and fubjacent under thofe Mountainous rocks, doe not lye in a meridionall pofition, or conforme to the Axis of the Earth.

The TENTH; that fince the obfervations of Miners afcertain us, that the Ranges or Tracts of Rocks, in the Cortex or acceffible parr of the Terreftriall Globe, do for the moft obferve a præcifely Meri. dionall

Art. 13. The Fibres of the Earch Ex tend fromPole to Pole ; and that may be the Caufe of the firme Cobs. fion of all its Parts, confpiring to conferve its Sphericall Figure.

Art. 14. Rearon of mag. neticall Varistion, in divers climares and places.
dionall fituation, and tend from South to North, and fometimes (i.e. in fome places ) deflect toward the Eaft and Weft, with lefs and greater obliquity; and that our Reafon may from thence, and the fimilitude of the Earth and Loadftone, naturally extract a Conjecture, that the Fibres of the Earths Kernell or inacceffible parts, though for the moft they tend precifely from the South to the North; may yet in many places more and lefs Deflect toward the Eaft and Weft : we need no longer perplex our minds with enquiring, Why all Magnetiques, and efpecially the Verfory or Needle of the Sea-mans Compafs, being horizontally aquilibrated, doe in Some places point direcitly to the North and South, and in others deflect toward the Eaft and Weft, with more and lefs of obliquity; which Navigators call (for diftinction of it from the Depreffion, or Inclination, formerly explicated) the VAR IAT I O N of the Loaditone, or Needle. From the Mariners Tables (though they are full of difcord, as to the degrees of the Needles Deflection or Variation from the true Meridian, in feverall parts of the Earth) we learn, that the Needle doth exactly conforme it felf to the Axis of its great Infpirer, the Earth, without any fenfible deflection at all, in the Ilind Corvus, one of the Azores, in the Iland of the Trinity, in the promontory of the Needles, neer the Cape of Goodhope, in the Fretum Herculeum, Syllxum, the Thracian Bofphorus, the Iland Malta, at Vienna, and divers other places. But in others, and particularly in England, it delines fomewhat toward the Eaft, yet with confiderable diverfity, fo that in fome countries its Variation exceeds not 1. 2 . or 3 . degrees at moft, and in others it amounts to no lefs than 40 , or 50 . Again there are other meridians, in which the Declination of the Compafs is toward the Weft; as trequently upon the Orientall coaft of the Northern America; on the Occidentall coaft of Nova Zembla, and Goa; the Eaftern fide of Africa; in our Mediterrane, at Naples, and fundry other places. Nay, oftentimes in the fame Meridian, and in various degrees of Latitude, it hath been obferved, that the Needle doth not vary at all, and vary both Eaftward and Weftward; for, though in the Iland Corvus the Declination be infenfible, where the Latitude is of about 40 degrees; yet on this fide of it, in the Latitude of 20 degrees the Declination amounts to 12 degrees Eaftward: and beyond it, in the Latitude of 46 degrees the Declination toward the Weft, arifech to 8 degrees, and farther off, in the Latitude of 55 the Weftward Declination equalls 24 degrees. So alfo, in the Iland Elba, at one promontory, the Needle devintes toward the Ealt only 5 degrees; at another promontory, 8 ; and at a third, as high as 20 . which being duely perpended, doth foon deteit the unadvifednefs and incircumfpection of Thofe, who have referred the Declination of the Magnet to the Deviation of the Afterifme, Urfa Minor, or Pole of the Ecliptick from the poles of the World; and attempted to explain it by imagining fome certain Magnetick Rocks, which being fituate on the Eaft fide of the Artick Pole of the Earth, conftitute a fpeciall Magnetick Pole, or that whereunto the Verfory Needle is generally defected. Much more happy than this, was the invention of Dr. Gilbert, who fuppofing that the Magnetique Virtue of the Earth was more powerfully impreffed upon the Needle from the Extant or Eminent parts thereof, and efpecially in great Continents: makes out the caufe of the Masnets in-
direction,
"direction, of Variation, thus. If the Needle be placed in the middle " betwixt two vaf Continents, as in the Azores, which have Europe "s to the Eaft, and America to the Weft; it fuffers no fenifible' Diftra" ction to either part : but, if it be brought nearer to the Continent of "Europe and Afia, it mult be invited and deffected toward the Eaft; "and nearer to the Continent of America, it hall deviate as much to-* "ward the Weft. For the fame Caufe alfo, upon the Weftern coaft of "Africia the Declination is toward the Eaft; and on the Orientall, to "ward the Weft: and betwixt them both, as at the Cape of Good-hope "none at all. And yet this fubtle Theory of Dr. Gilbert is more then fufpected of Imperfection: For, fince that, on the Weftern coaft of America, and of Goa, the Declination of the Needle is Weftward; and not onely on the Orientall fide of the Meridionall America, and chiefly about the ftreights of Megellan, but allo on the Orientall fide of the Septentrionall America, as at Virginia, the Declination tearech not to be, in the fame manner, toward the Eaft; abfolutely contrary to His Hypothefis: therefore hath the incomparable Father, Kircher, to his own immortall honour, and our greater fatisfaction; advifed us, to leave the Attraction of adjacent Continents, and have recourfe onely to the divers Pofitions of the interior Magneticall Fibres of the Earth, over which the Magnet, or Needle ftands; confidering that they have their fituation fometimes exquifitely Meridionall, fometimes more and lefs oblique, and tend in fome places in longer, in others in fhorter tracts. For, it is no difficult conception, the Virtue of the Earth is impreffed upon the Needle from the magneticall Fibres and Veins, that are neareft, i. e. directly fubjacent thereunto; and difpofed thereby into a fituation refpective to the Ductus of thofe perpendicularly fubjacent Fibres: fo that whatever be the Direction of the Needle; i. e. either without all Declination, or with fome, more or lefs, in one part toward the Eaft, in another toward the contrary pole of the heavens ; fill may we fuppofe it to be exactly refpondent to the Ductus, or Direction of the Fibres of the Earth, that perpendicularly lye underneath it. Nor is this meerly Petitionary, or excogitated onely for the folution of this grand Magneticall Problem, as the Former of Gilbert feems to have been; but founded upon a Parallel Experiment: for, if you place feverall Barrs of Iron excited, upon the ground, fo that one may lye exactly according to the Meridian, and all the reft in feverall degrees of obliquity, untill you come almoft to make an Equinoctionall line with one; and then gently and at requifite diftance, move an invigorated Needle, equilibrated upon a pin, over chem; you fhall obferve the Direction of it to be varied to more and lefs obliquity from the Meridian Barr, refpectively to the fituation of each of the other Barrs, over which it is directly held. Now, if you fuppofe the Magnetique Fibres of the Earth to have the fame Virtue upon the Needle; as, if not much more than the fubjacent Iron Barrs have: you have attained the bottome of the Myftery, and that one of the greateft in Nature.
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Art. I5. The Decremen of Magueticall Variation, in one and the rame place, in divers ycars.

The Pbenomena of the Loadfone, Explicated. Bоoк III:
The ELEVENTH and laft, that as the Converfion of the infpired Needle is not exactly meridionall in all places of the Earth, but fiding more or lefs toward the Eaft, in fome Topicall meridians, and toward the Weft, in others: fo alfo is not the Declination thereof, though in one and the fame place, conftant to the fame degree, at all times, but admits confiderable variation, and that in a few years. For, $\mathcal{M r}$. Burrows, in the year 1580, making an exact obfervation of the quantity of the Needles Declination toward the Eaft, at Limus, near London, found it to amount to no lefs than II. degrees 15 minutes: and afterward, in the year 1622. Mr. Gunter, at the fame place, obferved it to be diminifhed to onely 6 . degrees, and 13 minutes: and Gellebrand, in Anno Dom. 1634 . in the fame place, found it to come yet lower, and not to exceed 4 degrees 6 minutes: So that, in the méridian of London, as our Noble Countryman, Sir. Kemelms Digby hath well remarked, the Declination of the Needle Eaftward hath been more Diminimed in the latter years than in the former. The like Decreafe of the Variacion of the Needle hath been taken notice of alfo in France, at Paris by Mercennus, and at Aix, by Gaffeindus. And therefore we may præfume, if the Needles continue, in the fame manner, and at the fame rate, to leffen their Declination, that within a very few years, with us here in England, and other adjacent Countries, they will have no Declination at all toward the Eaft, and perhaps wheele about toward the Weft, and every year more and more approach the contrary point of the Equator.
'Art.16.
The Caufe thereof not yet known.

Now, as for the Cailfe of this truely ftupendious Effect of Magneticks; Grandamicus, indeed, thinks it beft folved, by charging it onely upon the Errors of obfervation, not upon any Mutation of the Axis of the Earth, which would of neceffity vary all Cæleftiall obfervations, no lefs than Magneticall ones: enforcing this His opinion from hence, that the beft of Aftronomers are frequently not onely fubject to, but guilty of great Errors, in their operations to find out the true Generall Meridian Line, of the Altitude of the Sun, of the point of the Heavens that is verticall to this or that place, where they ufe their inftruments, \&c; the certain knowledge of all thefe particulars being abfolutely requifite to make a true compute of the Degrees of the Needles Variation. But, the Obfervators nominated being all eminent Mathematicians, well underftanding the feverall Caufes, that might betray them into incertitude, and afwell how to prævent or avoyd them all; and each one fetting about the work, with all poffible care and circumfpection: and it being very improbable, that they all fhould fall into one and the fame delufion: the Ingenious, we hope, will excufe us, if we incriminate Grandamicus Himjelf, with much of temerity, and fomewhat of injuftice, in this detractring judgement of $\mathrm{H}_{1 s}$; and affent to their more candid and reafonable one, who referr this renfible Declination of Declination in the Magnet, to fome certain indigenary Caufe, or Difpofition proper to thofe Places and Countries, where fuch obfervations were made. But, what indigenary and particular Difpofition that is, which fhould thus vary the Magneticall Variation, in the intervall of a few years; is a Problem indeed, and fuch as feems referved for the expofion of Elias. Kircher and Gaffen-

> dus,

Chap. XVI. The Phenomena of the Loadjfone, Explicated.
dus, we acknowledge, have attempted moft laudably, in fuppofing the Magneticall Fibres, that lye more diftant from the Axis of the Earth, or neerer to the fuperfice thereof, not to be fo firmely cohærent each to other, but that they may be emoved, evolved, and feparated, by fome fubterraneous Caufe or other, and fo exchange their more oblique, for a lefs oblique, and at lehgth for an abfolutely direct or truely meridionall fituation; as the Fibres of the Mufcles of Animalls are obler: ved fometimes to fuffer a certain Revulfion, or change of fituation, under the skin, for feverall Caules : and that this Locomotion and Decrement of obliquity of the fuperficiall magnetick Fibres of the Earth, may be the fole Caufe of the like Decrement of obliquity, or Declination of the Needle, in one and the fame place, in divers years. But, forafmuch as this Suppofition is irreconcileable to our Ninth obfervable procedent, touching the Caufe of the firme Cohrfion of the parts of the Earth, and the Conftancy of its Sphrericall Figure, from thence refulting; and that neither Kircher nor Gaffendus tells us, what fubterraneous Caure that hould be, which might emove and tranflate the Magneticall Fibres of the Cortex of the Earth, from a more to a lefs indirect fituation (which in juftice they both ought to have done:) we fhall onely applaud the ingenuity of their Conjecture, and recurn to our furmer judgement, That the triue Caule of the. Decrement of the Magneticall Variation is yet in the bottome of Democritus Pit; and He, who fhall be fo happy to extract it from thence, fhall have our vote, to have his ftatue fei on the right hand of that of Gilbert, in the Vatican.

There yet remains a Difficulty, which being left unrefolved, is of importance enough to make the intelligent and wary Readet fomewhat coftive in his Affent even to the chiefeft and moft Fundamenttall of our Prxcedent obfervables, concerning the Reafon of Magneticall Verticity. And that is, That fome Loadfones have more than Two Poles. fuch as that Tripolat one of Furnerius, of which both Kircher and Gaffendus make fingular mention.

Concerning this, therefore, we fay; that in every Loadfone there are two, and but two true and Legitimate Poles: and that all others apparent in them, either at the Æquator, or betwixt it and either of the Genuine Poles, are fpurious or Illegitimate; arifing either from fome Node or Knot growing laterally on to a Magnet (fuch as is commonly obferved to interrupt the direct progrefs of the Fibres; or Graih of Trees, and of fones) or from an irregular and horned Figure of the ftone it felf, in refpect of either of which the Magnetick Virtue cannot be commodioufly united at the two Genuine and directly oppofite Poles, but is diftracted obliquely to that Prominent Node, or Horn-like Protuberancy. For; if either the Node or horns of a Loaditone, which caufe it to have more than two Poles, be artificially cut off, and the remainder of the ftone be polifhed; a Needle, or the Filings of fteel, thereunto applied, fhall never be perpendicular erected at any part thereof, but onely at the Artick and Antarctick points; nor thall Ggg i

Art. 17. No Magner hach more than Irro Legitimate Poles: and the rea. lons of 1 llegio mate ones.  which are the moft certain Difcoverers of the true Poles of a Loadfone: Thofe Illegitimate Poles, therefore which fometimes (though very rarely) are found in a Loadfone, are as it were the oblique and Praternaturall parts of it, obtaining the reafon of Poles only by Accident. Which yet hinders not, but that many times, from the imperfection of the ftone, it may come to pars, that the two Legitimate Poles of the fame Loadftone, though exactly polifhed, and reduced to a perfect Sphere, may not exift in the Extremes of its Diametre: for, unlefes the Magnet be Uniforme in fubftance and Virtue, the Poles thereof cannor be directly oppofite each to other.

Art. 18. The Conclufion, Apologe ticall; and an Advertifement, that the Attraftive and Directive Actions of Magnetiques, arife from one of the fame Faculty; and thar they were diftin. gnifhed onely didackxaixs xdeev,for con. venience of Dofrine.

And thus, in a naturall Method, and $w^{i}$ th as much fuccinetners, as the copious fubject would beare (according to our engagement) have we enquired into the Caules of the Two Generall Faculties of the Loadftone, the Attractive and Directive, with the moft confiderable Phænomena's arifing from either, or both of them. Wherein, if we have been fo happy, as to afford but the leaft of fatisfaction to others; we fhall account it no fmall content to Ourfelves, and think our fudies thereby more than fufficiently compenfated. If not, we fhall yet confolate ourfelves with this; that we are not the Firft, who hive fallen fhort of the Readers Expectation, in the Difcuffion of this fingularly Abftrufe Argument: which is a thing fo highly Admirable, that Aphrodifous (initio Problem.) affirmed the Nature thereof to be underftood only by Him; that created it; and Galen (de therica ad Pifon.) termed the Attractive Virtue thereof wholly Divine. To which we fhall add alfo this; that the Hypothefis, of the continued Duitus of the Magnetick Fibres of the Earth, efpecially of the Kernell, or Interior. fubftance thereof, from the South to the North Pole (upon which we have erected the folutions of fundry great Magneticall Apparences) is fubject to much lefs of Improbability, than that of Gilbert and Grandamicus, that the Magnetique Virtue is a fimple, or Immateriall Quality; than that of De's Cartes, that the Magnetique Aporrhæa's confift of ftreated or Screw'd A toms, paffing through the Earth, by contrary and diverfly figurated infenfible pores, iffuing forth at either pole, and wheeling about interchangeably to the oppofite pole; than that of Sr. Kenelm Digby, that the Magnetique Atreams glide along from either Fole and Hemifphere of the Earth, by Attraction to the Æquator; or, in truch, than any other hitherto excogitated and divulged.

But, before we put an end to this Chapter ; 'tis requifite to advertife you of a Confiderable, omitted in the beginning of it; which is, that though we affumed the Virtue Magnetick to be (in Generall) Inwofold, Attractive and Directive, yet is that Diftinction to be admitted, not in an Abfolute, but Refpective intention, or only (xal' \&ंTtiooxe in order to our more diftinct Comprehenfion of the immediate; and particular Reafons of fundry refpective Magneticall Effects, which otherwife muft have wanted the advantage of order in their confideration. For, we are fully convinced of the truth of that Affertion of Grandamicus ( Nova Demonftrat. Immobilit. Terra, cap. 5. Sect. 2.) that the Altradtions and Diresion, or Alliciency and Polarity of Magneticks, are caufed by ome and the fame Faculty : which being conferred upon them, by the infinite Wifdome and Goodnefs of the Creator, in order to the Confervation
"of the Earth, and all its genuine parts, in that pofition in the Uuiverfe, and that difpofition among themfelves, in which they are beft fupported; and moft conveniently performe Actions conforme and proper to their Nature; may be yet termed Attractive, infomuch as it Unites Magneticall Bodies, violently feparated; and Directive, infomuch as it Difpofeth them in a due and commodious fituation. And fo, notwithftanding che Actions and Motions of Magnetiques feem exceeding Various, and in fome cales, plainly Contrary; yet are they to be deduced from one finple principle, one and the fame Generall Virtue, and they all may be conveniently explicated by the fame Common Reafon.


## The Fourth Book.

CHAP.

# OF <br> GENERATION <br> A N D <br> CORUPTION 

SECT. I .


Hat Nature, or the Common Harmony of the World, is continued

Art. The Incroduo ation. by Changes, or the Viciffitudes of Individualls, i.e. the Produrion of fome, \& Deftruction of other Things, determined to this or that particular Species; and that there muft be one Catholique Matter , of which all things are Elemented, anid into which they may be again, by Diffolution, reduced : are Pofftions, to which all men moft readily proftrate their affent. But, What that Firft and Common matter is; How Concretions are Educible out of it; and How Reducible at length into it, after the Privation of their Specificall Formes:
are Quxftions, whofe Beginnings are more eafily known, than their ends. However, forafmuch as we have endeavoured, in our immediately foregoing Book, to determine the Firjt of them, together with the poffible Emergency of all Qualities (whereof either our fenfe, or Reafon can afford us any meafure of cognizance ) and the Reafons of the Perception of them by Animals, from Atoms, fo and fo Configurated, and fo and fo Difpofed in Commiftion : it now neerly concerns us, to attempt the moft hopefull Decifion of the other Two that fo we may not feem to have thus long difcourfed of the Principles, and Affections of Compound Bodies, while we remained wholly ignorant of the moft probable wayes both of their Origination from thofe Principles, and of their Rever $i$ ion into them again, when they have loft the right of their former Denominations, and fuffered to the utmoft of their Divifibility.

Art. 2. The proper Notions of Ge. netation \& Cor. ruption.

By the terme, GENERATION, we ought pracifely to underftand that AEt of Nature, whereby fhe producetb a Thing de novo, or gives Being to a Thing, in fome certain Genus of Bodies Concrete: and confequently, by its Contrary, CORRUPTION, that whereby fhe Diffolves a Thing, 10 that thenceforth it ceafetb to be what it was. For, when Fire, a ftone, a Plant, an Animal, or whatever is referrible to any one determinate kind of Bodies Compound, is firt produced, or made, and begins to befo, or fo Denominated; it is truely faid to be Generated: and contrariwife, when a Thing perifheth, and lofech the right of its former Denomination; it is as truely faid to be Corrupted. And this is that which Arifotle (1. de Generat 2.) frequently call's Generatio $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \dot{\lambda} \dot{x}$ ' $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon i a$, Generation Simple and Perfect; fo to prævent that Confufion of Generation with Alteration, into which many of his Prxdeceffors had often fallen, to their own and their Difciples no little difquiet. For, ${ }^{\circ} E_{\tau \varepsilon \rho \sigma i \omega t i s, ~ A l t e r a t i o n ~ c a n ~ b e ~ a c c o u n t e d a ~ G e n e r a t i o n ~ o n-~}^{\text {col }}$ ly improperly, or Secundum quid; forafmuch as by Alteration a Body is not produced de nove, but onely acquires fome new Quality, or fome Accidentary Denomination: and Philofophers accordingly define it to be Progrefsionem Corporis ex una qualitate in aliam, a Progreffion of a Body from one Quality to another, as when water is changed from cold to hot by fire. Again, every Mutation requires a fubject to be Altered; and that fubject muft be fomething Compound, complete, and already conftituted in fome determinate Genus of Beings: But, of Generation ftrictly accepted the onely fubject is the Firft and Lniverfall matter, which being in it felf deftitute of all Form Arifole doth therefore fubtly call fimpliciter Non-ens, fimply, or determinately Nothing; forafmuch as he frequently inculteth, that Generation is made [ $\varepsilon \xi \in \mathfrak{\alpha} \pi \lambda \lambda \omega$ cs $\mu$ in out © ] ex Non ente fimpliciter. Becaufe had He ommitted that adverb fimpliciter, his Reader might juftly have underftood Non ens abJolute Nothing Abfolutely; and fo have accufed him of openly contradicting his own Fundamentall Axiome, Ex nibilo nibil fieri, that nothing can be made or generated of Nothing.

## Chap. I.

This being prxmifed, to prevent the danger of Equivocation; we oblerve Firft, with Uriffotle ( 3 .de calo r.) that among the Ancient Philofophers, fome held, that Nothing is Generated, nothing Corrupted; as Parmenides and Meliffas: Others again, thar. All things:are Generated and Corrupted; as Hesiod and Heraclitus. Secondly, that of Thore, who admitted Generation, and confequently Corruption; fome conceived, that Generation is made by the Accels of a Form to Matter; and that that Form is a certain New fubftance, abfolutely diftinct from that of the Matter, and together with it conttituting the Compofituni, or whole refulting from the Commiftion of Matter and Form: of which fect Ariffotle Himfelf deferves to be in the Chair, becaufe in order to his Affertion of this Opinion, He fuppofeth a Threefold fubftance, the Matter, Form, and Compofitum arifing from their Commiftion. But, others though they concede, that Generation, indeed, confifteth in the Acceffion of a Form to Matter; yet will they not allow that torin acceding, to be fubftantiall, but onely a certain Accident or Modification of the Matter it felf: fo that according to their theory, in Generation there fuperveneth upon Matter fome certain Quality, of fuch a Condition, as that by reafon thereof a Thing obtain's a certain Being in Nature, and acquireth fome determinate Denomination, refpective to that Genus of Bodies, to which its Nature doth referre it. And in the Catalogue of Philofophers of this perfuafion, Ariftotle nnminateth as Principalls, Empedocles, Ana.xagoras, Derrocritus, and Leucippus; all which He fharply taxech of Confounding Geeneration with Alteration, and of inferring, that afivell Generation as Corruption arifech, not from the Tranfmutation of Principles, but onely from their [ $\sum$ ijjxports \& fráxpiors] Concretion and Secretion: which is not only inconfiftent, but contrapugnant to His own great Hypothefis, that the Four Elements, or Catholique Principles of Generation, are fo Traninutable, both Secundum jubftantiam (at leaft; according to the Comments of all his modern Expofitors) \& fecundum qualitates, as to their fubfance and Qualities, as that from their Commiftion, Alteration, and Corruption, a certain New and diftinct fubftance doth arife, which is the Form of the Thing fo produced. For, havirg fuppofed for a Groundivork, that the Four Elements are not the Firft Principles; it could not fand with his advantage, not to have affumed alfo, that the Elements may be fo Tranfmuted, as that the more Generall and Common Matter doth Aill remaine: and that the rame, upon the perdition of the Elementary Forms, may put on a New Forme, that is fubftantiall; and that very thing, by which the refulting or Generated Body is fpecified, and entituled to fuch a Denomination. But, as for Empedocles, and the reft enumerated (to whom we may add alfo Epicurus) 'tis well known that notwithtanding they all admitted the Four Vulgar Elements, as readily as Ariftotle Himfelf, yet would they by no means hear of their Tranfmutability either as to $/ \mathrm{ub} / \mathrm{tance}$, or 2 qulities: unanimoufly decreeing, that in their Commiftion each of them is divided into particles molt minute, which yet retain the very fame fubftance and qualities, that they had before, as that every particle of Fire doth ftill retain the fubftance and quality of Fire, namely Heat; and that every particle of Water doth Hhh
like-

Arto 3.
Various pi nions of the Ancient P'iiIofophers, rouching the rafonof Ge neration: and the principall 4uthors of parti. Moifture；and fo of the other two：fo that it is moft evident，They would have，that in Generation there is onely a［ $\sum \dot{u} \mathrm{u}_{\text {xpiats }}$ ］Concre－ tion of the infenfible particles of the the 4 Elements，but no Tranfmu－ tation of any one of them，either with the Perdition of their own，or the Adeption of a new fubftantiall Forme；both which are prefumed by Ariftotle．

Art 40 The two great opinions of the fame Phi－ lufophers con． cerning the manner of the Commifition of of the Ccm － mon Princi． ples，in Genc． ration；fairh－ fully \＆briffly flated．

But this great Difficulty，about the Generation of Things from the Commiftion of the General Principles，foon lofeth it felf in a Greater， which concerns the Manner and Condition of their Commiftion，and whofe confideration will beft inftruct us afwell what is the main Dif－ ference among Philofophers，touching this moft weighty Theorem，as what opinion can beft deferve our Approbation and Affent．Concer－ ning this，therefore，we find two neceffary Remarks．（I）That there are Two different Kinds of Commiftion，whereof the one is，by Ariftotle （de Generat．I．cap．10．）termed 之úrbecis，Compofition，and by others， тapaitros，Appofition：the other is called，in the Dialect of the Stoicks，$\sum$ 设 $\chi$ vors Confufion，and in that of Galen，x $\rho$ x̃ots，Coalition， or Iemperation．The Former is when thofe things，whether Ele－ ments，or others，that are mixed together，do not interchangeably penetrate each others parts，fo as to be conjoyned per minima；but either themfelves in the whole，or their parts，onely touch each other fuperficially：as in the Commiftion of the Grains of wheat，Barly， Rye and other Corn．The Latter，when the things commixed，are fo feemingly united，and concorporated，as that they may be concei－ ved mutually and totally to pervade and penetrate each other，per minimas partes，fo as that there is no one infenfible particle of the whole mixture，which hath not a fhare of every ingredient ；as when Wine and Water（that we may ufe the Example，afwell as Conce－ ption of Ariftotle）are infufed together into the fame veffel．Now the Stoicks and Ariftotle are equally earneft to have this Latter way，or manner of Commiftion，viz．之ivzuous，Confufion，to be that，accor－ ding to which the Elements or Principles of Bodies are commix＇t in Generation：But Empedocles，Anaxagoras，Democritus，Epicurus， with all their Sectators，allow none but the Former，or topaideris，Ap－ pofition；with very folid arguments（among which the eafy fepara－ bility of Wine from Water，either by a fponge，or Cup of Ivie，is not the leaft）afferting，that the $\sum$ iúrpiots of Elements，as alfo of all other things，is really a meer $\sum^{\prime} v^{\prime} \theta \in \sigma, \sigma_{5}$ ，Compofition of their fmall par－ ticles，thoush apparently，or according to the judgement of fenfe，it may pafs for a súuruous，or Confufion．
（2）That，when either the Elements themfelves，or any other Bodies more Concrete，as．Water and Wine，are mixed together；they may recipro－ cally divide，diffect，and refolve each other into either very fmall and infenfible［molecule］maffes，which yet are each of them compofed of multi－ tudes of Atoms concreted；or moft exile particles，i．e．Atorks themfelves： and where the refolution is only into infenfible Maffes，there may the Com－ miftion be accounted Perfect；but，where the parts of each ingredient －1．．
are fo far refolved, as to be reduced quite :down to the firft Matter ${ }_{3}$ Atoms, there is the Comimition moft Perfect.

Now, upon this Difinction depends the whole Controverfy betwixt Ariftotle and the Stoicks, on one part, and the Atomifts, on the other, about the Manner of the Commiftion of the Common Principles in Generation: Thofe vehemently contending for their totall Concorpora: tion, or Unition per minimas partes, fo that every the moft minute particle in the whole miftum, muft be of the very fane nature with the whole; Thefe ftrongly afferting; that no Miftion of Elements, or Temperation of Principles, goes furcher than a meer 1 Appofition, or fuperficiall Contingency of their feveral particles, fo that the particles of each ingredient muft ftill retain the very fame nature they had before commiftion, howbeit they may feem to be, totally Concorported, or Confufed, in regard they are reduced to fuch Exility, as that each fingle one efcapes the difcernment of the fenfe.

There two fo highly repugniant Opinions béing thus rightly fated, it
Art. 5: follows, that we uprightly perpend the Verifimility of each; that fo we may confer our Affent upon the more ponderous. If we look no further than the Commmon Notion, or what every man underftands by the Terme, Miftion; it is moft evident, that the things commixed ought to Remain in the Miftums; for if they do not remain, but Perifh, both according to fubftance and Qualities, as Ariftotle and the Stoicks. hold; then is it no Miftion but a Deftruction: and fince the propriety of this Notion cannot be folved by any other reafon, but that of the Atomifts, that the particles of things are in commiftion onely appofed each to other, without amiffion of their proper natures; what Confequence can be more naturall and clear than this, that that their opinion is moft worthy our Affent and Affercion? (2). Though Cbry: fippus attempts to conferve the integrity of this Common Notion, by a fubtlety, faying; That the moft minute particles of things mixed, do fo remain entire both as to fubftance and Qualities, as that they reciprocally penerrate each other, and become mutually Coextended; and that thence it comes to pals, that in the whole Miftum there is none the fmalleft particle, which is not mixed, or which doth not partake afwell of the fubftance, as Qualities of every ingredient therein : yet doth He not onely fall hort of his defigne, but alfo further entangle himfelf, and fubvert other more maniteft Notions. For, from that his Pofition it neceffary follows. (I) That two Bodies are at once in one and the fame place, both mutually penecrating each others dimenfions; or without reciprocall expulfion (2) Thatapint of Water, and a pint of Wine commixed, muft not fill a quart, but that both are no greater than one, i. e. be both contained in a pint together: forafmuch as it fuppofeth, that the particles of one have no other Ubi, but what is poffe'ft by the particles of the other. (3) That a very fmall Body may be Coextenfive, or Corequate to a very great one; as that a fpoonfull of Water may be Coxquate to a But of Wine: fince it fuppofech, that, both being commix't, there is no part of fpace in the veffel including them, which doth not contain fomewhat of theWater as well
as of the Wine. Now, all thefe things being manifefly Repugnant, and yet naturally Confequent upon Chry $\overline{\mathrm{I} p p}$ pus Pofition: it is no lefs repugnant, that the particles of things commixt fhould remain, by mutuall Penetration, and Coextenfion.

Att. 6. Arifoteles two fold Evafion of the incongruities at. tending the pofition of the Remanence of things commixed, notwithfranding their supto ofed reciprocal Tranjubfian tiation: found likewife meerly Sophifiticall.
(3) Nor, indeed, hath Axijfotle Himfelf been more happy than CibrySippus, in his invention of a way, to remove or palliate the grofs repugnancy of his opinion; to the proper importance of the term, Commiftion; as may eafily be evinced by a fhort adduction of it to the teft of reafon. That He might defend his Doctrine of the Remanence of things commixed, notwithftanding their reciprocall Tranfubftantiation; and at the fame time atoid thofe fundry manifeft 'Arvisalg, or Incongruities, to which that doctrine is fubject: He excogitated $T w o$ fopbifticall fubterfuges. The one, that when two divers things are commixed, in very unequall proportions, fo as the one is very much prevalent o're the other (as when one fingle drop of Wine is inftilled into ten thoufand Gallons of water ) in that cafe there is no Miftion, in ftrick acceptation; but an abfolute Exfolution and Tranfmutation of the fpecies of the weaker into that of the ftronger, (of the fpecies of the Wine, into that of the Water.) The Other, that when the things commixed are fo exactly equall in quantity or Virtues, as that one is not the leaft prevalent over the other; or when the one prevails upon the other but little: in both there cales, though each put on the nature of the other, by reciprocall tranfinutation, or that which is a little inferior be altered from its own tatare into that of the Superior; yet is not that Tranfmutation of both, a Generation of either, or the tranfmutation of the one, a Ge neration of the other, but onely of fome Third thing, which is middle betwixt, and common to both.

But, there is neither of thefe, which may not be called a nare, more juftly thana fubterfuge. For, as to the Firft; were He living, and in the Schools, we fhould onely demand of him, if after the inftillation of one fingle drop of Wine into 10000 Gallons of Water, a fecond drop fhould be fuperinfufed, and after that a third, a fourth, and fo more and more fucceffively, till the mafs of Water were augmented to ten, a hundred, a thoufandfold: of what Nature would the whole mixture of Wine and Water be ? He, doubclefs, would Anfwer Us, that the whole would ftill be Water, though to one meafure of Water 10000 meafures of Wine were fuperaffufed drop after drop; fince, according to His own theory, it allwayes muft remain meer and fimple Water (otherwife the firft drop of Wine could not be tranfpecificated, or be converted into the nature of the Water) into which even the very laft drop of Wine was infufed: or elfe He muft teach us when, i. e. from what particular drop of Wine inftilled, the whole Aggregate or Mafs of both liquors began to put off the nature of Water, and on that of Wine. And, who is fo dull either by nature, or prejudice, as not to apprehend, that the Reafon is the fame for one, as for the other; for ten thoufand thoufand Gallons, as for one fingle Drop of Wine? Now this being Abjurd, as far beyond palliation, as pardon; is it not much better for Us to fay, that if one drop of Wine be infufed into fo large a quanticy of Water, it is divided into very exile particles, each whereof doth
ftill retain the nature of Wine, but fo commixed and adhæring to the incominenfurably more denfe and numerous particles of the Water; as that they feem to vanifh, though really they ftill fubfift the very fame, as before commiftion? That Two drops' being infufed into the fame Water, the particles therof becoming doubly more numerous, would be contingent and cohærent to more particles of the Water? That, iften; a hundred, a thoufind, ten thoufand, a hundred thoufand; orc. Drops of Wine be fucceffively fuperaffured into the fame Water; the partioles! of the Wine would at length amount not only to an equall, buc a greater? number than thofe of the Water: and confequently fo prevail over them, as to change their Virtue, and fubdue them into the Apparence of Wine?

And as to the Other; we might very lawfully Except againft is; as. altogether Unintelligible (for, who can underftand, How the Inferior Miftile can be tranfmuted into the Nature of the fuperior, and yet not: be the very fane thing withit!) but, leaft we appear all feverity, wé. thall wave that cavill, and infift onely upon the moft important part of the Affertion. Ariftotle faith, That froms the Cominiftion of two di: vers things, a certain Third thing is Gencrated, or Produced, which is: of a Nature Median betwixt, and Common to Both thofe things commixed. Now, Whether is it His meaning, that the Refulting middle and Common thing doth participate of the Extremes of Each mittile: or, that it arifech from the Deftruction of both Miftiles? For the Text will endure no third interpretation. If the Latter; then do not either of the things mixed Remain, and fo there can be no Miftion: expref ly contrary to His own Affumption, and the tenour of that Common Notion, for the præfervation whereof He excogitated and defigned this Subterfuge. If che Former, as feems mort genuinely inferrible from the Adjectives, Medium and Commune; then our Enquiry is, How; and in what relpect, that Middle and Common thing comes to be participant of the Extremes of each Miftile? In the Wine (that we may retain his own Inftance) there was Matter, there was Forme, there were Qualities; and likewife in the Water: fhall we therefore conceive, that the Middle and Common thing produced, is participanc of all, i, e. Matter, Forme, and Qualities of Both the Miftiles; or onely of thofe of one of them?
(I) For the ciratter; He cannot deny, that the Miftum containes the whole Matter of Both: becaufe neither the Matter of the one, nor of the other can be deftroyed. And fince the Matter of each hath Parts, the fmalleft of which is Extenfe or Quantitative, and fo muft poffefs a proportionate part of fpace in the Continent; therefore we demand, whether are the Parts of the Matter of the Wine exiftent in the very fame places, with the Parts of the matter of Water; or in diftinct places by themfelves? If He fhould fay, as the fuppofition implies, that the parts of Both do exift in one and the fame place; He would ruine himfelf upon that Impoffibility of the Coexiftence of Two Bodies in one place: and if that they are in diftinct places; then mult ic follow, that they onely touch each other fuperficially, and fo are not mixed by mutual Penetration and Coextenfion (as He affirmed) but by meer Appofition; or Compofition. (2) As to the Forms;' Ariffotle cannot but admit, thas the
the Forms of both Wine and Water do furvive their Commiftion, and exift in the Miftum, or Middle and Common thing refulting from them; becaule, otherwife, there would be a plain Corruption, not a fimple Alceration of the things mixed, and confequently Miftion ought to be defined rather Miftilium Corruptorum, than Alteratorum Unio: Befides, if the Formes perifh, the Emergent Form muft be abfolutely New, and fo not participant of the Form of each Miftile. But; if He reply, that Both Forms are United and coexitent in the whole matter of the Miftum; then mult every the fmalleft particle of the matter of each have both the Form proper to it Celf, and the Form of the other alfo, and fo the whofe matter muft have two whole diftinct Forms at once: which is an Abfurdity infinitely below the conceffion of Ariftotles fubtility, and whether or no his Sectators will defend it; we leave to themfelves. To elude this Dilemma, He , indeed, hath determined, that the Form of the Miftum is one only, and that neither of the Prxexiftent Forms, in Act, but both in Power. But, alas! this is a poor thift for fo great a Philofopher; for if the preexiftent Forms of both Miftiles be not Actually in the Miftum, then are not the Miftiles onely Altered, but wholly Corrupted: nor can it enter into the thoughts of any fober man, How the Refulting Form fhould contain the Preexiftent ones, in Power. For, if the Refulting Form is capable of being changed again into the preexiftent ones, from which it did refult; as when Wine and Water commixed, are again feparated: that argues of nece.fity, that the Forme of the Miftum is not a New Forme (as He affumes) but one Compofed of the two prrexiftent ones commixed.
(3) And laftly, as for the Qualities; neither ought Ariftotle to deny the Kemanence of them: for, fince in them confifteth the chief Capacity or Power of recovering the laft Forms; if they perifh, how can they be infervient to the recovery of the Forms? Neceffary it is, therefore, that the Qualities of things commix't be onely interchangably Refraited, not Abolifhed. And thus have we demonftrated, that Ariftotle, afwell as the Stoicks, engulfed himfelf in an Ocean of bottomlefs Difficuties, and irreconcilable Incongruities; while He fought to propugne that unreafonable Opinion, of the Mutuall Confurfor, and Iranfmutation of the things commixed in Generation. For a Collateral Remark, be pleafed to reflect upon this great Example, when you would enforce, How beavy a burtben lye's upon thofe fhoulders, which take upon them to Support an Error: and how weak the Armes of the moft Giant wits are found when they firive to bear up againft the ftream of Truth.

Art. 7\% That the Forms of things, ari. fing in Gene ration, are no New Jubfantes, nor diftingt from their matrer: con trary to the Ariforoteleans.

Having detected the fundry Difficulties, that wait upon the DoEtrine of Ariftotle, touching the origination, or Emergency of a Form, in a thing Generated from divers things commix't; let us proceed to Another Article of the fame Chapter and enquire whether there be not alfo a very remarkable Difficulty infeparable from his Doctrine of the E Efence of that Forme; that fo at length we may the better determine, Whether the Forme of a thing Generated from Elements,

Chap. I. Of Generation and Corruption.
or other more compound Bodies commix't, be a fubfance (as Aviffotle con-t tends) or onely Some certain Quality, or Accident (as Democritus and Epicarus affert.) But, firft, we are to advertize, that from this Difcourfe of ours, againft the fubftantiality of Forms Generated, we exempt the Rationall Soul of Man; for, that being an Effence feparable from the Body, and fubfifting entire and complete after feparation (as we intend, if God fhall be pleafed to grant us health, and the world vacation from publique cares, to demonftrate at large, in a fingular Treatife) may therefore be moft jufly termed a fubttance, or Form fubfantiall: as intending onely to examine the reafonablenefs of that opinion, by the Schools imputed to their Mafter Ariflote; that the Forms of things are Jubftantiall, and wholly diftinct from Matter. The Qurefion (and indeed a very Great one) is, Wherein that Jubftance, or Form, which Ariftotle affirn's to ariif, de novo, in Generation, lay bid before Generation? His fectators unanimoufly tell us, that it was contained in the Matter, not in $A C T$, but onely in Power, or Capacity: and we demand again, if it were not Actually contained in the Matter, how could it be Actually educed from thence? They reply, that it is educed out of the Matter onely by the Power of the Agent. But, this is a fhamefull Defertion of the Quxftion, which is not about the Power of the Agent; but, How the Form of a thing, which themfelves affume to be a fubftance, i. e. a reall and relf-fubfifining Encity, and fo - clearly Diftinct from the Matter of the Miftum, can yet be Educed out of that very Matter? When they fay, that the Form is concealed in the Power of the Matter; if they would but permit us to underftand the Form to be a certain portion of the Matter, and as it were the Flower, or purer part thereof, which flould afterward, in Generation, be attenuated, refined, fequeftred from the groffer mals; and then be again conjoyned to the fame, and as it were Animate it : then, indeed, might the Eduction of a Form, as a reall and fubfantiall Being, be eafily conceived, and affented to. But, this they exprefly prohibite, left they thould incur a double Contradiction: the one, in conceding the Matter to be Corruptible; the other, in allowing the Form to be indiftinct from Matter. Forafmuch, therefore, as they proteft againft that Interpretation of the Text; and yet are peremptory, that the very fubftance of the Form educed, was before eduction potentially comprehended in the very fubtance of the Matter: they give us the trouble of fill preffing them to explain How, or after what manner, the fubftance of the Form was Potentially contained in that of the Matter? And here they fly to their accuftom'd refuge, an obfcure Diftinction, faying; that the Power of the Matter, in refpect to the Form, is Twofold: (I) Eductive, forafmuch as the Form may be, by virtue of the Agent, educed out of it; (2) Receptive, foraffinuch as it receives that fame Form educed. And fo they conclude, that the Matter doth contain the Form in both thefe Powers, or double Capacity. But, this will not blunt the edge of Curiofity. For, as to the Firft, viz the Eductive Power; 'tis manifert, that to contain a thing by an Eductive Power, imports no more, nor lefs than this, to have Actually in it felf that, which is capable of eduction from
from it. Thus a Purfe, wherein ten pieces of money are actually contained, may well be faid to contain them by an Eductive power; becaule He that hath the purfe, may at his pleafure Educe them from thence: but, if the Purfe did not actually contain them, He that wanted money, might ftarve before He could prove, that they were contained therein by an Eductive power.

And therefore we may fet up our reft in this Conclufion; that as a piece of Gold cannot be educed out of an Empty Purfe : fo doth not Auoppos, or Exforme Matter( fo themfelves determine it to be) contain a Form, by an Eductive Power.

As to the Other member of the Diftinction, the Receptive Power; tis alfo manifent, that to contain a thing by a Receptive Power, is no other than to be in a condition of Receiving it: but, this Capability, or Power Receptive comes much hort of being fufficient, that any thing fhould be actually educed from that, which liath onely fuch a power of entertaining it; fince otherwife the prodigall need not fear the exhauftion of all the money in his purfe, becaufe it is capable of more, when that's gone. Which being moft grofly Abfurd; it cannot be lefs Abfurd to conceive, that the Form of a thing may be educed from the matter thereof, becaufe it is contained therein by a Receptive Power. Indeed, if they would allow the Form to be, not a fubftance, but a certain Quality, fpecies, or modification of a fubftance or Matter; then might we underftand how it might be contained in the Power of the Matter; becaufe the fenfe would be no more than this, that the Matter is capable of being fo changed and difpofed, as to be put into fuch a Mode, or Form: by the fane reafon, as the fpecies, or Image of Mercury may befaid to be contained in the power of a piece of wood, or be educed out of it; infomuch as the wood is capable of being formed into the ftatue of Mercury, by the hands of the ftatuary.

But, while they make the fpecies or Image of Mercury, to be a New fubftance, abfolutely diftinct from the wood, which is the fubftance, or Matter of that Image; and in Generall difcriminate the Figure, or Forme of a thing, from the fubftance of the thing it felf: we are to be excufed, if we do not at all underfland them, in more than this, that they endeavour to affert what themfelves do not, nor cannot underftand.

Art. 8. That the Form of thing, is only a certain Quality, or determ inzte Mo. dification of its Mat, ser.

But, as for the other Philofophers, formerly nominated; if you pleafe to convert your attention to the fummary of their theory concerning the fame Argument, we doubt not but in the conclufion you will concur with us in this judgement, that They fpeak (at leaft) both much more intelligibly and fatisfactorily. They deny not, that Generation is indeed, determined to a fubftance, becaufe the the thing produced or generated, is a fubftance. Nor that in generation there alwayes arifeth a Forme, by which the thing generated is fpecified; becaufe Generation fuppofeth fpecification, and feccification imports a Forme. Nor, again, that that Form is really a fubftance; i. e. a certain moft
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moft tenuious, moft firitual, and fo moft active part of the Body, fuch as we have often hinted the foul of a Plant or Brute Animal to be. But the points which they declare againft, as manifently unreafonable,are there Two: (1) That fuch a Forme is a New fubftance, or formerly not Exiftent; becaufe it is unavoidably neceffary, that that moft tenuious, moft ipiritual, and moft active portion of the matter fhould be fomewhere preexittent, before it was copulated to the groffer and lefs active part of the mais, and affected it with fuch a particular mode, as fpecifies the miftum: (2) That that which is properly called the Forme of a thing, is ought elfe but a certain Quality, or determinate Manner of the fubitances exifting, or fpecial Modification of the matter chereof. For, it being unenimoully decreed by them All, that every thing is generated from an Aggeries of Matter, or Material Principles, coalefcing in a certain Order and Pofition : they therefore determine, that the thing generated, or Concreted, is nothing but the very in. terial Principles themfelves, as convened and coalefced in this or that determinate Order and Pofition, and fo extibited to the cognizance of our fenfes, under this or that determinate Forme, Species, or Quality. And left we fhould delude our feives, by a grofs apprehenfion, that the tenuious and more agile part of the body is only confuredly blended together with the grofs and lefs agile part; Empelocles and Anaxagoras tell us prxcifely, that the Forme of the whole, or Cuality by which the Body is made fuch as it is, doth yet refult from as well the order and fituation of the tenuious parts amony themfeives, and of the groffer amons themfelves, as of the tenu:ous and groffer conjunctively, or one among another. And this theyilluftrate by the fimilitude of an Houfe. For, as an Houfe is nothing but Timber, Stones, Morter, and other materia's, according to fuch or fuchareafon an order difpofed and contexed together, and exhibiting this or that Forme; and as there is nothing in it, which before the ftructure thereof was not found in the wood, quarry, river, and other places, and which after its demolition (whereby its Forme perifheth) doch not fill exift in fome place or other: Ko is a Horfe (for example) nothing elfe but thofe material Principles, or exile Bodies, of which after a certin manner connected among themfelves it is compofer, both with this determinate Conformation of Members, and this interior Faculty of Vegetation, and in a word, with this particular Forme, Quality, Species, or Condition, which denominates it a Horfe; when yet the Princip'es of which both its Groffer members are coadunated, and its tenuious and firitual fubftance, the foul, is contexed, were formerly exiftent in his progenitors, in grafs, in Water,Aer, and other Concretions; and the Form alio, toon as theCompofitum is diffo'ved, vanifheth,as well the tenuious as groffer particles returning again to aer, water, earth, or otherBodies, as they were before theirConcretion, or Determination to that particular fpecies ofthings, by Generation.

But, Democritus, Epicurus, and Leucippus are fomewhat more tull and perfpicuous in their Solution of this Problem, decharing (I) That, when a Thing is Generated,multitudes of Atoms are congregated, commixed, compofed, difpofed, \& complicated after luch a determin.te manner, as that fom thence doth neceffarily refult a body of fuch a particui ar fpecies, apparence,

Ari.g. tnath 1 : of the titiry of the $A$ amifs, rcurh:ing the \{аше. and confequently of fuch a refpective denomination. (2) That in fuch a Body there is no fubftance, which was not prixexiftent, it being impoffible that New Atoms (which only conftitute Corporeal fubit:nce, Chould be created: but only that fuch a certain Difpofition and Configuration of the Atoms, eternally prxexiftent, is made, fro n which fuch aForm arierco, which
is nothing really diftinet from, but is the very Atoms themfelves, as they are thus, and no othervife ordered and compofed. (3) That the Forme of a thing,confidered abftractly or by if felt, is therefore onely a meer Quality, Accietitit, or Event, of which the A toms, which cempofe that Body or fubftance, are naturally capable, when thus confociated and munually related: whecher we un'elftend it to be the Forme of the whole Compofitum, or of that moft fubrile and active part of the fubtance commonly called the Soul, or fipecifcal Forme (V. G. of an Horfe) the fame being (not a New, or trefly'y created fubftance, as Ai iffoite, and the Schools upon his Auchority conceive, but) only a cercain Contexture of the moft fubtile and moveable, Atoms in the compofition. (4) That ont of the infinite ftock of the Univerfil and Firf Mater, unceflantly moving in the infinte fpace, when fuch Confimular Atons meet together, as are reciprocally proportion ate or refpondent, and mutually implicate each other by their finall Hooks and F.altnings; then ave £enerated certain very linall Bodies, or mafles, fuch as being much below the difcernment of the fenfe, may beaccounted Scmina Rerum, the feeds ofthings: differing from the Home eamerical Principles of Anaxager as in this, that though vely hardly, yet at laft they may be diffo'ved, and reduced to the fingle Atoms, of which at firt they were compofed, whereas the Homeomera of Anaxagoras are Irrefolutle, and Firft Principles. (5) That thefe Molecule. Firft Maffes, or fmalleft Concretions of Atoms, are the Proxime and Immediate Principles of Fire, Water, Aer, and of other things more firmple, fuch as th.e Chymifts conceive their Three Catholique Principles, Sal, Sulphur, and Mercury to be : from which afterward congregated and commi t into greater maffes, arile various kinds of Bodies, relpeCtively to the various manners of their commiftion, difpofition, and concretion : as Animills, Vegeables, Minerals. (6) That from the Diffolution of Boties compofed of divers forts of ruch Firft Maffes of Atoms, tuch as Animals, Plants, Minerals, and each of their feveral fpecies; divers Bodies of more fimple C.ompofitions may be Generated, according as the fimall maffes or Complicitions of Atoms, feparated, by diffolution, from them, fhall be more or leis Confimilar, and convene again in this or that order and pofition,or paticular frecies: as when from wood diflolved byFire, are generated Five, Stroke, Flame, Soot, in.'. Afles. And this is the Summiry of the Atomifts Doctrine concerning the effence of Forms : which that we may conven:ently illuffrite, let us a whiie infift upon that mott opportune inthince of the Generation of thofe divers things, Fire, Flame,, moke Soot, Aflies, and salt. from the Diffolution of whood.

Let usconceive (I) That Wood is a Compound Body, made up of various Moleculx, or fmall maffes of Atoms: (2) That thole fmall maffes of Atoms are fuch, as that being congregated, commixt, and according to fuch a determinate manner difpoled, they muft in the whole compofition, retain the fpecies or Forme of Wood; but being diffociated, feparated, and after another manner again connexed and difpoled, they muft exhibite other lefs compound Forms, or 1pecies of Bodies: (3) That in the Concretion there are exiftent multitudes of fpherical, moft exile, and mof agile Atoms, fuch as, when they are expeded from the fetters of the groffer mafs, and flye away together in great numbers, and confociated, are comparated to make and exhibite the lpecies of Fire: (4) That of there Igneous particles is generated Flame. Whofe clarity \&splendor ariferh from the Abjection of other diffimilar and impure parts, formerly commixt with the Igneous particles. Whoie tendency $V$ pra, $d$ s, and fucceeding $D_{1}$ fapparence arife both from the force and pernicity of the Igneous particles in their exfiliti-
on, and the preffure or urgency of the ambient Aer. Whofe gradual Attenuation, and conicall Figure arife from hence, that the Igneous particles; in refpect of their roundnefs, exility, and fuperlative mobility, evolving and expeding themfelves from the Concretion the fooneft of all others contained therein, and in fwarms diffufing themfelves through the environing aer, on all fides, do create a Light, which is by degrees fo exhaufted, in regard of the fpeedy avolition of the igneous Atoms compofing it, that it dwindles or confumes avvay to a cone or fharp point, which is alfo much more rare then the bafis, where the igneous particles are moft denfe and agminous. Whofe Dilatation from its bafe to fome degrees, and Tremulation or $V$ ndulationarife from the copious, but indirect emption of the igneous particles, difengaging themfelves from the groffer parts of the mix ${ }^{-}$ cure. Whofe obnubilation by fome finoke commixt with it', is cauled by the many Fuliginous particles, that the Igneous ones carry off with them, as they flye away. Whofe faculcy of Pungency, Penetrations, and Diffolution of moft bodies objected, confitteth in the tranfcendent fubtility of the Igneous particles, and in the pernicity of their motion, as we have largely declared in our prxcedent Difcourfe of the Nature of Heat. (5) That the Fume, or fmoke iffuing from wood in combuftion, together with Flame, is much more fimple than the wood it felf, but yet compounded of divers particles, fome whereofare Watery, ochers Earthy, others Salt, others Fuliginous, as appears by the adhrrence of the foot to the Chimny, by the præcipacion of the earthy freces of foot to the bottom of a veffel of Water, and the extraction of Salt from thence by a diffolution of foot in warm water, and the Denigration of things thereby. (6) And laftly, that what we have conc ived of Flame and Smoke, may be equally reafonable, if appliedalfo to the remaining afhes of woodburned; they being likewife compofed of various particles or fmall maffes both of Salt and Earth; and the particles of Earch being again compofed of Mud and Sand, or fuch as that of which Glafs is made. And when we have perpended the verifimility of thefe Conceptions, we fhall be fully convinced; that Wood is a thing compofed of divers forts of fmall bodies, or minute maffes of Atoms; and that the Form thereof doth confift in the Congeries, Concretion, complexion, and determinate Difpofition of them all; as alfo that the Fire, or Flame iffuing from it in combuftion, is a thing likewife confifting of various forts of particles contained in the Wood, and which being feparated, and again confociated (according to the Confinilarity or likenefs of their natures) and concreted among themfelves, obtain another Difpofition, and Forme, and fo exhibite the fpecies of a New body.

## SEct. II.

Art. 1. ERom Generation (as in the Method of Nature, fo in our difquifitions

That in Corsuption, no fubfance perift. cth; but only that determinate Modifica. sion of fub. fance, or Matter, which fpe. cified the thing. concerning Her) we pafs to CORRUPTION; which is no more but the Diffolution of the Forme, i. e. the determinate Modification of the matter of a thing, fo that it is thereby totally devefted of the right of its former Denomenation. For, fince it is moft certain, that in Generation, there doch arife no fuch New fubftantial Forme, as Ariftotle dreant of, and moft men have ever fince difquieted their heads withal : it can be no lefs certain, that neither in Corruption can any fuch Form, as ever was $\int u b$ ftanti$a l$, periif or be annihilated. Which verily that we may moft commodioufly enforce, refuming our late Inft ance of the Generation of Fire, Flame Smok, \&c. from the combuition of wood, we fhall to our præcedent remarks there thereupon, fuperad this obfervation; that when wood perihheth by, Fire, and fo is refolved into divers other Bodies, it is not refolved into any other, but thofe very fame things, which were really proexiftent and contained therein; and confequently, that nothing thereof perifheth, bue only that determinate Connexion and fituation of its parts, or that fpecial manner of their exiftence, (you may call it Forme, Quality, Species, Accident, or Event) in refpect whereof it was wood, and was fo denominated. A ftrange Affertion you'l fay, that there is really exiftent in wood, Fire, that there is Flame, that there is Salt, that there are all thofe divers things into which it is refoluble by corruption. And yet the Truth much tranfeends the ftrangenefs of it ; the difficulty, at which you are ftartled, confifting only in Name, not in the Thing it felf. For, if by Fire you underftand burning Coales or Flame actually ardent and lucent; and if by Salt you conceive a Body fapid, really and fenfibly corrading the tongue: then, indeed, we fhall confés that there is no fuch Fire, nor Flame, no fuch Salt exifting actually in wood: Buc, if you bv the names of Fire, and Salt, underftand (as the tenour of our Diffectation, both directeth and obligeth you to underftand) the feeds, or fmall maffes, or firt Concretions of Fire and Salt, fuch which are fo exile, as that each of them fingly accepted is very much beneath the perception and difcernment of the molt acuee of fenfes; but yet when multitudes of them are fequeftred from the whole mafs, and are again congregated and frefhly complicated together, the feeds of Fire by themfelves, thofe of Salt by themfelves; then do thefe actually burn and fhine, and thofe actually make a Sapour, fharply affecting and corrading the tongue : we fee no reafon, why you fhould wonder at our tenent, that both Fire and Salt, viz. that very Fire which burns and fhines in the wood, that very Salt which may be extracted from the Ames thereof, were præexiftent in the wood. Certainly, you cannot but admit as highly confentaneous to reafon; that in a vapour to what rate foever attenuated, there are contained the feeds of Water, or the firft concretions of Aqueous Atoms; which though fingly exiftent they are wholly imperceptible, yet neverthelefs are they really particles of water: for as much as they want only the convention and coalition of many of them together, to the difco-
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very of their nature in fenfible maffes; for of many of them condenfed are made very fmall drops of water, of thofe drops affembled together arife greater drops, of thofe rain is generated from that rain arife whole ftreams, and many of thofe ftreams meecing together fivell into great and impetuous corrents. And if this be fo eafily, why fhould that be fo hardly admittible ?

But to defert this Example; and addrefs to another fo competent and illuftrious, that it takes off all obfcurity as well as difficulty from our conception; it is well known, that filver is capable of fuch exact permiftion with Gold, as that though there be but one fingle ounce of Silver admixt by confufion to 1000 ounces of Gold: yet in the whole mafs there fhall be no renfible part, wherein fomewhat of that fmall proportion of filver is not contained. Now, you cannot expect that each fingle molecula, or feed of filver fhould appear to the fenfe, fo as to diftinguifh it felf, by its proper colour from the fmall maffes of Gold : becaufe each molecula of filver is furrounded with, and immerfed among 1000 particles or finall maffes of Gold. Nor can you believe, that the filver is wholly unfilvered, or Changed into Gold; as Areftotle affirmed, that a drop of Wine, infufed into a great quantity of Water, is changed into Water: becaufe the skilful Metallift will foon contradict you in that, by an ocular demonftration. For, by Aqua Fortis pouredupon the whole mafs, He will fo feparate the filver from that So exceffive proportion of Gold, as that there fhall not be left inhærent therein fo much as one the fmalleft particle thereof; and in the fuperfice you may plainly difcern multitudes of very fmall holes, (like punctures in wax, made by the point of the finalleft needle) in which the molecul $\mathfrak{x}$ or fmall maffes of the filver were refident, before its fequeftration from Gold. Why therefore, according to the fame reafon, fhould it not be equilly probable, that the feeds, or particles of Fire are fo fcatteringly diffufed through the fubftance of wood, as that being furrounded and overwheimed with my. riads of particles of other forrts, they cannot therefore put on the apparence proper to sheir nature, and difcover themfelves to be what really they are, until being by the force of the external fire invading and difiolying the compage of the wood, fet at liberty, and difengaged from their former oppreffion, they iffue forth in fwarms, and by their coemergency and confimilarity in buik figure and motion being again congregated, they difplay themfelves to the fenfe in the illuftrious Forme of Fire and Flame, and proportionately diminifh the quantity of the wood; which thereupon is firt redu'ced to Coals, and afterward, the feparation and avolation of more and more particles fucceffively being continued, to Afhes, which containing no more igneous particles, can maintain the combuttion nolonger.

The like may be faid alfo of the Salt, diffuredly concealed in Wood. For, infomuch as each fingle particle of Salt ambufcadoed thierein, is blended among, and as it were immured by myriads of other particles: it is impoffible they fhould exhibite themfelves in their genuine Forme, while they remain in that ftate of feparation or fingular exiftence; which they muft do, till the compage of the whole mafs or Concretion be diffolved. And would you be, beyond all pretext of doubt, convinced, that they yet retain their proper nature, amidft fuch multitudes of other particles; be pleared only to make this eafie Experiment. Take two pieces of the fame Wood of equal weight, and fteep one in water, for two or three days, and keep

Art. 2. Encice:nent of the flume The fis, by an illaftrius $E x$ ample.
the other from all moyfture; then by fire reduce each of them apart to Afhes, and by Water affufed thereunto, and boyled to a lee, extract the Salt from the Afhes of each : this done y you fhall find the Afhes of the drie piece to have yeelded a quantity of Salt proportionate to its bulk, but thofe of the wet one very little, or none at all. And the Reafon is only this, that the water in which the one piece was macerated, hath exhaufted moft part, if not all of the Salt, that was contained therein. Now this Example we alledge to provent your falling upon that vulgar conceit, that the Salt of Afhes is produced only by the Exuftion of the Wood: fince, according to that fuppofition, the macerated piece of wood would yeeld as much of Salt, as the Drie. This confidered, it remains a firm and illuftrious truth, that all the particles of the Fire, Salt, Smoke, \&cc. educible from wood, were really praexiftent therein, though fo varioufly commixt one among another, as that notwithftanding each of them conftantly retained its proper nature entire, yet could they not difcover themfelves in their own colours, proprieties, and fecies, till many of each fort were dif-engaged from the Concretion at once, and affembled together again.

Art. 4. The true fenice of three General Axioms, deduced fromthe precedent do arine of the Atomiffs.

Now fuch are the Advantages of this Theory above that of Ariftotle, that befides the full fuffragation of it to the Common Notions of Generation and Corruption, of fubftance, Forme, \&c. it affifts us in the expofition of Three General Axiomes, which though drawn into rules by Ariftotle himfelf, are pardly inconfiftent with, partly unintelligible from his doctrine.

The Firft is, $\mathfrak{j}$ aliguid corrumpitur ultimmm abire in primam Materianc, That when any thing is corrupted, it is at laft reduced to the Firft matter: which doth exprefly contradict His grand thefis, that the Forme of a thing is a fubftance, which begins to be in Generation, and ceafeth to be, or is annihilated in Corruption; for, had He fpoken conformably thereto; He muft have faid, that when the Compofitum is diffolved by Corruption, it is partly reduced to matter, partly to Nothing. But, if the Form be not fubitantial, and that what is Corrupted, is compofed of no other fubftantial parts, but thofe which are material; as we have affumed : then, indeed, doch the Axiome hold good, and we may with good reafon lay, that when any thing is Corrupted, it is reduced to matter, or the material parts, of which it was compofed, as wood diffolved by fire, is reduced to Fire,Smoke, Soot, Afhes, \&rc. of which it did confift. And forafmuch as by that Adverb, Ultimum, Finally, He gives us the occafion of Enquiring, An in Corruptione detur refolutio adufque materiam Primams? Whether or no in Corruption there be a Refolution even to the Firft matter? we cannot but obferve, that the manner of that ultimate refolution may be much more eafily comprehended, according to our affumption, than according to His own. Becaufe Our Firft matter is Atoms, and the fecond matter certain fmall maffes of Atoms, or the firft Concretions, which we therefore, obferving the phrafe of Epicurus and Lucretius, call Semina Rerum, the feeds of Things, fuch as thofe whereof Fire, filver, Gold, and the like Concretions are compofed : and fo, if the Refolution proceed to extremity, i. e. to Atoms, or inexfoluble particles (as in fome cafes it doth) then may it well be faid, that the refolution is made to the Firft Matter; but if it go no farcher then thofe finall maffes of Atoms (as moft commonly it doth not) then can we juftly fay no more, than that the refolution is made only to the fecond matter.

The Second is, Corruptionem Unius effe Generationem alterius, that the Corruption of one thing is the Generation of another, which cannot con ${ }^{\text {b }}$ fift with truch, if underftood in any ocher fenfe but that of our fuppofition. For, fince, Corruption is nothing elfe but a feparation and exfolution of the parts, of which a thing ivas compofed: we may conceive, how thofe parts fo feparated and exfolved, may be varioufly convened and commixt again afterward, as to conftitute NewConcretions, \& put on other new Forms. Not that they were not formerly exiftent, as to all their fubftantial parts : but only that they were not formerly exiftent in a ftate of feparation from others, nor coadunated again in the fame compage, and after the fame manner.

The Third, Id quod femel Corruptum eft, non poffe idem numero natur c vir ribus reftitui, that what is once Corrupted, cannot by Natures power be again reftored numerically the fame: which is to be underftood in this fenfe. As a Watch, or ocher Arcificial machine, compofed of many feveral parts, may be taken in pieces, and eafily recompofed again into the very fame numerical Engine, both as to matter and Forme; the Artificer recollecting the divided parts thereof, and fo difpofing them, as that each poffeffeth the fame place and pofition, as before its diffolution: fo likewife might the fame Natural Compolitum, V. G. a piece of Wood, be, after the feparation and exfolution of all its component parts, again recompofed numerically the very fame, both as to matter and Forme, in cafe all thofe diffolved parts could be recollected, reunited, and each of them reftored to its former place and pofition. But, though all the various parts thereof remain, yet are they fo fattered abroad into fo many and fo various places, and commixt (perchance) with fo many feveral things, that there is no Natural Power that can recollect and reftore them to the fame places and pofitions, which they held before their difunion and diffolution. And, therefore, if any man fhall fay, that fuch or fuch a thing, diffolved by Corruption, is capable of being reftored again the fame in fpecie; we ought to underfand him no othervife than thus: that fome of the parts of that thing may fo return, as that being conjoyned to others, not numerically the lame, but like unto thofe, to which they were formerly conjoyned, they may make up a body exactly like the former, in Specie, or of the fame Denomination; as when the Carcafe of an Horfe is corrupted, fome parts thereof are converted into Earth, fome of that Earth is converted into Grafs, forne of that Grafs eaten by another Horle, is again converted into Seed, whereof a third Horfe is gencrated. And thus are we to conceive the endlefs Circulation of Forms.

As for the Principal CAUSES of Corruption, (omitting the confideration of fuch as are External, or invading from without, in refpect they are innumerable; and of that Internal one alifo, the inteftine war of Elements in every Concretion, of which srijto le hath fuch large difcourfes, and the Schools much larger) the theory of Epicurts inftructs us, that they are only $\tau_{\text {wo }}$. The Firftand Grand one is the Instermaftion of Yacuity among the folid particles of bodies; in refpeit whereof all Concretions are fo much more eafily Exfoluable, or fubject to Corruption, by how much more of Vacuity they have intercepted among the folid particles, that compofe them : according to that Diftich of Lucretius.

Art. 5:
The General Incefline Caufes of Corrup. tion, chicfly Two: (1) the interception of Inanity alrong the friid partices of Bodies: (i) The Fential Gravity and infeparable Mo. bility of Aloms:

## Et quamqueque magis cobibet res intus Inane, Tum mag is his rebus penit ùs tentata labafcit.

The other is the Ingenite Gravity, or natural and inami fsible propenjity of Atoms to CMotion which always incitect chem to inteftine commotions and continual attempts of exfilition. So that where their Connexions and complications are but lax, and eafily exfoluble, as in all Animals, all Plants, and fome Metals, there do they fooner and more eafily expede themfelves, and fo in fhort time totally diffolve the Concretions, which they zompofed. But, where they are bound to a more lafting peace, by more clofe compaEtion, and reciprocal complications, as in Gold and Adamants; there their inhærent propenfity to motion is fo fuppreft, as that they cannot difengage themfelves each from other, without great difficu'ty', and after many hundred yeers continual attempts of evolution, convolution and exflilition. Which is the true Reafon both why Gold is the leaft Corruptible of all things yet known; and why it is not wholly Incorruptible, but obnoxious to foontaneous Diffolution, though after perhaps a million of yeers, when after innumerable myriads of convolutions, the Atoms which compofe it, have fucceffively attained their liberty, and flye off one after another, till the whole of that fo colofly compacted fubftunce be di. Tolved.

Art. 6. The Generall Manners, or Ways of Gene ration andCor. ruption.

From the Caufes, our thoughts are now at length arrived at the MAN NERS, or Ways of Generation and Corruption; and find them to be of Two forts, General and special. Concerning the General, we obie: ve, that according to the dontrine of Epicuru, (whole great praheninence in point of Verifimility and Concordance throughout, hath made us prafer it to that of Arifotle, which we have ammly conviced of manifeft Incomprehenfibility, and felf-concadiction) things are generated either immediately of Atoms theme'ves convened togerher and concreted, and refulved again immediately into Atoms; or immediately of præexiftent Concretions, and refolved inmediately into them again. Of the way how the Former is effected, we have faid ennugh, in the fecond chapter of our Difourfe againft Atheifm. As to the Latter, be pleafed to undeffend, in a word, that all Generation is caufed by either (I) A fimple Tranjpefition of parts of the fame numerical matier, Or (2) an Abjection of fome payts of the old or preixiftert matter, or (3) An Accefsion of new parts. For, howbeit all there three General ways of Generation are mofly fo concurrent and commixt, as that one is hardly found without the anfociation of the other two: yet when we confider each of them in feecial, and would determme which of them is predominant over the others, in the generation of this, or that particular fpecies of things: it will be neceflary, that we allow this Difcrimination. Firf, therefore, thofe things are faid to be generated [ $x x+\infty$ $\mu \varepsilon \operatorname{rad}^{\prime}(\varepsilon \sigma, v]$ by a meer $\operatorname{Tran}[p o f i t i o n$ of parts, which are obferved to befpontaneous in their Pro uction; as Frogs engendred only of mut or flime, Worms from putrid Chees, \&c. beciuie from the very felf-ame prxeiftent matter, only by a various tranfpofition of iss parts, \& lucceeding reduction of them to fuch, or fuch a determinate order \& fituation,fomething is generated, of a nature abfolutely new or quite different from what that matter formerly had. And hither alfo are we torefer thofe Trandmutations of $E$ lements, of which Arifoole and the Schools have fuch frequent and high difcourfes : becaufe, when Aer is conceived to be changed into Water, or Wa-

## Chap. 1I. Of Generation and Corruption.

ter transformed into Aer ; all the myfterie of thofe reciprocal metamorphofes amounts to no more, than a meer putting of the parts of the fame common and indifferent matter into different modes, and the interception of more or lefs of Inanity among them, as we have frequently demonftrated. Secondly, fuch things are conceived to be generated [ravai refórfertu] by Addition or Acceffion, which are not fpontancous in their original, but of feminal production, and fpecificated by the univocal virtue of their feeds: becaule in Propagation, rightly accepted, a very fmall quantity of feed, pervading a greater mafs of matter, doth ferment, congulate, and fucceffively appofe more and more parts thereof to itfelf, and conform the fame into the fpecies of that thing, from which it was derived, and impregnated with the idea of the whole and every part thereof. And this Difference includes not only all Augmentation, which is a kind of Aggeneration, and confifteth only in the Appofition of new matter or fubftance, and that in a greater proportion than what is decayed or exhaufted: but alfo every Compofition whatever, fuch as is the Infition or Inoculution of Plants: Thirdly, fuch things are faid to be generated [xxt' "'paipsow] by Detraction which arife from the Diffolution of others, and fubfift only by Excrection or Separation; as Fire, Smoke, \&zc. are derived from the Diffolution of wood, and other combuftible fubftances, to which they were formerly commixt; and Wax from the feparation of Hony, together with which it was blended in the Combs. And, as for the Contrary, Corruption, tis eafie to deduce it from the contrary ways of difpofing matter.

And here again the incircumfection of Arifotle manifefly difcovers it felf; who multiplies the General ways of Generation, to a fuperfluous number: exprefly teaching, that every fimple Generation arifeth from ( 1 ) either Transfiguration, as when a flatue is made of molten metal; or (2) Addition, as when Vegetables or Animals are Augmented; or (3) Ablation, as when a ftatue is hewn out of Marble, all fuch parts being cut off and abjected, as were fuperfluous to the perfection of the Figure defigned ; or (4) Compofition, as in the ftructure of a houfe of various materials compofed, according to che rules of Architecture; or (5) Alteration, when a thing is changed as to matter, as when Afhes are produced out of wood combuft. When notwithftanding, had not his accuftomed diligence been laid afleep, or judgement perverted, he muft foon have perceived, that his Transfiguration, Addition, and Ablation are really the fery fame with the Tranfoofition, Adjection, and Detraction of our Epicurus; and that Compofition is neceffarily referrible to Addition, and Alteration to Tranfpofition.

Concerning the Specialmodes, or ways of Generation, we need advertife you of only two Confiderables. (I) That each of the three Genera! ways, newly mentioned, is fo fruitful in poffible variety, as that the fpecial fubordinate ones, whereof it is comprehenfive, are (if not infinite, yet) abfolutely innumerable, ineffable, incomprehenfible. For, if the Letters of our Alphabet, which are but 24 in number, may be fo varioully compofed, as to make fuch a vaft diverfity of words, which cannot be enumerated by fewer then 39 c: phers, viz:

What Arithmetician can compute the feveral feccial ways of compofition, whereof that incomprehenfible variety of Figures which (as we have frequently affumed') Atoms inay bear, is eafily capable?

Art. 9. All fresor $\begin{aligned} \text { a- }\end{aligned}$ toms,not indi. ferently conpetent to the Conflitution of all forts of thing .
(2) That, as the Image of Mercury cannot be carved out of every ftone; or every piece of wood; nor words fit for reading, or pronunciation arife from every commiftion of Letters: fo, in Natural Concretionsis itimpoffible, that all things fhould be made of all forts of Atoms, or that all A= toms thould be equally accommodate to the conftitution of every fpecies of Concretions. For, though Atoms of the fame figure and magnitude may, by their various tranfpofition, adjection, ablation; compofe things of various forms or natures: yet are they not all indifferently difpofed to the compo fition of all things, not can they be connected after one and the fame manner, in divers things. Becaufe, to the compofition of every thing in feecie, is required fuch a lpecial difpofition in the Atoms, which compofe it, as that theiy mult appofe to themelves fuch other Atoms; as are congruous and fuitable to them, and as it were refule the fociety and combination of others that are not. And hence is it, that in the Diffelution of every Concretion, the confinular or like Atoms aliways confociate together, and expede themfelves from the Diffimilar and incongruous.
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Ertainly, the Great Galilao did moft judicioufly and like himfelf, to lay the foundation of his incomparable Enquiry into the moft recondite miyteries of Nature, in the Confideratin of the Nature of MOTION, and fevere Examination (that we may not fay, fubverfion) of (arifotles Doctrine concerning it. Becaufe, Motion being the Heart, or rather the

Art. İ. Why the Nature of Motion which deferved to have been the fubiect of the firft tpeculation, was referved to be the A.gument of the $L_{a}$ fi, in this PhyGology. Vital Faculty of Nature, without which the Univerfe were yet but a meer Chaos; muft alfo be the nobleft part of Phyfiology: and confequently, the fpeculation the reof muft be the moft advantageous Introduction to the Anatomy of all other parts in the vaft and fymmetrical Body of this All, or Adfpectable World. Again, if Motion and Quiet be the principal modes of Bodies Exifting, as Des Cartes (in princip.philofoph. part. 2. eect.2\%) feems itrongly to affert; if Generation, Corruption, Augmentation, Diminution, Alteration, be only certain fpecies, or more properly the Effects of Motion, as our immediately precedent Chapter cleerly imports; and that we can have no other Cognizance of the conditions or qualities of fenfible objects, but what refults from our perception of the Impulfes made upon the organs of our fenfes, by their fpecies thither tranfmitted: affuredly, the Phyfiologift is highly concerned to make the contemplation of Motion, its Cawfes, Kinds, and $u$ niverfal Laws, the Firft link in the chain of all his Natural Theorems. And; truly, this we our felves had not endeavoured, had not our firmiefolution to avoid that ungrateful prolixity, which muft arife from the frequent Repetitions of the fame Notions, in the folution of various natural Apparences; and our defign of infenfibly preparing the minde of our Reade;, with the gradual infinuation of all both Caufes and Effects of Specal motions, as they flood in relation to this or that particular fenfible object, and principally to Vifibles, and the Gravitation of Bodies: not only inclined;
but by a neceffity of Method almoft conitrained us, to make that the Hem, or Fringe, which otherwife ought to have been the Firft Thread in this rawe and loofely contexed Web of our Philofophy.

Nor, indeed, can we yet prevent all Repetitions; for, our prefent Th:orem being phyffcomathematical, and fuch as muft borrow fome light, by way of Reflection, from fundry obfervables, occafionally diffufed upon feveral of our Difcourfes precedent: we need not defpair of a Difpenfation for our Recognition of a few remarkable paffages, directly relating thereunto, and efpecially of thefe Three Epicurean Poftulates, or Principles.

Art. 2. An Epicarean Principle, of fundamental concern to motion.

## 

 tion competerner the Concretion be moved Jpontaneoufly, or violently, i.e. bytoms : whet
it felf, or another. The Reafon of its fpontaneous or felf-motion may be thus cor another. The Reafon of its fpontaneous or felf-motion may be thus conceived. While Atoms are, by their own inamiffible propenfity to
motion, varioully agitated and tumultuous in any Concretion; if thofe motion, varioully agitated and tumultuous in any Concretion; if thofe
which are more moveable and agile then the reft, fo confpire together in iwhich are more moveable and agile then the reft, fo confpire cogether in
the courfe of their tendency, as to difcharge their united forces uponone and the fame quarter of the body containing them, and fo attempt upon one gage themfelves toward that region:then do they propel the whole body ward the fame region, transferring the reft of their lefs ative aff body towith them. It being highly confentaneous, that motion may be expriates fible Combinations of A themelves, then in the imalleft maffes, or infenthe fenfible parts of bodies, and ar length the whole bodies themfele, till ticipate the motion, and undergo manifeft agitation: as Lucretius (ilithe hath with lively Arguments afferted.

Art. 3* Arifotles Pofition, that the firft Principle of motion, w the ve ry Forme of the shing msved; abfolurely in-comprehenfible:unlefs the Form of a thing be conceived to be a certain tenulw ous Contexture of moft fubrile and moft attive Atoms.

The FIRST; that the Adam or Radical and Primary Caufe of all motion competent to Concretions, is the inherent Gravity of their Materials, $A$ and the fame quarter of the body containing them, and fo attempt to dife

And this, certainly, hath far a ftronger claim to our affent, than that fundamental Pofition of Ariftotle; that the Firft Principle of motion in any thing, is the very Forme of the thing moved. For, unlefs He fhall give us leave, by the word Forme, to underfand a certain tenuious Contexture of moft fubtile and moft active Atoms, which being diffufed through the body or mafs confifting of other lefs fubtile, and in refpect of their greater compaction together, or more clofe reciprocal revinction, lefs active Atoms; doth, by the impreffion of its force or Virtue motive, upon the whole, or any fenfible part thereof, become the Principle of motion to the whole body : we fay, unlefs he fhall be pleafed to allow us this interpretation, we fhall take the libercy to affirm, that it is abfolutely incomprehenfible. For, that the Forme of a thing, accepted according to His notion of a Forme, fhould be the Proto-caufe or Principle of its motion; is unconceivable; fince, according to the tenour of Arifotles doctrine, the Forme muft be educed out of the Matter, or power of the Matter, that conftituteth or amafferh that thing: and confequently, the Forme muft owe as well its very Entity or Being, as all its Attributes onely to the matter it felf; which yet He defcribes to be fomething (rather, nothing) meerly Paffive, and devoid of all activity or Power whatever. How, therefore, can it appear other than a downright Contradiction, to any man, whofe intellect is not eclipfed, by reafon of fome great diforder of its proper Organ; that that Matter, which in it felf hath no Power or Faculty of Moving, fhould neverthelefs be able to imprefs a Faculty of motion, and potent A.ctivity, upon the Form, fuppofed
Chap. II. Of Motioin.

Pofed to be abfolutely diftinct from matter ! Doubtels, the Forme doch not derive that Motive Virtue from the $\mathbb{Q}^{\text {wallities inherent in the matter: }}$ forafmuch as thofe Qualities, as even the Ariftoteleans themfelves furioufly contend, are but the meer Refults of the Power of the matter. Nor from the Efficient; becaure They account the Efficient to be a Caufe meerly External, and to transfure nothing of it felf into the ching Generated; but only to difplay its Efficiency, or (to (peak in their own dialect) to execure its Cauflity upon the matter. Again, it being neceffary, that all that Virtue of Moving, which is in the Efficient, fhould depend folely and wholly upon its Forme; and that Forme alfo ought; by equal reafon, to be educed out of the matter: They lofe themfelves in a round of Petitions, and fill reduce themfelves to the fame Difficulty, How it is poofsible, that the matter Jhould give that Faculty of Motion to the Forme, which it self never had.

The SECOND; that in General there is no other but Local motion. Wherein that we may plainly and briefly inftruct you, how far Epicurus differs from Ariffotle, Plato, and fome other Philofophers; give us leave to commemorate unto you.
(I) That Arifotle putting a difference betwixt [xinnotiv, ỳ meтuboniv] Motion and Mutation, is not fufficiently conftant in his doctrine : fometimes making Mutation to be the Genus, and Motion onely a certain (pecies chereof; and fomecimes, by inverfion of the tables, making Motion the Genus, and mutation a fpecies thereof. For, (in 5. phyfic. cap. 2.) flating Mutation betwixt two Terms, âquo, of ad quem, the from whence and to what, He affigns unto 4 diftinct Modes, or Manners; the firft, a jubjecto in wubjectum; the fecond, ex non fubjerto in non fubjectum; the third, ex non fubjecto in fubjectum; the fourch ex fubjecto in non fubjectum: and thereupon infers, as of pure neceffity, th : fince nothing can be changed according to the fecond inode, therefore mult mutation according to the third, be Generation; according to the fourch, be Corruption; and according to the firft, be Motion, which is always eicher from Quantity to Quantity, or from Quality to Quality, or from Place to Place. Whereas, in another place (viz:z.Pbyfic.1.) He pofitively teacherh, that Motion is a certain Act, to which that paffech, which is in Power; and fo makes the fpecies thereof to be not only thofe motions, whofe terms on either fide are Pofitive, or (in his own phrale) Contrary, as are thofe which concern Quantity, Quality, Place : but thofe alfo, whofe each term is Privative, as are thofe which concern fubftance. And hereupon He feems to have grounded that memorable Divifion of Motion (lib. de pràdicam.cap.de motu.) into fix fpecies, viz. Generacion, Corruption, Accretion, Diininution, Alteration, and Lation or Loco-motion.: iwhereof the firft two are according to fubftance; the fecond tivo, according to Quancity ; the fifth, according to Quality; and the Laft, according to Place.
(2) That Plato feems conftantly to accept Mutation for the Genus, and motion for one fpecies chereof: fubdividing motion into two fpecies, Lation and Alteration. Forafnuch as in one place (vit.in Polit) He terms the Converfions of the Cocleftial bodies, Mutations: and in another' (in Phad.) he takes Alteration for mutation; faying moft eloquenty in the perfon of Socrates (in theat.) Ithdne moveri appellas, dumm quidpianm locums

Arr. 4. Alccunce $4 i n$ curean Eundamintral, crncerring nut cion : and the flateof the Diff ference betwixtEpicurиs, Ariftotle, and Plato, touching the fane.
è loco moutat, aut in codem convertitur? Tho. Equidem. Socrat. illaergo riva fit fpecies motus. At, curn in eodens quidem perftat; Sed fenefcittanien, aut ex albo fit ingrum, ex molli durum, aut altereratione quapiam alterume evadit: an nons videri alium motus $\beta$ peciem neceffe oft? Tho. mibi quidem videtur. Socrat. Neceffarium id igitar; duas, anquam, effe motus jpecies, Alterationern, \& Lationem, Circulationemve? \&c.
(3) That moft other Pbilofophers, infifting in the fteps of Plato conftitute only two kinds of Motion; only in this they differ from Him, that what He calls [ $\varphi \circ \rho \alpha \dot{v}, \ddot{n}$ т $\varepsilon$ el чoeav ], Latin, or Circumlation, They call
 and what He names [ $\alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \lambda_{0} \omega_{\omega \sigma}$ ] Alteration, They denominate [meтcho-
 (2. adver $\int$. phyfic.) hath judicioufly obferved.
(4) That rpicurus (as the fame Empiricus, in the fame place, attefteth) is chief of thofe Phyfiologifts, who accounted the Motion of Tranfition as the Genus, and Mutation or Alteration as only the fpecies thereof. And this upon irrefragable Reafon. Forafmuch as Alteration is nothing elfe but the confequent of Local motion, whereby Atoms, or the infenfible particles of Concretions ufually accede, decede, concur, complicate, and change their former pofitions', fo as to render the fenfible parts or whole of them other than they formerly were. Which being confidered, we are only to advertife farther, that the Argument of our præent Enquiry, is not Motition as it is proper to Atoms, as they either concur to the firft confticucion of a body, or are difgregated at the diffolution thereof; in which refpect it may comprehend Generation and Corruption : nor as they concur to the Augmentation of a body already conftituted, or flye off from it, and by their decedence Diminifh it, in which refpect it may comprehend Accretion and Diminution : nor as they are varioully tranfported, and fo conduce to affect the fame body with divers Qualities; in which refpect it may include Alteration. Becaufe concerning Motion under all thefe Terms and relations, we have fufficiently difcourfed already, in places to which thofe confiderations did genuinly refer themfelves. But, our fubject is Motion * proper to a body Concrete, which Senfibly changes the Place of its whole, or fome fenfible part. For, herein motion plainly diftinguifhech it felf from mutation, that in motion the whole Body, V. G. of a man, or fome fenfible part thereof, as his hand or foot is tranflated from one place to another: but in Mutation only the infenfible particles of a body, or any part thereof, change their pofitions and places, though the whole, or fenfible parts thereof remain quiet.

Art. 5 . Epcins's DCfil iton of mo. rion, to bethe Remuve of abo. dy jrom place to place; mucis. more intelligible and proper, than Arifotoles, that it is the Act of an Entity in power, as it 4 juch.

The THIR D; that Motion or Loco motion (for, the common Notion, which every man conceives, fo foon as he hears the word motion pronounced, unites them) is much more intelligibly and properly defined by Epicurus,
 to place: than by Ariftotle, to be Actus cantis poteftatr, quatenus eft tale. For as nothing can be more manifeft than the one; fo nothing can be more obfcure than the other.

And yet if your curiiofity be great ennugh to furnifh you with patience, while we endeavour to pick out the meaning of Ariftotle, in that his ænis-

Chap. II.

## Of Motion.

matical Definition; we advife you to reflect upon the whole fyntax of thole conceptions, from whence He rems to have deduced it. Know, therefore, that He conceived, that there are rome things, which always poof
 mórov] Perfecti-aabitione, or (as his Expofitors commonly render it) Act folium, in Act only : and others again, which are not indeed, without forme perfection, but fuch as they are capable of lofing, and may at the fame time
 both in $A 8 f$ and Power together. For, He admits nothing to be meetly in Power; becaufe He would not allow, either that matter can exit without Forme; or that any thing in nature can be altogether without fore jefeEtion. Now, thole things, which are only in ACt, mut, according to His opinion, be no other but the Cocleftial Bodies; infomuch as they alone rem conftantly and inamiffibly to poffers their Forme, nor can their fabfrance or matter be conceived, to have a Capacity of receiving any other Forme whatever. But, thole which are both in Act and Power at once, are all fublunary Bodies; infomuch as their fubftance, or matter fo found $\bar{\jmath}$ poffert of come one Forme in Act, as that it fill remains in a Capacity of being devefted of that Forme, and invented with a new one; and the whole Compofitum fo hath its certain Quantity, certain Quality; certain Place, and whatever other (if there be any other) perfection requifite to its particular nature, as that it mingy notwithftanding be totally deprived thereof, and obtain another. Know alpo, that He ufech the word, Evtenexide? fometimes for the perfection already acquired; fometimes for the very manner of its acquifition, in which fenfe it is a certain Action, and fo comes to be called ['cvegrex] an Energy; This being prefuppofed; He infers',
 underftanding it to be as it were the Way, or manner, whereby the perfeaction is acquired, or the Acquifition it felf: which is alfo a certain perfecton, but competent to an Entity, or moveable, not as it hath a perfection, which it lofeth; but as it hath a Power to that, which it receivecth. And hence is it, that He refolved to define Motion to be the ACt of an Entity in Power, is it is fuck.

Which notwithitanding all the light this our mon favourable Defcänt, or any other can cart upon it, is yet much inferior in Perficicuity to that mont natural and familiar one of Epicurus; that Motion is the migration or Remove of a body from owe place to another. Nevertheless; to verifie that unhappy proverb, that no Truth can be made fo plain, as not to be impugned;: Empericiiss (2.adver $\int$ : $p h y f f$.) hath charged it with fundry ImperfecAtions. As
(i) That it doth not comprehend the motion of a Globe, or wheel circumvolved upon its Axis; forafmuch as a wheel, when circumgyrated upon its Axe, is fenfibly moved, but not removed from one place to another: But to this we may readily Answer; that though the whole wheel be not removed out of its whole place, yet are the Parts of it fenfibly transferred from place to place; the fuperior defending to inferior, while the inferior afcend to fuperior places, the right hand parts fucceeding into the places of the left, as fart as the left fucceed into thole of the right, and all parts facceffively shifting their particular places. And upon this diftinction of Place into Total and Partial; was it that forme Philofophers have Defined motion

Ali. 6. Empericus his objections againft that Definition of Epicurus: and the full Solis. sion of each. -

## 
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motion to be exigrationem de leco in lowm, wel totius corporis, vel partis ipfius; or, as Chrgfippus and follodorus (apudfibaum, in Eccl. phy.) CMutationem fecurdum locum, aut ex toto, aut ex parte. Nay, even Plato Himfelf feems to have had an eye upon the fame Difference, when He faid, that Local motion was conjunctly Lation, or Circumlation.
(2) That likewife the point of that arme of a Compars, which is fixed in the Centre, while the other is moved round, in the defcription of a Circle; is moved, but not removed out of its place: as is alfo the Hinge of adoor, while the door is opened or fhut. But, this Objection muft foon yeeld to the fame Refponfe, as the former: fince tis manifeft, that the parts of the point of the Compars, and Hinge change their Partial places.
(3) That there is a certain fort (He adds, Admirable) of motion, to which the importance of Epicurus Definition doth not extend; which is thus made. Let a man, in a thip under fail, walk, with a ftaff in his hand, from the forecaftle to the poup of the fhip; and with juft to much fpeed, as the fhip is carried forward : fo that in the fame fpace of time, as the fhip is moved a yard forward, the man and the ftaff in his hand may be moved a yard backward. This done (faith He) doubtlefs there muft be a motion both of the man and his ftaff; and yet neither of them fhall be moved into new place, either as to their whole, or their parts : becaufe both muft remain in the fame parts of the Aer, and Water, or in the fame perpendicular line extended from the mans head to the bottom of the Sea; or, what is the fame thing, they fhall ftill poffers the fame Immoveable fpace. But, this fo admirable Difficulty lies open to a double folution: for it may be Anfwered. (I) That in this cafe, the Thighs, Leggs, and feet of the man walking upon the deck of the fhip, muft be alternately moved into new places; becaufe, as often as each of his feet is referred from the Anterior to the Pofterior part thereof, it muft be moved twice as fwiftly, as the thip is moved from the Pofterior toward the Anterior: fince it is abfolutely neceffary, that the double velocity of one foot fhould compenfate that fpace of time, in which the other foot refteth, while the fhip is conftantly carried forward in one uniform tenour of motion. And, therefore, his feet may be conceived, to be alternately moved from place to place; after the fame manner, as a man, fitting on a wooden, or ftanding Horre, doth move his leggs alternately forward and backward : the trunck and upper part of his body remaining unmoved, or ftill keeping the fame Centre of Gravity. (2) That the Trunck of his body alfo muft be moved from place to place; and alfo his head, and the ftaff in his hand: becaufe, at every ftep, all of them mult be fomewhat elevated, and again depreffed, or let down. For, in progieffion, the feet of a man cannot be alternately moved forward, but at every time the one foot is fet plainly upon the ground, the trunck and fo the head and arms, muft fink a little downward; in regard of the Diftenfion of the mufcles of that thigh and leg : and again when the other leg is advanced, and the leg upon which the whole body refteth the while, is elevated upon the toes, to caft the body forward; the trunck, head and floulders are lifted a little upward; in refpect of the bodies inclining to a new Centre of

Gravity. For, it is moft true, what Galileo hath moft fubtly Demonftrated, that a man goes, becaufe befalls: fince he could not advance forward, while he kept his body $x$ quilibrated upon the fame Centre of Gravity; but falling forward at each ftep, he fuftains himfelf with the fixing another foot upon a new Centre of Gravity.
(4) That if we fuppofe an Individual, or fmalleft thing to be turned round in the fame place; there will be motion, but no change of place, either as to the whole, or any part thereof. And we Demand, whether by that Individual He means minimum mathematicum, or Phyffcum? If Mathematical, the fuppofition is not to be admitted : becaufe, what is meerly Imaginary is not capable of motion. But, if Phyfical; then admitting the fuppofition, we Anfwer; that the reafon of the motion of an Individual moved round in the fame place, is the fame with that of the motion of a Globe or wheel upon its Axis. For, fucha body is not faid to be Individual, or fmalleft, becaufe it hath no magnitude or parts defignable by the minne; but becaufe there is no force in nature, that can divide and refolve it into thofe parts: and therefore, fince it is not a meer point, but contains parts fuperior, inferior, \&cc. the whole cannot be moved, but fome parts muft fucceed into the places deferted by others; and confequently there muft be Loco-motion. Though this alfo be of the number of fuch Events, as can hardly be effected by the power of Nature; forafmuch as fuch a phyfical Individual being either permitted to its own liberty, would move fpontaneoufly in a direct line, not a circular; or impulfed by another, could not be fo exactly circumvolved in a Circle, as not to deflect fomewhat, more or lefs, to one fide or other. And thus have we Refolved all the Difficulties, by Empericus, objected to the Definition of Motion, given by epicwics.

But yet we have not afcertained our Reader, that there is fuch a thing as Motion in the Werld: and therefore, that we may not feem to be meerly Petitionary, in begging that at the hand of anocher mans charitable Belief, which the ftock of our own Reafon is rich enough to afford us: we fhall briefly touch upon that Quxftion, An fit Motur, Whether there be any Motion in Nature : Efpecially, forafmuch as it is very well known, that among the Ancients there was a notable Controverfie concerning it. For, fome, as Heraclitus, Cratylus, Homer, Empedocles and Protagoras (as Plato [in theat.] notes at large) affirmed, that All things in the univerfe are in perpetual Motion: and others, of which number Parmenides, Melijfus and Zeno were the Principal, (as Ariftotle (r.phyfic.) particularly records) Argued, on the contrary, that All things are in perpetual 2 niet, or that there is no motion at all.

Now as to the Former ; our Quarrel againft them is not fo great, as that of Ariftotle was: forafmuch as it carries the face of very great probability that They intended no more than this ; that All fublunary Bodies are in perpetual Mutation of their Infenfible Particles, not Loco-motion of their fenfible Parts, or Whole; or, more plainly, that all Concretions unceffantly fuffer thofe irrequiet Agitations, or inteftine Commotions of their infenfible particles, from which thofe fenfible Changes, Alteration, Augmentation, Diminution, Generation, and Corruption, are by flow and infenfible degrees introduced upon them. And thus even Ariffotle Himfelf interLII

Art. 7. That theic is mution; contrary to the Sophifms of Parmenides, Melifus, Zeno. Diodorus. and Didodorss. and
the Sceficks.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ .
prets their opinion; faying (in 8.phy.f.3.) they held, that All things are
 experientiams $\int$ enfuum, that that motion falls not under the obfervation of the fenfes. Which is no more, than what Epicturus, or any man elfe, imbued with his excellent principles, might have afferted.

And as for the Latter Sect ; neither doth our Choler boyl up againft them, to that height, as did Sextus Empericus his, when (in 2.adverf.piy $/ f$ c.) He could not be content to nickname them [ $\Sigma$ тutu'w Tu: ] the flanders; but fo far obeys the impulfe of his paffion, as to fly out into opprobrious language, and brand them with the ignominious character of ['Aquorxoi] Unnatural Philofophers. And our Reafons, why we look not upon them with fo oblique and indignatory an eye, as the Vulgar ufe to do; are there.
(1) Experience doth fo clearly Demonftrate, that there is motion; as that no man can deny it, but he muft, at the fame inftant, manifently refute himelelf with the motion of his tongue. And fuch is the conftant verity of Epicurus his Logical Canon, concerning the Certitude of our fenfes, as to the information of our mind;as that every Philofopher,nay every man ought to allow them to be judges in cafes of fenfible Objects: and confequently to conclude, with Arifoote; ad mentis imbecilitatem debet referri, ©i quis arbitretur omnia quiefcere, © dimifo fenfis, rationem requirat. And, certainly, whofo ferioufly impugnes, what the evidence of fenfe confirms; is fo eafie an Adverfary, as to deferve our fmiles, rather than our Anger.
(2) Divers have apprehended, that thofe Philofophers, who feemed to impugn the being of Motion, did not oppofe it in a ferious, but purely Paradoxical humor, and an ambition of fhewing themfelves fo tranfcendently acute, as to be able to indubitate Truths even of the moft manifert Certitude. Nor are They, indeed, to be underftood in that grofs fenfe, which is fo generally paffint among Vulgar Authors; forafmuch as it is much more probable, that Parmenides and Melifius, when they laid down for a maxime Effe omnia unum Ens immobile, fo intended Nature, or the All of things, as that they held it, or at leaft fome certain Divine Virtue conftantly diffured through, and animating the valt mafs of the Univerfe, to be God, or the Supreme Being; whofe propriety it is to be Immoveable, as being Libiquitary and All in All. And, that Zene himfelf, the Prince of Antimotifts, had fome fuch meaning; may be naturally collected, as well from the Contents of that Book, commonly adfrcribed to Ariffotle, concerning Xenophanes, Zeno and Gorgias: as from thofe very Arguments He alleadged againt motion; the importance of them all deelaring, that his fuppofition was, there could be no motion, if as well motion it felf, as Place and Time did confift of Infectiles, or Indivifibles. Likewife, as for Diodorus, fo fervently addicted to the Eriftick, or Contentious Sect; manifeft it is, that his grand fcope in his whole Difcourfe againft motion, was only to evince, that a good Wit could not want Arguments wherewich to invale and ftagger the belief of a thing, than which nothing can be more certuin. Lattly, as for the Pyrrboneans, or Scepticks; the defign of all their tratagems againft motion, feems to have been only this innocent one : to infinuate that no knowledge is exempted from Doubts; and thar the mind of
man is obnoxious to fo great infirmity, as to be able to raife fuch clouds of Dubitation, which its own dim tight is not fufficient ever after to difpel again.
(3) But, granting them all to have been in Earneft, and to have aimed at the fhafts of their Wit point blanck-at the deftruction of Motion; yet if we examine the fharpnefs of their beft Arguments, we fhull fonn finde them not half fo formidable, as moft have, through incircumifpection, conceived them. As for that Giant Difficulty urged againft motion, by Zeno. which a long time wore the reputation of Invincible; pleafe you but to reflect upon our Chapter of a Vacuums Natural, you may there meet with a full Diffolution of it. If that be too grea- a trouble to you; we dare unundertake, your belief fhall not mifcarry, though you adventure it barely upon the Refutation of Zeno, by Diogenes the Cynick: who hearing him fomewhat proudly object the fame in the fchools, only rofe upand walked; as wifely conceiving that to be a fufficient, as well as the moft ready Demonftration of the Contrary. As for the other Goliah Objection, excogitated and urged by Diadorus; it runs thus: Si quidquam movetur, aut in quo loco eft, movetur; aut in quo non eft: at neque in quo eft, "in co enims manet, $\sqrt{2}$ in ip fo eft; neque in guo non eft, ubi enim quid uazm non eft, ibineque agere, neque pati quicquam pateff: quam obrem quicquinn non movetur. "If any thing be moved, it muft be moved either in that place, wherein is " is, or in that wherein it is not: but not in that place wherein it is, be"caule if it be there, it remains there; nor in that wherein it is not, becaule "nothing can either act or fuffer there where it is not: cherefore nothing " is moved. For, thus Empiricus (2. adverf.phyfic. 3. pyrrlion. hypotyp. 8. ঔibidem lib. 2. cap. 22.) often preeents it; among uther things feafonably commemorating, how plenfantly Diodorus was therefore derided by Heropbilus the Phyfician. When Diodorus came to him, to entreat him to fet his fhoulder, that was out of joynt; Herophilus bad himbe of good courage, fince it was impoffible his floulder fhould be diflocated: for, faith He, either it was emoved in the place, wherein it was, or that wherein it was not; but in neither: and therefore it was not diflocated. Which Diodorus hearing, became confcious of his own fophifme, and entreated him to lay afide his fubtleties and mirth, and addrefs himfelf to his fpeedy cure. But to return to the Difficulty propofed; we obferve, that it was impertinently done of Diodorus to make this Interrogation; Either in the place, wherein it is, or in that, wherein it is not: unlefs perhaps He meant the Common place of a thing, fuch as is a Hall, from one end whereof a man may walk to the other. In which cafe, it may be Anfivered, that the man walking, is moved in the place, wherein he is; for he is moved in the H.lll, though not in the fame part of the Hall. But, the zuxftion is not of the Common, but Proper place of a thing; and therefore the Interrogation ought to be, if any thing be moved, it mult be moved either from this to that, or from this to ano'her place: not In the place, wherein it is; or wherein it is not; fince according to the true Notion of motion, we underfand it to be the paffing of a thing from one place to anothir. And confequently, the $A n f_{i v e r}$ is; that a body moved, is moved neither in the place, where it is; nor in that, where it is not : but from one place, wherein it was, through a place which it paffeth, or pervadeth, to a third place, where yec it is not. Perhaps, you'l yet reply, as a thing paffect through a place, is it not in a place? Andwe fhall rejoyn, that that very Luary L 112
doth common fignification, convenient as well to things Permanent, as Succeffive or Fluent ; and according to a peculiarly accommodate fignification, competent only to things Permanent : it is underftood in the former fenfe, when the Quxftion is, Either where it is, or where it is not? and in the latter, when the fubfumption is, But neither where it is, nor where it is not: according to which reafon, you Doubr, Whether a thing Be, while it is moving. Which confidered, when it is Enquired, whether a moveable be moved in the place, where it is, or in that, wherein it is not: we are to DiAtinguifh thus; it is moved in the place, wherein it is Tranfiently, and moved in the place wherein it is not Permanently. And, to your Quxftion, Whether a thing be not in a place, when it paffeth through a place? We Anfwer likewife, that it is in a place Tranfiently, not Permanently. Nor ought this Language to found ftrange; fince nothing ought to be conceived to be in any other manner, than what the Nature thereof doth prefrribe: and fuch is the Nature of Motion, that it fhould be conceived to be [ $\mu \mathrm{e}$ raibacis] a Pafsing through, not [zaequgri'] a Permanfion, or ftaying in a place. Laftly, as for the Arguments of the Scepticks; they are all grounded upon the fame Difficulties as thofe of Zenoand Diodorus : and therefore muft fubmit to the fame Refolutions.

## Sect. II.

sitt. I. Artfortes Defio nitions of Na tural and $V$ io. lent motion; incompetent: and more adxquate ones fubflitured in the room of them.

BEing thus propared with Confiderations of the moft Genuine Notion, moft adæquate Definition, and Primary Caufe of Motion in all Concretions; and an infallible affurance, that there is fuch a thing as Motion in the world : the next degree to which our Enquiry is to advance, is the more General and Principal K I N D.S thereof; among which, the Firf we meet with, is that common Diftinction of motion into Natural and violent.

A Natural motion, faith ©riftotle (8. phyfic.4.) is that, whofe Principle is Internal; and a Violent, that, whofe Principle is External: fo that, accordingly, that Body may be faid to be moved Naturally, which is moved by it felf; and that Violently, which is moved by another. But, for as much as Ariftotle himfelf doth much amufe us, while he ever and anon affirms, that one body may be moved by another, and yet not be moved violently; and that a motion may be faid to be Natural or Violent, in more than one refpect ; and that fome more eafie and familiar Notion is to be accommodated to each of thofe Contrary Terms, Natural and Violent : therefore is it much more convenient for us, to undertand a Natural Motion to ${ }^{2}$ e that, which is made either of Natures own accord, or without any Repugnancy; and a Violent to be that, which is made either Praternaturally, or with fome Repugnancy. Thus, the Progreffive motion of an Animal, is Natural, becaufe made of Natures own accord; and yet if the Animal go through a bogg, climb a fteep hill, leap, or run, the motion
is to be accounted Violent, becaule though it proceed from an Internal Principle, the Soul of the Animal, yet is it not performed without fome Repugnancy, either internal or external. On the contrary; when a Bullet is thot through the aer, the motion thereof is violent, becaufe againft the nature of the Bullet, and not performed without fome repugnancy, either internal or external: and yet if the fame Bullet be rowled upon a fmooth plane, the motion thereof is Natural; becaufe though it be caufed by an External Principle, yet is ic performed without any Repugnancy either internal or External.

But, that we may take the matter in a higher key, reflecting upon that fo often inculcated Epicurean Principle, That all the motive Virtue of Concretions is originally derived from the mobility inhrerent in, and infeparable from Atoms, which compofe them; let us obferve, that forafmuch as that effential mobility of Atoms doth neither ceare, but is only impeded, when Concretions themfelves begin to obtain a fenfible Quiet; nor is produced enesv, but only acquires nore liberty, when Concretions begin to be moved: we may thence juftly infer, that juft fo much motive Force is now, and ever will be in the World, while it is a world, as was in the firft momsens of its Creation. Which really is the fame with that Rule of Des Cartes (princip.philofoph. part.2.art. 36.) Deum effe Primarzam omnis motus Canf(am; or eandem femper motus quantitatem in unizerfo per feverare. And Hence may we extract thefe notable Conclufions. (1) That, becaufe look how much one Atom, being impacted againft another, doth impel it, juft fo much is it reciprocally impelled by it; and fo che Force of motion doth neither increafe, nor decreafe, but, in refpect of the Compenfation mades remains always the very fame, while it is executed through a free fpace, or without refifteace : therefore, when Concretions, likewife mutually occurring, do reciprocally impel.each other; they are to be conceived, to adf upon, or faffer from each other, $\int 0$, as that, if they encounter with equal forces, they resainequal motions on each fide, and if they encounter withis unequal forces, fuch a Compenfation of the tardity of one, is made by the fupervelocity of the other, as that accepting both their motions together, or conjunitly, the motion fill continues the fame. Which alfo is the fame with that Third Law of Nature, regiftred by DesCartes (princip. philofoph. part.2.art. 40.) 2uod sumum Corpus, alteri fortiori occurrendo, nibil amittat de fuo motu: occurrendo, wero minus forti, tantum amittere: quastum in illud transfert. (2) That forafmuch as Atoms conftantly retain their motive Virtue even in the moft compact and hard Concretions; therefore can there be no $A b$ folute Quiet in Nature : the Atoms unceffant ftriving for liberty, caufing perpetual Commotions in all things, though thofe Commotions be inteftine and infenfible as we have oftenfaid. Which confidered, Heraclitus feems to have been more reafonable, in his Denial of all Quiet, but to the dead (apudplutarcho 1. placit.23.) than moft have hitherto allowed: He underftanding hy the Dead, not only Animals deprived of life, and confequently of motion; but alio all other things Diffolved, fince then, and only then, the inteftine Commotions of their Component Particles, or Atoms, ceafe. (3) That Motion is not only much more Natural than Quiet, in the General; but alfo - always Natural, in refpect of itsoriginal, forafnuch as it proceeds from Atoms, which are moved by their uops Nature, or effertia Gravity: and fomesimes Violent, but ever jo only at fecond hand, or from the nature of Concretoons, Res they are moved with a.certain Repugnancy. Aad this Rule hath al-
fo a parallel in Des Cartes, viz. Non plus ACEionis requiri ad motum, quamo ad Quiesem (princip.philofoph.part.2.art.26.) Nor ought it to feem ftrange that we admit fornething to be Violert in Nature; becaufe, though in refpect of the Univerfal Nature, nothing may be accounted Violent: yet, in refpect of Particular Natures, there may. For, if you conceive it to be Natural, that many things in Nature fhould be Gererated: you muft alfo conceive it to be equally Natural, that as many things in Nature fhould be Corrupted; and confequently, that they fhould be moved violently, i. e. with Repugnancy to their Particular Natures. Furchermore, notwithftanding the Voluntary motion of an Animal be vulgarly conceived to be Natural; yet whoever Inall confider, that Animal motion is always accompanied with a certain Labour, and attended on by Wearinefs, which by degrees encreafeth upon Animals, in long, or great and quick motions; and that ftrong impaction made againft the joynts of one member by the bones and ligaments of another, and of all upon the $S$ pina Dor $/ i$, as alfo of the whole body of the Animal againft the ground, on which it treads: we fay, whofo duly confiders thefe things, will foon be induced to allow, that fuch motion is alvays commixed with fome Violence. And what hath been here faid of Motion, carries the fame weight, if applied alfo to Quiet; forafmuch as Quiet may be underfood to be violent in one fenfe, and Natural in another. And, therefore, we fhall only add this concerning Quiet; that it is Natural not only to the whole World, that it fhould maintain a certain Cohæfion, or Confiftence, or Quiet of all its parts; but alfo to every fingle part of the Univerfe, or every particular body; becaufe unlefs the parts fenfibly quiefce in the Whole, i. e. be not Diflociated from the Whote, no Concretion or Compage of matter could fubfift. We fay, Quiet in the Whole, not precifely in Place; becaufe the Whole may be moved, and yet this or that particular part thereof fo cohære unto it, or acquiefce in it, as that though it change place together with the whole, yet as to it felf, it may be no more moved, nor feel more Repugnancy, than if the whole did acquiefce, and it continued fill in the fame place therewith.

Art. 3. A fhort furvey of Arifoltes whole theory conceruing the Natnral marticn of In animates: and the Etrors thcreof.

Now, though the Difficulty is not great, which concerns the motion of Animals; in refpect of that Inequality and Painfulnefs that accompany, aud Laffitude that ufually flicceeds upon it, all which as we have even now infinuated, import it to be commixt with fome Violence : yet that feems to bea very confiderable one, which concerns the motion of Inanimates, forafinuch as moft men, infifting in the Doftrine of Ariftotle, apprehend it to be Naturrl. It follows therefore, that we henceforth addrels our Enquiry chiefly to the motion of Inanimates; as that which may beft evince the Impropriety of Arifotles Definition of Natural Motion to be that, whofe Principle is Internal: wherein that we may be fufficiently circumfpect, it behooves us to take a fhort furvey of his whole Theory, touching that fubject.

In the firft place, He pofitively affirms, that whatever is moved, or doth move, is moved either Per $\int e$, or per Accidens: fubjoyning, that what is moved per $\int e$, is the fubject, or wlole; and what is moved per Accidens, is an -Accident of the Subject, or Part of the Whole. For Inftance; when a man, in whom are Mufick and a Soul, walketh; the man is moved per fe, becaufe he is the fubject and the whole: but the Mufick, which is in him,
is moved per Accidens, becaufe it is an Accicent to him; and likewife his foul is moved by Accident, beciufe it is only a Part of him. Again, when He teacheth, that whatever is moved, is moved by Another; that ought to be underftood of that ching, which is moved per $f e$ : for, from hence it is, that when in the feries of particular movents, He would have us to comeat length to one Firft Moverit, which is Immoveable, or which is not moved by any other; we are to underftand that Primam Movens to be Immoveable per $\int$ e, fince it may be moved per Accidens. Thus, whena fone is moved by a faff, the ftaff by the hand of a man, the mans hand by his Soul; the foul, indeed, is the Firft movent and Immoveable: but, underftand it to be fo, per $\int e$, becaufe it is at the fame moment moved per Accidens, i. e. when the hand, arme, and whole body, which contains it, is moved. Moreover, He declares, that whatever is moved per $\int$ e, is moved juxta Naturam, according to Nature ; fuch as he affirms that only to be, which is endowed with a foul: yet will He not admit, that what is moved by Another, fhould always be moved Preter Naturam, Prxternaturally ; but fometimes Llnnaturally (as a ftone, when it is thrown upward) and fometimes Naturally (as a ftone, when it falls Down again.) Now, if you hereupon Demand of Him, What that is, which makes a fone tall Down again; He fhall Anfwer, that what moves it Downward, per $\int e$, is the Generant it felf, or that which firft Produced the ftone: and that which moves it downward, per Accidens, is that which removes the impedimert or obftacle to its defcent, as the hand of a man, or other thing fupporting the ftone. And, if you again enquire of nim , What is the Difference betwixt the Upward and Downward motion of a ftone, how one fhould be Violent, and the other Natural, fince, according to his own Affertion, both are Caufed by another: His Return will be, that the Difference lies in this, that the ftone is not carried upward, of its own Nature, but Downward; as having the Principle of its Defcent, inhærent in it felf, but not that of its Afcent. If you urge Him yet farther ; fince the fone hath in it felf the Principle of its Motion, why therefore is it not moved only by it delf, but wants Another, or External Motor ? His Anfwer will be : that there is a Twofold principle of motion, the one Active, the other Pa/sive; and in the fone is only the Principle Palfive, but in the External Motor is the Active. When yet it may be farther preffed; that fince according to his own $\mathrm{Do}^{-}$ Etrine, the Paffive principle is the matter, and the Active the Forme: as to the matter, that cannot be the principle of its motion Downward, no more than of its motion upward; and as for the Forme, if that be neither the Active principle, nor the Paffive (as he will by no means admit) certainly there can be none. Which for Him to allow, were plainly to deftroy his own great Definition of Nature, wherein He acknowledgeth it to be the Principle of CMotion. But, alas! thefe are but light and venial Miftakes, in comparifon of thofe grofs Incongruities that follow.

When Ariftotle comes to handle the Species, or forts of Natural Motion, you may remember, that He firt Diftinguifheth Natural motion in Direct and Circular; and chen fubdiftinguiheth the Direct into (I) that which is from the Circumference toward the Centre, or from the Extrems toward the middle of the forld, which He calls Downward; and (2) that which is from the Centre toward the Circumference, which He calls $\mathcal{U p}_{\mathrm{p}}$ ward:affigning the former, or Downward motion, only to Heavy things, to the Earch fimply, to Water and mixt things, Secundmm quid; and the Llpward

Art. 4 Uniformits. or . 里quability, the proper Charaties of a Natural motion : and the wast of uniformity, of a Violent.
only to Light things, to Fire fimply, to Aer Secundum quid, and to mixe bodies, according to the greater or less predominion of Fire in them, over the other Elements. And, as for the Circular motion, which is neither toward, nor fromward the Centre or middle of the world, but round about it; He afcribes that only to the Cxleftial Orbs, as being things neither Heavy, nor Light. But, forafinuch as He doth not make it in the leaft meafure Evident, whether ot no all thefe Things are moved by an Inrernal Principle, nor whether with fome, or without all Repugnancy; and fo leaves us ftill to doubt, whether their Motions be Natural or Violent: are we not conftrained, to omit all thofe Ambages, and Difficulties, that attend upon this His imperfect Doctrine, and (with Galilieo) to have recourfe to fome fuch Criterion or Character of Naturalnefs in motions, as feems moft confentaneous to truth, becaure moft Evident? Doubtlefs, as the motion of Atoms, which is moft Natural, is moft Uniform, or Equal; fo alfo in Concretions, by how much every motion is the more Natural, by fo much more doth it appear to be Uniform, or Æqual. And therefore this Uniformity, or Æquability may be affumed as the truef Character of Natural motion: as we may eafily conclude, that every Uniform motion is purely Nacural, as on the contrary, that every motion, that wants Uniformity, is Violent. This may be Confirmed by that common maxime, that nothing Violent can be Perpetual; forafmuch as the root of Perpetuity, is Uniformiz. ty (for, nothing in nature can either by growing ftronger receive perpetual Increment, or by growing weaker endure perpetual Decrement) and upon confequence, Inxquability, as being oppofite to Perpetuity, muft be the pathognomonick, or proper and infeparable fign of a Violent thing, and Equability of a Natural. Hence, as for the Caleftial motions, they are argued to be Netural; becaufe they are Vniforme, and therefore Perpetual. And, affuredly, where the wife Creator of the World, would have any motion Perpetual, He ordained it to be Circular: as that, which being equally diftant from the Centre in all parts, and wanting both beginning and end, might be continued with one conftant tenour, and alfo unceffantly. And as for Direlt motions, or fuch as are competent to Heavy and Light Bodies, whether Elements or mixt; they are on the contrary, to be judged to be Wiolent, in that they are very Unequal, and of little or no Duration. To infift upon that of Fire, which perifheth in the fame moment wherein it is produced, we need not; nor upon that of Aer, which is varioully moved, fometimes upward, fometimes downward: becaufe even our fenfe affures, that their motions are very Unequal. And, as to that Downward motion of Earth, and Water, and generally of all mixt Bodies, commonly accounted Heavy; we need only this fhort obfervation : that their Motion is not only very fhort, both as to Time and Space; but alfo fo unequal in it felf, and of fuch vaft Acceleration in its progrefs, as that, if it might be conceived capable of longer Continuation, there is no Body in nature fo Compact and Firme, which would not be fhivered in pieces, and wholly be Diifolved and Diffipated thereby, in a fhort time. And who will not readily admit that to be a moft evident note of Violence? Since no man can conceive that motion to be Natural, which is comparated not to the Confervation, but inevitable Deftruction of Nature: but only He, who can admit, that the very Nature, or Formal Conftitution of a thing, hath no Repugnancy to Deftruction. But, you'l fay (we fuppofe) muft then the Principle of all motion, competent to Heavy Bodies, be External? Truely, it muft; and you know, that we have already declared, that Arif fatle allows it fo to
be. What then, muft that Ext ernal Principle be, as Arifotile contends, the very Generant of the thing move d? Certainly, thats highly Abfurd, fince the Generant is abfent, and perhap s,long fince ceafed to be in rerum natura: and nothing either Abfent,or Non exiftent,can be the Efficient of a Natural Action, fuch as motion is. If you will have, that to be moved by the Generant, fignifies no more than to reccive a Virtue or Power of moving it felf, from the Generant; then while you endeav our to fave Arijfotle from the former 16 furd dity, you precipitate him into a grofs Contr adiction of his. own Doctrine: for, fince the Generant it felf ought to be moved by its Generant, and that again to be moved by its Generant, and fo upward along the whole feries of Generants, till you arrive at length at fome Firft Generant, from whence that Virtue was firft derived; you bring $\propto$ rijfotle to allowa Firft Generant, which impugns his fundamental fuppofition of the Eternity of the World. Nay, if you admit God to be the Auchor of the Firft Generant, it will then follow, that God muft be the Caufe of this particular mosion, and not the Firft Generant, no more than the Laft. Finally, is that the Caure, which only removes the Impediment to a Heavy bodies Defcent? Neither is that Reafonable; for, as Arifootle himfelf confeffech, fuch a Caure is only a Ciure by Accident.

Seeing, therefore, that the Downward motion of a Heavy Body doth not proceed from any Internal Principle, nor from either its Generant, or that Accidental one, which removes the Impediment to its Defcent, in the fuppofed Capacity of an External: let us proceed to enquire, Whether there be not fome other External Caufe, whereupon we may reafonably charge that Effect. Which that we may do with the more both of or-der and plainnefs; it is requifite, that we firft remember, how Philofophers conftitute divers Sorts of Violent, or Externally-caufed motion. Empericus (2.adver. phyficos.) makes 4 diftinet fpecies thereof, viz. Pulfion, Traction, Elation, Deprefsion. And Arijtotle fometimes fuperads a fifth, namely Colififon; fometimes difallowing Empericus his Divifion, affirms that the fpecies of motion, made by an External principle, are Tricition, Pul. fion, Vection, and Volutation : upon good reafon reducing Elation and Depreffion to either Traction or Pulfion; forafmuch as a body may be elevated, or depreffed by either Traction or Pulfion. But, yet He hach left us rather a Confufion, than logical Difcrimination of the fpecies of Violent motion; for, Collifion and Pulfion are one and the fame thing; and Vection may be performed either by Pulfion or Traction, infomuch as the thing movent doth not forfake the thing pulfed, or drawn, but conftantly adharecth untoit: and as for Volutation; it is both Pulfion and Traction at once, as may be eafily conceived by any man, who ferioufly confiders the manner thereof. Nay, Traction it felf may be juftly reduced to Pulfion; forafinuch as the movent, which is faid to Draw a thing, doth, indeed, nothing but Impel it, by frequently reiterated fmall ftrokes, either directly toward it felf, or to a lateral region : and yet notwishifanding, for plainnefs fake, and the cleerer Demonftration of our prefent thefis, we judge it convenient, to conferve the Common Notion, and to determine, that all Motion impreffed upon one body by another, is performed, in the General cither when the movent Propels the moveable from it felf, or $A$ ttracts it toward it felf. For, albeit the movent fometimes propels the thing moved from another body, or attracts it to another; yet can it not poffibly do that, but it muff, at the fame time, either Avert it, in fome meafure, from, or Adduce is tolvard it felf. Neverthelefs, it is not to bedenied, but Pulfion is Mmm
alvays

Art. 5. The Downward motion of Inanimates, derived from 2n External Principle; contrary roAriftotle.
always the Chief Species; and for that confideration alone is it, that Projection (which is only Impulf fion, or, as Ariffotle emphatically calls it, a more Violent motion) is generally accepted as fynonymous to Violent motion; and that Philofophers feldom or never Exemplifie Violent motion, but in projectills, whether they be projected upward, or downward, tranverfly, obliquely, or any way whatever.

Art. 6.
That that External Principle, is the Magnerique Attration of the Earth.

Art.7. That the $V_{p-}$ mard motion of Light things, is not Accelerated in every degree of theiraflent as Ariffotle precarioufly affirmed : bur, the Downegard motion of Heavy things is Accelerated, in every de. gree of their Defcent.

Thefe things confidered, it follows of pure neceffity, that the Downward motion of Heavy Bodies, being caufed (not by any Internal, but) by an External Force impreffed upon them, muft be effected either by Impulfion, or by Traition. By Impulfion it cannot; becaufe, in the cafe of a ftone thrownllpward, there is nothing External, that can be imagined to impel it Down again, after it hath attained the higheft point of its mountee, unlefs it fhould be the Aer: and if its Defcent did proceed from the impulfe, or depreffive force of the Aer circulated from below upon the upper part of the ftone; then in the projection of the ftone upward, during its Afcent, the motion thereof would, in every degree of its remove from the projicient, be Accelerated in the fame proportion, as its Downward motion is Accelerated, in every degree of its defcent; but Experience teftifies, that its upward motion is more and more Retarded, in every degree of its remove from the projicient, and therefore it cannot be, that the Downward motion thereof fhould be caufed, nay not fo much as advanced by the Aer. Which thing Gaffendus (in I Epift. de proport. qua Gravia decidentia accelerantur) hath copioufly demonftrated; and we our felves, out of him, in the 9 Article of our 2 Sect. concerning Gravity and Levity, in the 3. Book. pracedent. What, therefore, can remain, but that it muft be by A TTRACTION ? And, becaule no other Attractive Force, which might begin and continue the Downward motion of a fone, can be imagined, unlefs it be chat Magnetique Virtue of the Earth, whereby it Draws all Terrene Bodies to an Union with it felf, in order to their, and its own better: Confervation : we may lawfully Conclude, that the Caule of the Downward motion of all Heavy Bodies, is the Magnetique Attraction of the Earth. Nor need we adferr other Arguments, in this place, to confirm this Pofition; in refpect we have formerly made it the chief fubject of the 2 Sect. of our Chap. of Gravity and Levity; whether we, therefore, remit our unfatisfied Reader.
From the Caufe of the Downward motion of Heavy bodies, let us advance to the Acceleration of them, in every degree of face, through which they Fall : there being no confiderable reafon, why we fhould at all enquire into the Acceleration of the upward motion of Light bodies, in every degree of their Afcent; forafmuch as we know of no man, but Arifotle, that ever durft affirm, that the motion of Fire, and Aer is flower in the beginning, and gradually fwifter and fwifter in the progress. And fo fhort was He of proving that his fingular conception, by Experiment, as he ought; that he affumed it upon the credit of only one poor Argument, which is this. "If Fire, and Aer, and other things of the like light and afpiring "nature, faith He (I de Calo.cap.8.) were Extruded and Impelled up" ward, by other heavier bodies defcending and crouding toward the mid" dle of the world, with greater force, as fome have contended; and were "s not carried upward by the fpontaneous tendency of their own inhærent "Levity: then would they be moved more fiviftly in the beginning, and " more flowly in the end of their motion; but Fire, and Aer are more "flow in the beginning, and mare and more fwift in the progrefs of their "Affent
"Affent; therefore are they not moved upward by the Extrufion and Im"pulfion, but foontaneounty, or by their own Levity. And to Confirm his Minor propofition, that Fire and Aer are Accelerated in every degree of their Affent; without the fuffrage of any Experiment, He fubjoyns only, "that as a Greater quantity of Earth is moved downward more fiviftly, "than a lefs; fo is a Greater quantity of Fire moved upivard more fiviftly "than a lefs: which could not be, if either of them were Impelled, or mo"ved by an External Force. But, this is, as the Former, meerly Petitionary; for, why fhould not a Greater quantity of Earth, or Fire be moved more fiviftly than a lefs, both being moved (as we fuppofe) by External force, in cafe the External force be proportionate to the quantity of each? Doubtlefs, the force of the ambient Aer, extruding and impelling Game upward, is always fo much the greater, or more fenfible, by how much more Copious the Fire is; as may be evinced even from the greater Impetus and waving motion of the flame of a great fire : though it cannot yet be difcerned, whether that Undulous or waving motion in a Great flame be (as He prafumes) more fivift aud rapid, than that more calm and equal one obferved in the flame of a Candle. This (youl fay) is enough to detect the incircumpection of Ariftotle, in affuming, upon fo weak grounds, that the motion of Light things Afcending, is accelerated in the progrefs, and that in the fame proportion, as that of Heavy things Defcending is accelerated : but not enough to refute the Pofition it felf; and therefore we think it expedient, to fuperad a Demonftrative Reafon or two, toward the plenary Refutation thereof. Seeing it is evident from Experience, that a Bladder blown up is fo much the more hardly depreffed in deep water, by how much neerer it comes to the bottom; and a natural Confequent thereupon, that the bladder, in refpect of the Aer included therein, beginning its upward motion at the bottom of the Water, is moved toward the region of Aer fo much the more flowly, by how much the higher it rifeth toward the furface of the Water, or lower part of the region of Aer incumbent thereupon; and that the Caufe thereof is this, that fo much the fewer parts of Water are incumbent upon the bladder and aer contained therein, and confequently fo much the lefs muft that force of Extrufion be, whereby the parts of Water bearing downward impel them upward: we may well infer hereupon, that if we imagine that any Flame fhould afcend through the region of Aer ; till it arrived at the region of Fire, feigned to be immediately above the region of Aer; that Flame would always be moved fo much the flower, by how much the higher it fhould afcerid, or by how much the neerer it hould arive at the region of Fire. Becaufe Fire and Aer are conceived to be of the fame afpiring nature: and becaufe the fame Reafon holds good, in proportion, for the decreafe of Velocity in the afcenfion of Flame through the Aer, as for that of the decreafe of velocity in the afcenfion of Aer, included in a bladder, through Water. And, as for Ariftotles other relative Affertion, that a Greater quantity of Earth is moved more fwiftly Downward, than a Lefs; manifert it is, that He meerly ufurped the conceffion of this alfo, without, nay contrary to the fuffrage of Experiment. For, an eafie Experience doth demonftrate that aftone, or bullet of an hundred pound weight, doth not fall down more fiviftly, or fooner arrive at the ground, than another of only an ounce weight, both being together precipitated from the fame altitude : which may feem Paradoxical indeed; efpecially to thofe, who being educated at the feet of exrifootle, conceive that Gravity is a Quality
inhærent in bodies accounted Heavy, and that every body mult therefore fall down fo much the more fiwiftly and violently, by how much the more of Gravity it poffeffeth. Having thus totally fubverted Arifotles erroneous Tenent, that the motion of Light bodies Afcending, is Accelerated in every degree of their Afcention : it follows, that we apply our felves to the confideration of the Acceleration of tle motion of Heavy bodies Defcending, in every degree of their Defcention. Wherein the Firft obferveable occurring, is the 2uod 5 it , or that it is $\int_{0}$, which is eafily proved from hence, that in all ages it hath been obferved, that the motion of Heavy things Defcendent, is flower in the beginning, and grows fivifter and fivitter fill toward the end, fo as that in fine it becomes highly rapid: experience attefting, that the blow, impulfe or impreffion made upon the Earth, by a thing taln down from on high, is always fo much the greater or ftronger, by how much the higher the place is from which it fell.
A)t. 8.

The Couje of that Encreafe of Velociry in Bodiesdefcen. ding; not the Ausmentation. of their Specifical Perfition oss they approab neerer and ucerer to their properplace: as Simplicius. makes Arif. to have thoughr.

The Second, is the Cur fit, or Caufe of that velocity Encreafing in bodies Falling; which though enquired into by many of the Ancients, feems yet to have been difcovered by none of them. For (I) albeit Ariftotle Himfelf was fo wary, as not to explicate his choughts concerning it ; yet doth his greatCommentator, Simplicius tell us (in Comment.87.) that it was His opinion, that a fone, or other thing falling from on high, is Corroborated [auó ms oixelxs òiómtos] à Totalitate propria, and hath its fpecies made more and more perfect, as it comes neerer and neerer to its proper place; and fo that a new degree of Gravity acceding to it in every degree of its appropinquation to the Earth, it is accordingly carried more and more fwiftly. But, feeing that Simplicius hath not expounded, how the whole fone can act upon itfelf; how it can be Corroborated, or acquire more and more perfection of its fpecies; or how that additament of frefl Gravity fhould arife unto it: judge you, whether He hath done Ariftotle any right, in making him the Author of that Opinion, which inftead of explaining the matter, leaves it much more obfcure thanafore. Befides, we have the certificat of Experience, that a defcending body is not carried the more fiwiftly, by reafon of any accefs or additament of Gravity: a ftone of an ounce weight, falling as fpeedily down, as one of an hundred pound.

Art. 9. Nor the Diminution of the quantity of Acr underneath them: as fome Others eonjeanred.
(2) Others there were (as the fame Simplicius commemorates) who referred the Caufe thereof, to the Decreafe of the quantity of the Aer underne, at the fone:conceiving, that by how much the higher a fone is, by fo much the more of Aer is below it, and fo much the greater Refiftence to the motion of the ftone, by how much the greater quantity of the Aer refifting; fo that the quantity, and confequently the refiftence of the Aer growing lefs and lefs, in every degree of the ftones defcent, the velocity of its motion muft be gradually encreafed in proportion thereunto. And this after the fame manner as weights are carried, finking in deep water, more flowly neer the top, and more fiviftly neer the bottom. But, though we admit, that the fubjacent Aer may fomewhat refift a ftone Defrending; yet we deny the refiftence to be fo great, as to make any fenfible difference of velocity in the parts of its motion. And, would you have an Argument to the purpore; be plealed to let fall a fone from the altitude of one fathom; and exactly obferve the velocity of its motion. Then let fall the fame - Atone, from the altitude of ten fachoms; and when it hath pervaded nine
fathoms
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fathoms, obferve again with what velocity it paffeth the laft, or tenth fathom. This done, confider, fince in the latter cafe, the velocity fhall be incomparably greater, than in the former ; whether it be not neceffary, that that great augmentation of velocity in the ftone, while it pervadeth the tenth fathom of fpace, muft not arife from fome other, and more potent Caure, than the refiftence of the inferior Aer? For, in both cafes, the fone carries the fame proportion of weight; and in the loweft fathom there is the fame quantity of Aer, and confequently the fame meafure of refiftence. And, if you weigh the ftone, firft in fome very high place, and afterward in a low, or very neer the Earth ; furely, you cannot expect to finde it heavier in the low place in refpect of the leffer quantity of Aer fubjacent, than in the high, in refpect of the greater quantity of Aer there fuftaining it. Laftly, as for their Argument defumed from the flower finking of weights in deep, than in fhallow Water; the caufe thereof is the fame with that of the more difficult depreffion of a Bladder blown up with Aer, neer the bottom, than neer the top of the Water, which we have lately explained.
(3) A third Conceipt there is (imputed to Hipparchus, by the fame Simplicius) which comparing the Downward motion of a fone, caufed by its own proper Gravity, with the Ulpward motion of the fame ftone, caufed by an External Force impreffed upon it by the Projicient; thence infers, that as long as the force impreft prevails over the ftones Gravity, fo long is the ftone carried upward, and that more fiviftly in the beginning, becaufe the Force is then ftrongeft, but afterward lefs and lefs fwiftly, becaufe the fame force impreft is gradually debilitated, uncil the ftones proper Gravity at length getting the upper hand of the force impreft, the ftone begins it motion Downward; which is flower in the beginning, becaufe the Gravity doch not yet much prevail, but afterwards grows more and more fivift, becaufe the Gravity more and more prævails. But this leaves us more than half way fhort of the Difficulty; for, though it be reafonable to affume, that a certain Compenfation of Velocity is made in bothmotions, i.e. that the Decreafe of Velocity toward the end of the Upward motion, is made up again by the Encreale of Velocity toward the end of the Downward, and that in proportion to the degrees of fpace : yet forafmuch as the motion of a ftone falling down is conftantly Accelerated, not only after it hath been projected Upward, but alfo when it is only dropt down from fome high place, to which perhaps it was never elevated, but remained there from the beginning of the world, as it often happens in deep mines, the earth underneath the ftones neer the furface of it being undermined; therefore cannot the ftones Gravity, gradually prævailing over the Impreft Force, be, as Hipparchus concludes, the Caufe of its Encreafe of Velocity in each degree of its Defcent.

Thefe Reafons thus deluding our Curiofity, let us have recourfe to our formerly afferted Pofition, that all terrene bodies Defiend, only becanfe they are Attrafted by the magnetique Virtue of the Earth. Shall we conceive, that the magnetique Virtue of the Earth is more potent neer at hand, than afar off: and thereupon infer, that the downward motion of aftone is therefore more rapid neer the earth, than far fromit; becaufe the magnetick Virtue feems to be greater, and fo the Attraction ftronger, by how much neerer the ftone approachech to the Earth? This certainly is obvi-
ous and plaufible to our firft thought: but infatisfactory to our fecond. For, if it were fo, then ought the Celerity of the ftones motion, in one fathom neer the Earth, to be the fame, whether the ftone be let fall from the altitude of only one fathom, or from that of 10,20 , an 100 fathoms, when we exactly meafure the fpace of time, in which it pervades the one fathom neer the earth, in the former cafe, and compare it with that fpace of time, in which it pervades the fame loweft fathom, in the latter. It may be farther obferved, that, whether a fone be let fall from a fmall, or a great altitude, the motion thereof for the firf fathom of its defcent, is always of equal velocity, i. e. it is not more nor lefs fivift for the firft fathom of its defcent from the altitude of an 100 fathoms, than from the altitude of only two fathoms: when yet it ought to be more fivift for the firft fathom of the two, than for the firft of the hundred, if the Attraction of the Earth be more vehement neer at hand, than far off; in a fenfible proportion. We fay, in a fenfible proportion; becaufe, forafmuch as the magnetique rays emitted from it, are diffufed in round from all parts of the fuperfice thereof, and fo muft be fo much the more denfe, and confequently more potent, by how much lefs they are removed from it : therefore muft the Attraction be fomewhat more potent at little than at very great diftance; but yet there is no tower or precipice fo high, as to accommodate us with convenience to experiment, whether the power of the Earths magnetique rayes is Greater, to a fenfible proportion, in a very low place, than in a very high.

And yet notwithftanding, nothing feems more reafonable than to conceive, that fince the magnetique Attraction of the Earth is the true Caufe of a fones Downward motion, therefore it fhould be alfo the true Caufe of the continual Increment of its velocity, during that motion. But how it thould be fo; there's the Knot. Which that we may undo, let us firft refume our former fuppofition (in the 2. Seat. of our chap. of Gravity and Levity.) that a ftone were fituate in any of the Imaginary fpaces; confidering that in that cafe it could not of it felf be moved at all : becaufe, holding no Communion with the World (which you may fuppofe alfo to be Annihilated) there could be, in refpect thereof, no inferior place or region, whereto it might be imagined to tend or fall; nor could it have any Repugnancy to motion, becaufe there would be no fuperior region, to which it might be conceived to afpire or mount. Then let us fuppofe it to be moved by fimple Impulfion, or Attraction, toward any other part of the Empty, or Imaginary facices; and without all doubt, it would be moved thitherward, witha motion altogether Equal or Uniform in all its parts: becaufe there could be no Reafon, why it thould be more flow in fome parts of its motion and more fwift in others, there being no Centre, to which it might approach, or from which it might be removed. Suppofe farther, that, as the ftone is in that motionn, another Impulfe, equal in force to the former, whereby it was firft moved, were impreffed upon it; then, affuredly, would the ftone be moved forward more fwiftly than before, not by reafon of any Affection to tend to any Centre, but becaufe the force of the firf impulfe perfevering, the force of the fecond impulfe is fuperadded unto it, and the acceffion of that force muft fo corroborate the former, as to augment the Velocity of the ftones motion. And hence comes it, that so move forward a body already in motion, doth not only prolong, but accelerate the motion thereof. Imagine moreover, that a third impulfe were incontinently fuperadded to the fecond; and then would the motion be yet
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more fwift than before; the Encreafe of Velocity of neceffity ftill refpon: ding to the multiplicity of Impulfes made upon the body moved. This: may be familiar to our conceptions, from the Example of a Globe fet upona plane; which may be emoved from its place with a very gentle impulfe, and if many of thofe Impulfes be repeated thickly upon it; is it moves, the motion thereof will be fo accelerated, as at length to become luperlatively rapid. Which alfo feems to be the Reafon, why a clay Bullet is difcharged by the breath of a man, from a Trunck, with fo great force, as to kill a Pidgeon at 20, or 30 yards diftance: the Impetus or force impelling the bullet, growing ftill greater and greater, becaure in the whole length of the trunck there is no one point, in which fome of the particles of the mans breath fucceffively flowing; do not imprefs frefh ftrokes, or impulfes upon the hinder part of the bullet. The fame alfo may begiven, as the moft probable Caufe, why Long Guns carry or fhot, or bullet farther than fhort; though yet there be a certain determinate proportion to be obferved betwixt the diametre of the bore, and the length of the barrel or tube, as well in Truncks, as Guns : experience affuring, that a Gun of five foot, musket bore, will do as good execution upon Fowl, with hot, and kill as far, as one of ten foot, and the fame bore; and confequently that thofe Gunners are miftaken, who defire to ufe Fowling pieces of above 5, or 6 foot long; Thefe confiderations premifed; we may conceive, that when a fone firft begins to move downward, it then hath newly received the firft impulfe of the magnetique rays emitted from the Earth : and that if after the impreffion of that firft impulfe, the Attraction of the Earth fhould inftantly ceafe, and no nevv force be fuperadded thereunto from any Caufe vvhatever ; in all probability, the ftone vvould be carried on tovvard the Earth vith a very flovv, but conftantly equal and Uniform pace. But, becaufo the Attraction of the Earth ceafeth not, but is renevved in the fecond moment by an impulfe of equal force to that firft, vwhich began the fones motion, and is again renevved in the third moment, in the $4,5,6, \& c$. as it wvas in the fecond, therefore is it neceffary, that becaufe the former impulfes, impreffed are not deftroyed by the fubfequent, but fo united as ftill to corroborate the firf, and all combining together to make one great force; wve fay, therefore is it neceffary, that the motion of the fone, from the repeated impulfes, and fo continually multiplied Impetus or Force, thould be more fwift in the fecond moment, than in the firft ; in the third, than in the fecond; in the fourth, than the third, and fo in the reft fucceffively; and confequently, that the Celerity fhould be Augmented in one and the fame tenour, or rate, from the beginning to the end of the motion.

The Third thing confiderable in this Downward motion of Bodies, is the PROPORTION, or Rate, in which their Celerity is encreafed. Concerning this, we know of no Enquiry at all made by any one of the Ancients; only Hipparchus, as hath been faid, thought that in the General, the increment of Velocity in things falling down, was made in the fame reciprocal proportion, as the Velocity of the fame things projected upward. But, about 90 yeers paft, one Michacl Varro, an eminent Mathematician (in tract. de motru;) depending meerly upon Reafon; would have the Problem to be thus folved. What is the Ration, or Proportion of fpace to fpace, the fame is the Ration of Celerity to Celerity; fo that if a ftone falling down from the he igth of four fathoms, fhall in the end of the firts fathom acquire
one degree of Velocity, in the end of the fecond two, in the end of the third three, in the end of the fourth four: it will be moved twice as fwiftly in the end of the fecond fathom, as in the end of the firt, thrice as fwiftly in the end of the third, and four times as fwiftly in the end of the fourth, as of the firt. But, this Proportion is deficient, firt in this; that though the increment of Celerity, or of its equal degrees, may be compared with the equal moments or parts of face : yet can it not be compared alfo with the equal moments or parts of Time, without which the myftery can never be explicated. And therefore Ariftotle did excellently well, in Defining Swift, and Slow, by Time; determining that to be fwift, which percurs a great deal of Space ina little time; and on the contrary, that to beflow, which is pervading a little of Space in a great deal oftime. Again, let us fuppofe the theorem to be explicable by equal moments of times, and fuch as are the refpites or intervals betwixt the pulfes of our Arteries; and that a fone falling down doth pervade the firft fathom of fpace, in the firft moment : then, if it pervade the fecond fathom twice as fwiftly as the firft (as Varro conceives) it muft neceffarily follow, that the fecond fathom muft be pervaded in the half of a moment; if the third fathom be percurred thrice as fwiftly as the firft, it mult be pervaded in the third part of a moment; and if the fourth fathom be percurred four times as fwiftly as the firft, it mult be pervaded in the fourth part of a moment. And, becaufe, if you conjoyn the half, third, and fourth part of a moment, you fhall have a whole moment with one twelfth part of a moment; it will be neceffary, that in the fecond moment, three fathoms(very neer) muft be percurred: which indeed is very far from trurh. For, becaufe, if we proceed after the fame method, fo that the fifth fathom be percurred in the fifth part of a moment ; the fixth in the fixth part of a moment, and fo fucceffively; out of thefe fragments of time we fhall not be able to make up another whole moment, until it be after the ftone hath pervaded the eleventh fathom, or thereabout; and fo in the third moment feven fathoms fhall be pervaded, nor thall we again be able to make up another whole moment, until after the ftone hath pervaded the 3 I fathom; and fo in the fourth moment, it fhall pervade 20 fathoms, nor fhall we be able to make up another complete moment, until after the ftone hath pervaded, neer upon, the 84 fathom, and fo in the fifth moment, 53 fathoms fhall be percurred, $\& c$. fo that proceeding according to a triple proportion, neer upon; you fhall confequently, in a very fhort time, increafe it up to Immenfity : as is manifeft from the fhort progrefs through thefe numbers, $\mathbf{1 . 2 , 4 , 1 1 , 3 1 , 8 4 , \& c \text { . Which is }}$ impugned by eafie Experience, and not defenfible by anyReafon whatever.

Art. 13. But, that the moments or Equal degrees offelerity, car ${ }^{-}$ ry thecramepro. portion, as the moments or e qual degrees of Time, during the motion : according to the 11 . Iuftrious Gadilaso

This the brave Galileus well confidering, and long labouring his fubtle and active thoughts, to explore a fully fatisfactory Solution of this dark Riddle; came at length moft happily to fer up his reft in this. Firft, He defines Motion equally Accelerated to be chat, which receding from quiet, doth acquire equal moments of Celerity, not in equal pares, but equal Times. Then proceeding upon Grounds partly Experimental, partly Rational ; Heconcludes, that the moments, or equal Degrees of Celerity, are as the moments, or equal degrees of Time, or (more plainly) that the Celerities carry the fanme proportions as the Times; fo that look how many moments of time pals during the motion, fo many degrees of Celerity, are acquired by the thing moved. That the equal fpaces, which are percurred continently in fingle moments of time, do
encreafe in each fingle moment, according to the progireffion not of U nities, but of Numbers unequal from : an Unity: fo that if in the firt moment of time, the ftone fall down one fathom, in the fecond moment, it mult fall down three fathom, in the third five, in the fourth feven, in the fifth nine, in the fixth eleven, and fo forward. And, becaufe thofe Numbers, which they call quadrate (viz. One is the quadrate of an Llnity, Fower the quadrate of a Binary, Nine the quadrate of a Tèrnary, Sixteen of a Quaternary, and) are made up by the continual addition of unequal numbers (for, three added to one, make four; five added to four, make nine; feven, to nine, make fixteen; nine to fixteen, make twenty five; eleven to twenty five, make thirty fix, \&ic.) thereupon He infers; that the Aggregates of the fpaces percurred from the beginning to the end of the motion, are as the Quadrates of the times: i. e. affuiming any one particular moment of time, fo many fpaces are found pervaded in the end of that moment, as are indicated in the quadrate number of the fame moment. For Example; when in the end of the firft moment, one fathom of fpace is pervaded; in the end of the fecond moment, four fathom fhall be pervaded; (viz. three being added to one) in the end of the third moment, nine fathom: (five being added to four) in the end of the fourth moment, fixteen fathom (feven being added to nine) and fo forward : fo that, accordingly, the fpaces pervaded from the beginning to the end of the motion, are among themfelves in a Duplicate Ration of moments (as Geometricians fpeak) or equal Divifions of Time ; or, all one as' the Quadrates of moments are one to another.

Galileus, we faid, herein relyed partly upon Experience, partly upon Reafon. Firft, therelore, for his Experience; He affirms, that letcing fall a Bullet, from the altitude of 100 Florentine Cubits (io e. according to exact comparation, 180 feet, Paris meafure, and thirty fathom of ours) He obferved it to pervade the whole fpace; and arrive at the ground, in the fpace of five feconds, or ten femifeconds : and according to fuch a ration, as that in the firt femifecond, it fell down one cubit, in the fecond femifecond, four cubits; in the third femifecond, nine cubits; in the :fourth fixteen; in the fifth twenty five; in the fixth 36 ; in the feventh, forty nine; in the eighth, fixty four; in the ninth, eighty and one; in the tenth the whole hundred. And though the good Merfennus afterward found a bullet to pervade the fame altitude in a much fhorter time; nay, that in the fpace of five feconds, a bullet fell down through the face not onely of one hundred and eighty foot, but even of three hundred, i. e of fifty fathom: yet doth He fully confent; that the Acceleration of its motion arifeth exactly according to Galileos progref, fron by the Quadrates of unequal numbers. So as thatif in the firt femifecond, it defcend one femi-fathom; in the fecond femifecond, it fliall defcend four femifathoms, in the third femifecond, nine femifathoms, \& \& $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{o}}$ : And Gafendus likewife, though he wanted the opportunity of experimenting the thing, from a Tower of the like altitude; found notwithftanding, from different heights; that the proportion was always the [äme as Himfelf at large declares (in Epiff. 1. de proport. qua gravia decident. accelerintur.) Nor need you doubt to find it fo your felf, if in a Glafs Tube, neer upon two fathom long, divided into an hundred degrees, or equal parrs, marked with figures refpectively either cut in, or inferibed …

Nnn
upon

Ari. 14. Galileo's Grounds, Ex. perience and Reafon.
upon papers (after the manner of thofe ufually ftarcht on to Weatherglaffes, to denote the feveral degrees) and not perpendicularly erected, but fomewhat inclining, youlet tall a bullet, and exactly obferve the manner of its defcent, and rate of Acceleration. For, Heavy bodies are, indeed, moved more flowly in Tubes inclined, than in fuch as are perpendicularly crected; but yet fill with the fame proportion of Acceleration.

Secondly, for His Reafor, it confifts in this; that, if the Increment of Velocity be fuppofed to be Uniforme (and there is no reafon, which can perfuade to the contrary) cerrainly, no other proportion can be found out, but that newly expofed : fince, with what Celerity, or Tardity foever you Thall fuppofe the firft fathom to be pervaded it is neceffary that in the fame proportion of time following, three fathoms thould be pervaded; and in the fame proportion of time following, five fathoms fhould be pervaded; \&c. according to the progreffion of Quadrate Numbers. This, that Grear man Foh. Baptifta Balleanus (whom Ricciolus often mentions (is Almagefo novo) bue never without fome honourable attribute) hath demonltrated divers ways in lib.2. de Gravium motw.): but the moft plain Demonftration of the verity thereof, yet excogitated, we conceive to be this, invented by Gaflexdus.

Art. 15.
The faine Demonftrated.


Underfand the Lines $L A B$ and $A C I$ making a rectangular Triangle, by their meeting at the point $A$, to be fo divided, on each fide, into equal parts, at the points $D E F G$ $H I K L$ : (being continued, they may be divided into many more) as that the Lines drawn both betwixt thofe points, and from them to the points MNO, divide the whole fpace $K A L$ into Triangles perfectly alike and equal each to other. This done, Affume the point or Apex $A$, for the beginning of Time, the beginning of lpace, and the beginning of Velocity: All which are to be here confidered in the motion as beginning together with it. Firlt, then we may account the equal parts of each Line, $+B, A C$.for the parts or equal moments of Time, flowing on from the beginning: fo that $A E$ may reprefent the firf moment, $E G$ the fecond, $G I$ the third, $I L$ the fourth. Secondly, we may account thofe equal Triangles, for the equal parts of the fpace, which are pervaded from the beginning : fo that $A$ nother perpendicular Line $P$ 2. being drawn apart, and reprefenting the fall of a ftone, through fixteen fathom, the rriangle $A D E$, may refer the firt fathom $P R$, which is percurred in the firft moment; the three next triangles may refer the three fathoms $R S$, which are percurred in the fecond moment; the five following triangles, the five fathoms $S T$, which are pervaded in the third moment : and the feven following, the reven fathoms, which are pervaded in the fourth moment. Now from
hence
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hence it is manifeft, that the Aggregate fpaces carry the fame proportions, as the Quadrates of Times : when, the Triangle $A D$ $E$ (or fpace $P R$.) is one, as the Quadrate of $A E$, that is of one Time, is one: and the Aggregate $A F G$ (or $P S$ ) is four: as the Quadrate $A G$, of two, is fower: and the Aggregate $A$ HI (or $P T$ ) is nine: as the Quadrate $A I$ of three, is nine ; and the Aggregate $A K L$ (or $P$ 2.) is fixteen, as the Quadrate $A L$ of four, is fixteen.

Thirdly, we may account the Line $D E$ for the firt degree of Velocity acquired in the end of the firft time; infomuch, as the firft time $A E$ is not individual, but may be divided into fo many inftants, or fhorter times, as there are points, or particles in the line $A E$ (or $\cup D$ ) fo neither is the degree of Velocity individual, or wholly acquired in one inftant; but from the beginning encreafeth through the whole firf time, and may be reprexented by fo many Lines, as may be drawn parallel to the Line $D E$, betwixt the points of the Lines $A D$ and $A E$ : fo that, as thofe Lines do continually encreafe from the point $A$ to the Line $D E$; fo likewife doth the Velocity continually encreare from the beginning of the motion, and being reprefented what it is in the intercepred inftants of the firft time, by the intercepted Lines, it may be reprefented what it is in the laft inftant of the fame firtt time, by the Line $D E$ drawn betwixt the two laft points of the Triangle $\triangle D E$. And becaure the Velocity, thenceforward continuing its Encreafe, may be again fignified, by Greater and Greater Lines continently drawn betwixt all the fucceeding points of the remaining Lines, $D B$ and $E C$; hence comes it, that the line $F G$, doth reprefent the degree of Velocity acquired, in the end of the fecond moment : the Line $H$. the Velocity acquired in the end of the third moment; and the Line $K L$. the velocity acquired in the end of the fourth moment. And evident it is from hence, how the velocities refpond in proportions to the Times; fince, by reafon of the Triangles of a common angle, and parallel bafes, it is well known, that as $D E$ are to $E A$, fo $F G$ to $G A: H$ to $I A$, and $K L$ to $L A$. Thus, keeping your eye upon the Figure, and your mind upon the Analogy; you fhall fully comprehend, that in the firft moment of Time, the falling ftone doth acquire one degree of Velocity, and pervades one degree of fpace; that in the fecond moment of Time, it acquires another degree of Velocity, which being conjoynd to the former, makes two, and in the mean while three fpaces are pervaded; that in the third moment, it acquires another degree of Velocity, which conjoyned to the two former makes three, and in the mean while feven parts of face are pervaded; and fo forward. You fhall fully comprehend alfo, that the Celerities obtain the fame Ration, as the moments of Time: and that the fpaces pervaded from the beginning to the end of the motion, have the fame Ration, as the Quadrates of the naoments of Time; which we affumed to Demonftrate, out of Gaffendus. But fill it concerns you to remember, that we here difcourre of that Motion, which is Equally, or Uniformly Accelerated; or whofe velocity doth continually and uniformly encreafe, nor is there any moment of the confequent time, in which the motion is not more fiwifr, than it was in every antecedent moment, and in which it is not accelerated
according to the fame Reafon. For, the want of this Advertifement in chief, feems to have been the unhappy occafion of that great trouble the Learned Jefuit Petrus CaZraus put Gaf endus to, in his tivo Epiftles, De Proportione, qua Gravia decidentia accelerantar.

Art. 16.
The pbyfrad Reafon of that Proportion.

And this kindly conducts us to the Phyfical Reafon of this Proportion, in which the velocity of bodies Defcending is obferved to encreafe. For wholly excluding the fuppofition of the Aers affiftance of the Downward motion of a ftone, by recurring above, and fo impelling it downward; and admitting the Magnetick Atrraction of the Earth to be the fole Caufe of its Defcent; unto both which the confiderations formerly alleadged feem to oblige us: it is familiar for us to conceive, that the Increment of its Celerity, according to the proportion affigned, arifeth from hence. While in the firft moment, the earth attracts the ftone, one degree of Celerity is acquired, and one degree of fpace is pervaded. In the fecond moment, the attraction of the Earth continuing, another degree of celerity is acquired, and three equal fpaces are pervaded : one by reafon of the degree of celerity in the mean while acquired, and two by reafon of the degree of celerity formerly acquired, and itill perfevering, as that which is doubly rquivalent to the new degree in the mean while acquired; becaufe it is Complete and entire from the very beginning of the $2^{\text {d }}$ moment, but the other is only acquiring, or in fieri, and fo not complete till the end of the fecond moment. Then, according to the fame Ration, in the third moment another degree of celerity is acquired, and five fpaces (equal) are pervaded; one by reafon of the new degree of celerity in the mean while acquired, and fower by reafon of the two former perfevering, i.e.two in each moment præcedent, or one of a duplicate æquivalency to the new one not yet complete. Then, in the fourth moment another degree of celerity is acquired, and feven fpaces are pervaded; one by reafon of the frefh degree in the interim acquired, and fix by reafon of the three former perfevering, i. e. two in each precedent moment. And fo of the reft through the whole motion, computing the degrees of encreafing Celerity, by the ration of Quadrate Numbers.

Art. 17
The Reafon of the Equal $V$ elocity of Bodies of very different sre!ghts, falling from the fame altitude; inferred from the fame Theory.

Now, many are the Phyfical Theorems, and of confiderable importance, which might be genuinely deduced from this excellent and fruitful Phyficomathematical fecculation ; and as many the admired Apparences in nature, that offer themfelves to be folved by Reafons more than hinted in the fame: but, fuch is the ftrictnefs of our method, and wearinefs of our Pen, that we can, in the prefent, make no farther advantage of it, than only to infer from thence the moft probable Reafon of that fo famous Phænomenon, The equal velocity of two fones, or bullets, the one of 100 pornd, the other of only one ounce weight, defcending from the fame altitude; experience conftantly attefting, that being dropt down together, or turned off, in the fame inftant, from the top of a tower; the Leffer fhall arrive at the ground, as foon as the Greater. For, this admirable Effeet feems to have no other Caule but this; that the Leffer body, as it containeth fewer parts, fo doth it require the Impulfes or ftrokes of fewer Magnetical rays, by which the attraction is made : and fuch is the proportion of the two forces, as that each moveable being confidered with what Refiftence you pleafe, ftill is the force in the movent equally fufficient to overcome that refiftence, and a few magnetique rays fuffice to the attraction
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attraction of a few parts, as well as many to the attraction of many parts. So that the fpace being equal, which both are to pervade; it follows, that it muft be pervaded by both, in equal or the fame time. Provided always, that the two bodies affumed, be of the fame matter; for, in cafe they be of divers matters, as the one of Wood, the other of Iron or Lead, that may caufe fome fmall Difference in their Velocity. We fay, fome fmall Difference; becaufe, if we take two Globes of different materials and weights, but of the fame or equal diameters, as (V.G.) one of Lead, the other of Wax : we fhall be very far from finding, that the Heavier will be carried down more fiviftly than the Lighter, in a proportion to the excefs of its Gravity. For, if one be ten times heavier than the other; yet fhall not the Heavier therefore, both being turned off, in the fame inftant, arrive at the ground ten times fooner than the Lighter: bur, at the fame time as the heavier, arrives at the ground, from the altitude of 10 Fathoms; the lighter thall come within a foot of the earth; fo far fhort doth the lighter come of being nine fathoms behind the Heavier. And the Caufe, why the Lighter Globe of Wax, is carried fo fwifty, is the fame with that, why a bullet of Lead of only an ounce weight, is carried down as fiwiftly as another bullet of roo pound. And, what though the Globe of Wax be as great in circumference, as the other of Lead, and fomewhat greater; yet feeing fill it hath fewer parts to be attracted, it therefore requires fewer magnetical rays to its attraction with equal velocity to the heavier. But, theCaufe why it is carried fomwhat, though very little, flower than the heavier; is to be derived chiefly from the Aer refifting it underneach, the Aer being more copious in proportion to the virtue Attrahent, in refpect of the greatnefs of its Ambite, or Circumference: and thence is it, that Cork, Pith of Elder, Atraws, feathers, and the like lefs compact, and fo more light bodies, fall down much more flowly.

From this Experiment, and the Reafon of it, we have an opportunity of obferving and eafily underftanding the Diftinction of Gravity into Simple and Adjectitious : the Former being that, which is competent to a body though unmoved, and whofe quantity may be exactly determined by the balance fufpending the body in the aer, the Latter being proper only toa body moved, and vanifheth as foon as the body attaineth quiet, and whofe meafure is to be explored both from the quantity of the fimple gra vity which the body bears during its quiet, and the Altitude from which it falls. Thus, affuming two Bullets, the one of an ounce, the other of 100 pound, Simple Gravity, according to the Scales; the Adjectitious Gravity of the Leffer bullet, acquired by the increment of its velocity during its defcent, muft be lefs proportionably to its fimple gravity, than the Adjectitious gravity of the Greater bullet, acquired by the increment of its Velocity during its Defcent, in the fame time, and from the fame altitude : becaufe, the fpace and time of the defcent of both being equal, the proportion of the acquired gravity of each muft be refpondent to the proportion of the fimple gravity of each. So that if in the end of the fall of the Leffer bullet of an ounce weight, the Adjectitious Gravity of it fhall amount to jo ounces: the Adjectitious gravity of the Greater of 100 pound weight, thall, in the end of its fall, amount to a thoufand pound; nor can the Acquired Gravity of the Leffer ever equal that of the Greater, unlefs is fall from a far greater Altisude.

Here,

Art. 18. Gravity Diftinguifh'r into simple, and Adjectizious.

Art. 19.
The Rate of that fuperlative velociey, with which a Bullec would be carried, in cafe it fhould fall from the Moon, Sun, or region of the Fixed Mars, to the Earch:and from each of thole vaft heights, to the Centre of the Earth.

Here, perhaps, you'l Demand our opinion, concerning that admirable becaufe fuperlative Velocity, which Galilao and other Mathematicians conceive that a bullet would acquire in cafe it fhould fall to the Earth from thofe valt (we might have faid Immenfe) heights of the choon, Sun, and region of the Fixed ftarrs. Of this, therefore, we fay in fhort; (1) That, in this cafe, Mathematicians are wont to fuppofe, that there are the fame Caules of Gravity and Velocity in thofe fublime places, as are obferved here with us below, or neer the furface of the Earth: and if they be not, certainly our Defcription and Computation muft be altogether vain and fruitlefs. For, if the Caule of Gravity, and confequently of the Velocity be the Attraction made by the magnetique rays tranfmitted from the Earth; forafmuch as thofe magnetique rays muft become more Rare, and fewer of them arrive at a body, by how much farther it is removed from the Earth: though, perchance, a bullet might be attracted down from the region of the Moon (and if fo, the motion of the bullet would be very flow, for a good while, in refpect of the very few magnetique rays, that could arrive to that great height) yet from that far greater height of the region of the Fixt ftars, a bullet could not be attraeted at all, it being impoffible that any magnetique ray fhould be tranfmitted fo far as half way thither. (2) But, fuppofing that the magnetique Virtue of the Earth did extend thither; and that a bullet, from whence foever falling, fhould begin its motion with that fpeed, and proceed according to the fame degrees of Acceleration, which we obferve in a fone, or bullet falling from a very high tower : then muft it of neceffity acquire that incredible Velocity, which our Mathematicians defcribe. To Particular; conceding the Diftances or Intervals betwixt the Earth and each of thofe Crefeftial Orbs, which our modern and beft Aftronomers generally affign; a bullet would fall from the body, or rather the Limbus of the Moon, to the Earth, in two hours and an half; from the Limbus of the Sun, in eleven hours and a quarter: from the region of the Fixt ftars, in 39 hours and a quarter. And fo, if we imagine the Earth to be perforated to the Centre, fince a bullet would fall from the fuperfice thereof down to the Centre, in 20 minutes, or the third part of an hour : the fame bullet coming from the moon, would pervade the fame fpace from the fuperfice of the Earth to the Centre of it, in one minute and twenty feconds, or the third part of a minute : coming from the Sun, it would pervade the fame femidiametral fpace of the Earth, in feventeen feconds: and coming from the region of the Fixt fars, it would percur the fame femidiametral fpace of the Earth, in five feconds. So incredibly great would be the Velocity of a bullet falling from fuch vaft Altitudes. And this we think fufficient, concerning the Dommpard motion of Bodies, accounted Heavy.

## SECT. III.

THe Remnant of our præfent Province confifts only in the confideration of the Upward motion of Heavy Bodies PROJECTED : concerning which the principal Enquiries among Philofophers are (1) VVhat and whence is that Force, or Virtue motive, whereby bodies projected are carried on, after they are feparated from the Projicient? (2)What are the Laws of their motion. Direct, and Reflex?

Concerning the FIRST, therefore, we oblerve, that Arifotle (in 8. phyfic.cap.ult.) and moft of his Sectators confidently affirm, that a fone thrown out of a fling, an arrow fhot from a bow, a bullet difcharged from a Gun, \&c. is moved only by the Aer, from the time of its feparation from the fling, bow, or Gun: and the manner of that motive activity of the Aer upon the thing projected, They thus explicate. The Aer (fay they) which is firf moved by the Projicient, together with the moveable, doth, at the fame time, both propel the moveable, and impel the Aer immediately beyond it, which being likewife moved, doth in the fame manner propel the moveable, and impel the aer immediately beyond it; and that aer being thus moved, doth again impel both the moveable and the aer next beyond it: and fo confequently the next aer impels both the moveable and the next aer beyond it, until the propulfion and promotion being gradually debilitated, and at length wholly overcome, partly by the Gravity of the thing moved, partly by the Refiftence of the occurring Aer, the motion wholly cealeth, and the thing projected attaineth quiet.

And that others contend, that the Body Projected is carryed forward by a Force (as They call it) Impreft; which they account to be a Quality fo communieated unto the body projected, from the Projicient, as that not being indelible, it muft gradually decay in the progrefs thereof, and at length wholly perih, whereupon the motion alfo muft by degrees remit its violence, and at length abfolutely vanih, and the thing projected again recover its native quiet. But, left we trifle away our precious moments, in confuting each of thele weak Opinions, againft which the Reafon of every man is ready to object many great abfurdities, elpecially fuch as the præcedent theory will foon advertife him of: let us præfently recur to the more folid fpeculations of our matter Gafjendus in his Epiftles (de motu impreffo a motore tranfato) and prexenting you the fummary shereof, without further delay latisfie your Curiofity, and our own Debr of affifting it.

Firft we are to determine, that nothing, remaining it felf unmoved, cas move another. For, fince our Difcourle concerns not the Firft Caufe of all motion, God, whofe Power is infinite, who is in all places, who can, only by the force of his Will, create, move, and deftroy all things; manifeft it is, that nothing Finite, efpecially Corporeal (and fuch only hath
an intereft in our prefent confideration) can move another thing, unlefs it felf be alfo moved, at the fame time: as Plato well obferved in his faying, Neque ef Difficile modo, Sed etiam plane impof sibile, ut quidpiam motum imprimere, fine quapiam fui commotione, valeat: (in Timeo.) And the Reafon is this; whatever dorh move, doth act; and e conver $\int 0$, whatever doth act, doth move; Action and Paffion (as Arittotle, 3 .phyfic. 3 ) being the fame with motion. Again, the movent and Moveable ought to be together, or to touch each other, becaufe, whether the movent impel, attract, carry, or rowle the moveable : neceffary it is, that ftill it floould imprefs fome certain Force upon it: and force it can imprefs none thereupon, unlefs by touching it. And though it doth touch it, yet if it difcharge no force of motion upon it, i. e. remain unmoved it felf: there thall be only a meer Contact reciprocal, but no motion, and as the one, fo fhall the other remain unmoved. Therefore, that the one may move the other : it ought to have that vigour or morion firft in it felf, which it doth imprefs upon the orher : fince if it have none, it can give none. Even fenfe demonftrates, that by how much more vehement motion the movent it felf is in, at the inftant it toucheth the moveable, by fo much the farther doth it always propel the fame: and thence our Reafon may neceffarily infer, that the movent muft it felf be in fome fmall motion, in the fame inftant it gives a fmall motion to another. Moreover, though Ariftotle (in 8.phyfic.ap.5.) fubrly Diftinguifheth three Things in motion, viz. the Movensut quod, as (V.G.) a man, the Movens ut quo, as a ftaff: and the Mobile, as a fone : and thereupon magifterially teacheth, that the fone is moved, and doth not move; that the ftaff is moved, and doth move : that the man doth move, and is not moved : yet is it not evident, how far thort He comes, of thereby Demonftrating the Immobility of the Firft Movent, to which He pratended. For whereas He urgeth, that otherwife nemult proceed to Ir.finity; that binds not at all : becaufe the movens ut quod, the man is moved by Himfelf: and fenfe declares, that the man muft move his Arm, or Hand together with the ftaff, which if you fuppofe not to be the movens ut quo, (the ftone being not moved thereby) but the mobile it felf: is not the movent it felf alfo moved? Suppofe alro, that the mans Arme, or Hand is the movens ut guo, nay if you pleafe, that his whole Body, or the Mufcles, or Nerves, or Spirits, are'the movens ut quo, and deriving the motion from his very Soul, fuppofe that to be the movens ut quod: yet truely can you not conceive, that the Soul, it felf remaining Immore, doth move the Arm, or Hand. Nor is the Soul it Celf then moved onely by Accident (as when a marriner is carried by the motion of his fhip) but alfo per $\int e$, as when the mariner moves himfelf, that he may move the Oar, that it may move the fhip, in which himfelf is carried. For, as a fhip, in a calm fea, would not be moved it felf, nor the mariner be moved with it, by Accident : in cafe the mariner himfelf wanted motion, whereby to impel his fhip: fo neither would the body be moved, nor the Soul be moved therewith by Accident, unlefs the foul be firft agitated within, with a motion whereby the body is moved. Conclude, therefore that nothing can be projected, but the Projicient muft not only Touch it, either immediately, or mediately by fome Inftrument; but alfo Propel it with the fame motion, wherewith it felf is, in the fame inftant, moved.

It is moreover neceffary, that the movent be moved, not only in a point,
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or fo far as that point of fpace, in which it firft toucheth the moveable: but alfo that a while cohxring unto the moveable, it be moved along with it: So as we may well conceive them to be made, by that Coherfion, as it were one and the fame body, or one entire moveable, pro tempore; and confequently, that the motion of both the movent and moveable is one intire motion. For, what motion is in the moveable, fo long as it remains conjoyned to the movent, is in a manner a certain Tyrocinium, in which the moveable is as it were taught to progrefs foreward in that way, which the movent hath begun, upward, downward, tranfverfe, oblique, circular, and that either flowly, or fiviftly, and according as the movent flall guide and direct it, before its manumiffion or difmiffion.

Thus, when a man throws a fone with his hand, you may plainly perceive, how the motion thereof begins together with that of his hand : and after it is difcharged from his hand, you cannot fay, that a new motion is impreffed upon the fone, but only that the fame motion begun in the hand is contintued. And, therefore, it feems alfo very unneceffary to require the impreffion of any new and diftinct Force upon the ftone projected, by the projicient, which fhould be the Caufe of its motion after its Difmiffion: feeing nothing elfe is impreffed, but the very motion to be continued through a certain fpace; fo that we are not to enquire; what motive Virtue that is, which makes the Perfevering motion, but what hath made the motion, that is to perfever. In the moveable, certainly, there is none but a Paffive Force to motion; nor can the Active Force be required in any thing but the movent : and fhould we, with the Vulgar, fay, that there is an Impreft Force remaining, for fome time, in the thing moved, or projected; we could thercby underftand no other than the Impetus, or motion it felf.

Here might we opportunely infift upon this, that motion is impreffed upon a thing moved, only in refpect, that the thing moved hath lefs force of Refiftence, than the movent hath of Impulfion: fo that the movent, forcing it felf into the place of the moveable; compels it to recede, or give way, and go into another place. But it is more material for us to obferve; that when a thing projected is impelled, it is firf touched by the projicient only in thofe parts, which are in its fuperfice or outfide and that thofe outward parts, being preffed by the impulfe, do drive inward or prefs upon the parts next to them; and thofe again impel the parts nex: to them, and thofe again the next to them; till the impulfe be by fucceffion propagated quite through the body of the thing projected, to the fuperficial parts in the oppofite fide, and then begins the motion of the whole, the parts reciprocally cohxring: as hath been formerly explained, in the example of a long pole, or beam of wood. Which being percuffed, but with a very gentle or foftly ftroke, that one end hath all its parts fo commoved fucceffively, as that the ftroke may be plainly perceived by a man, that lays his ear clofe to the other end : which could not be if the impulfe were not propagated from parts to parts fucceffively, through the whole fubftance of the beam. To which it is requifite, that we fuperad this obfervable alfo; that by reafon of the force made by Contact, and that fhort Cohrefion of the moveable to the movent, there is created a certain Tenfion, or ftrefs of all the parts of it,' towards the oppofite region: and of that by that means, all the parts of the thing
projeted, are difpofed or contormed as it were into certain $F i b e r s$, or direat Files; of all which the mof ftrong and powerful is that, which being trajected through the Centre of Gravity in the thing projected, becomes as it were the $A x i$ s to all the circumftant ones. Our eys afcerain, that unlefs the Centre of Gravity be in the middle of the thing projected, or directly obverted to the mark, at which the thing is thrown; the thing inftantly turns it felf about, and that part, wherein the Centre of Graviry is, always goes foremoft, and as it were carries the reft of the parts, as that which is the moft Direct and moft Tenfe of all the Fibres. And this cannot be effected, but with fome (more or lefs) Deffection from che mark, at which the force, according to the Centre and Axis of Gravity, was direqed; forafmuch as the Centre of Gravity, wherein many Fibres concur, makes fome Refiftence, and deorting the Fibres, inflecteth them another way, and fo a new Axis is made pro tempore, according to which the Direction of all the parts in their motion afterward is determined. Hence is it, that, if you would hir a mark, ecther with a fing, or fonebow, you muft choofe a ftone, or bullet of an uniform matter and compofition: or, at leaft, turn the heavier part of the body to be thrown, forward; becaufe otherwife, it will Deflect more or lefs, to one fide or ocher according to the pofition and inclination of its Centre of Gravity.Moreover, whether foever the thing projected doth tend, all the Fibers conftantly follow the Direction of the Axis, or are made parallels thereunto; fo that as often as the Centre is changed, fo often doth the Axis, fo often do all the Fibres change their pofition, and follow the Centre. Which we infert chiefly in refpect of the motion of Convolution, or Turning of a thing projected immediately after its Difmiffion; and of the Curvity of that Line, which is thereby defribed, whether afcending, or defcending. But thefe are onely Tranfient Touches, or Hints'; that we might eafily intimate, why a motion once impreft, is continued rather this way, than that : and why Feathers, Sponges, and the like Light and Porous bodies, arc incapable of having quick and vehement motions impreft upon them; becaure they confift of interrupted Fibres, and fuch as are not Dirigible with the Centre of Gravity.

Art. 3. Tharall Motion, in a free or Empy frace, nurf be Uniform, and Perpetual: and that the chief Caule of the Inequality and Brevity of the morion of things project. ed through the Atmolphere, is the magne. tique Artraction of the Earch.

Here we ask leave, once more to have recourfe to that ureful fuppofition of a fone fituate in the immenfity of the Imaginary fpaces. We lately faid, as you may remember, that if a ftone placed in the empty Extramundane fpaces, fhould be impelled any way, the motion thereof would be continued the fame way, and that uniformly or equally, and with tardity or celerity proportionate to the fmartnefs or gentlenefs of the Impulfe, and perpetually in the fame line; becaure in thofe empty faces it could meet with no caure, which byDiverfion might either acceleratc, or retard its motion. Nor ought it to be Objected, that nothing Violent can be Perpettal; becaufe, in this cafe, there could be no Repugnancy or Refiftence, but a pure Indifferency in the ftone to all regions, there being no Centre, in relation whereunto it may be conceived to be Heavy or Light. And, therefore, the condition of the ftone would be the very fame, as to Uniformity and Perpetuity of motion, with that of the Cæleftial Orbs; which being obnoxious to no Rectardation, orAcceleration, but free from all Repugnancy internal, and Refiftence External, confantly and indefinently maintain that Gircular motion, which was, in the firft moment of their Creation, impreft uopon them, by the Will of the Cre-
tor; and that toward one part, rather than any other. Let us now £arther confider ; feeing that if upon fome large horizontal plane you fiould place a fmooth Globe, and then gently impel it; you would oblerve it to be moved therupon equally and indefinently, till it came to the end thereof: why may you not lawfully conjecture, that if the Terreftrial Globe were of a fuperfice exquifitely polite, or fmooth as the fineft Venice Glafs; and another fmall Globe as polite were placed in any part of its fuperfice? and but gently impelled any way, it would be moved with conftant Uniformity quite round the Earth, according to the line of its firf direction; and having rowled once round the Earth, it would, without intermiffion again begin, or rather continue another Circuir, and fo maintain a perpetual Circulation upon the furface of the Earth? Efpecially, fince there is no Difficulty to difcourage that conjecture; forafmuch as look how many parts of the fmall Globe, during the motion thereof, tend toward the Centre of the Earth, juft fo many are, at the fame time, elevated from it: fo that a fullCompenfation being made in all points of the motion, the fame cannot but perperually continue, and in the fame equal tenour, there being no Declivity, whereby it hould be Accelerated, no Acclivity, wherby it thould be Retarded, no Cavity, whereby after many accurfes and recurfes, or reciprocations, it thould be brought at length to acquiefce. Moreover, in order to our grand fcope, let us fuppofe, that the fpace; through which a ftone fhould be Projected, were abfolute Inane, or fuch as the Imaginary fpaces; and then we muft acknowledge, that it would be carried in a direct and invariate line, through the fame fpace, and with and Uniforme and Perperual motion, until it fhould meet with fome other fpace, full of magnetique rayes, Aer, or fome other refifting fubftance. But, here with us, in the Atmofphere; becaufe no fpace is Inane (fenfibly) bur replete as well with Aer, as with millions of magnetique rayes tranfmitted from the Earth; and fo a fone Projected muft encounter them in every point of fpace through which ir moves: therefore is it, that it cannot be moved either in a direct Line, or equally, or long. For, fince multitudes of magnetique Rayes mutt neceffarily invade and attach it, as foon asit is difcharged from the Projicient ; though at firft fetting forth it break through them, and fo is fearce at all Deflected : yet becaufe more and more magnetique rayes frethly lay hold of it in every part of fpace, renew the Attraction, and fo more and more infringe and weaken the force of its motion ; hence comes it, that in the progrefs it doth by little and little Deffect from the Line of Direction, moves flower and flower, and at length finking down to the Earth, thereon attains its quiet. Hereupon, when men fhall Demand, what is that Caufe, which weakens and at laft quite deftroys the Virtue Impreffed upon a thing Projected; rightly underftanding, by the Virtue Impreft, the motion begun by the Projicient, and continued by the Projectum: the Anfiver is manifeft; viz. That it is the Attraction of the Earth, which firf oppofeth, afrer gradually refracteth, and in fine wholly overcometh the motion impreft, and fo determineth the Projectum to Quiet. Hence alfo may we learn, that All motion once impreffed, is of it felf Indelible, and cannot be Diminifhed, or Determined, but by fome External Caufe, shat is of power to reprefs it.

Art. 4. That, in th Atmofphere, no body can be projedted in a Direct line; unlefs perpendicularly Upward, orDownward: and why.

Art. 5. That the Mo. tion of a flone projeded upwards obliquely, is Compored of an Horizontal and Perpendicular rogecher.

This confidered, you may pleafe to obferve, that through the Atmofphere, or fpaces circumvironing the Terreftrial Globe, being fo poffoffed by the Aer and fwarms of Magnetique Rayes, no body can be projected in an abfolate Direct : or perfectly Areight Line, unlefs perpendicularly upward or downward. For, if the projection be made either obliquely, or parallel to the Horizon; the projectum fuddainly begins to Deflect from the mark at which it was aimed, and fo defribes not a ftreight, but crooked line. Not that the Deflection or Curvity is fenfible, at a fmall diftance, efpecially if the motion be vehement, fuch as that of an Arrow fhot from a Bowe, or Bullet difcharged froma Gun: but, that in every point of face, and time, the thing Projected is attracted fomewhat Downward; and there is the fame Reafon for its Deflection in the firt, as there is for its Deflection in the fecond, third, fourth, or any following point of fpace, and inftant of time, though the greater oppofition of the Force impreft makes that Deflection lefs at the firft. Nor ought it to incline us to the contrary, that Archers and Gunners frequently hit the mark, at which they levelled, to fome certain diftance : becaufe, that Diftance is commonly fuch, as that the Deflection therein is not fenfible, though it be fometimes an hairs-breadth, two, three, or four, fometimes an inch below the mark.

Further you may obferve, that when a ftone is projected, or a bullet fhot upward, yet not perpendicularly, but obliquely; the motion thereof is to be confidered, not as fimply perpendicular, or fimply Horizontal, but as mixed, or compofed of aiz Horizontal and Perpendicular together: of a Perpendicular, forafmuch as the Altitude thereof may be meafured by a Perpendicular line; of an Horizontal, forafmuch as it is made according to the Horizon, and the Latitude thereof may be taken by the plane of the Horizon. But, becaufe by how much the more it hath of the perpendicular, fo much the lefs it hath of the Horizontal; fo that the Altitude of it may amount to fifty feet, and the Latitude not exceed one foot: therefore is it manifeft, that the crooked Line defcribed by this Compafs motion, cannot be Circular ; and Galileo (Dialog.4.) hath demonftrated that the Line is parabolical, or fuch as Geomerricians defcribe in the ambite of a Cone, when they fo interfect it obliquely from one fide at the bafe, that the motion of the interfection is made parallel to the other fide left whole, for the Area of each refegment is the Geometricians Parabola: and the crooked ambite of the Area, is a Parabolical Line, and frequently taken for the Parabola it felf. We remember alfo, how Galilao, upon confequence, and among other remarkables doth, obferve; that of all Projections, made by the fame force, the Longeft, and in that refpect the moft Efficacious, is that, which is made to an bulf-right Angle, or by aiming at the forty fifth degree of Altitude; in refpect of the more prolix Parabola which is defcribed by the Projectum, aimed at that altitude : fince at all other altitudes the Parabola muft be fhorter ; the fuperior Altitudes being lefs, and the inferior more open than is requifite.

Now this Compofition of a Perpendicular and Horizontal motion may be moft conveniently Demonftrated unto you, thus. Being in a fhip, under fayl, if you hold a Ball in your hand; the motion of the ball will be onely Horizontal, viz. That, whereby the Thip doth carry you, your hand, and the ball in it. If the fhip ftand ftill, and you throw the ball direetly upward; the motion of the ball will be onely Perpendicular : but if the hip be moved, at the fame inftant you throw the ball upward; then will the motion thereof be Compound, partly Perpendicular, partly Horizontal. For, the ball thall be carried obliquely, and defcribe a Parabolical line, in which it afcends and again falls down again; and in the mean time, it thall be promoved Horizontally. The Perpendicular alone, your felf may difcern with your own eye : becaufe, the horizontal is common both to the ball and your eye, and when as well the ball, as your eye is promoved, therefore doth it always appear imminent over your eye, and in the fame perpendicular: but, for the Horizontal, He onely can deprehend it, who ftands ftill on the fhoare, or another fhip not carryed on at the fame rate, as that wherein youre.

Herein there occur Two things, not unworthy our admiration. The One is, that though there be two divers Forces or motions impreffed upon the Ball, at the fame time: the one from the Vibration of your Arm, the other from the horizontal Tranflation of the Jhip: yet doth weither deffroy the other, but each attains its proper fcope as fully, as if they were impreffed apart. For, the Ball afcends as high, when the flip is moved forward, as when it ftands ftill : and whether it defcribe a Direct, or a Cemiparabolical : and again, it is as much promoved Horizontally, when you divert is upward by projection, as when you hold it ftill in your hand and fo it be carried onely by the motion of the fhip : and confequently whether the motion thereof defcribe a Direct line, or a whole Parabola. Onely this you are to note : that a greater Force is required to the projection of a Ball from the foot to the top of the Matt, when the fhip moves forward, than when it lies at anchor : becaufe that femiparabolical line, which the Ball muft defcribe in the former cafe, is fhorter than that perpendicular one, which it muft defcribe in the latter : and however the vibration or fiwing of your arme may feem to you to be equal in both cafes, yet is that vibration or force, whereby the ball is carried upward to the top of the Maft, when the fhip is in motion, really greater than that? whereby the fame ball is carried to the fame height, when the fhiplies quict : becaufe, in the former cafe, there is fuperadded to the force of your arme, the force which is impreffed both upon you and your arme (without your apprehenfion) by the motion of the fhip. This you fhall plainly perceive, if you onely drop down a ball from the top of the Maft, without any frwing or motion of your arme at all. For, feeing that the ball dorh always fall at the foot of the maft, in the fame diftance from it, as it was in the inftant of its dimiffion from the top; whether the fhip be moved, or quict : neceffary it is, that fome force be impref upon
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Demonftration of that Compoftion.
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the ball by the motion of the fhip, or the the fame motion, whereby both the Maft it felf, and your hand are affected, at the inftant of its dimiffion; fince it muft defcribe a femiparabolical line, longer than that Direct one, which it would defcribe, if it fell down the ilhip being quiet. And hence comes it, that if you project a ball from the Poop to the Fore Caftle of a fhip, under fayl, and back again from the Fore-Caftle to the Poop; you thall imprefs a greater force upon it, in throwing it from the Poop to the Fore-Caftle, than back again from the Fore-Caftle to the Poop: becaufe, in the former cale, the force or feconding impulfe of the thip muft be fuperadded to the force of your arme in projection, and fo make it the ftronger; and, in the latter cafe, the contrary force of the thip doth as much detract from the force of your arme, and fo make it the weaker. And though the ball be carried over equal (paces of the Deck of the thip, in both cafes: yet fhall it not be carried throughequal fpaces in the Aer.

Art. 8. That the fpace of time, in which the Ball is Afcending fiom the Foot to the Top of the Maft ; is equal to that, in which it is again Defcending from the top to the foot.

Hence may it be Demonftrated, that the fpace of Time which the ball is Afcending from the foot to the top of the Maft, is Equal to that in which it is Defcending again from the top to the foot. For, were it not fo, when the ball is projected in a line perpendicular and parallel to the Maft, the ball would not afcend and defcend always at the fame diftance from the Maft, but would either defert it, or be deferted by it, the thip being in motion. Whence it follows alfo, that in what proportion the velocity of the ball Afcending doth decreafe ; in the fame proportion doth the velocity of the ball again Defcending encreare : fo that the motion of the ball muft be of equal velocity, when it is removed from the plane of the fhip, one fathom afcending, or defcending, and likewife at the altitude of one foor, afcending or defcending. Again, forafmuch as the force of your arme, projecting the ball, is ftill equal; but the force fuperadded thereunto by the motion of the fhip, may be more or lefs vehement, according as the thip is carried with greater or lefs fpeed : thence it follows, that the Parabolical lines defcribed by the ball, are refpectively Greater or Lefs, and the motions of it through the Aer more or lefs fwift. But, yet all are performed in Equal Time; becaufe the times of them all are equal to the fame time, which is due to the fimple Affent and Defcent, and with the fame proportion of parts.

Art. 9.
That, though the PerpendicuLarmotion of a finne thrown ohliquely upward, be Unejual, both in irsafcent and defcent: yet is tie Horizon.
tal of Equal Velscity inall parts of pace.

The other, which deferves our admiration, is this; that notwithftanding, of the twofold motion compofing the Oblique one, that which is Perpendicular, is Llnequal, the Velocity thereof being as well diminifhed in the affent, as augmented in the defeent, fothat; in equal moments of time, lefs fpaces are pervaded in the affent, and greater in the defcent : yet is that motion, which is Horizontal, plainly Equal inall its parts, or of equal velocity throughout; So that equal fpaces of the Horizon are pervaded in equal times. The truch of this is conftant from hence ; that if (the fhip being equally moved on, and the ball being projected in a line parallel to the Maft) the foor of the Maft mall pervade twenty
paces, or an hundred foot of horizontal fpace : the ball fhall be horizontally (i. c. toward that region, to which the thip tends) promoved, not more fiviftly or flowly in one pace or foot, than in another, but equally in all : for, otherwife, it could not be always imminent over the fame part of the hhip neer the Maft: nor therefore confint in the fame line, or diftance from the Maft : which yet it confantly obferves. But this eafily deceives, that at the end of the balls afcent, or beginning of its defcent, the motion is floweft: but then are we to oblerve, that the Devexity, or Conformity of it to the Horizon is the Greater, as when it comes lower, where the motion is more rapid, the Devexity is lefs, and its conformity to the Perpendicular greater : So that the whole Inequability doth confift in the Affent and Defcent, or Perpendicular motion of the ball : while in the mean time there is a perfect Æquability in its HoriZontal advance, or promotion. From hence we collect: that fince a thing Projected is moved unequally, infomuch as it tends upward or downeward : and not as it progreffert parallel to the Horizon, or Ambite of the Earth : therefore is it, that the upward and downward motions are both to be accounted Violent: but the HoriZontal, or Circtular, Natural: Equality, or Uniformity being the infeparable Character of Natural, and Inequality of Violent motion.

Thus far have we treated of that Returning or Reflex motion of Bodies, whereby, being violently projected upward, they revert or fall down again, by reafon of the magnetique Atrraction of the Earth : and it now remains onely, that we confider the Reafons of that orher fpecies of motion Reflex or Rebounding, whereby Bodies, being alfo violently moved or projected any way, are impeded in their courfe and Diverted from the line of their Direction, by other bodies encountring them. Concerning this Theorem, therefore, be pleafed to know, that among all Reflexions, by way of Rebound or Refilition, that is the Chiefeft, when a body projected, and impinged againft another body, is returned from thence directly, or in the fame line toward the place, from whence it was projected : which always happens, when the Projection is made to right Angles, or in regular line, fuch as that in which a Heavy body defcends upon an horizontal plane. And all other Reflections are in dignity inferior thereunto, as fuch whereby the thing proje eted doth not rebound in a direft line toward the fame point from whence it was projected, but to fome orter region by other lines : according as it is projected in lines more or leis oblique. Becaufe, with what inclination a body falls upon a plane, with the very fame inclination doth it rebound from the plane (efpecially a Globe, and fuch as is of an uniform matter, and confequently hath the Centre of magnitude and that of Gravity coincident in the fame point) fo that by how much the more oblique the projection is, and how much the lefs is the Angle made of its line with the line of the plane, (called the Ans le of Incidence) fo much the more oblique is the reflexion made, and fo much the lefs the Angle made of its line, with the line of the plane continued (called the Angle of Ke-
flexion) and that fo long, as till the line of projection thall become parallel to the plane, and fo, no body occurring to or encountring the projectum, no reflexion at all be made.

Art. II. That the Emer. fron of a weight appenfed to a ftring, fron the perpendicnlar, to which it had reduced it felf, in Vibration; is a Reflexion Median berwixt No Reflexion as all, and the Leaft Reflexion afignable ; and the Rule of all otherReflexion whatever.

Art.I 2. The Realon of the A:Iuality of the Angles of Incidenie and Reflexion.

Know moreover, that betwixt $\mathbb{N} 0$ Reflexion at all, and the Leaft Reflexion that is poffible, there may be affigned as it were a certain Medium; and that is the Emerfion or Rifing up again of a weight appenfed to a thread or Luteftring, when performing a vibration or fwing from one fide to the other', it afcends from the perpendicular Line, to which by defcending it had reduced it felf. For, in that cafe, no reflecting body doth occur, $a^{\circ}$ fimple Arch is defrribed; and yet there is as a certain Procidence or falling down to the loweft point of the Arch, fo alfo a certain Refilition or rifing up again from the loweft point of the Arch, toward the contrary fide. Again, having conceived a direct line touching the loweft point of the Arch, fo as that the weighe fufpended by a ftring, may, in its vibration, glance upon it with its loweft extreme, and onely in a point touch the horizontal line; you fhall have on each fide an Angle made from the Arch and the line touching it, which is therefore called the Angle of Contingence : and becaufe Geometricians demonftrate, that the Angle of Contingence, which truly differs from a right line, is lefs than any Rectilinear Angle, however acute ; therefore may each of thofe Angles be faid to be Median betwixt the right line, and the Angle either of Incidence, or of Reflexion, how fmall foever it be ; and confequently, the Emerfion of the weighe in Vibration may as juftly be faid to be Median betwixt the fmalleft Reflexion and none at all. However, this Emerfion feems to be the Rule of all Refiection whatever ; for, as in the Vibration of a weight appenfed to a ftring, and defcribing a fimple Arch, the Angle of its Emerfon is always equal to the Angle of its Procidence: fo in Projection defribing an Angular line, the Angle of Reflection is always (quantum ex fe eft) equal to the Angle of Incidence. We fay, quantum ex fe eft; for otherwife, whether it be fenfible, or not, becaufe fo long as the Projectum is transferred, it is always fomewhat depreffed toward the earth, for the reafon formerly alleadged; thence comes it, that the Reffexion can neither be foftrong or fmart as the Incidence, nor make as great an angle, nor arife to as great an altitude. Which we infinuate, that we might not infift upon this advertifement ; that the Æquality of the Angle of the Reflexion to that of the Incidence, may be fo much the lefs, by how much the lefs the projected body comes to a fpherical figure, or dothiconfift of matter the lefs uniform.

For, to attain to that Equality of the Angles of Incidence and Reflexion, neceffary it is, that the body projected be exactly fpherical, and of Llniform matter, and fo having the Centre of Gravity, and the Centre of magnitude coincident in one and the fame point ; as we have formerly intimated: it being as well againft Reafon, as Experience, that bodies wanting thofe conditions fhould arife to that æquality which that we may the better underftand, let us confider, that as in a Globe, or Ball Falling down, we regard onely that Gravity, which it acquires in its defcent, from the mag.
nerique
netique Atrraction of the Earth: fo in a Globe, or Ball Projected, we are to regard onely that Imperus or Force, which being impreft upon it by the Projicient, fupplies the place of Gravity, and in refpect whereof the Centre of its Gravity may be conceived to be one with that of its magnitude. Let a Ball, therefore, be projected Directly or to right Angles, upon a plane; and, becaule, in that cale, that Hibre muft be the Axis of its Gravity, whofe extreme going foremoft is impinged againtt the plane : thence is it inaniteft, that the Repreffion muft be made, in a direct line, along that Axis; the parallel Fibres in equal number on each part invironing that Axis, and fo nor fiwaying or diverting the ball more to one part than to another, by reafon of any the leaft difproportion of quantity on either fide. Then, 1 t the fame Ball be projected Obliquely againft the fame plane; and becaufe, in this cafe, not that middle Fibre, which conftituterh the Axis of Gravity, but fome one or other of the Fibres circumftant about it, muft with one of its exrreams frrike againft the plane : therefore is it neceffary, that that fame Fibre be repreffed by that impulfe, and by that repreffion compelled to give backward toward its contrary extream, and thereby in fome meafure to oppofe the motion begun, which it wholly overcome, and fo the ball would rebound from the plane, the fame way it came, if the Fibres on that fide the Axis of Gravity, which is neereft to the plane, were equal in number to that are on the farther, or contrary fide of it : but, becaufe thofe Fibres, that are on the farther fide, of on the part of the Centre and Axis, are far more in number, and fo there is a greater quantity of inatter, and confequently a greater force impreft, than on the fide neerer to the plane; therefore doth the begun motion perfever, as prevailing upon the repreffion and renitency of the Fibre impinged againft the plane, and fince it cannot be continued in a direct line, becaufe of the impediment arifeing from the parts cohæerent, it is continued by that way it can, i. c. by the open and free obliquity of the planc. But, this, of neceffity, muft be done with fome certain Evolution of the Ball, and with the contact of the Fibres pofited in order both toward the Axis and beyond it; and while this is in doing, every Fibre ftrives to give back, but, becaufe the farther part doth yet prævail over the neerer, therefore doth the neerer part fill follow the fway, and conform to the inclination and conduct of the farther, and all the toucht Fibres change their fituation, nor are they any longer capable of returning by the fame way they came, becaufe they no longcr refpect that part from whence they came. We fay, with the Contact of the plane by the Fibres pofited toward the Axis and beyond it ; becaufe, fince in that Evolution or Turn of the Ball, the extream of the Axis toucheth the plane, yet neverthelefs no Refilition, or Rebound is therefore caufed, in that inflant ; and if there were a refilition, at that time, it would be to a perpendicular, as well the Axis, as all the circumftant Fibres being crected perpendicularly upon the face of the plane: but the Refilition there muft be beyond it, becaufe the force of the farther part of the Fibres doth yet prexvail over that of the neeter. For, the Force of
the farther part doth yet continue direct and intire; but, that of the neerer is reflected, and by the repreffion fomewhat debilitated : and therefore, the Refilition cannor be made, until for much of Repreffion and Debilitation be made in the further part, as was made at firf in the neerer. And that mult of neceffity be done, fo foon as ever the plane is touched by fome one Fibre, which is diftant from the Axis as much beyond, as that Fibre, which firft touched the plane, is diftant from the Axis on this fide : for, then do the two forces become equal, and fo one part of the Fibres having no reafon any longer to provail over the other, by counter inclination, the Ball inftantly ceafeth to touch the plane, and flies off fromit, toward that region, to which the Axis and all the circumftant Fibres are then, i. c. after the Evolution, directed. Now, becaufe the Ball is, after this manner, reflected from the plane, with the fame inclination, or obliquity, with which it was impinged againft it ; it is an evident confequence, that the Angle of its Reflexion muft be commenfurable by the Angle of its Incidence : and that each of them mult be fo much the more obtufe, by how much lefs the line of projection doth recede from a perpendicular; and contrariwife, fo much the more Acute, by how much more the line of projection doth recede from a perpendicular, or how much neerer it approacheth to a parallel with the plane.

Art. 13. Two Inferences from the pranifes; viz. (1) That the otblique Projeation of a $G$ lobe agrinf a plane, is compofed of a double Parsallel: and (i) That Nature jufiers nu diminution of her right to the florreft way, by Retle= xion.

Art. 14. Wherein the Aptitude or $i n$. equitude of bo fies fion doth confift.

From thefe Confiderations we may infer $\mathcal{T}$ wo obfervables. The One, that the oblique projection of a Globe againft a plane, is compofed of a double Parallel, the one with the Perpendicular, the other wich the plane : for, the Globe at one and the fame time, tends both to the plane, and to that part toward which the plane runs out forward. The. Other, that Nature lofeth nothing of her right, by the Reflexion of bodies; forafmuch as the may neverthelefs be allowed ftill to affect and purfue the fhorteft, or neereft way : for, becaufe the Angle of Reflexion above the plane, is equal to that Angle, which would have been below the plane, in cafe the plane had not hinderd the progrefs of the line of projection beyond it, by reafon of the Angles Equal at the Vertex, as Geometricians fpeak; therefore, is the Reflex way equal to the Direct, and confequently to the fhorteft, in which the ball projected could have tended from this to that place.

Here, to bring up the rear of this Section, we might advance, a difcourfe, concerning the Aptitude and Ineptitude of Bodies to Reflexion; but, the dulnefs of our Pen with long writing, as well as the Confidence we have of our Readers Collective Abilities, inclining us to all poffible brevity, we judge it fufficient onely to advertife, that what we have formerly faid, concerning the Aptitude and Ineptitude of Bodies to Projection, hath anticipated that Difquifition. For, certain it is, in the General, that fuch Bodies, which are More Compact, Cohærent, and Hard, as they may be, with more vehemence, and to greater diftance, Projected: fo may they, with more vehemence, and to greater diftance Rebound, or be Refected; provided, they be impinged againtt other bodies of
Chap. II. Of Motion.
of requifite Compactnefs, Cohërence, and Hardnefs, And,
the Reafon, why a Tennis-ball doth make a far greater Rebound,
than a Globe of Brafs; of the fame magnitude, and thrown
with equal force; is onely this, that there is not a proportion be-
twixt the Force impreft by the Projicient and the Gravity of each
of them; or bewwixt the Gravity of cach; and the Refiftence of
the Plane. Which holds true alfo concerning other bodies; of dif-
ferent Contextures;

## $\operatorname{CONCLUSION:}$

## Ingenious Reader,

IHave kept you long at Sea, I confers, and (fuch was the Unskilfulnefs of my Pen, though fteered, for the moft part, according to the lines drawn on thofe excellent Charts of Epicurus and Gaffendus ) often thipwrackt your Patience. But, be plealed to confider, that our way was very Long and trxdious; infomuch as we had no lefs than the whole of that vaft and decp Ocean of Sublunary Corporeal Natures, to fayl over: that our paffage was full of Difficulties, as well in refpect of thofe fundry Rocks of Incertitude, which the great Obfcurity of moft of thofe Arguments, whofe difcovery we attempted, inevitably caft us upon; as of thofe frequent Mifts and Foggs, which the exceeding Variety of mens Opinions, concerning them, furrounded and almoft benighted our judgement withal : and chiefly, that if by the voyage your Underftanding is brought home not only fafe, but inriched, though in the leaft meafure, with that ineftimable Wealth, the Knowledge of Truth, or what is fo Like to Truth, as to fatisfie your Curiofity as fully ; as I have reafon to congratulate my felf, for the happinefs of my Care and Induftry, in being your Pilor, fo muft you to efteem the adventure of your Time and Attention compenfated with good Advantage. And, now you are on Land agen, give me leave, at parting, to tell you; That all the $F$ are I fhall ever demand of you, is only a Candid Sentiment of my Good-will and cordial Devotion to the Commonrocalth of Pbilofophy. Which, indeed, doth fo ftrongly Animate me on to enterprizes of Publique Utility, though but to thofe in the Second Form of Scholars; that I can be well contented, not only to negleat opportunities of Temporal advantages to my felf, while I am imployed in the fudy, how to contribute to the Intellectual promotions of others; but alfo to ftand in the number of thofe Active and Free Spirits, whohave, through want of Abilities only, mifcarried in their well intended

## CONCLUSION.

Endeavours for the benefit of Learning; rather than in the lift of thofe Idle, or Envious ones, who having more of Wit, than of Humanity, and wanting nothing but the Inclination to do Good, have buried their Talents, and left the Republique of Arts and Sciences, to fuffer in the want of fuch means of Advancement, as their Capacities might eafily have afforded unto it.
'Tis the Cuftom of the Multitude, you Know, always to eftimate the Counfel of Defigns only by their Succe $f_{s}$; and never allowing for Impediments or finifter Accidents, to account the Goodnefs of an Undertaking to confift wholly in the Felicity of its Event : but, fuch is the juftice of Wifdom, that it configns a Reward to a good Intention; and decrees a Lawrel to be planted on his Grave, whofals in the generous Attempt of any noble Difcovery, as well as one to be placed on his Head, who thall be fo much beholding to the Favour and Affiftance of his Fortune, as to Accomplifh it. This I put you in mind of, not out of Arrogance, as if I challenged any thing as due to me, befides a lively Refentment of my conftant and fincere Zeale to the Encreafe of Knowledge; but, to poffefs you more fully with the Equity of my Expectation, which aims at no other Reward, but what Detraction it felf dares not difpute my Right unto, and much lefs than what, I prefume, your own Charity would, if I had referred my felf thereunto, have readily affigned me.

But, left I feem to prevent you in your Inclination, or to Extort that from y ou by force of Argument, which as well your own innate Candor, as judicious Æquanimity, had fufficiently prepared you to offer me of your own accord; I refigne you to your Peace, and the undifturbed enjoyment of thofe Pleafures, which ufually refult from the memory of Difficulties once overcome: Having firft aflured you, that your benigne Acceptance of my Services, and Pardon of my Misfortunes (foI may call all fuch Errors, whofe precaution was above the power of my humble judgement) in this Voyage; may prove a chief Encouragement to me, to adventure on a Second, without which this

## CONCLOSION.

Firft muft be Imperfect; and that is for a Defcription of the Nature of that Paradife of the World, that bright fladow of the All-illuminating and yet Invifible Liglit, that Noble Effence, which we know to be within us, but do not underftand becaufe it is within us, and cannot underitand without it, the Humsane Soul; and that, fo foon as Quict and Phyfick fhall have repaired thofe Decays in the Wtather beaten Veffel of my Body, which long Sitring, frequent Watchings, and conftantSolicitude of mind have thercin made.

In the meantime, I conjure you, by your own Humanity, to remember and teftifie, that in this my Converfation with you, you have found me fo far from being Magifterial in any of the Opinions I prxfented; that confidering my own Humor of Indifferency, and conftant Dubiofity (frequently profeffed, but more exprefly, in the Firft Chapter of this Work, and I. Art of the I.Chap.3.Book.) it hath fomewhat of wonder in it, that $I$ ever propofed them to Others: nor, indeed, can any thing folve that wonder, but my Hopes, thereby fecretly to underminethat lofty Confidence of yonger Heads, in the Certitude of Pofitions and Axioms Phyfio ological; and by my declared Scepticifm even in fuch Notions, as my felf have laboured to affert, by the firmeft Grounds, and ftrongeft Inducements of Belief, to reduce them to the fafer level of

## Onò magis quarimws, miagis dubitamws:
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